

RATIONAL LINK FERTILITY

ANDREW DUCCHARME

ABSTRACT. A knot K is the resultant of a knot H if there exists a minimal crossing diagram D of K such that some crossings of D can be altered to produce H . K is fertile if every prime knot H with crossing number less than $c(K)$ is a resultant of K . K is n -fertile if every prime knot H with crossing number less than n is a resultant of K . We classify the fertility and fertility number of all rational links. This requires the introduction of the analogous concept of link fertility.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a minimal diagram of a knot K . Would the knot type be changed by switching the parity of a crossing from over to under or vice versa? How many other knots can be formed from a single knot? Can we form all smaller knots? The concept of knot fertility, introduced in [1], formalizes these questions.

Definition 1.1. *A knot K is fertile if every prime knot H with $c(H) < c(K)$ can be formed from the shadows of the minimal crossing diagrams of K .*

Definition 1.2. *A knot K has fertility number $F(K) = m$, where all knots H with $c(H) \leq m$ can be formed from the shadows of minimal crossing diagrams of K . We say K is p -fertile for all crossing numbers $p \leq m$.*

Instead of working with true knot diagrams, we start with *shadows*, or projections, of the diagrams, where all over/undercrossing information is forgotten. We then examine the knots formed by particular choices of over/under parities for each crossing. The knot formed by a complete set of choices for each crossing is a *resultant*. In Figure 1, for example, 1(a) is a diagram of the trefoil knot, 1(b) is the shadow of that diagram, and 1(c) is a particular resultant of that shadow.

The initial study of knot fertility calculated the fertility numbers of all knots with 10 or fewer crossings, discovering 3_1 , 4_1 , 5_2 , 6_2 , 6_3 , and

Date: March 29, 2023.




FIGURE 1. (a) The trefoil knot, (b) the shadow of a trefoil, and (c) a resultant isotopic to the unknot.

7_6 are the only such fertile knots [1]. Recent work showed there are no fertile alternating knots with greater than seven crossings [7] while another duology [9, 10] determined any other extant fertile knot K to have an odd crossing number $11 \leq c(K) \leq 21$, be genus 2, and have braid index 4.

In this paper, we completely determine the fertility and fertility number of all rational knots and links. This partially answers Question 2 posed in [1]. In doing so, we must (trivially) extend the definition of fertility to links.

Definition 1.3. *A link L has $\mu(L)$ components. This is its component number.*

Definition 1.4. *A link L is fertile if every prime link M with $c(M) < c(L)$ and $\mu(M) = \mu(L)$ can be formed from the shadows of the minimal crossing diagrams of L . L has fertility number $F(L) = n$, where all M with $c(M) \leq n$ and $\mu(M) = \mu(L)$ are resultants of L .*

Theorem 1.5. *(Corollary 2.13) The only fertile rational two-component links are 2_1^2 , 4_1^2 , 5_1^2 , 6_2^2 , 6_3^2 , and 7_2^2 .*

Like with knots, we see the number of fertile links dropping rapidly as the number of link types abound with crossing number. Showing that these are the sole fertile rational links is actually very simple, a direct consequence of the maximum allowed number of unique resultants for each link. As for fertility number specifically, we explicitly determine every rational links' fertility number, finding a limiting value for both component lengths.

Theorem 1.6. *(Corollary 3.29) Rational two-component links $L = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$ have $F(L) = 6$ for $n > 3$.*

Theorem 1.7. *(Theorem 4.18) Rational knots $K = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$ have $F(K) = 7$ for $n > 7$.*

And while we do not touch on this idea in this paper, we suggest future study on the rational resultants of a link could be promising.

Definition 1.8. *A knot K has rational fertility number $F_R(K) = n$, where all rational knots H with $c(H) \leq n$ can be formed from the shadows of any minimal crossing diagram of K .*

Question 1.9. *What is $F_R(L)$ for all rational links?*

Since all knots with seven or fewer crossings are rational, $F_R(K) = F(K) \leq 7$. The smallest crossing number rational knots with $F_R(K) = 8$ are $11a77 = N[2\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2]$, $11a91 = N[2\ 1\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2]$, $11a96 = N[2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2]$, and $11a159 = N[2\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 2]$. We believe the techniques used in this paper could be extended to completely classify F_R over the rational links.


The paper is outlined as follows: Section 2 answers the binary question of fertility, Section 3 considers the fertility number of rational 2-component links, and Section 4 considers the fertility number of rational knots. Whereas the rest of the paper considers the existence of various resultants, Section 5 counts the number of each for some rational links. Finally, in the Appendix, we tabulate the fertility number of every rational link.

2. RATIONAL LINK FERTILITY

Theorem 2.1. *The only fertile rational knots are $3_1, 4_1, 5_2, 6_2, 6_3,$ and 7_6 . The only fertile rational links are $2_1^2, 4_1^2, 5_1^2, 6_2^2, 6_3^2,$ and 7_2^2 .*

In this section, we'll define rational tangles and links, establish our procedure for determining their resultants, then prove the above statement about the binary invariant fertility.

Definition 2.2. *A rational tangle T is a multiplication of integer tangles, defined pictorially below for two (left) and three or more (right) tangles, and is denoted by the list of such composing tangles $T = a_1\ a_2\ \dots\ a_n$, $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. T has length $d(T) = n$. The integer tangle a_i has $|a_i|$ crossings.*



We have two motivations for studying rational tangles: they are completely classified by their continued fraction, and low crossing number links are predominantly closures of rational tangles.

Definition 2.3. A continued fraction $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is a real number


$$a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{\dots + \frac{1}{a_{n-1} + \frac{1}{a_n}}}}$$

A continued fraction is in canonical form if $|a_1| > 1$, $a_i \neq 0$, $1 < i \leq n$, $\text{sgn}(a_1) = \text{sgn}(a_j)$, $j \leq n$, and $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Canonical forms are unique to a continued fraction.

Theorem 2.4. [2] Two rational tangles are isotopic to each other if their continued fraction is equivalent.

Consequently, we have unique canonical forms of rational tangles. Note 0 , ∞ , and ± 1 are the canonical tangles of their isotopy class that do not fit with the above definition. Unless otherwise noted, in all our later results, a given rational link is in canonical form.

Definition 2.5. A tangle T has two closures which maintain the diagram's crossing number: the numerator $N[T]$ and denominator $D[T]$.



Note $N[T \ 0] \simeq D[T] \simeq N[0 \ T]$ and $N[T \ \infty] \simeq N[T]$. We can concern ourselves solely with numerator closures because $D[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n] \simeq N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}]$.

Theorem 2.6. [11, 13] Suppose there exists two rational tangles with continued fractions $\frac{p}{q}$ and $\frac{p_0}{q_0}$, where p , q and p_0, q_0 are relatively prime.


If $N\left[\frac{p}{q}\right]$ and $N\left[\frac{p_0}{q_0}\right]$ are the links formed by the closure of these tangles, then the links are equivalent (up to isotopy) iff:

- $p = p_0$
- either $q \equiv q_0 \pmod{p}$ or $qq_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Corollary 2.7. [11] $N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} a_n] \simeq N[a_n a_{n-1} \dots a_2 a_1]$.

This forces $a_n > 1$ in reduced rational links, since the continued fraction must be in canonical form when written forwards and backwards.

Notation 2.8. *The shadow of an integer tangle a_i , or a shadow tangle, is denoted a'_i .*



The 5' tangle

Theorem 2.9. *Let T' be the shadow of an integer tangle with t crossings. If t is odd, T' has resultants $t, t - 2, t - 4, \dots, +1, -1, -3, \dots, -t$. If t is even, T' has resultants $t, t - 2, t - 4, \dots, +2, 0, -2, \dots, -t$.*

Proof. We can label crossings as either positive or negative by the sign of the overstrand's slope. Given a shadow tangle, we choose some to be positive and the rest negative. Neighboring positive and negative crossings are removed via RII, decreasing the crossing number by two, until only crossings of one type remain. \square

Because having a link L as a resultant implies the mirror image of L is also a resultant, we can consider opposite sign tangles $+t$ and $-t$ as one case $\pm t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. For multiple integer tangles, we need to have all the same parity \pm or \mp to ensure the overall tangle is in canonical form. For example, $\pm 3 \pm 4 \pm 2$ is in canonical form and completely simplified.

The next result, fundamental to this work, determines how to handle tangles with different parities like $\pm 3 \mp 4 \pm 2$.

Lemma 2.10. *(The Untangling Lemma) Let $\pm a_i \mp a_{i+1}$, $a_i \geq 1$, be an assignment of two adjacent integer tangles inside a rational link shadow $L' = N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_n]$, $1 < i < n$. Then*

$$\pm a_i \mp a_{i+1} \approx \begin{cases} \pm(a_i - 1) \pm 1 \pm (a_{i+1} - 1), & a_{i+1} \geq 2 \\ \pm(a_i - 1) a'_{i+2} \pm 1, & a_{i+1} = 1 \\ \pm a_i + a'_{i+2}, & a_{i+1} = 0, \end{cases}$$

For $a_{i+1} > 0$, this move reduces the diagram's crossing number by one.

