

ON CIRCLE ACTIONS WITH EXACTLY THREE FIXED POINTS

MICHAEL WIEMELER

ABSTRACT. We study smooth, closed orientable S^1 -manifolds M with exactly 3 fixed points. We show that the dimension of M is of the form $4 \cdot 2^a$ or $8 \cdot (2^a + 2^b)$ with $a, b \geq 0$ and $a \neq b$. Moreover, under the extra assumption that M is spin or unitary we determine exactly the dimension of M .

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of symmetries of closed manifolds is an interesting subject with a long history. One question in this study is the following:

Question 1.1. *Given a class \mathfrak{M} of closed manifolds and positive integers n and k , does there exist a manifold M in \mathfrak{M} of dimension n admitting a S^1 -action with exactly k fixed points?*

Usually when studying this question one restricts to actions satisfying some kind of regularity condition, such as continuous, locally smooth or smooth actions. Here we restrict ourselves to smooth actions.

Related to Question 1.1 is the following conjecture due to Kosniowski [Kos80].

Conjecture 1.2. *Let M be a closed unitary S^1 -manifold of dimension $2n$ with only isolated fixed points. If M does not bound a unitary S^1 -manifold equivariantly, then the number of isolated fixed points is greater than or equal to a linear function $f(n)$ of n .*

Here a unitary manifold is a smooth manifold together with a complex structure J on the stable tangent bundle $TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^k$. In this paper we assume that all S^1 -actions on unitary manifolds are compatible with the given complex structure J , i.e. J commutes with the S^1 -action on $TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^k = TM \times \mathbb{R}^k$. Here S^1 acts on TM by the differential of the action on M and trivially on the \mathbb{R}^k factor. Note that every unitary manifold is orientable.

This conjecture and the above question have been studied before for different classes of manifolds and actions including actions on symplectic manifolds and almost complex manifolds (see for example [Hat85], [PT11], [LL11], [CKP12], [Jan14], [GS14], [GPS15], [Li15], [JT17], [Jan20a], [Jan20b]).

Here we study Question 1.1 for the classes of smooth closed orientable, spin and unitary manifolds with $k = 3$ with smooth actions (and in the unitary case with actions preserving the unitary structure). Here a spin

Date: 27th March 2023.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57S15, 57R15, 57R20, 57R85, 55N91.

manifold is an orientable manifold whose second Stiefel-Whitney class vanishes. For the above classes the following restriction on n are known, when $k \leq 3$.

- (1) Since the fixed point set M^{S^1} of any S^1 -manifold M is a submanifold of even codimension, S^1 -manifolds with at least one but at most finitely many fixed points can only exist in even dimensions.
- (2) There is no closed orientable S^1 -manifold with exactly one fixed point (see for example [Li15, Remark 3.2]).
- (3) In all even dimensions spheres with certain linear actions provide examples of S^1 -manifolds with exactly two fixed points. More generally, if a closed orientable S^1 -manifold has exactly two fixed points, then the isotropy representations at the two fixed points are isomorphic (see [Kos80, Theorem 6]).
- (4) For a closed oriented S^1 -manifold M with isolated fixed points the signature $\text{sign}(M)$ of M can be computed as

$$\text{sign}(M) = \text{sign}(M^{S^1}) \equiv |M^{S^1}| \pmod{2}$$

(see [Kaw91, Corollary 6.23]). It follows that $\dim M \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ if M has an odd number of fixed points. If, moreover, M is spin then $\dim M \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. This is because if $\dim M \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ and M is spin, then the intersection form of M is even and therefore $\text{sign}(M)$ is divisible by eight (see [HBJ92, p. 114-115] or [Och81] for a stronger result).

- (5) Examples of orientable manifolds admitting a circle action with exactly three fixed points are the projective planes $\mathbb{C}P^2$, $\mathbb{H}P^2$ and $\mathbb{O}P^2$ of dimensions 4, 8 and 16, respectively. Note here that $\mathbb{C}P^2$ admits a unitary structure, while $\mathbb{H}P^2$ and $\mathbb{O}P^2$ are spin.

Our main result is that in most dimensions orientable, spin or unitary S^1 -manifolds with three fixed points cannot exist.

