

# A link between Kendall's $\tau$ , the length measure and the surface of bivariate copulas, and a consequence to copulas with self-similar support

Juan Fernández-Sánchez\*      Wolfgang Trutschnig†

March 28, 2023

## Abstract

Working with shuffles we establish a close link between Kendall's  $\tau$ , the so-called length measure, and the surface area of bivariate copulas and derive some consequences. While it is well-known that Spearman's  $\rho$  of a bivariate copula  $A$  is a rescaled version of the volume of the area under the graph of  $A$ , in this contribution we show that the other famous concordance measure, Kendall's  $\tau$ , allows for a simple geometric interpretation as well - it is inextricably linked to the surface area of  $A$ .

## 1 Introduction

Spearman's  $\rho$  of a bivariate copula  $A$  is a rescaled version of the volume below the graph of  $A$  (see [3, 11]) in the sense that

$$\rho(A) = 12 \int_{[0,1]^2} A d\lambda_2 - 3$$

holds. Letting  $[A]_t := \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : A(x, y) \geq t\}$  denote the lower  $t$ -cut of  $A$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$  and applying Fubini's theorem directly yields

$$\rho(A) = 12 \int_{[0,1]} \lambda_2([A]_t) d\lambda(t) - 3,$$

which lead the authors of [1] to conjecturing that adequately rescaling the so-called length measure  $\ell(A)$  of  $A$ , defined as the average arc-length of the contour lines of  $A$ , might result in a (new or already known) concordance measure. The conjecture was falsified in [1], only some but not all properties of a concordance measure are fulfilled, in particular, we do not have continuity with respect to pointwise convergence of copulas in general.

Motivated by the afore-mentioned facts, the objective of this note is two-fold: we first derive the somewhat surprising result that on a subfamily of bivariate copulas - the

---

\*Grupo de Investigación de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Almería, La Cañada de San Urbano, Almería, Spain, E-mail: juanfernandez@ual.es

†Department for Artificial Intelligence and Human Interfaces, University of Salzburg, Austria, E-Mail: wolfgang@trutschnig.net (corresponding author)

class  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  of all mutually completely dependent copulas (including all classical shuffles) - which is dense in the class  $\mathcal{C}$  of all bivariate copulas with respect to uniform convergence, the length measure is, in fact, an affine transformation of Kendall's  $\tau$  and vice versa. As a consequence, the length measure restricted to  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  is continuous with respect to pointwise convergence of copulas. We then focus on the surface area of bivariate copulas and derive analogous statements, i.e., that on the class  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  the surface area is an affine transformation of Kendall's  $\tau$  (and hence of the length measure) too. For obtaining both main results a simple geometric identity linking the length measure and the surface area with the area of the set  $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}$ , given by

$$\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_h} = \{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : h(x) \leq y, h^{-1}(y) \leq x\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $h$  denotes the transformation corresponding to the completely dependent copula  $A_h$ , will be key. An application to calculating Kendall's  $\tau$ , the length measure and the surface area of completely dependent copulas with self-similar support concludes the paper.

## 2 Notation and preliminaries

In the sequel we will let  $\mathcal{C}$  denote the family of all bivariate copulas. For each copula  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  the corresponding doubly stochastic measure will be denoted by  $\mu_C$ , i.e.,  $\mu_C([0, x] \times [0, y]) = C(x, y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . Considering the uniform metric  $d_\infty$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  it is well-known that  $(\mathcal{C}, d_\infty)$  is a compact metric space and that in  $\mathcal{C}^d$  pointwise and uniform convergence are equivalent. For more background on copulas and doubly stochastic measures we refer to [3, 11].

For every metric space  $(\Omega, d)$  the Borel  $\sigma$ -field in  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ . The Lebesgue measure on the Borel  $\sigma$ -field  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1]^2)$  of  $[0, 1]^2$  will be denoted by  $\lambda_2$ , the univariate version on  $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  by  $\lambda$ . Given probability spaces  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', \mathbb{P}')$  and a measurable transformation  $T : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  the push-forward of  $\mathbb{P}$  via  $T$  will be denoted by  $\mathbb{P}^T$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{P}^T(F) = \mathbb{P}(T^{-1}(F))$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{A}'$ .