Proof. Assign the first j tangles $a'_i \rightarrow \pm c_i$ and $a_{j+1} \rightarrow \mp c_{j+1}$ so $c_j c_{j+1}$ is the leftmost case of disagreeing parities and $2 \leq c_j \leq a_j$. Then

$$L' \rightarrow N[\pm c_1 \pm c_2 \dots \pm c_j \mp c_{j+1} a'_{j+2} \dots a'_n].$$

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}$ be the continued fraction of the rational tangle composed of the $j + 2$ th through n th integer tangles once assigned. Then the

rational tangle composed of the j th through n th integer tangles has a continued fraction

$$c_j + \frac{1}{-c_{j+1} + \frac{1}{A}} = c_j - 1 + \frac{-(c_{j+1} - 1) + \frac{1}{A}}{-c_{j+1} + \frac{1}{A}} = (c_j - 1) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{(c_{j+1}-1) - \frac{1}{A}}}.$$

For a continued fraction $x = (a, b, \dots, n)$, $-x = (-a, -b, \dots, -n)$ so the negation of A flips the sign of all its components. Translating the above result into a partial shadow of L' , we get

$$N[\pm c_1 \pm c_2 \dots \pm (c_j - 1) \pm 1 \pm (c_{j+1} - 1) - a'_{j+2} \dots - a'_n]$$

However, a shadow tangle does not change upon negation—it already contains the positive and negative assignments.

The second and third cases are consequences of $k \circ \ell \simeq (k + \ell)$ for generic integer tangles k, ℓ . \square

The key behind this lemma is $N[\pm c_1 \dots \pm c_j a'_{j+1} \dots a'_n]$ as a notational shorthand for the set of rational links formed from all assignments of the remaining shadow tangles. The rational link $N[\pm c_1 \mp c_2 \pm c_3 \dots \pm c_n]$ is isotopic to $N[\pm(c_1 - 1) \pm 1 \pm (c_2 - 1) \mp c_3 \dots \mp c_n]$ but the set $N[\pm c_1 \mp c_2 a'_3 \dots a'_n]$ is identical to the set $N[\pm(c_1 - 1) \pm 1 \pm (c_2 - 1) a'_3 \dots a'_n]$. We can save ourselves a lot of accounting for negative signs by “absorbing” the negative sign into the shadow tangles and treating the remaining tangles as untouched.

Technically, on an individual link level, we’re choosing a particular assignment for the first part of a link, applying the isotopy of the Untangling Lemma, then going to the set of remaining possible assignments and trading in for another link entirely. As such, the link we start with is, in general, not isotopic to the link we end with. However, this trade is bijective; the entire resolution set is unchanged.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N[\pm a_1 \mp a_2 \pm a_3] & \overset{\approx}{\longleftrightarrow} & N[\pm(a_1 - 1) \pm 1 \pm (a_2 - 1) \pm a_3] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \simeq & & \{N[\pm a_1 \mp a_2 a'_3]\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N[\pm(a_1 - 1) \pm 1 \pm (a_2 - 1) \mp a_3] & \text{-----} & \end{array}$$

To remind ourselves that something more complicated is going on under the hood, we use the symbol \approx for equivalence instead of the standard isotopy symbol \simeq .

Theorem 2.11. *A rational link $L = N[\pm a_1 \pm a_2 \dots \pm a_n]$ has only one resultant R with $c(R) = c(L)$ and at most $n - 1$ unique resultants with $c(R) = c(L) - 1$. The same crossing number resultant is L .*

Proof. Changing a single crossing inside an integer tangle will decrease that tangle's crossing number by two. Changing more crossings will decrease the crossing number by two until half the crossings have been changed, at which point the crossing number will start to increase by two, until which every crossing has been changed. Therefore, the only resultant diagrams D with $c(D) = c(L)$ are those where no crossings are changed, or all the crossings in some tangles a_i have been changed.

The former diagram is L . The latter diagrams are not in canonical form, but can be isotoped so by the above lemma. For each integer tangle with all crossings changed, the resultant crossing number decreases by 1, so the only route to resultants with $c(R) = c(L) - 1$ is to alter a single tangle. There are $\binom{n}{1} = n$ such diagrams, however, we already account for the mirroring of the first tangle by the \pm notation as $N[\pm a_1 a'_2 \dots a'_n]$ has both the initial assignment (+) and its mirror (-). \square

This result alone(!) explains why knots $5_1 = N[5]$, $6_1 = N[2\ 4]$, $7_1 = N[7]$, $7_3 = N[3\ 4]$, $7_4 = N[3\ 1\ 3]$, and $7_5 = N[3\ 2\ 2]$, and two-component links $6_1^2 = N[6]$, $7_1^2 = N[4\ 1\ 3]$, and $7_3^2 = N[2\ 3\ 2]$ are infertile. They are all too short to produce the variety of links with one fewer crossing!

$c(K)$	$\# K$	$c(L^2)$	$\# L^2$
0	1	0	1
3	1	2	1
4	1	4	1
5	2	5	1
6	3	6	3
7	7	7	8
8	21	8	16
9	49	9	61

TABLE 1. The number of one- and two-component links K and L^2 for particular crossing numbers.

Theorem 2.12. $d(L) \leq c(L) - 2$.

Proof. We can construct the longest possible link with n crossings by multiplying n single crossing tangles. Two neighboring ± 1 tangles on the interior of a rational link only have one direct connection and

remain distinct. However, there are two direct a_1 - a_2 and a_{n-1} - a_n connections in the numerator closure, so the a_1 and a_2 tangles, and the a_{n-1} and a_n tangles each cohere into integer tangles with two crossings, decreasing link length by two. \square

Corollary 2.13. *A rational link L is infertile if $c(L) \geq 8$. Specifically, $F(L) \leq c(L) - 2$.*

Proof. L has less than or equal to $c(L) - 2$ resultants R with $c(R) = c(L) - 1$. There are 7 knots and 8 two component links with seven crossings, but eight crossing links can form at most 5 resultants with that crossing number. The number of rational links grows much faster than crossing number. \square

With this, we've proven all rational links are infertile except the fertile knots 3_1 , 4_1 , 5_2 , 6_2 , 6_3 , and 7_6 , the fertile links 2_1^2 , 4_1^2 , 5_1^2 , 6_2^2 , 6_3^2 , and 7_2^2 , and the pesky $7_7 = N[2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2]$. This length based approach puts a rigorous spin on the idea that low crossing number knots are fertile because their resultants are pidgeonholed into the same knots. At small crossing numbers, a reduced resultant can only be one of very few options. But as crossing number increases, unlocking more diverse knots, resultants of a particular crossing number are no longer so constrained.

For completeness, we'll give a lack-of-resultants proof here for why 7_7 is infertile, but we'll have more to say about knots like $N[2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2]$ later.

Theorem 2.14. *If a rational link L 's list $\{a_i\}$ is symmetric $N[a_1\ a_2\ \dots\ a_{n-1}\ a_n] = N[a_1\ a_2\ \dots\ a_2\ a_1]$, it has $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ resultants R with $c(R) = c(L) - 1$.*

Proof. Let $d(L) = n$ so $k = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ and a_k is the fulcrum of L 's reversal symmetry. As we're only changing one tangle, the number of unique resultants is the number of unique pairs $(1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), \dots, (k-1, k)$. If n is odd, this is $\frac{n-1}{2}$. If n is even, we have the additional pair (k, k) and $\frac{n}{2}$ unique pairs, hence the floor function. \square

Corollary 2.15. $7_7 = N[2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2]$ is infertile.

Proof. $\lfloor \frac{d(7_7)}{2} \rfloor = 2$. There are three prime knots with six crossings. \square

3. RATIONAL LINK FERTILITY NUMBER

Theorem 3.1. *A rational knot K has $F(K) \leq 7$ and a two component rational link L has $F(L) \leq 6$.*

Proof. A rational link's resultants must be rational. Both bounds are the largest crossing number with only rational links for both component numbers. \square

Definition 3.2. *A rational link L is locally fertile if it is a knot and $F(L) = 7$, or it is a two-component link and $F(L) = 6$.*

We'd expect once rational links are large enough, they should be locally fertile. But what do we mean by "large enough?" It's reasonable to anticipate fertility number growing roughly with crossing number (the first locally fertile knot is 9_{27} and the next smallest are $10_{23}, 10_{25}, 10_{26}$ and $10_{39}-10_{45}$, barring 10_{43}) but as we've seen so far, it is described more accurately by length. Our goal in this and the next section is to build and use tools to determine the minimal rational link length for local fertility.