To be more precise we show the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let M be a smooth, closed S^1 -manifold with exactly three fixed points and $\dim M > 0$.*

- (1) *If M is orientable, then $\dim M = 4 \cdot 2^a$ or $\dim M = 8 \cdot (2^a + 2^b)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $a \neq b$.*
- (2) *If M is spin, then $\dim M \in \{8, 16\}$.*
- (3) *If M is unitary, then $\dim M = 4$.*

Note that non-existence of 12-dimensional oriented S^1 -manifolds with exactly 3 fixed points has been shown recently by Jang [Jan21].

By combining Theorem 1.3 with Theorem 5 of [Kos80] or Corollary 1.5 of [CKP12] we get

Corollary 1.4. *Let M be a closed unitary S^1 -manifold of dimension greater than 6 that does not bound equivariantly. Then there are at least 4 fixed points in M .*

This corollary generalizes a result of Jang [Jan17] on almost complex S^1 -manifolds which was based on his work [Jan14] dealing with the symplectic case.

A S^1 -action on a manifold M is called equivariantly formal if

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} b_i(M) = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i(M^{S^1}),$$

where $b_i(M)$ denotes the i -th Betti number of M . Moreover, for every S^1 -action on a manifold M , one has

$$\chi(M) = \chi(M^{S^1}),$$

where $\chi(M) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i b_i(M)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of M . Therefore a circle action on an orientable closed manifold M with exactly three fixed points is equivariantly formal if and only if M is a rational projective plane, i.e. if $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for $*$ $\neq 0, \dim M, \frac{1}{2} \dim M$ and $H^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}$ for $*$ $= 0, \dim M, \frac{1}{2} \dim M$.

Hence it does not come as a surprise that there are some similarities between those dimensions which support a rational projective plane and those dimensions which support an orientable S^1 -manifold with three fixed points. The former have been studied in [Su14], [FS16], [AM19], [KS19], [Hu21] and [Su22]. One of the main tools in this study was the Hirzebruch signature theorem which expresses the signature of a manifold as a linear combination of its Pontrjagin numbers. This was combined with the fact that a rational projective plane has signature one and can have at most two non-zero Pontrjagin numbers.

Similarly as a first major step in our study of orientable S^1 -manifolds M with three fixed points we show that all except two of their Pontrjagin numbers vanish. Moreover, the signature of M is one. To get from there to Theorem 1.3 is then similar to the study of rational projective planes.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we collect some basic facts about equivariant cohomology and the Hirzebruch signature theorem. Then in Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.3.

I would like to thank Anand Dessai for comments on an earlier version of this paper.

The research for this paper was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy EXC 2044 -390685587, Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure and through CRC1442 Geometry: Deformations and Rigidity at University of Münster.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Equivariant cohomology. In this section we recall some facts about equivariant cohomology. For an introduction to this subject see [Kaw91, Chapters 5 and 6].

Let M be an oriented S^1 -manifold. Then the equivariant cohomology of M is defined as

$$H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) = H^*(M_{S^1}; \mathbb{Q}),$$

where $M_{S^1} = ES^1 \times_{S^1} M$ is the Borel construction of M and $ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1$ is the universal principal S^1 -bundle.

Hence the inclusion of the fiber in the fibration $M \rightarrow M_{S^1} \rightarrow BS^1$ induces a map $\iota^* : H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$. We say that a class $\tilde{c} \in H_{S^1}^i(M; \mathbb{Q})$ is a lift of a class $c \in H^i(M)$, $i \geq 0$, if $\iota^*(\tilde{c}) = c$.

Moreover, the projection $M_{S^1} \rightarrow BS^1$ induces a $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{Q})$ -algebra structure on $H_{S^1}^*(M; \mathbb{Q})$. Note that $H^*(BS^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[t]$, where $t \in H^2(BS^1; \mathbb{Q})$ is the Euler class of the universal S^1 -bundle $ES^1 \rightarrow BS^1$. Since $\iota^*(t^i) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, lifts of cohomology classes of degree $2i$ are never unique. If \tilde{c} is a lift of $c \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ then for every $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\tilde{c} + at^i$ is also a lift of c .

If $V \rightarrow M$ is an equivariant vector bundle over M then $V_{S^1} \rightarrow M_{S^1}$ is a vector bundle over M_{S^1} . Hence one can define the equivariant characteristic classes of V as the characteristic classes of V_{S^1} . These are lifts of the ordinary characteristic classes of M .

