

COHOMOLOGY AND DEFORMATIONS OF CROSSED HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN LIE-YAMAGUTI ALGEBRAS

JIA ZHAO, YU QIAO, AND SENRONG XU*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras and establish the cohomology theory of crossed homomorphisms via the Yamaguti cohomology. Consequently, we use this cohomology to characterize linear deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras. We show that if two linear or formal deformations of a crossed homomorphism are equivalent, then their infinitesimals are in the same cohomology class in the first cohomology group. Moreover, we show that an order n deformation of a crossed homomorphism can be extended to an order $n + 1$ deformation if and only if the obstruction class in the second cohomology group is trivial.

Keywords: Lie-Yamaguti algebra, crossed homomorphism, cohomology, deformation
Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 17A36, 17B55, 17B60

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Lie-Yamaguti algebras	2
1.2. Crossed homomorphisms	2
1.3. Outline of the paper	3
2. Preliminaries: Lie-Yamaguti algebras, representations and cohomology	3
3. Crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras	6
4. Cohomology of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras	10
5. Deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras	14
5.1. Linear deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras	14
5.2. Formal deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras	16
5.3. Order n deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras	18
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

Mathematical physics has many branches in mathematics and can be applied in Lie theory and representation theory [15, 19]. Deformation theory plays an important role in mathematics and mathematical physics. A deformation of a mathematical object, roughly speaking, means that it preserves its original structure after a parameter perturbation. In physics, deformation theory comes from quantizing classical mechanics, and this idea promotes some researches on quantum groups in mathematics [6, 13]. Recently, deformation quantization has produced many elegant works in the context of mathematical physics. Based on work of complex analysis by Kodaira and Spencer [17], deformation theory was generalized in algebra [13]. Deformation of algebra can be

Date: March 29, 2023.

dated back to works on associative algebra by Gerstenhaber [8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. Later, Nijenhuis and Richardson studied deformations on Lie algebra [23]. Balavoine generalized deformation theory to operads [1].

In the context of algebras, deformation has close connection with cohomology. For instance, a suitable cohomology can be used to characterize deformations. In particular, a linear deformation of Lie algebras is controlled by a second cohomology group; an order n deformation can be extended to an order $n + 1$ deformation if and only if its obstruction class is trivial; a trivial deformation gives rise to a Nijenhuis operator [7], which is key to deformation theory and has applications in integrability of constructing biHamiltonian systems [7]. Sheng and his collaborators have a series of works on deformation theory on (3-)Lie algebras. For example, they studied deformations on 3-Lie algebras and even n -Lie algebras [20] and examined product and complex structures on 3-Lie algebras using Nijenhuis operators [26]. Moreover, they construct a controlling algebra that characterizes deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators (also called O -operators) on Lie algebras, on 3-Lie algebras, and on Leibniz algebras respectively [29, 30, 31]. Recently, Pei and his colleagues established crossed homomorphisms on Lie algebras via the same methods, and generalized constructions of many kinds of Lie algebras by using bifunctors [25]. Besides, the first two authors investigated cohomology and linear deformations of LieYRep pairs and explored several properties of relative Rota-Baxter-Nijenhuis structures on LieYRep pairs in [37], and cohomology and deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie-Yamaguti algebras in [38].

1.1. Lie-Yamaguti algebras. A Lie-Yamaguti algebra is a generalization of a Lie algebra and a Lie triple system which can be traced back to Nomizu's work on the affine invariant connections on homogeneous spaces in 1950's [24]. Later in 1960's, Yamaguti introduced an algebraic structure and called it a general Lie triple system or a Lie triple algebra [32, 33, 34]. Kinyon and Weinstein first called this object a Lie-Yamaguti algebra when studying Courant algebroids in the earlier 21st century [16]. Since then, this system was called a Lie-Yamaguti algebra, which has attracted much attention and is widely investigated recently. For instance, Benito and his collaborators deeply explored irreducible Lie-Yamaguti algebras and their relations with orthogonal Lie algebras [2, 3, 4, 5]. Deformations and extensions of Lie-Yamaguti algebras were examined in [18, 22, 35, 36]. Sheng, the first author, and Zhou analyzed product structures and complex structures on Lie-Yamaguti algebras by means of Nijenhuis operators in [27]. Takahashi studied modules over quandles using representations of Lie-Yamaguti algebras in [28].