Theorem 3.3. $F(N[a_1 \dots a_{i-1} (a_i + 2) a_{i+1} \dots a_n]) \geq F(N[a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n]), 1 \leq i \leq n.$

Proof. Call $N[a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_n]$ L_1 and $N[a_1 \dots a_{i-1} (a_i + 2) a_{i+1} \dots a_n]$ L_2 . The shadow of L_2 can be resolved into three mutually distinct sets: $N[a'_1 \dots a'_{i-1} a'_i a'_{i+1} \dots a'_n]$, $N[a'_1 \dots a'_{i-1} \pm (a_i + 2) a'_{i+1} \dots a'_n]$, and $N[a'_1 \dots a'_{i-1} \mp (a_i + 2) a'_{i+1} \dots a'_n]$. The first set is simply all the resultants of L_1 , thus $F(L_2) \geq F(L_1)$. \square

We can use this heredity to build the least complicated rational links at every length and keep adding crossings to increase our lower bounds on fertility number. We will call this set of least complicated links the *trunk*. A more technical definition is:

Definition 3.4. *The trunk \mathcal{K}_ℓ is the set of rational links $L = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_\ell] = N[\{a_i\}]$ where $(a_1, a_\ell) = (2, 2), (3, 2),$ or $(3, 3)$, and $\{a_j\}, 1 < j < \ell$ are $(\ell - 2)$ -tuples with elements $\{1, 2\}$.*

Example 3.5. $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{N[2], N[3]\}$, $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{N[2 \ 2], N[2 \ 3], N[3 \ 3]\}$, and $\mathcal{K}_3 = \{N[2 \ 1 \ 2], N[2 \ 2 \ 2], N[3 \ 1 \ 2], N[3 \ 2 \ 2], N[3 \ 1 \ 3], N[3 \ 2 \ 3]\}$

For any link $L \in \mathcal{K}_\ell$, $\ell + 2 \leq c(L) \leq 2\ell + 2$. $|\mathcal{K}_\ell| \leq 3 \times 2^{\ell-1}$, but the bound will not be achieved for $\ell > 3$. If we included a link for each $(\ell - 2)$ -tuple, nonsymmetric tuples would duplicate its reverse if $a_1 = a_n$, e.g. the 2-tuple $(1, 2)$ is included in six links $N[2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2] = N[2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2], N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2], N[3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2]$, and $N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3] = N[3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 3]$.

Definition 3.6. *Every rational link L_2 of length ℓ can be written as $N[(a_1 + 2m_1) (a_2 + 2m_2) \dots (a_\ell + 2m_\ell)]$, where $L_1 = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_\ell] \in \mathcal{K}_\ell$. We call L_2 a branch of L_1 .*

Definition 3.7. $g(l, m)$ is the smallest fertility number of any m -component link in a particular trunk \mathcal{K}_l .

Corollary 3.8. Let L be a rational link. Then $F(L) \geq g(d(L), \mu(L))$.

This is why trunks are meaningful: they are not just the least complicated, but the *least fertile* rational links for a given length.

Theorem 3.9. Let $L = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$. Then

$$F(L) \geq \begin{cases} F(N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-2}]), & a_n \text{ even} \\ F(N[a_1 a_2 \dots (a_{n-1} + 1)]), & a_n \text{ odd} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. If a_n even, $a_n \rightarrow 0 \implies L \rightarrow N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-2}]$, so all the resultants of the shorter link are the resultants of L . If a_n odd, assignments $L \rightarrow N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_{n-1} \pm 1]$ and $N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_{n-1} \mp 1]$ form all the resultants of $N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots (a_{n-1})' 1'] \approx N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots (a_{n-1} + 1)']$. \square

Notation 3.10. $N[x 1^\ell y] \equiv N[x \underbrace{1 1 \dots 1}_\ell y]$
 ℓ unit tangles

Theorem 3.11. If $N[2 1^{n-2} 2]$ and all rational links L_B of ℓ components in \mathcal{K}_{n-1} and \mathcal{K}_{n-2} are k -fertile, all rational links L of length $d(L) \geq n$ are k -fertile.

Proof. Let $L = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$ be a rational link of ℓ components. If a_1 or a_n are odd, $F(L) \geq F(N[a_1 a_2 \dots (a_{n-1} + 1)]) \geq g(n-1, \ell)$. Similarly, if a_1, a_n , and some tangles a_j , $1 < j < n$, are even, $F(L) \geq g(n-2, \ell)$. This leaves one pathological counterexample: if all a_j , $1 < j < n$, are odd, a_1 and a_n are even, and $a_3 = a_{n-2} = 1$, assigning an end tangle to zero forms $M = N[a_1 \dots a_{n-3} 1] \approx N[a_1 \dots (a_{n-3} + 1)]$, so $d(M) = n-3$. Hence, M is a branch of $N[2 1^{n-5} 2] \in \mathcal{K}_{n-3}$.

By definition, L in this case is a branch of $N[2 1^{n-2} 2]$, which by having more crossings and being of longer length, is likely to have a larger fertility number than $N[2 1^{n-5} 2]$. So while it is sufficient to require all links in \mathcal{K}_{n-1} , \mathcal{K}_{n-2} , and \mathcal{K}_{n-3} to be k -fertile, simply using \mathcal{K}_{n-1} , \mathcal{K}_{n-2} , and $N[2 1^{n-2} 2] \in \mathcal{K}_n$ is a much stronger condition. \square

Our next step is to find $g(\ell, 1)$ and $g(\ell, 2)$ for increasingly large ℓ to determine the minimum fertility number at every length. Once these minima reach the local fertility number, we will have squeezed out the length where all longer links are locally fertile.

3.1. Length 1 and 2 Knots and Links.

Theorem 3.12. $N[a_1]$ links, or the $T(p, 2)$ torus links, have resultants $N[\pm k]$, where a_1 and k have the same parity.

Proof. This follows directly from the resolution of integer tangles. \square

Corollary 3.13. $F(T(p, 2)) = 3$, $p > 1$, odd. The trefoil is the only fertile $T(p, 2)$ knot.

Proof. These knots cannot have the figure-eight knot $N[2\ 2]$ as a resultant. \square

This has been repeatedly discovered [1, 3, 6, 8, 12, 14].

Corollary 3.14. The Hopf link $2_1^2 = N[2]$ and $4_1^2 = N[4]$ are fertile ($F(N[2]) = 2$, $F(N[4]) = 4$). Otherwise, $N[a_1]$ links, $a_1 > 4$, have fertility number 4.

Proof. These links cannot form $5_1^2 = N[2\ 1\ 2]$. \square

Theorem 3.15. All resultants of $N[2'\ a_2']$ shadows are $N[2\ \ell]$ knots, $0 \leq \ell \leq a_2$.

This too is well-trodden [1, 6, 8, 12].

Theorem 3.16. Let a_1, a_2, k, ℓ be even, positive integers, $2 \leq k \leq a_1$, $2 \leq \ell \leq a_2$. Then the $N[a_1'\ a_2']$ knot shadow has the $N[k\ \ell]$ and $N[(k-1)\ 1\ (\ell-1)]$ knots and the unknot as resultants.

Note 3.17. $N[\pm(2-1)\ \pm 1\ \pm(2-1)] \approx N[\pm 3]$.

Proof. We can automatically untangle the knot shadow for assignments a_1' or $a_2' \rightarrow 0$. We now must identify $N[m\ n]$ diagrams, where $m, n \neq 0$. For specific positive indices k, ℓ , we have knot diagrams $N[\pm k\ \pm \ell]$ and $N[\pm k\ \mp \ell] \simeq N[\pm(k-1)\ \pm 1\ \pm(\ell-1)]$. Diagrams with matching signs are alternating, thus fully reduced. \square

Corollary 3.18. The nontrivial knots $N[a_1\ a_2]$, a_1, a_2 even, have $F(N[a_1\ a_2]) = 4$. The only such fertile knot is the figure-eight knot $4_1 = N[2\ 2]$.

Proof. The choice $a_1' \rightarrow \pm 2$ and $a_2' \rightarrow \mp 2$ produces the trefoil. Any other nontrivial resultant R has $d(R) \geq 2$, thus $5_1 = N[5]$ cannot be a resultant. \square

Theorem 3.19. Let a_1, k be even integers and a_2, ℓ be odd integers, $2 \leq k \leq a_1$, $3 \leq \ell \leq a_2$. Then the $N[a_1'\ a_2']$ knot shadow has resultants of the forms $N[k+1]$, $N[k\ \ell]$, and $N[(k-1)\ 1\ (\ell-1)]$, in addition to the unknot.

Proof. Without loss of generality, choose a_1 to be even. Like above, we can take $a_1 \rightarrow 0$ to produce unknots, and can otherwise form $N[\pm k\ \pm \ell]$ and $N[\pm k\ \mp \ell] \approx N[\pm(k-1)\ \pm 1\ \pm(\ell-1)]$ diagrams.

This leaves $N[\pm k\ \pm 1] \simeq N[\pm(k+1)]$ and $N[\pm k\ \mp 1] \simeq N[\pm(k-1)]$. $N[\pm 2\ \mp 1] \simeq N[\pm 1\ \pm 1\ 0] \simeq D[\pm 2]$, or the unknot. \square

Theorem 3.15 follows from $k = 2 \implies N[(k-1) \ 1 \ (\ell-1)] = N[1 \ 1 \ (\ell-1)] \simeq N[2 \ (\ell-1)]$.

Corollary 3.20. *The only fertile knots of the form $N[2 \ a_2]$, $a_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, are $N[2 \ 3] = 5_2$, $N[2 \ 2] = 4_1$, $N[2 \ 1] = 3_1$, and $N[2 \ 0] = 0_1$. If $a_2 \geq 2$, $F(N[2 \ a_2]) = 4$.*

Proof. $5_1 = N[5]$ cannot be written as a $N[2 \ \ell]$ knot: $\ell + \frac{1}{2} \neq 5$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Corollary 3.21. *Nontrivial knots $N[a_1 \ a_2]$, a_1 even, a_2 odd, $4 \leq a_1$, $2 < a_2$ are infertile with $F(N[a_1 \geq 4 \ a_2]) = 5$.*

Proof. a_2 odd allows the formation of $N[5] = 5_1$, however, all resultants R have $d(R) \leq 3$, precluding formation of $6_3 = N[2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$. \square

For two-component rational links L , we already know if $d(L) > 1$, $F(L) \geq 5$. For further information, we just need to determine if a rational link can form $6_1^2 = N[6]$, $6_2^2 = N[3 \ 3]$, and $6_3^2 = N[2 \ 2 \ 2]$.