Next assume that the S^1 -action on M has isolated fixed points and $\dim M = 2n$.

Then by [Kaw91, Corollary 6.13] we have for every $\tilde{c} \in H_{S^1}^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Q})$,

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \iota^*(\tilde{c}), [M] \rangle = \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} \frac{\tilde{c}|_q}{e(q)} \epsilon(q),$$

where for a fixed point $q \in M^{S^1}$,

- $\tilde{c}|_q$ is the restriction of \tilde{c} to $H_{S^1}^{2n}(q; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{2n}(BS^1; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}t^n$.
- $e(q) = t^n \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i$ where the μ_i are the weights of the S^1 -representation $T_q M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_{\mu_i}$. Here V_{μ_i} is \mathbb{C} with the S^1 -action given by multiplication with g^{μ_i} for $g \in S^1$. We choose all $\mu_i > 0$.
- $\epsilon(q) = 1$ if the orientation on $T_q M$ agrees with the orientation induced by the complex structure on $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n V_{\mu_i}$. Otherwise $\epsilon(q) = -1$.

Note that, by [Kaw91, Corollary 6.23] with these choices we also have

$$(2.2) \quad \text{sign}(M) = \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} \epsilon(q).$$

2.2. Hirzebruch signature theorem. Here we recall some facts about the Hirzebruch signature theorem. For a general introduction to this subject see [MS74, Chapter 19].

Let k be a non-negative integer. We say that a finite sequence $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ with $0 < i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_l$ and $\sum_{j=1}^l i_j = k$ is a partition of k . If M is an oriented manifold of dimension $4k$ then we write $p_I(M) = \prod_{j=1}^l p_{i_j}(M)$ for the partition $I = (i_1, \dots, i_l)$ of k . Here $p_i(M) \in H^{4i}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ denotes the i -th Pontrjagin class of M . We call the $p_I[M] = \langle p_I(M), [M] \rangle$ where I runs through all partitions of k the Pontrjagin numbers of M . Note that the Pontrjagin numbers of an oriented manifold are integers.

Similarly if M is a unitary manifold of dimension $2k$ we write $c_I(M) = \prod_{j=1}^l c_{i_j}(M)$ where $c_i(M) \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ denotes the i -th Chern class of M . We call the $c_I[M] = \langle c_I(M), [M] \rangle$ where I runs through all partitions of k the Chern numbers of M . Note that the Chern numbers of a unitary manifold are integers.

According to the Hirzebruch signature theorem [MS74, Theorem 19.4] the signature of a $4k$ -dimensional oriented manifold M is equal to a linear combination of Pontrjagin numbers of M ,

$$\text{sign}(M) = \sum_I s_I p_I[M].$$

Here the sum runs over all partition of k and the s_I are certain polynomials with rational coefficients in the Bernoulli numbers $B_i \in \mathbb{Q}$. The Bernoulli numbers B_i are defined by the equation

$$\frac{s}{e^s - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_i}{i!} s^i$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $|s| < 2\pi$. In particular (see [MS74, Exercises 19-B and 19-C]),

$$s_k = 2^{2k} \left(2^{2k-1} - 1 \right) \frac{|B_{2k}|}{(2k)!}.$$

Moreover, if k is even then

$$s_{k/2, k/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(s_{k/2}^2 - s_k \right).$$

Similarly if M is unitary of dimension $2k$, one can write the Todd genus $\text{td}(M)$ of M as

$$\text{td}(M) = \sum_I t_I c_I[M],$$

where again the sum runs over all partitions of k and the t_I are again certain polynomials with rational coefficients in the Bernoulli numbers (see [MS74, Exercise 19-A]). In particular,

$$t_k = (-1)^k \frac{B_k}{k!}.$$

Moreover, if k is even then

$$t_{k/2, k/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(t_{k/2}^2 - t_k \right).$$

Note that the Todd genus of every unitary manifold is an integer.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3. The main technical input is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be a closed S^1 -manifold of dimension $4k > 0$ with exactly three fixed points. Then the following holds:*

- (1) *If M is oriented, then all Pontrjagin numbers of M except maybe $p_{k/2, k/2}[M]$ and $p_k[M]$ vanish. Moreover, $\text{sign}(M) = \pm 1$.*
- (2) *If M is unitary then all Chern numbers of M except maybe $c_{k, k}[M]$ and $c_{2k}[M]$ vanish. Moreover, $c_{2k}[M] \in \{\pm 1, \pm 3\}$.*

Proof. We only prove the claim in the unitary case. The proof in the oriented case goes along the same lines. One just has to replace Chern classes by Pontrjagin classes everywhere.