1.2. Crossed homomorphisms. The notion of crossed homomorphisms on Lie algebras was introduced when nonabelian extension of Lie algebras was studied [21]. An example of crossed homomorphisms is a differential operator of weight 1, and a flat connection 1-form of a trivial principle bundle is also a crossed homomorphism. In [25], authors showed that the category of weak representations (resp. admissible representations) of Lie-Rinehart algebras (resp. Leibniz pairs) is a left module category over the monoidal category of representations of Lie algebras using crossed homomorphisms. Later, cohomology and deformations of crossed homomorphisms on 3-Lie algebras were also studied in [14]. Thus it is natural to consider cohomology and deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

More precisely, for a crossed homomorphism $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra \mathfrak{g} to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra \mathfrak{h} with respect to an action (ρ, μ) , the most important step is to establish the cohomology theory of H . Our strategy is as follows: First we introduce linear maps $\rho_H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mu_H : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ via H , and prove that $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho_H, \mu_H)$ is a representation

of \mathfrak{g} on the vector space \mathfrak{h} . Consequently, we obtain a corresponding Yamaguti cohomology of Lie-Yamaguti algebra \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in the representation $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho_H, \mu_H)$. Note that Yamaguti cohomology starts from 1-cochains. Thus the second step is to construct 0-cochains and the corresponding coboundary maps, which is a difficulty to overcome. Once the cohomology theory is established, we are able to explore the relationship between cohomology and deformations of crossed homomorphisms. For this purpose, we intend to investigate three kinds of deformations: linear, formal, and higher order deformations.

Note that a Lie-Yamaguti algebra can be reduced to a Lie triple system when the ternary bracket is trivial, thus the notion of crossed homomorphisms and the cohomology and deformation theory of those between Lie triple systems can be obtained directly from the present paper.

1.3. Outline of the paper. The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notions such as Lie-Yamaguti algebras, representations, and cohomology. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras, and show that there is a one-to-one correspondence between crossed homomorphisms and Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphisms. In Section 4, we establish the cohomology of crossed homomorphisms on Lie-Yamaguti algebras, and examine a functorial property of the cohomology theory. Finally in Section 5, we explore three kinds of deformations, and show that the infinitesimal of linear and formal deformations can be governed by cohomology and that the extension of a higher deformation is characterized by a special cohomology class. This is why we call this cohomology class the obstruction class.

In this paper, all vector spaces are assumed to be over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0 and finite-dimensional.

2. PRELIMINARIES: LIE-YAMAGUTI ALGEBRAS, REPRESENTATIONS AND COHOMOLOGY

In this section, we recall some basic notions such as Lie-Yamaguti algebras, representations and their cohomology theories. The notion of Lie-Yamaguti algebras was introduced by Yamaguti in [32].

Definition 2.1. [16] A **Lie-Yamaguti algebra** is a vector space \mathfrak{g} equipped with a bilinear bracket $[\cdot, \cdot] : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and a trilinear bracket $[[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]] : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, which meet the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, w, t \in \mathfrak{g}$,

- (1) $[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] + [[x, y, z]] + [[y, z, x]] + [[z, x, y]] = 0,$
- (2) $[[x, y], z, w] + [[y, z], x, w] + [[z, x], y, w] = 0,$
- (3) $[[x, y, [z, w]]] = [[x, y, z], w] + [z, [[x, y, w]]],$
- (4) $[[x, y, [[z, w, t]]]] = [[x, y, z], w, t] + [z, [[x, y, w], t]] + [z, w, [[x, y, t]]].$

In the sequel, we denote a Lie-Yamaguti algebra by $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], [[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]])$.

Example 2.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra. Define a trilinear bracket

$$[[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]] : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

by

$$[[x, y, z]] := [[x, y], z], \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Then by a direct computation, we know that $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], [[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]])$ forms a Lie-Yamaguti algebra.