Theorem 3.22. *Let $L = N[a_1 \ a_2]$ be a rational two-component link. Then $F(L) = 5$.*

Proof. The longest resultants of any L are of the form $N[\pm c_1 \ \pm 1 \ \pm c_3] \implies 6_3^2 = N[2 \ 2 \ 2]$ cannot be a resultant. \square

3.2. Length 3 and Higher Links.

Theorem 3.23. *Let L be a nontrivial prime two-component link. If $L = 2_1^2 = T(2, 2)$, $F(L) = 2$. If $L = T(p, 2)$, p even, $p \geq 4$, $F(L) = 4$. Otherwise, $F(L) \geq 5$.*

Proof. In [15], Taniyama proved any prime two-component link of five or more crossings majorizes the links 2_1^2 , 4_1^2 , and 5_1^2 . [1] showed a link that majorizes some link L has L as a resultant. \square

Theorem 3.24. *Let $L = N[a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3]$ be a nontrivial two-component link. If $a_2 = 1$ or $a_1 = a_3 = 2$, $F(L) = 5$. Otherwise $F(L) = 6$.*

The rational link $N[a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3]$ has two components when a_1, a_3 even, a_2 odd, or a_1, a_3 odd, a_2 even, or a_1, a_2, a_3 even.

Lemma 3.25. *Let $L = N[a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3]$ be a nontrivial two-component link. 6_3^2 is a resultant iff $a_2 > 1$.*

Proof. Suppose $a_2 = 1$. Flipping the sign of one or more integer tangles will produce resultants R of the forms $N[\pm c_1 \ \pm 1 \ \mp c_3]$ or $N[\pm c_1 \ \mp 1 \ \pm c_3] \implies d(R) \leq 2$. Therefore, the only length three resultants will be $N[\pm c_1 \ \pm 1 \ \pm c_3]$, thus 6_3^2 is not a resultant.

We now prove if $a_2 > 1$, 6_3^2 is a resultant of L . If a_2 is even, a direct assignment $N[\pm 2 \pm 2 \pm 2] = 6_3^2$ is possible. If $a_2 > 1$ is odd, the assignment $N[\pm 2 \mp 3 \pm 2]$ is possible. In the remaining case, a_1, a_3 odd and a_2 even, the assignment $N[\pm 3 \mp 2 \mp 3]$ is possible. \square

Lemma 3.26. *Let $L = N[a_1 a_2 a_3]$ be a nontrivial two-component link. 6_1^2 is a resultant iff one of $a_1, a_3 \neq 2$.*

Proof. Let $a_1 = a_3 = 2$. a'_1 or $a'_3 \rightarrow 0$ produce only the un- or Hopf links, so we must consider $N[\pm 2 a'_2 \pm 2]$ and $N[\pm 2 a'_2 \mp 2]$. The only length one resultant of $N[\pm 2 a'_2 \pm 2]$ for either parity of a_2 is 4_1^2 .

For $N[\pm 2 a'_2 \mp 2]$, $a'_2 \rightarrow 0$ forms the unlink. Otherwise, we have $N[\pm 2 \pm a_2 \mp 2]$ and $N[\pm 2 \mp a_2 \mp 2] \approx N[\pm 2 \pm (a_2 - 1) \mp 2]$. Since a_2 can be either parity, we are essentially considering the same case $R = N[\pm 2 \pm (c_2 - 2) \pm 2]$. If $c_2 < 3$, $c(R) < 6$. If $c_2 \geq 3$, $d(R) = 3$. Therefore, 6_1^2 cannot be a resultant.

We now show if one of $a_1, a_3 > 2$, then we can form 6_1^2 . If a_2 odd, we can form $N[\pm 4 \pm 1 \mp 2] \approx N[\pm 6]$. If a_2 even, we can form $N[\pm 4 0 \pm 2]$ or $N[\pm 3 0 \pm 3]$. \square

We now prove Theorem 3.24, completing the classification of length 3 rational links.

Proof. By the above lemmas, if $a_2 = 1$ or $a_1 = a_3 = 2$, $F(L) = 5$. We now check if larger links can form 6_2^2 .

Case 1: a_1, a_3 even, a_2 odd If one of $a_1, a_3 > 2$, then we can form $N[\pm 4 \mp 1 \pm 2] \approx N[\pm 3 \pm 3] \implies F(L) \geq 6$.

Case 2: a_1, a_3 odd, a_2 even The first such link is $8_4^2 = N[3 2 3]$. $N[\pm 1 \pm 2 \pm 3] = 6_2^2 \implies F(L) \geq 6$.

Case 3: a_1, a_2, a_3 even If one of $a_1, a_3 > 2$, we can form $N[\pm 4 \mp 2 \mp 2] = 6_2^2 \implies F(L) = 6$.

The upper bound $F(H) \leq 6$ on rational two-component links H proves $F(L) = 6$. \square

Theorem 3.27. *All length 4 rational two-component links are locally fertile.*

Proof. The only two-component links in \mathcal{K}_4 are $7_2^2 = N[3 1 1 2]$, $8_5^2 = N[3 1 2 2]$, and $N[3 2 2 3]$. All have the three six crossing two-component links as resultants.

For 7_2^2 , $N[\pm 3 \pm 1 \mp 1 \pm 2] \approx 6_1^2$, $N[\pm 3 \pm 1 \pm 1 \mp 2] \approx 6_2^2$, and $N[\pm 3 \mp 1 \pm 1 \pm 2] \approx 6_3^2$.

For 8_5^2 , $N[\pm 3 \pm 1 \mp 2 \mp 2] \approx 6_1^2$, $N[\pm 3 \pm 1 0 \pm 2] \approx 6_2^2$, and $N[\pm 1 \pm 1 \pm 2 \pm 2] \approx 6_3^2$.

For $N[3\ 2\ 2\ 3]$, $N[\pm 3\ 0\ \pm 2\ \pm 1] \approx 6_1^2$, $N[\pm 3\ 0\ 0\ \pm 3] \approx 6_2^2$, and $N[\pm 3\ \mp 2\ \mp 2\ \pm 1] \approx 6_3^2$. \square

Theorem 3.28. *All length 5 rational two-component links are locally fertile.*

Proof. There are eight two-component links in \mathcal{K}_5 . First consider $N[3\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 3]$, $N[3\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 3]$, $N[3\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 3]$, $N[3\ 2\ 1\ 1\ 2]$, and $N[3\ 2\ 1\ 2\ 2]$. By Theorem 3.9, since each link has odd a_1 or a_n and all length 4 rational links are locally fertile, these links are too.

Now consider $N[2\ 2\ 2\ 2\ 2]$. Choose $a_2 \rightarrow 0$ to form $N[4\ 2\ 2]$. This is a length three rational link with $a_2 > 1$ and one of $a_1, a_3 > 2$.

The remaining two-component links in \mathcal{K}_5 are $8_7^2 = [2\ 1\ 2\ 1\ 2]$ and $9_{11}^2 = N[2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 2]$.

For 8_7^2 , choose $a_3 \rightarrow 0$ to form $N[2\ 2\ 2]$. Also, $N[\pm 2\ \mp 1\ \pm 2\ \pm 1\ \mp 2] \approx 6_1^2$ and $N[\pm 2\ \pm 1\ \mp 2\ \pm 1\ \mp 2] \approx 6_2^2$.

For 9_{11}^2 , choose $a_2 \rightarrow 0$ to form $N[4\ 1\ 2]$ and have 6_1^2 and 6_2^2 as resultants. Also, $N[2\ 2\ 2\ 1\ 0] \simeq 6_3^2$. \square

From Theorem 3.11, the last two results nearly complete our classification of rational two-component links.

Theorem 3.29. *All rational two component links L with $d(L) \geq 4$ are locally fertile.*

Proof. It remains to show $N[2\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 1\ 2]$ is 6-fertile.

$N[\pm 2\ \mp 1\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \mp 1\ \pm 2] \approx 6_1^2$, $N[\pm 2\ \mp 1\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \mp 2] \approx 6_2^2$, and $N[\pm 2\ \mp 1\ \pm 1\ \mp 1\ \pm 1\ \pm 2] \approx 6_3^2$. \square

Corollary 3.30. *The only non-locally fertile two-component rational links are the torus links $T(p, 2)$, p even, and length three links $N[2\ a_2\ 2]$ and $N[a_1\ 1\ a_3]$.*

4. RATIONAL KNOT FERTILITY NUMBER

There are far more rational knots than links in trunks: \mathcal{K}_6 is composed of 12 links and 24 knots. Moreover, rational knots can have fertility numbers between 4 and 7 instead of the binary of 5 or 6 for rational links L with $c(L) \geq 5$. To balance clarity with brevity, we will explicitly discuss length 3 and 4 rational knots to demonstrate the general approach, then provide the fertility number for all other higher length rational knots without explanation.

To tabulate $F(L)$, we wrote a program in Mathematica (available on Github [5]) which identifies rational link resultants by their continued fraction. Its results matched those in Table 2 of [1], which were calculated for all rational knots through 10 crossings, and our results

from [6], which were calculated by computing the Jones polynomial of all resultant diagrams. See Appendix 1 for all compiled results.