Recall that in the case of unitary S^1 -manifolds we assume that the S^1 -action is compatible with the stable almost complex structure. Therefore we can choose lifts $\tilde{c}_i \in H_{S^1}^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Q})$ of the Chern classes $c_i = c_i(M) \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Q})$.

Let $0 < i < k$ and $l = 2k - 2i > 0$. Define an equivalence relation \sim on M^{S^1} by $q \sim q'$ if and only if $\tilde{c}_i|_q = \tilde{c}_i|_{q'} \in H^{2i}(BS^1; \mathbb{Q})$. Denote by A_1, \dots, A_m the equivalence classes of the relation and let $a_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $a_n t^i = \tilde{c}_i|_q$ for $q \in A_n$.

Then for any $a \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have, by Equation (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \iota^*((\tilde{c}_i + at^i)^2 t^l), [M] \rangle \\ &= \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} \frac{(\tilde{c}_i|_q + at^i)^2 t^l}{e(q)} \epsilon(q) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^m (a_n + a)^2 \sum_{q \in A_n} \frac{t^{2k}}{e(q)} \epsilon(q) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^2 \binom{2}{j} a^{2-j} \sum_{n=1}^m a_n^j \sum_{q \in A_n} \frac{t^{2k}}{e(q)} \epsilon(q). \end{aligned}$$

Since the left hand side is independent of a , the a_n are pairwise distinct and $m \leq 3$, we find, by comparing coefficients in a ,

$$\sum_{q \in A_n} \frac{t^{2k}}{e(q)} \epsilon(q) = 0,$$

for all $n = 1, \dots, m$ (see [Bos06, p. 149]). In particular, $|A_n| \geq 2$ for all n , because $\frac{t^{2k}}{e(q)} \epsilon(q) \neq 0$ for all $q \in M^{S^1}$. But since $|M^{S^1}| = 3$ this implies $m = 1$, i.e. $\tilde{c}_i|_q$ is independent of $q \in M^{S^1}$.

Next consider a partition I of $2k - i$. Then, for every $a \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have, by Equation (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} c_{i,I}[M] &= \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} \frac{(\tilde{c}_i|_q + at^i) \tilde{c}_I|_q}{e(q)} \epsilon(q) \\ &= (a_1 + a) \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} \frac{\tilde{c}_I|_q t^i}{e(q)} \epsilon(q). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by putting $a = -a_1$, $c_{i,I}[M] = 0$ follows.

Hence the only non-trivial Chern numbers can be $c_{k,k}[M]$ and $c_{2k}[M]$.

The claim about $c_{2k}[M]$ follows from Equation (2.1) because we can choose \tilde{c}_{2k} such that $\tilde{c}_{2k}|_q = \pm e(q)$ for all $q \in M^{S^1}$. The claim about the signature follows from Equations (2.1) and (2.2) because, by

$$0 = \langle \iota^*(t^{2k}), [M] \rangle = \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} \frac{t^{2k}}{e(q)} \epsilon(q),$$

not all $\epsilon(q)$ can have the same sign. \square

3.1. Oriented manifolds. In this subsection we prove the claim about oriented manifolds in Theorem 1.3. Let M be an oriented S^1 -manifold of positive dimension with exactly three fixed points. Then by Lemma 3.1 and the Hirzebruch signature theorem we have

$$s_{2k+1}p_{2k+1}[M] = \text{sign}(M) = \pm 1$$

if $\dim M = 8k + 4$, and

$$s_{k,k}p_{k,k}[M] + s_{2k}p_{2k}[M] = \text{sign}(M) = \pm 1$$

if $\dim M = 8k$.