The following example is even more interesting.

Example 2.3. Let M be a closed manifold with an affine connection, and denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the set of vector fields on M . For all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, set

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &:= -T(x, y), \\ \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket &:= -R(x, y)z, \end{aligned}$$

where T and R are torsion tensor and curvature tensor respectively. It turns out that the triple $(\mathfrak{X}(M), [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ forms a Lie-Yamaguti algebra. See [24] for more details.

Next, we recall the notion of representations of Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Definition 2.4. [33] Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra. A **representation** of \mathfrak{g} is a vector space V equipped with a linear map $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ and a bilinear map $\mu : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$, which meet the following conditions: for all $x, y, z, w \in \mathfrak{g}$,

- (5) $\mu([x, y], z) - \mu(x, z)\rho(y) + \mu(y, z)\rho(x) = 0,$
- (6) $\mu(x, [y, z]) - \rho(y)\mu(x, z) + \rho(z)\mu(x, y) = 0,$
- (7) $\rho(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket) = [D_{\rho, \mu}(x, y), \rho(z)],$
- (8) $\mu(z, w)\mu(x, y) - \mu(y, w)\mu(x, z) - \mu(x, \llbracket y, z, w \rrbracket) + D_{\rho, \mu}(y, z)\mu(x, w) = 0,$
- (9) $\mu(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket, w) + \mu(z, \llbracket x, y, w \rrbracket) = [D_{\rho, \mu}(x, y), \mu(z, w)],$

where the bilinear map $D_{\rho, \mu} : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ is given by

$$(10) \quad D_{\rho, \mu}(x, y) := \mu(y, x) - \mu(x, y) + [\rho(x), \rho(y)] - \rho([x, y]), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

It is obvious that $D_{\rho, \mu}$ is skew-symmetric, and we write D in the sequel without ambiguities. We denote a representation of \mathfrak{g} by $(V; \rho, \mu)$.

Remark 2.5. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra and $(V; \rho, \mu)$ a representation of \mathfrak{g} . If $\rho = 0$ and the Lie-Yamaguti algebra \mathfrak{g} reduces to a Lie triple system $(\mathfrak{g}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$, then the representation reduces to that of the Lie triple system $(\mathfrak{g}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket) : (V; \mu)$. If $\mu = 0, D = 0$ and the Lie-Yamaguti algebra \mathfrak{g} reduces to a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$, then the representation reduces to that of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]) : (V; \rho)$. Hence a representation of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra is a natural generalization of that of a Lie algebra or of a Lie triple system.

By a direct computation, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. Suppose that $(V; \rho, \mu)$ is a representation of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$. Then the following equalities are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} D([x, y], z) + D([y, z], x) + D([z, x], y) &= 0; \\ D(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket, w) + D(z, \llbracket x, y, w \rrbracket) &= [D(x, y), D_{\rho, \mu}(z, w)]; \\ \mu(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket, w) &= \mu(x, w)\mu(z, y) - \mu(y, w)\mu(z, x) - \mu(z, w)D(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.7. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra. We define linear maps $\text{ad} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathfrak{R} : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ by $x \mapsto \text{ad}_x$ and $(x, y) \mapsto \mathfrak{R}_{x, y}$ respectively, where $\text{ad}_x z = [x, z]$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{x, y} z = \llbracket z, x, y \rrbracket$ for all $z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Then $(\text{ad}, \mathfrak{R})$ forms a representation of \mathfrak{g} on itself, where $\mathfrak{Q} := D_{\text{ad}, \mathfrak{R}}$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{x, y} = \mathfrak{R}_{y, x} - \mathfrak{R}_{x, y} + [\text{ad}_x, \text{ad}_y] - \text{ad}_{[x, y]}, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

By (1), we have

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{x, y} z = \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket, \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

In this case, $(\mathfrak{g}; \text{ad}, \mathfrak{R})$ is called the **adjoint representation** of \mathfrak{g} .