4.1. Length 3 Knots.

Theorem 4.1. [1] *Let K be a nontrivial prime knot. If $K = T(p, 2)$, p odd, $F(K) = 3$. If $K = 4_1$, $F(K) = 4$. Otherwise, $F(K) \geq 4$ and K has 5_2 as a resultant.*

Theorem 4.2. *A rational knot K , $d(K) \geq 3$, has $F(K) \geq 5$ unless $d(K) = 3$, $a_2 = 1$, and a_1, a_3 are both odd.*

Proof. [1] similarly show nontrivial prime knots that are not pretzel knots $P(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ with p_i all odd, positive, integers have 5_1 as a resultant. Of the rational knots $K = N[a_1 a_2 \dots a_n]$ with $d(K) \geq 3$, only rationals with $d(K) = 3$ and $a_2 = 1$ can be written as pretzels knots described by exactly three positive p_i . Further requirements on a_i follow from the requirements from [1]. \square

We point the reader unfamiliar with pretzel links to [4].

Lemma 4.3. *Length three rational knots have $F(K) \leq 6$.*

Proof. $7_7 = N[\pm 2 \pm 1 \pm 1 \pm 1 \pm 2]$ can only be formed from an assignment $N[\pm a_1 \mp a_2 \mp a_3] \approx N[\pm(a_1-1) \pm 1 \pm(a_2-2) \pm 1 \pm(a_3-1)]$. Therefore 7_7 is a resultant iff all a_i are odd.

When all a_i are odd, if $c_i \geq 3$, $c(R) \geq 7 \implies 6_3$ is a resultant iff at least one of $a'_i \rightarrow 1'$. When $a_2 = 1$, $F(K) = 4$. The only remaining possibilities for six crossing resultants are $N[1' a'_2 a'_3] \approx N[(a_2 + 1)' a'_3]$ and similarly $N[a'_1 (a_2 + 1)']$, which have resultants of length three or less, so 6_3 cannot be a resultant. \square

Corollary 4.4. *Let $K = N[a_1 a_2 a_3]$ be a nontrivial rational knot where a_1, a_2, a_3 are odd. If $a_2 = 1$, then $F(K) = 4$. Otherwise, $F(K) = 5$.*

Theorem 4.5. *Let $K = N[a_1 a_2 a_3]$ be a nontrivial rational knot where a_1, a_2 are odd and a_3 is even. If $a_2 = 1$, then $F(K) = 5$. Otherwise $F(K) = 6$.*

Proof. $F(K) \geq 5$. If $a_2 = 1$, all resultants are of length three or below, so 6_3 is not a resultant. Otherwise the following assignments are always possible: $N[\pm 1 \pm 3 \pm 2] \approx 6_1$, $N[\pm 3 \pm 1 \pm 2] \approx 6_2$, and $N[\pm 3 \mp 3 \mp 2] \approx 6_3 \implies F(K) \geq 6$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Let $K = N[a_1 a_2 a_3]$ be a rational knot where a_1 is odd and a_2, a_3 are even. If $a_2 = 2$, then $F(K) = 5$. Otherwise $F(K) = 6$.*

Proof. Trunk knot $7_5 = N[3\ 2\ 2]$ has at most two six crossing resultants, so $F(7_5) = 5$. It can form $N[\pm 3\ \pm 2\ \mp 2] \approx 6_2$ and $N[\pm 3\ \mp 2\ \pm 2] \approx 6_3$, but not $6_1 = N[4\ 2]$.

When $a_2 \geq 4$, we have $N[\pm 1\ \pm 4\ \mp 2] \approx 6_1 \implies F(K) = 6$. However, branches with $a_2 = 2$ cannot form 6_1 . $2' \rightarrow 0$ produces length one knots, leaving $N[a'_1\ \pm 2\ a'_3]$ and $N[a'_1\ \mp 2\ a'_3]$. $a'_1 \rightarrow c_1 \geq 3$ forces either $N[\pm c_1\ \pm 2\ a'_3]$ (further resultants all length three or greater, i.e. not 6_1) or $R_1 = N[\pm(c_1 - 1)\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ a'_3]$.

- $a'_3 \rightarrow \pm c_1 \geq 2 \implies d(R_1) = 4$,
- $a'_3 \rightarrow 0 \implies d(R_1) = 1$,
- $a'_3 \rightarrow \mp 2 \implies d(R_1) = 2$, and
- $a'_3 \rightarrow \mp c_1 \leq 4 \implies d(R_1) = 3$.

The only viable route to 6_1 here is $N[\pm(c_1 - 1)\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \mp 2] \approx N[\pm(c_1 - 1)\ \pm 1\ 0\ \pm 1\ \pm 1] \approx N[\pm(c_1 - 1)\ \pm 3] \not\approx 6_1$.

The last unexamined cases are $N[\pm 1\ \pm 2\ a'_3] \approx N[\pm 3\ a'_3]$ and $N[\pm 1\ \mp 2\ a'_3] \approx N[\mp 1\ a'_3]$. The former case will have at least one tangle with an odd number of crossings since a_3 is even. The latter is length one for all a_3 . \square

4.2. Length 4 Knots. There are 7 knots in \mathcal{K}_4 . We've already seen $6_3 = N[2\ 1\ 1\ 2]$ is 5-fertile and $7_6 = N[2\ 2\ 1\ 2]$ is 6-fertile.

Theorem 4.7. $8_{11} = N[3\ 2\ 1\ 2]$ and $8_9 = N[3\ 1\ 1\ 3]$ are 5-fertile.

Theorem 4.8. $8_{12} = N[2\ 2\ 2\ 2]$, $9_{13} = N[3\ 2\ 1\ 3]$, and $9_{18} = N[3\ 2\ 2\ 2]$ are 6-fertile.

Proof. See Appendix 1. \square

Theorem 4.9. Knots $K = N[a_1\ 1\ 1\ a_4]$ have $F(K) = 5$.

Proof. a_1, a_4 are either both even or both odd. The eight forms of resultants are

- $N[\pm c_1\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \pm c_4]$
- $N[\pm c_1\ \mp 1\ \pm 1\ \pm c_4] \approx N[\pm(c_1 - 1)\ \pm 2\ \pm c_4]$
- $N[\pm c_1\ \pm 1\ \mp 1\ \pm c_4] \approx N[\pm(c_1 + c_4 + 1)]$
- $N[\pm c_1\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \mp c_4] \approx N[\pm c_1\ \pm 2\ \pm (c_4 - 1)]$
- $N[\pm c_1\ \mp 1\ \mp 1\ \pm c_4] \approx N[\pm(c_1 + c_4 - 1)]$
- $N[\pm c_1\ \mp 1\ \pm 1\ \mp c_4] \approx N[\pm(c_1 - 1)\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \pm (c_4 - 1)]$
- $N[\pm c_1\ \pm 1\ \mp 1\ \mp c_4] \approx N[\pm(c_1 - c_4 + 1)]$
- $N[\pm c_1\ \mp 1\ \mp 1\ \mp c_4] \approx N[\pm(c_1 - c_4 - 1)]$

where $c_i = a_i - 2m_i$, $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, for either parity, 6_3 is a resultant. Also, $N[\pm 4\ \mp 1\ \pm 1\ \mp 2]$ and $N[\pm 3\ \pm 1\ \pm 1\ \pm 1] \approx 6_2$.

Can we get 6_1 ? In the length one cases and the first length four case, the answer is obviously no.

The remaining cases are $N[\pm(c_1 - 1) \pm 2 \pm c_4]$ and $N[\pm(c_1 - 1) \pm 1 \pm 1 \pm (c_4 - 1)]$. Let $T = \pm(c_i - 1)$. If $c_i \geq 3$, T , and by extension K , is in canonical form. If $c_i = 2$, $T = \pm 1$ is absorbed, forming $N[\pm 3 \pm c_4]$ or $N[\pm 2 \pm 1 \pm (c_4 - 1)]$, where c_4 must be even. The only $N[2 \ n]$ resultants possible with this choice are $N[2 \ 2]$ and $N[2 \ 3]$. If $c_1 = 1$, $T = 0$ and each form collapses to a length one knot. Thus 6_1 is not a resultant and these knots have $F(N[a_1 \ 1 \ 1 \ a_4]) = 5$. \square

Corollary 4.10. *The only length 4 knots K with $F(K) = 5$ are branches of $N[3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2]$ where $a_3 = 1$ and $N[a_1 \ 1 \ 1 \ a_4]$.*

Proof. Note $F(N[3 \ 2 \ 3 \ 2]) = F(N[2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 2]) = F(N[3 \ 3 \ 1 \ 3]) = 6$. \square

4.3. Length 5 and Longer Knots. First, length 5 knots. There are 12 knots in \mathcal{K}_5 .

Theorem 4.11. $8_{14} = N[2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$, $9_{23} = N[2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2]$, $8_{13} = N[3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$, $9_{20} = N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2]$, $9_{21} = N[3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2]$, $10_{29} = N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2]$, $11a337 = N[3 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 3]$, and $11a357 = N[3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3]$ are 6-fertile.

Theorem 4.12. $10_{25} = N[3 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2]$, $10_{26} = N[3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 3]$, and $11a236 = N[3 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2]$ are 7-fertile.