As shown in the proof of Proposition 2.1 of [FS16, p. 218], we have $\nu_2(s_k) = \text{wt}(k) - 1$ and $\nu_2(s_{k,k}) \geq \text{wt}(k) - 2$, where:

- The Hamming weight function $\text{wt} : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ sends an integer x to the number of 1's in the binary expansion of $|x|$.
- The 2-adic valuation, $\nu_2 : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$, sends $x \neq 0$ to the largest integer ν so that 2^ν divides x . Moreover, we set $\nu_2(0) = \infty$.
- The extended 2-adic valuation $\nu_2 : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined as $\nu_2\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) = \nu_2(x) - \nu_2(y)$.

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nu_2(\text{sign}(M)) = \nu_2(s_{2k+1}) + \nu_2(p_{2k+1}[M]) \\ &\geq \nu_2(s_{2k+1}) = \text{wt}(2k+1) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

if $\dim M = 8k + 4$. Therefore $k = 0$ follows in this case.

In the case $\dim M = 8k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nu_2(\text{sign}(M)) \\ &\geq \min(\nu_2(s_{2k}) + \nu_2(p_{2k}[M]), \nu_2(s_{k,k}) + \nu_2(p_{k,k}[M])) \\ &\geq \text{wt}(k) - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $k = 2^a$ or $k = 2^a + 2^b$ with $a, b \geq 0$, $a \neq b$ follows in this case. This proves the claim in Theorem 1.3 about oriented manifolds.

3.2. Spin manifolds. The claim about spin manifolds in Theorem 1.3 follows from Lemma 3.1 in combination with [KS19, Theorem 18].

3.3. Unitary manifolds. In this section we prove the claim about unitary S^1 -manifolds in Theorem 1.3.

The Pontrjagin classes of a unitary manifold M can be computed from the Chern classes of the manifold as follows:

$$p_k(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k} (-1)^{i+k} c_i(M) c_{2k-i}(M).$$

So if $\dim M = 8k$ and M satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.1 then we have

$$p_{k,k}[M] = 4c_{2k,2k}[M], \quad p_{2k}[M] = 2c_{4k}[M] + c_{2k,2k}[M].$$

Hence using the Hirzebruch signature theorem as in the case of oriented manifolds it follows that k must be a power of two.

Moreover, in these dimensions we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{sign}(M) = (2s_k^2 - s_{2k}) c_{2k,2k}[M] + 2s_{2k}c_{4k}[M],$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \text{td}(M) = \frac{1}{2} (t_{2k}^2 - t_{4k}) c_{2k,2k}[M] + t_{4k}c_{4k}[M].$$

We first show that for $k \geq 2$ there are no solutions to these equations satisfying the constraints from Lemma 3.1.

To do so first note that

$$(3.3) \quad t_{2k} = \frac{B_{2k}}{2k!} = (-1)^{k+1} a_k \zeta(2k),$$

where $a_k = \frac{2}{(2\pi)^{2k}}$ and $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$, for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re s > 1$, is the Riemann zeta function (see [MS74, p. 286]). Moreover,

$$(3.4) \quad s_k = 2^{2k} \left(2^{2k-1} - 1 \right) \frac{|B_{2k}|}{(2k)!} = a_k b_k \zeta(2k)$$

with $b_k = 2^{2k} (2^{2k-1} - 1)$. Note that

$$(3.5) \quad a_k^2 = 2a_{2k}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{3}{8} \leq \frac{b_k^2}{b_{2k}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

for $k \geq 2$. In particular,

$$2s_k^2 - s_{2k} = \left(4 \frac{b_k^2}{b_{2k}} \zeta(2k)^2 - \zeta(4k) \right) b_{2k} a_{2k} > 0,$$

since

$$(3.7) \quad \zeta(2k)^2 > \zeta(2k) > \zeta(2k+2) > \zeta(4k) > 1.$$

Assume that there is a closed unitary manifold M satisfying the constraints of Lemma 3.1 such that $\dim M = 8k$ with $k \geq 2$. We first show that we must have $\text{td}(M) = 0$. Indeed, by Equations (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{td}(M)| &= \left| \left(-\frac{t_{2k}^2 - t_{4k}}{2s_k^2 - s_{2k}} s_{2k} + t_{4k} \right) c_{4k}[M] + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_{2k}^2 - t_{4k}}{2s_k^2 - s_{2k}} \text{sign}(M) \right| \\ &\leq 3 \cdot \left(\frac{t_{2k}^2 - t_{4k}}{2s_k^2 - s_{2k}} s_{2k} - t_{4k} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{t_{2k}^2 - t_{4k}}{2s_k^2 - s_{2k}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1