Let us recall the cohomology theory on Lie-Yamaguti algebras given in [33]. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra and $(V; \rho, \mu)$ a representation of \mathfrak{g} . We denote the set of p -cochains by $C_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ ($p \geq 1$), where

$$C_{\text{LieY}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \triangleq \begin{cases} \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}}_n, V) \times \text{Hom}(\underbrace{\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \cdots \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}}_n, V), & \forall n \geq 1, \\ \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, V), & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the sequel, we recall the coboundary map of p -cochains:

- If $n \geq 1$, for any $(f, g) \in C_{\text{LieY}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, the coboundary map

$$\delta = (\delta_I, \delta_{II}) : C_{\text{LieY}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{LieY}}^{n+2}(\mathfrak{g}, V),$$

$$(f, g) \mapsto (\delta_I(f, g), \delta_{II}(f, g))$$

is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_I(f, g))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}) \\ (11) \quad &= (-1)^n \left(\rho(x_{n+1})g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, y_{n+1}) - \rho(y_{n+1})g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, [x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}]) \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} D(\mathfrak{X}_k) f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} (-1)^k f(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_k \circ \mathfrak{X}_l, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta_{II}(f, g))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, z) \\ (12) \quad &= (-1)^n \left(\mu(y_{n+1}, z)g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x_{n+1}) - \mu(x_{n+1}, z)g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, y_{n+1}) \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{k+1} D(\mathfrak{X}_k) g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, z) \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n+1} (-1)^k g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_k \circ \mathfrak{X}_l, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, z) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} (-1)^k g(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}}_k, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n+1}, \llbracket x_k, y_k, z \rrbracket), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{X}_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n+1$), $z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_k \circ \mathfrak{X}_l := \llbracket x_k, y_k, x_l \rrbracket \wedge y_l + x_l \wedge \llbracket x_k, y_k, y_l \rrbracket$.

- If $n = 0$, for any $f \in C_{\text{LieY}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, the coboundary map

$$\delta : C_{\text{LieY}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{LieY}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, V),$$

$$f \mapsto (\delta_I(f), \delta_{II}(f))$$

is defined to be

$$(13) \quad (\delta_I(f))(x, y) = \rho(x)f(y) - \rho(y)f(x) - f(\llbracket x, y \rrbracket),$$

$$(14) \quad (\delta_{\text{II}}(f))(x, y, z) = D(x, y)f(z) + \mu(y, z)f(x) - \mu(x, z)f(y) - f(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Yamaguti showed the following fact.

Proposition 2.8. [33] *With the notations above, for any $f \in C_{\text{LieY}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, we have*

$$\delta_{\text{I}}(\delta_{\text{I}}(f)), \delta_{\text{II}}(f) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{\text{II}}(\delta_{\text{I}}(f)), \delta_{\text{II}}(f) = 0.$$

Moreover, for all $(f, g) \in C_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, ($p \geq 2$), we have

$$\delta_{\text{I}}(\delta_{\text{I}}(f, g)), \delta_{\text{II}}(f, g) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_{\text{II}}(\delta_{\text{I}}(f, g)), \delta_{\text{II}}(f, g) = 0.$$

Thus the cochain complex $(C_{\text{LieY}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \bigoplus_{p=1}^{\infty} C_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V), \delta)$ is well defined. For convenience, we call this cohomology the **Yamaguti cohomology** in this paper.

Definition 2.9. With the above notations, let (f, g) in $C_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ (resp. $f \in C_{\text{LieY}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ for $p = 1$) be a p -cochain. If it satisfies $\delta(f, g) = 0$ (resp. $\delta(f) = 0$), then it is called a p -cocycle. If there exists $(h, s) \in C_{\text{LieY}}^{p-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, (resp. $t \in C^1(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, if $p = 2$) such that $(f, g) = \delta(h, s)$ (resp. $(f, g) = \delta(t)$), then it is called a p -coboundary ($p \geq 2$). The set of p -cocycles and that of p -coboundaries are denoted by $Z_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ and $B_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ respectively. The resulting p -cohomology group is defined to be the factor space

$$H_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) = Z_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) / B_{\text{LieY}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V).$$