In what follows, Markov kernels will be a handy tool. A mapping  $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a Markov kernel from  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  if the mapping  $x \mapsto K(x, B)$  is measurable for every fixed  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  and the mapping  $B \mapsto K(x, B)$  is a probability measure for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . A Markov kernel  $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called regular conditional distribution of a (real-valued) random variable  $Y$  given (another random variable)  $X$  if for every  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

$$K(X(\omega), B) = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{1}_B \circ Y | X)(\omega)$$

holds  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. It is well known that a regular conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $X$  exists and is unique  $\mathbb{P}^X$ -almost surely. For every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  (a version of) the corresponding regular conditional distribution (i.e., the regular conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $X$  in the case that  $(X, Y) \sim A$ ) will be denoted by  $K_A(\cdot, \cdot)$  and directly be interpreted as mapping from  $K_A : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{B}([0, 1]) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Note that for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and Borel sets  $E, F \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$  we have the following disintegration formulas:

$$\int_E K_A(x, F) d\lambda(x) = \mu_A(E \times F) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{[0, 1]} K_A(x, F) d\lambda(x) = \lambda(F) \quad (2)$$

For more details and properties of conditional expectations and regular conditional distributions we refer to [8, 10].

A copula  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  will be called completely dependent if there exists some  $\lambda$ -preserving transformation  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  (i.e., a transformation with  $\lambda^h = \lambda$ ) such that  $K(x, E) = \mathbf{1}_E(h(x))$  is a Markov kernel of  $A$ . The copula induced by  $h$  will be denoted by  $A_h$ , the class of all completely dependent copulas by  $\mathcal{C}_{cd}$ . A completely dependent copula  $A_h$  is called mutually completely dependent, if the transformation  $h$  is bijective. Notice that in this case the transpose  $A_h^t$  of  $A_h$ , defined by  $A_h^t(x, y) = A_h(y, x)$ , coincides with  $A_{h^{-1}}$ . The family of all mutually completely dependent copulas will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$ . It is well known (see [3, 11]) that  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  is dense in  $(\mathcal{C}, d_\infty)$ , in fact even the family of all equidistant even shuffles (again see [3, 11]) is dense. For further properties of completely dependent copulas we refer to [13] and the references therein.

Turning towards the length profile introduced and studied in [1], let  $\Gamma_{A,t}$  denote the boundary of the lower  $t$ -cut  $[A]_t$  in  $(0, 1)^2$  and  $H_1(\Gamma_{A,t})$  it's arc-length. Then the length profile of  $A$  is defined as the function  $L_A : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , given by

$$L_A(t) = H_1(\Gamma_{A,t}). \quad (3)$$

It is straightforward to show see that

$$\sqrt{2}(1-t) \leq L_A(t) \leq 2(1-t)$$

holds for every  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Building upon  $L_A$  the so-called length measure  $\ell(A)$  of  $A$  is defined as

$$\ell(A) = \int_{(0,1)} L_A(t) d\lambda(t) \quad (4)$$

and describes the average arc-length of upper  $t$ -cuts of  $A$ . It is straightforward to verify that

$$\ell(W) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \leq \ell(A) \leq 1 = \ell(M) \quad (5)$$

as well as  $\ell(A) \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1]$  holds (ineq. (5) was also one of the reasons for falsely conjecturing that the length measure might be transformable into a concordance measure).

In [1] it was shown that for mutually completely dependent copulas  $A_h$  the length profile allows for a simple calculation. In fact, using the co-area formula we have

$$\ell(A_h) = \int_{(0,1)^2} \|\nabla A_h(u, v)\|_2 d\lambda_2(u, v),$$

where  $\nabla A_h$  denotes the gradient of  $A_h$  (whose existence  $\lambda_2$ -almost everywhere is assured by Rademacher's theorem and Lipschitz continuity, see [5]). The last equation simplifies to the nice identity

$$\ell(A_h) = 1 - (2 - \sqrt{2}) \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}), \quad (6)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_h} := \Omega_{\sqrt{2}} &= \left\{ (u, v) \in (0, 1)^2 : \|\nabla A_h(u, v)\|_2 = \sqrt{2} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (u, v) \in (0, 1)^2 : h(u) \leq v, h^{-1}(v) \leq u \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Throughout the rest of this note we will only write  $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}$  instead of  $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_h}$  whenever no confusion will arise. Notice that for classical equidistant straight shuffles eq. (6) implies that  $\ell(A_h)$  can be calculated by simply counting squares as Figure 2 illustrates in terms of two simple examples - one shuffle with three, and a second one with nice equidistant stripes.