Proof. See Appendix 1. \square

Corollary 4.13. $7_7 = N[2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$ is the only 5-fertile knot in \mathcal{K}_5 .

Corollary 4.14. *Knots $K = N[a_1 \ 1 \ a_3 \ 1 \ a_5]$, a_1, a_5 even and a_3 odd are the only length 5 knots with $F(K) = 5$.*

Proof. By the same methods as above. Note $F(N[2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]) = 6$. \square

Theorem 4.15. *All knots $K \in \mathcal{K}_6$ have $F(K) > 5$.*

Theorem 4.16. *The sole knots in \mathcal{K}_6 that are only 6-fertile are $9_{26} = N[3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$, $10_{27} = N[3 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$, $10_{30} = N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2]$, $10_{32} = N[3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2]$, $10_{33} = N[3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 3]$, $10_{43} = N[2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2]$, $11a192 = N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2]$, and $12a1039 = N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 2 \ 1 \ 3]$.*

Theorem 4.17. *The sole knots in \mathcal{K}_7 that are only 6-fertile are $9_{31} = N[2 \ 1^5 \ 2]$, $11a306 = N[3 \ 1^5 \ 3]$, and $N[3 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 2 \ 1 \ 3]$*

Theorem 4.18. *All knots of length 8 or higher are locally fertile.*

Proof. All knots in \mathcal{K}_8 and \mathcal{K}_9 are 7-fertile, as is $N[2 \ 1^8 \ 2]$. \square

We have now completely classified the fertility number of all rational knots. Interestingly, the stragglers at each length were the knots $N[2 \ 1^{\ell-2} \ 2]$. Where other rational knots of the same length were approaching local fertility, these knots needed more component 1 tangles to gain rational resultants. One could say they are the least rational rational knots, just as the golden ratio $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} = (1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \dots)$ has a superlatively slow rate of convergence in its approximation via continued fractions. Lo and behold, $N[2 \ 1^{\ell-2} \ 2] \simeq N[1^\ell]$ with continued fraction $(2 \ 1^{\ell-1})$.

5. KNOT RESULTANT COMBINATORICS

Note 5.1. *We may have a binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{k}$ where it is possible, in application, for $k > n$. In these cases, the binomial coefficient should be understood as equal to zero.*

Theorem 5.2. *A rational link $L = N[\pm a_1 \ \pm a_2 \ \dots \ \pm a_n]$ has at most $\binom{n}{1} + \binom{n-1}{2}$ unique resultants R with $c(R) = c(L) - 2$ and at most $\binom{n}{1}\binom{n-1}{1} + \binom{n-1}{3}$ unique resultants with $c(R) = c(L) - 3$.*

Corollary 5.3. *L has at most $\frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n + 2)$ unique resultants R with $c(R) = c(L) - 2$ and $\frac{1}{6}(n^3 + 5n - 6)$ unique resultants with $c(R) = c(L) - 3$.*

Theorem 5.4. *A rational shadow $L = N[a'_1 \ a'_2 \ \dots \ a'_n]$ has at most $\lceil \frac{a_1+1}{2} \rceil \prod_{i=2}^n (a_i + 1)$ unique resultants.*

Proof. The integer tangle x' has resolutions with $x - 2j$ crossings, $0 \leq j \leq x \implies$ there are $x + 1$ resolutions of each integer tangle. Our possible resolutions are nearly halved for the first tangle, as it sets the sign convention for the link, i.e. $N[\pm c_1 \ a'_2 \ \dots \ a'_n]$ is indistinguishable from $N[\mp c_1 \ a'_2 \ \dots \ a'_n]$ as we can freely redefine crossing parity here before assigning any other crossings. \square

For any link shadow L , all we know it has at most $2^{c(L)-1}$ unique resultants when we count a knot and its mirror image the same. This theorem gives a significantly sharper upper bound for rational links, yet there is a long way to go. The knot $8_{12} = N[2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2]$ has 11 unique resultants, far fewer than $2 \times 3 \times 3 \times 3 = 54$, yet still much sharper than $2^7 = 128$. Theorem 2.7 is likely useful for improvements.

We will now explicitly enumerate the number of each link type L' produced by rational links L of lengths 1 and 2. This can be converted to a probability distribution by dividing by the total number of resultants $2^{c(L)}$.

Theorem 5.5. $D[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_n] \rightarrow 2^{a_n} N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_{n-1}]$, $n \geq 2$. $D[a'_1] \rightarrow 2^{a_1}$ *unknots*.

This notation means we get 2^{a_n} diagrams described by $N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_{n-1}]$.

Proof. A shadow RI move is an invariant on knot shadows by creating two copies (one for each resolution) of the shadow prior to its application to a straight strand. Applying the denominator to a rational tangle connects the right ends of the a_n tangle, allowing it to be untwisted a_n times. For $n \geq 2$, what remains is the rotated version of the numerator closure. For $n = 1$, the complicating twists must have been done on an unknot. \square

Corollary 5.6. $N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_n 0] \rightarrow 2^{a_n} N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_{n-1}]$, $n \geq 2$. $N[a'_1 0] \rightarrow 2^{a_1}$ *unknots*.

Theorem 5.7. An a'_1 ($N[a'_1]$) shadow has $\binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-k}{2}} + k$ ($N[+k]$) and $-k$ ($N[-k]$) resultants, where a_1 and k have the same parity.

Interestingly, links lack many unlink resultants. Unknots are the most likely resultant from $N[a'_1]$ knot shadows. Trivial resultants are fairly dominant in general, especially at low crossing numbers. [8] found experimentally all knot shadows with twelve or fewer crossings had a higher unknot probability than any nontrivial resultant probability (they did show the 14-crossing torus knot $T(7,3)$ was a counterexample). Furthermore, we are unaware of any knot shadow where the resultant probability of any nontrivial knot is greater than or equal to 50%.

$N[2']$, the first nontrivial link shadow, has four total resultants: two 2-component unlinks and two Hopf links. The $N[a'_1]$ link has more Hopf links than unlinks for all $a_1 \geq 4$. In fact, with a long enough initial link shadow $N[a'_1]$, there will be more particular nontrivial link resultants $N[c_1]$ than unlinks.

Lemma 5.8. For all k , there is some n such that

$$2 \binom{n}{\frac{n-k}{2}} \geq \binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

n, k are even integers, $n \geq k$.

Proof. Converting to the factorial form of the binomial coefficient, the above inequality holds iff

$$2 \left[\left(\frac{n}{2} \right)! \right]^2 \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{k}{2} \right)! \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \right)! \iff$$

$$2 \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1 \right) \dots \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{k}{2} + 1 \right) \geq \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{k}{2} \right) \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{k}{2} - 1 \right) \dots \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1 \right)$$

The left polynomial has a leading coefficient two times larger than that of the right and will eventually surpass the right polynomial for large n . \square

Corollary 5.9. *For any link $N[c_1]$, there is some A such that $N[a'_1]$, $a_1 > A$, has more $N[c_1]$ than unlink resultants.*

For $k = 2$, or Hopf link resultants, the above inequality is simply $n \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$, which is true for all integers greater than 1. For $k = 4$, or 4_1^2 resultants, the inequality reduces to $n(\frac{n}{2} - 1) \geq (\frac{n}{2} + 1)(\frac{n}{2} + 2)$, or $n \geq 5 + \sqrt{33} \approx 10.745$. Indeed, we see $N[10']$ has 252 unlink resultants and 240 4_1^2 resultants, while $N[12']$ has 924 unlink and 990 4_1^2 resultants.

Lemma 5.10. *The shadow of a rational tangle*

$$(5.1) \quad N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_n] \rightarrow \sum_{m=-a_1}^{a_1} \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-|m|}{2}} N[m a'_2 \dots a'_n],$$

where m is restricted to the parity of a_n .

Proof. This follows directly from the resolution of integer tangles. \square

Theorem 5.11. *Let a_1, a_2, k, ℓ be even, positive integers, $2 \leq k \leq a_1$, $2 \leq \ell \leq a_2$. Then the $N[a'_1 a'_2]$ knot shadow has*

$$\binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-k}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-\ell}{2}} + \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-\ell}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-k}{2}}$$

$N[k \ell]$ and $N[(k-1) 1 (\ell-1)]$ resultants and

$$2^{a_2} \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}} + \left[2^{a_1} - \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}} \right] \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2}{2}}$$

unknot resultants.

Proof. Using Lemma 5.10, we start with $\binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}}$ $N[0 a'_2]$ diagrams, which are all unknots. We can also automatically untangle the knot shadow if we take $a_2 \rightarrow \ell = 0$, but we've already counted the cases where $k = 0$. New unknots come from assignments where k is non-trivial. There are exactly $2^{a_1} - \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}}$ of these.

What remains are $\sum_m \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-|m|}{2}} \sum_n \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-|n|}{2}} N[m n]$ diagrams, where $m, n \neq 0$. By symmetry, for specific positive indices k, ℓ , we have

the proposed number of knot diagrams $N[\pm k \pm \ell]$ and $N[\pm k \mp \ell] \approx N[\pm(k-1) \pm 1 \pm (\ell-1)]$. \square

The combinatorics of the odd-odd length two rational links is a natural extension.