In particular, we have

$$H_{\text{LieY}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \{f \in C_{\text{LieY}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) : \delta(f) = 0\}.$$

3. CROSSED HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN LIE-YAMAGUTI ALGEBRAS

In this section, we introduce the notion of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras, and show that a crossed homomorphism can be seen as a homomorphism of Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Moreover, a crossed homomorphism corresponds to a relative Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1. Before this, we introduce the notion of center of Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra. Denote the **center** of \mathfrak{g} by

$$C(\mathfrak{g}) := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [x, y] = 0, \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}\} \cap (\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket = 0, \forall y, z \in \mathfrak{g}\} \cup \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \llbracket y, x, z \rrbracket = 0, \forall y, z \in \mathfrak{g}\}).$$

Definition 3.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be two Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Let $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho, \mu)$ be a representation of \mathfrak{g} on the vector space \mathfrak{h} , i.e., linear maps $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\mu : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$, and $D : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ are given by Eqs. (5)-(10). If for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h}$, the following conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x)u, \mu(x, y)u &\in C(\mathfrak{h}), \\ \rho(x)[u, v]_{\mathfrak{h}} &= \mu(x, y)[u, v]_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0, \\ \rho(x) \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} &= \mu(x, y) \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

then we say that (ρ, μ) is an **action** of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} .

Let (ρ, μ) be an action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} . By (10), we deduce that

$$D(x, y)u \in C(\mathfrak{g}), \quad D(x, y)[u, v]_{\mathfrak{h}} = D(x, y) \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

The following proposition shows that an action of Lie-Yamaguti algebras can be used to characterize semidirect product Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be two Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Let (ρ, μ) be an action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} , then there is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra structure on the direct sum $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} [x + u, y + v]_{\rho, \mu} &= [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho(x)v - \rho(y)u + [u, v]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \llbracket x + u, y + v, z + w \rrbracket_{\rho, \mu} &= \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} + D(x, y)w + \mu(y, z)u - \mu(x, z)v + \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h}$. This Lie-Yamaguti algebra is called the **semidirect product Lie-Yamaguti algebra** with respect to the action (ρ, μ) , and is denoted by $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} \mathfrak{h}$.

Proof. It is a direct computation, and we omit the details. \square

The following definition is standard.

Definition 3.3. [27, 28] Suppose that $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ are two Lie-Yamaguti algebras. A **homomorphism** from $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a linear map $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ that preserves the Lie-Yamaguti algebra structures, that is, for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= [\phi(x), \phi(y)]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \phi(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= \llbracket \phi(x), \phi(y), \phi(z) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}. \end{aligned}$$

If, moreover, ϕ is a bijection, it is then called an **isomorphism**.

Now we are ready to introduce the notion of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Definition 3.4. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be two Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Let (ρ, μ) be an action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} . A linear map $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is called a **crossed homomorphism** from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} with respect to (ρ, μ) , if

$$(15) \quad H[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho(x)H(y) - \rho(y)H(x) + [Hx, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}},$$

$$(16) \quad H \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = D(x, y)H(z) + \mu(y, z)H(x) - \mu(x, z)H(y) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Remark 3.5. If the action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} is trivial, then any crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphism as in Definition 3.3; if \mathfrak{h} is commutative, then any crossed homomorphism is a derivation from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} with respect to the representation $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho, \mu)$.

Example 3.6. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ be a 4-dimensional Lie-Yamaguti algebra, and $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ a basis. The nonzero brackets are given by*

$$[e_1, e_2] = 2e_4, \quad \llbracket e_1, e_2, e_1 \rrbracket = e_4.$$

It is obvious that the center of \mathfrak{g} is spanned by $\{e_3, e_4\}$, and that the adjoint representation $(\mathfrak{g}; \text{ad}, \mathfrak{R})$ is an action of \mathfrak{g} on itself. Then