Figure 1: The set  $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}$  (in magenta) for an even shuffles of three strips (left panel) and nine strips (right panel). In this case we have  $\lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}) = \frac{1}{9}$  and  $\ell(A_h) = 1 - (2 - \sqrt{2})\frac{1}{9}$  for the first shuffle and  $\lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}) = \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{27}$  as well as  $\ell(A_h) = 1 - (2 - \sqrt{2})\left(\frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{27}\right)$  for the second one.

### 3 The interrelations

We now derive a simple formula linking Kendall's  $\tau$  and the length measure for mutually completely dependent copula and start with some preliminary observations. Working with checkerboard copulas, using integration by parts (see [11]) and finally applying an approximation result like [9, Theorem 3.2] yields that for arbitrary bivariate copulas  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$  the following identity holds:

$$\tau(A) = 4 \int_{[0,1]^2} A d\mu_A - 1 = 4 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \int_{[0,1]^2} K_A(x, [0, y]) K_{A^t}(y, [0, x]) d\lambda_2(x, y) \right) - 1. \quad (8)$$

For  $A_h \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  eq. (8) can be derived in the following simple alternative way, which we include for the sake of completeness: Using the fact that for  $A_h \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  and every  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, h(x)) &= \int_{[0,x]} K_{A_h}(t, [0, h(x)]) d\lambda(t) = \int_{[0,x]} K_{A_h}(t, [0, h(x)]) d\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_{[0,x]} \mathbf{1}_{[0, h(x))}(h(t)) d\lambda(t) = \int_{[0,x]} (1 - \mathbf{1}_{[h(x), 1]}(h(t))) d\lambda(t) \\ &= x - \int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}(t) \mathbf{1}_{[h(x), 1]}(h(t)) d\lambda(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using disintegration and change of coordinates directly yields

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} A d\mu_A = \int_{[0,1]} A(x, h(x)) d\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2} - \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}(t) \mathbf{1}_{[h(x), 1]}(h(t)) d\lambda(t) d\lambda(x)$$

and hence proves eq. (8). The latter identity, however, boils down to an affine transformation of  $\lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}})$  by considering

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^2} Ad\mu_A &= \frac{1}{2} - \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}(h^{-1} \circ h(t)) \mathbf{1}_{[h(x),1]}(h(t)) d\lambda(t) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \int_{[0,1]} \int_{[0,1]} \mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}(h^{-1}(y)) \mathbf{1}_{[h(x),1]}(y) d\lambda(y) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

Having this, the identity

$$\tau(A_h) = 4 \left( \frac{1}{2} - \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}) \right) - 1 = 1 - 4\lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}). \quad (9)$$

follows immediately. Notice that eq. (9) implies that the area of  $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}$  coincides with the quantity  $\text{inv}(h)$  as studied in [12, Lemma 3.1]. Comparing eq. (6) and eq. (9) shows the existence of an affine transformation  $a : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1]$  such that

$$a(\tau(A_h)) = \ell(A_h)$$

holds for every  $A_h \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  - in other words, we have proved the subsequent result:

**Theorem 3.1.** *For every  $A_h \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  the following identity linking the length measure  $\ell$  and Kendall's  $\tau$  holds:*

$$\ell(A_h) = 1 - \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{4} (1 - \tau(A_h)) \quad (10)$$

Theorem 3.1 provides an answer to the question posed in [1], ‘whether there are links between the length of level curves and concordance measures’ - even the conjectured ‘weighting’ mentioned in [1] is not necessary, in the class  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  all we need is a fixed affine transformation.