$$= 3 \cdot \left(\frac{2\zeta(2k)^2 + \zeta(4k)}{4 \frac{b_k^2}{b_{2k}} \zeta(2k)^2 - \zeta(4k)} \left(a_{2k} \zeta(4k) + \frac{1}{2b_{2k}} \right) + a_{2k} \zeta(4k) \right)$$

by (3.3), (3.4), and (3.5)

$$\leq 3 \cdot \left(2 \cdot \frac{2\zeta(2k)^2 + \zeta(4k)}{\zeta(2k)^2} \left(a_{2k} \zeta(4k) + \frac{1}{2b_{2k}} \right) + a_{2k} \zeta(4k) \right)$$

by (3.6) and (3.7)

$$\leq 3 \cdot \left(7a_{2k}\zeta(4k) + \frac{3}{b_{2k}} \right)$$

by (3.7)

$$\leq 3 \cdot \left(7 \frac{2}{(2\pi)^8} \zeta(8) + \frac{3}{2^8(2^7-1)} \right)$$

by (3.7) and $k \geq 2$

$$= \frac{269}{914400} < 1.$$

Since $\text{td}(M)$ is an integer it follows that $\text{td}(M) = 0$.

Hence, we have, again by Equations (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= |\text{sign}(M)| \\ &= \left| \left(- (2s_k^2 - s_{2k}) \frac{2t_{4k}}{t_{2k}^2 - t_{4k}} + 2s_{2k} \right) c_{4k}[M] \right| \\ &\leq 3 \cdot \left(\left(4 \frac{b_k^2}{b_{2k}} \zeta(2k)^2 - \zeta(4k) \right) \frac{2\zeta(4k)}{2\zeta(2k)^2 + \zeta(4k)} + 2\zeta(4k) \right) b_{2k} a_{2k} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.1 and Equations (3.3), (3.4), (3.5)

$$\leq 3 \cdot \left((2\zeta(2k)^2 - \zeta(4k)) \cdot \frac{2}{3} + 2\zeta(4k) \right) b_{2k} a_{2k}$$

by (3.6) and (3.7)

$$< 4 \cdot (\zeta(4)^2 + \zeta(8)) \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^8$$

by (3.7) and $k \geq 2$

$$= \frac{3328}{14175} < 1.$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore there are no solutions to Equations (3.1) and (3.2) satisfying the constraints of Lemma 3.1 when $k \geq 2$.

Next let $k = 1$. Assume there is a 8-dimensional unitary S^1 -manifold M with exactly three fixed points. After changing the orientation of M if necessary we can assume $\text{sign}(M) > 0$. In this case we have

$$s_1 = \frac{1}{3} \quad s_2 = \frac{7}{45} \quad t_2 = \frac{1}{12} \quad t_4 = -\frac{1}{720}.$$

Hence Equations (3.1) and (3.2) have only one solution satisfying the constraints from Lemma 3.1, namely

$$\text{sign}(M) = 1 \quad c_4[M] = 3 \quad c_{2,2}[M] = 1 \quad \text{td}(M) = 0.$$

To exclude this solution we consider the Hirzebruch χ_y -genus of M . By the Kosniowski formula (see [HT72, Section 3], [Kos70]), we have

$$\chi_y(M) = \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} (-y)^{d_q} \epsilon'(q) = \sum_{q \in M^{S^1}} (-y)^{4-d_q} \epsilon'(q).$$

Here, for $q \in M^{S^1}$, $d_q = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \bigoplus_{i, \mu'_i > 0} V_{\mu'_i}$, where $T_q M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^4 V_{\mu'_i}$, $\mu'_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the decomposition of the complex S^1 -representation $T_q M$ into irreducible subrepresentations. Moreover, $\epsilon'(q) \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Since $\chi_{-1}(M) = c_4[M] = 3$, we must have $\epsilon'(q) = 1$ for all $q \in M^{S^1}$. Because $\chi_1(M) = \text{sign}(M) = 1$, there must be exactly one $q \in M^{S^1}$ such that d_q is odd. There must also be one $q' \in M^{S^1}$ such that $d_{q'} = 4 - d_q$. But then $d_{q'}$ is also odd and not equal to d_q . So $q' \neq q$, a contradiction.