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} O & A \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}$$

is a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{g} , where A and C have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ \lambda_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following theorem shows that a crossed homomorphism can be seen as a homomorphism between Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Theorem 3.7. *Let (ρ, μ) be an action of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$. Then a linear map $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} if and only if the linear map $\phi_H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphism, where*

$$\phi_H(x) := (x, Hx), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_H([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= ([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, H[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \\ [\phi_H(x), \phi_H(y)]_{\rho, \mu} &= [(x, Hx), (y, Hy)]_{\rho, \mu} = ([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho(x)Hy - \rho(y)Hx + [Hx, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_H(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}) &= (\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, H \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}), \\ \llbracket \phi_H(x), \phi_H(y), \phi_H(z) \rrbracket_{\rho, \mu} &= (\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, D(x, y)H(z) + \mu(y, z)H(x) - \mu(x, z)H(y) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that the linear map $\phi_H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\rho, \mu} \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphism if and only if the following two equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} H[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \rho(x)Hy - \rho(y)Hx + [Hx, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ H \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} &= D(x, y)H(z) + \mu(y, z)H(x) - \mu(x, z)H(y) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the linear map $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} . This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.8. In fact, a crossed homomorphism corresponds to a split nonabelian extension of Lie-Yamaguti algebras. More precisely, consider the following nonabelian extension of Lie-Yamaguti algebras:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0.$$

A section $s : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{h}$ is given by $s(x) = (x, Hx)$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Theorem 3.7 says that s is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphism if and only if H is a crossed homomorphism. Such an extension is called a split nonabelian extension. See [35] for more details about extension of Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Then we introduce the notion of homomorphisms of crossed homomorphisms.

Definition 3.9. Let H and H' be two crossed homomorphisms from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . A **homomorphism** from H' to H is a pair $(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{h}})$, where $\psi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ are two Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphisms such that

$$(17) \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ H' = H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

$$(18) \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\rho(x)u) = \rho(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(u),$$

$$(19) \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\mu(x, y)u) = \mu(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(u), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

In particular, if both $\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}$ are invertible, then $(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is called an **isomorphism** from H' to H .

By Eqs. (18) and (19), and a direct computation, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.10. *Let H and H' be two crossed homomorphisms from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Suppose that $(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a homomorphism from H' to H , then we have*

$$(20) \quad \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(D(x, y)u) = D(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(u), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

At the end of this section, we reveal the relationship between crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras and relative Rota-Baxter operators of weight 1 on Lie-Yamaguti algebras. We give the notion of relative Rota-Baxter operators of weight λ on Lie-Yamaguti algebras first.

Definition 3.11. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot], \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket)$ be a Lie-Yamaguti algebra and $(V; \rho, \mu)$ its representation. A linear map $T : V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called a **relative Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ** if the following equalities hold

$$[Tu, Tv] = T(\rho(Tu)v - \rho(Tv)u + \lambda[u, v]),$$

$$\llbracket Tu, Tv, Tw \rrbracket = T(D(Tu, Tv)w + \mu(Tv, Tw)u - \mu(Tu, Tw)v + \lambda \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket), \quad \forall u, v, w \in V.$$

Relative Rota-Baxter operators of nonzero weight on Lie algebras stem from the classical Yang-Baxter equation and have many applications on mathematical physics. Here, we introduce the notion of relative Rota-Baxter operators of nonzero weight on Lie-Yamaguti algebras and explore its relation with crossed homomorphisms.

Proposition 3.12. *Let (ρ, μ) be an action of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$. An invertible linear map $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} with respect to (ρ, μ) if and only if $H^{-1} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a relative Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1 on \mathfrak{g} with respect to the representation $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho, \mu)$.*

Proof. Assume that the invertible linear map $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a crossed homomorphism, then for all $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h}$, by (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= H^{-1}(H[H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= H^{-1}(\rho(H^{-1}(u))v - \rho(H^{-1}(v))w + [u, v]_{\mathfrak{h}}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v), H^{-1}(w) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= H^{-1}(H \llbracket H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v), H^{-1}(w) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= H^{-1}(D(H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v))w + \mu(H^{-1}(v), H^{-1}(w))u - \mu(H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(w))v + \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus H^{-1} is a relative Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1.