In [1] it was further shown that the length measure interpreted as function  $\ell : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 1]$  is not continuous w.r.t.  $d_\infty$ . The previous result implies, however, that within the dense subclass  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  the length measure is indeed continuous:

**Corollary 3.2.** *The mapping  $\ell : \mathcal{C}_{mcd} \rightarrow [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1]$  is continuous with respect to  $d_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A_h, A_{h_1}, A_{h_2}, \dots$  are mutually completely dependent copulas and that the sequence  $(A_{h_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $A_h$  pointwise. Being a concordance measure Kendall's  $\tau$  is continuous with respect to  $d_\infty$ , so we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(A_{h_n}) = \tau(A_h)$  and eq. (9) directly yields  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell(A_{h_n}) = \ell(A_h)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *For every  $z \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1]$  there exists some mutually completely dependent copula  $A_h$  with  $\ell(A_h) = z$ . In other words, all values in  $[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1]$  are attained by  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* According to [12] for each  $(x, y)$  in the region determined by Kendall's  $\tau$  and Spearman's  $\rho$  there exists some mutually completely dependent copula  $C_h$  fulfilling

$$(\tau(A_h), \rho(A_h)) = (x, y).$$

Having this, the result directly follows via eq. (9).  $\square$

Moving away from the length measure we now turn to the surface area of copulas, derive analogous statements and start with showing yet another simple formula for elements in  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$ . Considering that copulas are Lipschitz continuous, the surface area  $\text{surf}(A)$  of an arbitrary copula  $A$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{surf}(A) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial x}(x,y)\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial y}(x,y)\right)^2 + 1} d\lambda_2(x,y) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \sqrt{K_A(x, [0,y])^2 + K_{A^t}(y, [0,x])^2 + 1} d\lambda_2(x,y).\end{aligned}\quad (11)$$

Again working with mutually completely dependent copulas yields the following result:

**Lemma 3.4.** *For every  $A_h \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  the surface area of  $A_h$  is given by*

$$\text{surf}(A_h) = \sqrt{2} - (2\sqrt{2} - 1 - \sqrt{3}) \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}).\quad (12)$$

*Proof.* For the case of a completely dependent copula  $A_h$  eq. (11) obviously simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\text{surf}(A_h) &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{[0,y]}^2(h(x)) + \mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}^2(h^{-1}(y)) + 1} d\lambda_2(x,y) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{[0,y]}(h(x)) + \mathbf{1}_{[0,x]}(h^{-1}(y)) + 1} d\lambda_2(x,y).\end{aligned}$$

Considering that the latter integrand is a step function only attaining the values 1,  $\sqrt{2}$  and  $\sqrt{3}$ , defining

$$\Omega_0^{A_h} := \Omega_0 = \{(x,y) \in (0,1)^2 : h(x) > y, h^{-1}(y) > x\}$$

as well as ( $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}$  as before)

$$\Omega_1^{A_h} := \Omega_1 = [0,1]^2 \setminus (\Omega_{\sqrt{2}} \cup \Omega_0)$$

we therefore have

$$\text{surf}(A_h) = \lambda_2(\Omega_0) + \sqrt{2} \lambda_2(\Omega_1) + \sqrt{3} \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}).$$

The latter identity can be further simplified: The measurable bijection  $\Psi_h : [0,1]^2 \rightarrow [0,1]^2$ , defined by  $\Psi_h(x,y) = (h^{-1}(y), h(x))$  obviously fulfills  $\lambda_2^{\Psi_h} = \lambda_2$ . Therefore using the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_h^{-1}(\Omega_0) &= \{(x,y) \in [0,1]^2 : (h^{-1}(y), h(x)) \in \Omega_0\} \\ &= \{(x,y) \in [0,1]^2 : h(x) \leq y, h^{-1}(y) \leq x\} = \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}\end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\lambda_2(\Omega_0) = \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}})$  holds. This altogether yields

$$\begin{aligned}\text{surf}(A_h) &= \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}) + \sqrt{2} \lambda_2(\Omega_1) + \sqrt{3} \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}) \\ &= (1 + \sqrt{3}) \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}) + \sqrt{2} (1 - 2\lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}})) \\ &= \sqrt{2} + \underbrace{(1 + \sqrt{3} - 2\sqrt{2})}_{<0} \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}),\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** For every  $A_h \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  the following identity linking the surface area and Kendall's  $\tau$  holds:

$$\text{surf}(A_h) = \sqrt{2} - \frac{2\sqrt{2} - 1 - \sqrt{3}}{4}(1 - \tau(A_h)) \quad (13)$$