Hence we have proved the claim about unitary manifolds in Theorem 1.3.

REFERENCES

- [AM19] Michael Albanese and Aleksandar Milivojević. On the minimal sum of Betti numbers of an almost complex manifold. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 62:101–108, 2019.
- [Bos06] Siegfried Bosch. *Lineare Algebra*. Springer-Lehrb. Berlin: Springer, 3rd edition, 2006.
- [CKP12] Hyun Woong Cho, Jin Hong Kim, and Han Chul Park. On the conjecture of Kosniowski. *Asian J. Math.*, 16(2):271–278, 2012.
- [FS16] Jim Fowler and Zhixu Su. Smooth manifolds with prescribed rational cohomology ring. *Geom. Dedicata*, 182:215–232, 2016.
- [GPS15] Leonor Godinho, Álvaro Pelayo, and Silvia Sabatini. Fermat and the number of fixed points of periodic flows. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 9(4):643–687, 2015.
- [GS14] Leonor Godinho and Silvia Sabatini. New tools for classifying Hamiltonian circle actions with isolated fixed points. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 14(4):791–860, 2014.
- [Hat85] Akio Hattori. S^1 -actions on unitary manifolds and quasi-ample line bundles. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 31(3):433–486, 1985.
- [HBJ92] Friedrich Hirzebruch, Thomas Berger, and Rainer Jung. *Manifolds and modular forms*. Aspects of Mathematics, E20. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1992. With appendices by Nils-Peter Skoruppa and by Paul Baum.
- [HT72] A. Hattori and H. Taniguchi. Smooth S^1 -action and bordism. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 24:701–731, 1972.
- [Hu21] Jiahao Hu. Almost complex manifolds with total Betti number three. Preprint, arXiv:2108.06067, 2021.
- [Jan14] Donghoon Jang. Symplectic periodic flows with exactly three equilibrium points. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 34(6):1930–1963, 2014.
- [Jan17] Donghoon Jang. Circle actions on almost complex manifolds with isolated fixed points. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 119:187–192, 2017.
- [Jan20a] Donghoon Jang. Circle actions on 8-dimensional almost complex manifolds with 4 fixed points. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 22(4):Paper No. 95, 17, 2020.
- [Jan20b] Donghoon Jang. Circle actions on almost complex manifolds with 4 fixed points. *Math. Z.*, 294(1-2):287–319, 2020.
- [Jan21] Donghoon Jang. Circle actions on oriented manifolds with 3 fixed points and non-existence in dimension 12. Preprint, arXiv:2107.09424, 2021.
- [JT17] D. Jang and S. Tolman. Hamiltonian circle actions on eight-dimensional manifolds with minimal fixed sets. *Transform. Groups*, 22(2):353–359, 2017.
- [Kaw91] Katsuo Kawakubo. *The theory of transformation groups*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [Kos70] C. Kosniowski. Applications of the holomorphic Lefschetz formula. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 2:43–48, 1970.
- [Kos80] Czes Kosniowski. Some formulae and conjectures associated with circle actions. In *Topology Symposium, Siegen 1979 (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Siegen, Siegen, 1979)*, volume 788 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 331–339. Springer, Berlin, 1980.

- [KS19] Lee Kennard and Zhixu Su. On dimensions supporting a rational projective plane. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 11(3):535–555, 2019.
- [Li15] Ping Li. Circle action with prescribed number of fixed points. *Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser.*, 31(6):1035–1042, 2015.
- [LL11] Ping Li and Kefeng Liu. Some remarks on circle action on manifolds. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 18(3):437–446, 2011.
- [MS74] John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff. *Characteristic classes*. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974.
- [Och81] S. Ochanine. Signature modulo 16, invariants de Kervaire généralisés et nombres caractéristiques dans la K -théorie réelle. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (5):142, 1980/81.
- [PT11] Alvaro Pelayo and Susan Tolman. Fixed points of symplectic periodic flows. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 31(4):1237–1247, 2011.
- [Su14] Zhixu Su. Rational analogs of projective planes. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(1):421–438, 2014.
- [Su22] Zhixu Su. Almost complex manifolds with Betti number $b_i = 0$ except $i = 0, n/2, n$. Preprint, arXiv:2204.04800, 2022.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTRASSE 62, D-48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

Email address: `wiemelerm@uni-muenster.de`