Theorem 5.12. *Let a_1, k be even integers and a_2, ℓ be odd integers, $2 \leq k \leq a_1$, $2 \leq \ell \leq a_2$. Then the $N[a'_1 a'_2]$ knot shadow has*

$$2 \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-k}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-\ell}{2}}$$

$N[k \ell]$ and $N[(k-1) 1 (\ell-1)]$ resultants,

$$2 \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-1}{2}} \left[\binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-k}{2}} + \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-(k+2)}{2}} \right]$$

$N[k+1]$ resultants, and

$$2^{a_2} \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}} + 2 \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-2}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-1}{2}}$$

unknot resultants.

Proof. Without loss of generality, choose a_1 to be even. Like above, we can take $a_1 \rightarrow 0$, making $2^{a_2} \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}}$ unknot diagrams.

By Lemma 5.10, if $a_1 \rightarrow \pm k$, $k \geq 0$, then for all $\ell \leq a_2$, there are $\binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-k}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-\ell}{2}}$ $N[\pm k \pm \ell]$ diagrams and $\binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-k}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-\ell}{2}}$ $N[\pm k \mp \ell] \approx N[\mp(k-1) \mp 1 \mp (\ell-1)]$ diagrams. For $k, \ell \geq 3$, these diagrams are in canonical form, hence reduced, and unique from each other.

This leaves $N[\pm k \pm 1] \approx N[\pm(k+1)]$ and $N[\pm k \mp 1] \approx N[\pm(k-1)]$. $N[\pm 2 \mp 1] \approx N[\pm 1 \pm 1 0] \approx D[\pm 2]$, or the unknot. \square

Theorem 5.13. [8] *The $N[a'_1 a'_2 \dots a'_n]$ shadow has $\prod_{i=1}^n \binom{a_i}{\frac{a_i-c_i}{2}}$ resultants of the form $N[c_1 c_2 \dots c_n]$.*

This implicitly details all resultants of a rational link, and guarantees that they will all be rational. It would be nice to be more specific about what particular rational links occur, but this rapidly becomes a grueling accounting exercise. For example, using Lemma 5.10, one can show the $N[a'_1 a'_2 a'_3]$ shadow produces

$$2^{a_2+1} \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}} \binom{a_3}{\frac{a_3-1}{2}} + 2 \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-2}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-2}{2}} \binom{a_3}{\frac{a_3-1}{2}}$$

$$+ 2 \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2}{2}} \sum_{c_1 > 0} \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-c_1}{2}} \left[\binom{a_3}{\frac{a_3-c_1+1}{2}} + \binom{a_3}{\frac{a_3-c_1-1}{2}} \right]$$

unknots if a_3 is odd but a_1 and a_2 are even. However, if a_2 and a_3 are odd and only a_1 is even, there are instead

$$2^{a_2+1} \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}} \binom{a_3}{\frac{a_3-1}{2}} + 2 \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1-2}{2}} \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-1}{2}} \binom{a_3}{\frac{a_3-3}{2}} \\ + 2 \binom{a_2}{\frac{a_2-1}{2}} \binom{a_3}{\frac{a_3-1}{2}} \left[2^{a_1} - \binom{a_1}{\frac{a_1}{2}} \right]$$

unknots. For length three rational links alone, there still remains the nontrivial resultants for these cases, the remaining case where all three a_i are odd, and the three cases where the rational tangle is a true link.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to Dr. Emily Peters for her helpful suggestions on improving this text.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jason Cantarella, Allison Henrich, Elsa Magness, Oliver O’Keefe, Kayla Perez, Eric Rawdon, and Briana Zimmer, *Knot fertility and lineage*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **26** (2017), no. 13, 1750093, 20, DOI 10.1142/S0218216517500936. MR3844207
- [2] J. H. Conway, *An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties*, Computational Problems in Abstract Algebra (Proc. Conf., Oxford, 1967), Pergamon, Oxford, 1970, pp. 329–358. MR0258014
- [3] Yuanan Diao, Claus Ernst, and Andrzej Stasiak, *A partial ordering of knots and links through diagrammatic unknotting*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **18** (2009), no. 4, 505–522, DOI 10.1142/S0218216509007026.
- [4] R. Diaz and P. M. G. Manchon, *Pretzel knots up to nine crossings*, Arxiv (2020).
- [5] Andrew Ducharme, *RationalLinkFertility*, GitHub repository, <https://github.com/aducharme/RationalLinkFertility> (2023).
- [6] Andrew Ducharme and Emily Peters, *Combinatorial random knots*, Involve **13** (2020), no. 4, 633–654, DOI 10.2140/involve.2020.13.633.
- [7] Ryo Hanaki, *On fertility of knot shadows*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **29** (2020), no. 11, 2050080, 6.
- [8] Allison Henrich, Rebecca Hoberg, Slavik Jablan, Lee Johnson, Elizabeth Minten, and Ljiljana Radović, *The theory of pseudoknots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **22** (2013), no. 7, 1350032, 21, DOI 10.1142/S0218216513500326. MR3084750
- [9] Tetsuya Ito, *A note on knot fertility*, Kyushu J. Math. **75** (2021), no. 2, 273–276.
- [10] ———, *A note on knot fertility II*, arXiv (2022).

- [11] Louis H. Kauffman and Sofia Lambropoulou, *Classifying and applying rational knots and rational tangles*, Physical knots: knotting, linking, and folding geometric objects in \mathbb{R}^3 (Las Vegas, NV, 2001), Contemp. Math., vol. 304, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002, pp. 223–259, DOI 10.1090/conm/304/05197. MR1953344
- [12] Arazelle Mendoza, Tara Sargent, John Travis Shrontz, and Paul Drube, *A new partial ordering of knots*, Involve **8** (2015), no. 3, 447–466, DOI 10.2140/involve.2015.8.447.
- [13] Horst Schubert, *Knoten mit zwei Brücken*, Math. Z. **65** (1956), 133–170, DOI 10.1007/BF01473875 (German). MR82104
- [14] Kouki Taniyama, *A partial order of knots*, Tokyo J. Math. **12** (1989), no. 1, 205–229, DOI 10.3836/tjm/1270133558. MR1001742
- [15] ———, *A partial order of links*, Tokyo J. Math. **12** (1989), no. 2, 475–484, DOI 10.3836/tjm/1270133192.

7. APPENDIX I: RATIONAL LINK FERTILITY NUMBERS

TABLE 2. Fertility numbers of rational knots through 10 crossings.

K	CF	F	K	CF	F	K	CF	F
3 ₁	3	3	9 ₈	2 4 1 2	6	10 ₁₇	4 1 1 4	5
4 ₁	2 2	4	9 ₉	4 2 3	5	10 ₁₈	4 1 1 2 2	6
5 ₁	5	3	9 ₁₀	3 3 3	5	10 ₁₉	4 1 1 1 3	6
5 ₂	3 2	4	9 ₁₁	4 1 2 2	6	10 ₂₀	3 5 2	6
6 ₁	4 2	4	9 ₁₂	4 2 1 2	6	10 ₂₁	3 4 1 2	5
6 ₂	3 1 2	5	9 ₁₃	3 2 1 3	6	10 ₂₂	3 3 1 3	6
6 ₃	2 1 1 2	5	9 ₁₄	4 1 1 1 2	5	10 ₂₃	3 3 1 1 2	7
7 ₁	7	3	9 ₁₅	2 3 2 2	6	10 ₂₄	3 2 3 2	6
7 ₂	5 2	4	9 ₁₇	2 1 3 1 2	5	10 ₂₅	3 2 2 1 2	7
7 ₃	4 3	5	9 ₁₈	3 2 2 2	6	10 ₂₆	3 2 1 1 3	7
7 ₄	3 1 3	4	9 ₁₉	2 3 1 1 2	6	10 ₂₇	3 2 1 1 1 2	6
7 ₅	3 2 2	5	9 ₂₀	3 1 2 1 2	6	10 ₂₈	3 1 3 1 2	6
7 ₆	2 2 1 2	6	9 ₂₁	3 1 1 2 2	6	10 ₂₉	3 1 2 2 2	6
7 ₇	2 1 1 1 2	5	9 ₂₃	2 2 1 2 2	6	10 ₃₀	3 1 2 1 1 2	6
8 ₁	6 2	4	9 ₂₆	3 1 1 1 1 2	6	10 ₃₁	3 1 1 3 2	6
8 ₂	5 1 2	5	9 ₂₇	2 1 2 1 1 2	7	10 ₃₂	3 1 1 1 2 2	6
8 ₃	4 4	5	9 ₃₁	2 1 1 1 1 1 2	6	10 ₃₃	3 1 1 1 1 3	6
8 ₄	4 1 3	5	10 ₁	8 2	4	10 ₃₄	2 5 1 2	6
8 ₆	3 3 2	6	10 ₂	7 1 2	5	10 ₃₅	2 4 2 2	6
8 ₇	4 1 1 2	5	10 ₃	6 4	4	10 ₃₆	2 4 1 1 2	6
8 ₈	2 3 1 2	6	10 ₄	6 1 3	5	10 ₃₇	2 3 3 2	6
8 ₉	3 1 1 3	5	10 ₅	6 1 1 2	5	10 ₃₈	2 3 1 2 2	6
8 ₁₁	3 2 1 2	5	10 ₆	5 3 2	6	10 ₃₉	2 2 3 1 2	7
8 ₁₂	2 2 2 2	6	10 ₇	5 2 1 2	5	10 ₄₀	2 2 2 1 1 2	7
8 ₁₃	3 1 1 1 2	6	10 ₈	5 1 4.	5	10 ₄₁	2 2 1 2 1 2	7
8 ₁₄	2 2 1 1 2	6	10 ₉	5 1 1 3	5	10 ₄₂	2 2 1 1 1 1 2	7
9 ₁	9	3	10 ₁₀	5 1 1 1 2	6	10 ₄₃	2 1 2 2 1 2	6
9 ₂	7 2	4	10 ₁₁	4 3 3	6	10 ₄₄	2 1 2 1 1 1 2	7
9 ₃	6 3	5	10 ₁₂	4 3 1 2	6	10 ₄₅	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	7
9 ₄	5 4	4	10 ₁₃	4 2 2 2	6			
9 ₅	5 1 3	4	10 ₁₄	4 2 1 1 2	6			
9 ₆	5 2 2	5	10 ₁₅	4 1 3 2	6			
9 ₇	3 4 2	6	10 ₁₆	4 1 2 3	5			

TABLE 3. Fertility number of rational 2-component links through 9 crossings.