As in the case of the length measure we have the following two immediate corollaries:

**Corollary 3.6.** The mapping  $\text{surf}: \mathcal{C}_{mcd} \rightarrow [\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}, \sqrt{2}]$  is continuous with respect to  $d_\infty$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** For every  $z \in [\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}, \sqrt{2}]$  there exists some mutually completely copula  $A_h$  with  $\text{surf}(A_h) = z$ . In other words, all values in  $[\frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}, \sqrt{2}]$  are attained by  $\text{surf}$ .

**Remark 3.8.** The afore-mentioned interrelations lead to the following seemingly new interpretation of the interplay between the two most well-known measures of concordance, Kendall's  $\tau$  and Spearman's  $\rho$ , as studied in [3, 4, 12] (and the references therein): Within the dense class  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  maximizing/minimizing Kendall's  $\tau$  for a given value of Spearman's  $\rho$  is equivalent to maximizing/minimizing the surface area of copulas for a given value of the volume. Determining the exact  $\tau$ - $\rho$  region (for which according to [12] considering all shuffles is sufficient) is therefore reminiscent of the famous isoperimetric inequality bounding the surface area of a set by a function of the volume (see [6]).

## 4 Calculating $\tau$ , $\ell$ and $\text{surf}$ for mutually completely dependent copulas with self-similar support

We first recall the notion of so-called transformation matrices and the construction of copulas with fractal/self-similar support, then use these tools to construct mutually completely dependent copulas with self-similar support and finally derive simple expressions for Kendall's  $\tau$  and the length measure of copulas of this type.

**Definition 4.1** ([7, 13, 14]). An  $n \times m$ -matrix  $T = (t_{ij})_{i=1\dots n, j=1\dots m}$  is called *transformation matrix* if it fulfills the following four conditions: (i)  $\max(n, m) \geq 2$ , (ii), all entries are non-negative, (iii)  $\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} = 1$ , and (iv) no row or column has all entries 0.

In other words, a transformation matrix is a probability distribution  $\tau$  on  $(\mathcal{I}, 2^{\mathcal{I}})$  with  $\mathcal{I} = I_1 \times I_2$ ,  $I_1 = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $I_2 = \{1, \dots, m\}$ , such that  $\tau(\{i\} \times I_2) > 0$  for every  $i \in I_1$  and  $\tau(I_1 \times \{j\}) > 0$  for every  $j \in I_2$ .

Given a transformation matrix  $T$  define the vectors  $(a_j)_{j=0}^m, (b_i)_{i=0}^n$  of cumulative column and row sums by

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= b_0 = 0 \\ a_j &= \sum_{j_0 \leq j} \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ij} \quad j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \\ b_i &= \sum_{i_0 \leq i} \sum_{j=1}^m t_{ij} \quad i \in \{1, \dots, n\}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Considering that  $T$  is a transformation matrix both  $(a_j)_{j=0}^m$  and  $(b_i)_{i=0}^n$  are strictly increasing. Consequently  $R_{ji} := [a_{j-1}, a_j] \times [b_{i-1}, b_i]$  are compact non-empty rectangles for every  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Defining the contraction  $w_{ji} : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow R_{ji}$  by

$$w_{ji}(x, y) = (a_{j-1} + x(a_j - a_{j-1}), b_{i-1} + x(b_i - b_{i-1}))$$

therefore yields the IFSP  $\{[0, 1]^2, (w_{ji})_{j=1\dots m, i=1\dots n}, (t_{ij})_{j=1\dots m, i=1\dots n}\}$ . The induced operator  $V_T$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$ , given by

$$V_T(\mu) := \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n t_{ij} \mu^{w_{ji}} \quad (15)$$

is easily verified to be well-defined (i.e., it maps  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$  into itself, again see [14, 7, 13]) - in the sequel we will therefore also consider  $V_T$  as a transformation mapping  $\mathcal{C}$  into itself. According to [13] for every transformation matrix  $T$  there exists a unique copula  $A_T^*$  with  $V_T(A_T^*) = A_T^*$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_1(V_T^n(B), A_T^*) = 0 \quad (16)$$

holds for arbitrary  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  (i.e.,  $A_T^*$  is the unique, globally attractive fixed point of  $V_T$ ).

Suppose now that  $2 \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\pi$  be a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ . Then the matrix  $T_\pi = (t_{ij})_{i=1\dots N, j=1\dots N}$ , defined by

$$t_{i,j} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{1}_{\{j\}}(\pi(i)), \quad i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$$

is obviously a transformation matrix. To simplify notation we will simply write  $V_\pi := V_{T_\pi}$  as well as  $A_{T_\pi}^* = A_\pi^*$  in the sequel. Obviously  $V_\pi$  does not only map  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{C}$  but also  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  to  $\mathcal{C}_{mcd}$ . Considering that (see [13])  $\mathcal{C}_{cd}$  is closed in  $(\mathcal{C}, D_1)$  using eq. (16) it follows immediately that  $A_\pi^* \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$ , so there exists some  $\lambda$ -preserving bijection  $h_\pi^*$  with  $A_\pi^* = A_{h_\pi^*}$ . Since the support of  $A_\pi^*$  is self-similar it seems intractable to calculate  $\ell(A_\pi^*)$ ,  $\text{surf}(A_\pi^*)$  and  $\tau(A_\pi^*)$  for general  $\pi$ . The results established in the previous section, however, make it possible to derive simple expressions for both quantities.

We start with a simple illustrative example and then prove the general result (in a different manner).

**Example 4.2.** Consider  $N = 3$  and the permutation  $\pi = (1, 3, 2)$ . Since, firstly,  $A_\pi^* \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$ , secondly,  $A_\pi^*$  is globally attractive, and since, thirdly,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(V_\pi^n(M)) = \tau(A_\pi^*)$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi^n(M)}) = \lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_\pi^*})$ , it suffices to calculate  $\lambda_2(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_\pi^*})$  which can be done as follows: Obviously we have (see Figure 2 for an illustration of the steps 3-6 in the construction)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2\left(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)}\right) &= \frac{1}{9} \\ \lambda_2\left(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi^2(M)}\right) &= \frac{1}{9} + 3 \frac{1}{9^2} = \frac{1}{9} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right) \\ \lambda_2\left(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi^3(M)}\right) &= \frac{1}{9} + 3 \frac{1}{9^2} + 9 \frac{1}{27^2} = \frac{1}{9} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3^2}\right) \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda_2\left(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi^n(M)}\right) &= \frac{1}{9} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{3^{n-1}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\lambda_2\left(\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_\pi^*}\right) = \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3}} = \frac{1}{6}.$$



Having that, using eqs. (6), (9) and (13) shows

$$\ell(A_\pi^*) = 1 - (2 - \sqrt{2})\frac{1}{6}, \quad \tau(A_\pi^*) = 1 - 4\frac{1}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

as well as

$$\text{surf}(A_\pi^*) = \sqrt{2} - (2\sqrt{2} - 1 - \sqrt{3})\frac{1}{6}.$$




Figure 2: Supports of the copulas  $V_\pi^n(M)$  (black line segments) and the corresponding sets  $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi^n(M)}$  (magenta squares) for  $n \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$  and  $\pi = (1, 3, 2)$  as considered in Example 4.2.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose that  $N \geq 2$  and that  $\pi$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ . Then the following identities hold for the copula  $A_\pi^*$  with self-similar support:*