K	CF	F	K	CF	F
2_1^2	2	2	8_7^2	2 1 2 1 2	6
4_1^2	4	4	8_8^2	2 1 1 1 1 2	6
5_1^2	2 1 2	3	9_1^2	6 1 2	5
6_1^2	6	4	9_2^2	5 1 1 2	6
6_2^2	3 3	5	9_3^2	4 3 2	6
6_3^2	2 2 2	5	9_4^2	4 1 4	5
7_1^2	4 1 2	5	9_5^2	4 1 1 3	6
7_2^2	3 1 1 2	6	9_6^2	3 3 1 2	6
7_3^2	2 3 2	5	9_7^2	3 2 2 1 2	6
8_1^2	8	4	9_8^2	3 1 3 2	6
8_2^2	5 3	5	9_9^2	3 1 1 1 3	6
8_3^2	4 2 2	6	9_{10}^2	2 5 2	5
8_4^2	3 2 3	6	9_{11}^2	2 2 2 1 2	6
8_5^2	3 1 2 2	6	9_{12}^2	2 2 1 1 1 2	6
8_6^2	2 4 2	5			

TABLE 4. Fertility numbers of rational 2-component links with 10 crossings. Ordering follows [2].

CF	F	CF	F
10	2	3 2 1 2 2	6
7 3	5	3 1 4 2	6
6 2 2	6	3 1 2 1 3	6
5 5	5	3 1 1 2 1 2	6
5 2 3	6	3 1 1 1 1 1 2	6
5 1 2 2	6	2 6 2	5
4 4 2	6	2 3 2 1 2	6
4 2 4	6	2 3 1 1 1 2	6
4 2 1 3	6	2 2 2 2 2	6
4 1 2 1 2	6	2 2 1 1 2 2	6
4 1 1 1 1 2	6	2 1 4 1 2	6
3 4 3	6	2 1 3 1 1 2	6
3 3 2 2	6	2 1 1 2 1 1 2	6
3 2 2 3	6		

In the following tables, a number m is fixed, but a number n^* can take any value $n + 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and the knot will retain its fertility number.

F(L)	Length 1	Length 2	Length 3
2	2		
4	4^*		
5		$3^* 3^*$	$2 3^* 2$ $4^* 1 2^*$
6			$4^* 3^* 2^*$

TABLE 5. Length 1, 2, and 3 rational link fertility number. Links of longer length have fertility number 6.

F(L)	Length 1	Length 2
3	3^*	
4		$2^* 2^*$ $2 3^*$
5		$4^* 3^*$

TABLE 6. Length 1 and 2 rational knot fertility number.

F(K)	3 1 2	3 1 3	3 2 2
4		$3^* 1 3^*$	
5	$3^* 1 2^*$	$3^* 3^* 3^*$	$3^* 2 2^*$
6	$3^* 3^* 2^*$		$3^* 2^* 2^*$

TABLE 7. Length 3 rational knot fertility number.

F(K)	2 1 1 2	2 2 1 2	3 1 1 3	3 2 1 2	2 2 2 2	3 2 1 2	3 2 2 2
5	2* 1 1 2*		3* 1 1 3*	3* 2* 1 2*			
6	2* 3* 1 2* 2* 3* 3* 2*	2* 2* 1* 2 2* 2* 1 2* 2 2* 1* 2*	3* 3* 1 3* 3* 3* 3* 3*	3* 2 3* 2*	2* 2* 2* 2*	3* 2* 1 2*	3* 2 2* 2
7		4* 2* 3* 4*		3* 4* 3* 2*		3* 2* 3* 2*	3* 4* 2* 2 3* 2 2* 4* 3* 4* 2* 4*

TABLE 8. Fertility number for non-locally-fertile length 4 rational knots.

F(K)	2 1 1 1 2	3 1 1 1 2	2 2 1 1 2	2 2 1 2 2	3 1 2 1 2	3 1 1 2 2
5	2* 1 1* 1 2*					
6	2* 3* 1 1* 2*	3* 1 1* 1* 2 3* 1 1* 1 2*	2 2* 1 1* 2* 2* 2* 1 1* 2	2* 2* 1 2* 2*	3* 1* 2* 1 2* 3* 1 2* 1* 2*	3* 1 1* 2* 2*
7	2* 3* 3* 1* 2*	3* 1 1 3* 4* 3* 3* 1* 1* 2*	4* 2 1* 1* 4* 2* 2* 3* 1* 2*	2* 2* 3* 2* 2*	3* 3* 2* 3* 2*	3* 3* 1* 2* 2*

TABLE 9. Fertility number for non-locally-fertile length 5 rational knots with 9 or fewer crossings.

F(K)	3 1 2 2 2	3 2 2 1 3	3 2 1 2 3
6	3* 1 2* 2* 2	3* 2 2* 1 3*	3* 2* 1 2* 3*
7	3* 1 2* 2* 4* 3* 3* 2* 2* 2*	3* 4* 2* 1* 3* 3* 2* 2* 3* 3*	3* 2* 3* 2* 3*

TABLE 10. Fertility number for non-locally fertile length 5 rational knots with 10 or more crossings.

F(K)	3 1 1 1 1 2	3 2 1 1 1 2	3 1 2 1 1 2	3 1 1 1 2 2
6	$3^* 1 1^* 1 1^* 2$	$3^* 2^* 1 1^* 1 2^*$	$3^* 1 2^* 1 1^* 2^*$	$3^* 1 3^* 1 2^* 2^*$
7	$3^* 3^* 1^* 1 1^* 2$ $3^* 1 1^* 3^* 1^* 2$ $3^* 1 1^* 1 1^* 4^*$ $3^* 3^* 1^* 3^* 1^* 4^*$	$3^* 2^* 3^* 1^* 1 2^*$ $3^* 2^* 1 1^* 3^* 2^*$ $3^* 2^* 3^* 1^* 3^* 4^*$	$3^* 3^* 2^* 1 1^* 2^*$ $3^* 1 2^* 3^* 1^* 2^*$ $3^* 3^* 2^* 3^* 1^* 2^*$	$3^* 3^* 3^* 1 2^* 2^*$ $3^* 1 3^* 3^* 2^* 2^*$ $3^* 3^* 3^* 3^* 2^* 2^*$

TABLE 11. Fertility number for some non-locally-fertile length 6 rational knots.

F(K)	3 1 1 1 1 3	2 1 2 2 1 2	3 1 2 1 2 2	3 1 2 2 1 3
6	$3^* 1 1^* 1^* 1 3^*$	$2^* 1 2^* 2^* 1 2^*$	$3^* 1 2^* 1 2^* 2$	$3^* 1 2^* 2^* 1 3^*$
7	$3^* 3^* 1^* 1^* 1 3^*$ $3^* 3^* 1^* 1^* 3^* 3^*$	$2^* 3^* 2^* 2^* 1 2^*$ $2^* 3^* 2^* 2^* 3^* 2^*$	$3^* 3^* 2^* 1 2^* 2$ $3^* 1 2^* 3^* 2^* 2$ $3^* 1 2^* 1 2^* 4^*$ $3^* 3^* 2^* 3^* 2^* 4^*$	$3^* 3^* 2^* 2^* 1 3^*$ $3^* 3^* 2^* 2^* 3^* 3^*$

TABLE 12. Fertility number for the remaining non-locally-fertile length 6 rational knots.

F(K)	2 1 1 1 1 1 2	3 1 1 1 1 1 3	3 1 2 1 2 1 3
6	$2^* 1 1^* 1 1^* 1 2^*$	$3^* 1 1^* 3^* 1^* 1 3^*$	$3^* 1 2^* 1 2^* 1 3^*$
7	$2^* 1^* 1^* 3^* 1^* 1^* 2^*$ $2^* 3^* 1^* 1^* 1^* 1^* 2^*$	$3^* 1^* 1^* 3^* 1^* 1^* 3^*$ $3^* 3^* 1^* 1^* 1^* 1^* 3^*$	$3^* 1^* 2^* 3^* 2^* 1^* 3^*$ $3^* 3^* 2^* 1^* 2^* 1^* 3^*$

TABLE 13. Fertility number for non-locally-fertile length 7 rational knots.