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(A_\pi^*) &= 1 - 4 \frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)} \right) \\ &= 1 - 4 \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \# \{ (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^2 : \pi(i) < j \text{ and } \pi^{-1}(j) < i \}\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(A_\pi^*) &= 1 - (2 - \sqrt{2}) \frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)} \right) \\ &= 1 - (2 - \sqrt{2}) \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \# \{ (i, j) \in \{1, \dots, N\}^2 : \pi(i) < j \text{ and } \pi^{-1}(j) < i \}\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

$$\text{surf}(A_\pi^*) = \sqrt{2} - (2\sqrt{2} - 1 - \sqrt{3}) \frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)} \right)\tag{19}$$

*Proof.* First of all notice that for every  $A_h \in \mathcal{C}_{mcd}$  we have

$$\lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(A_h)} \right) = \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)} \right) + \frac{1}{N} \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_h} \right).\tag{20}$$

Since  $A_\pi^* = A_{h^*}$  for some  $\lambda$ -preserving bijection  $h^*$  and since  $V_\pi(A_\pi^*) = A_\pi^*$  holds, eq. (20) implies

$$\lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_\pi^*} \right) = \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)} \right) + \frac{1}{N} \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_\pi^*} \right),$$

from which we conclude

$$\lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{A_\pi^*} \right) = \frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)} \right).$$

Having this, the desired identities follow by applying eqs. (6), (9), and (13).  $\square$

We conclude the paper with the following example.

**Example 4.4.** Consider  $N = 4$  and the permutation  $\pi = (3, 1, 4, 2)$ . Figure 3 depicts the first four steps in the construction process of the corresponding copula  $A_\pi^*$ . Since in this case we have

$$\lambda_2 \left( \Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_\pi(M)} \right) = \frac{3}{16},$$

applying Theorem 4.3 directly yields

$$\tau(A_\pi^*) = 0, \quad \ell(A_\pi^*) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$$

as well as

$$\text{surf}(A_\pi^*) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}.$$

### Acknowledgement

The second author gratefully acknowledge the support of the WISS 2025 project ‘IDA-lab Salzburg’ (20204-WISS/225/197-2019 and 20102-F1901166-KZP).




Figure 3: Supports of the copulas  $V_{\pi}^n(M)$  (black line segments) and the corresponding sets  $\Omega_{\sqrt{2}}^{V_{\pi}^n(M)}$  (magenta rectangles) for  $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $\pi = (3, 1, 4, 2)$  as considered in Example 4.4.

## References

- [1] M. Coblenz, O. Grothe, M. Schreyer, W. Trutschnig: On the Length of Copula Level Curves, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* **167**, 347-365 (2018).
- [2] H. Daniels: Rank correlation and population models, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* **12**, 171-191 (1950).
- [3] F. Durante, C. Sempi: *Principles of copula theory*, CRC/Chapman & Hall (2016).

- [4] J. Durbin, A. Stuart: Inversions and rank correlation coefficients, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)* **13**, 303-309 (1951).
- [5] L.C. Evans, R.F. Gariepy: *Measure theory and fine Properties of Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida (1992).
- [6] H. Federer: *Geometric measure theory*, Springer-Verlag (1969).
- [7] G.A. Fredricks, R.B. Nelsen, J.A. Rodríguez-Lallena: Copulas with fractal supports, *Insur. Math. Econ.* **37**, 42-48 (2005).
- [8] O. Kallenberg: *Foundations of modern probability*, Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition (2002).
- [9] T. Kasper, S. Fuchs, W. Trutschnig: On weak conditional convergence of bivariate Archimedean and Extreme Value copulas, and consequences to nonparametric estimation, *Bernoulli* **27**(4), 2217-2240 (2021).
- [10] A. Klenke: *Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie*, Springer Lehrbuch Masterclass Series, Berlin Heidelberg (2008).
- [11] R.B. Nelsen: *An introduction to copulas*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [12] M. Schreyer, R. Paulin, W. Trutschnig: On the exact region determined by Kendall's tau and Spearman's rho, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* **79**(2), 613-633 (2017).
- [13] W. Trutschnig: On a strong metric on the space of copulas and its induced dependence measure, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* **384**, 690-705 (2011).
- [14] W. Trutschnig, J. Fernández Sánchez: Idempotent and multivariate copulas with fractal support, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* **142**, 3086-3096 (2012).