Conversely, let H^{-1} is a relative Rota-Baxter operator of weight 1. For all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, there exist $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h}$, such that $x = H^{-1}(u)$, $y = H^{-1}(v)$, and $z = H^{-1}(w)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} H[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= H[H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= H \circ H^{-1}(\rho(H^{-1}(u))v - \rho(H^{-1}(v))u + [u, v]_{\mathfrak{h}}) \\ &= \rho(x)H(y) - \rho(y)H(x) + [Hx, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = H \llbracket H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v), H^{-1}(w) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= H \circ H^{-1} \left(D(H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(v))w + \mu(H^{-1}(v), H^{-1}(w))u - \mu(H^{-1}(u), H^{-1}(w))v + \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \\
&= D(x, y)H(z) + \mu(y, z)H(x) - \mu(x, z)H(y) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that H is a crossed homomorphism. \square

4. COHOMOLOGY OF CROSSED HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN LIE-YAMAGUTI ALGEBRAS

In this section, we build the cohomology of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras. First of all, we construct a representation of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra via a given action.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be two Lie-Yamaguti algebras, and $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ a crossed homomorphism with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Define $\rho_H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$, $\mu_H : \otimes^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$ to be

$$(21) \quad \rho_H(x)u := [Hx, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho(x)u,$$

$$(22) \quad \mu_H(x, y)u := \llbracket u, Hx, Hy \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu(x, y)u, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *With the assumptions above, define $D_H : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$ to be*

$$(23) \quad D_H(x, y)u := \llbracket Hx, Hy, u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + D(x, y)u, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Then $D_H = D_{\rho_H, \mu_H}$.

Proof. For all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $u \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D_{\rho_H, \mu_H}(x, y)u \\
&= \mu_H(y, x)u - \mu_H(x, y)u + [\rho_H(x), \rho_H(y)](u) - \rho_H([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})u \\
&= \llbracket u, Hy, Hx \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu(y, x)u - \llbracket u, Hx, Hy \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \mu(x, y)u \\
&\quad + [Hx, [Hy, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho(x)[Hy, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [Hx, \rho(y)u]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho(x)\rho(y)u \\
&\quad - [Hy, [Hx, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \rho(y)[Hx, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} - [Hy, \rho(x)u]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \rho(y)\rho(x)u \\
&\quad - [H[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \rho([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})u \\
&= \llbracket u, Hy, Hx \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \llbracket u, Hx, Hy \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + [Hx, [Hy, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad - [Hy, [Hx, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \llbracket [Hx, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}}, u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + D(x, y)u \\
&\stackrel{(1), (10)}{=} \llbracket Hx, Hy, u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + D(x, y)u \\
&= D_H(x, y)u.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. *With the assumptions above, then $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho_H, \mu_H)$ is a representation of \mathfrak{g} , where ρ_H , μ_H , and D_H are given by (21)-(23) respectively.*

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, $u \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mu_H([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z)u - \mu_H(x, z)\rho_H(y)u + \mu_H(y, z)\rho_H(x)u \\
&= \llbracket u, H[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu([x, y], z)u - \llbracket [Hy, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Hx, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad - \mu(x, z)[Hy, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \llbracket \rho(y)u, Hx, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \mu(x, z)\rho(y)u \\
&\quad + \llbracket [Hx, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu(y, z)[Hx, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \llbracket \rho(x)u, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad + \mu(y, z)\rho(x)u \\
&\stackrel{(6)}{=} \llbracket u, [Hx, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \llbracket [Hy, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Hx, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \llbracket [Hx, u]_{\mathfrak{h}}, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(2)}{=} 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_H(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})u - [D_H(x, y), \rho_H(z)]u \\ = & [H \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})u - \llbracket Hx, Hy, [Hz, u] \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & - D(x, y)[Hz, u]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \llbracket Hx, Hy, \rho(z)u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - D(x, y)\rho(z)u \\ & + [Hz, \llbracket Hx, Hy, u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho(z) \llbracket Hx, Hy, u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + [Hz, D(x, y)u]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & + \rho(z)D(x, y)u \\ \stackrel{(7)}{=} & \llbracket [Hx, Hy, Hz] \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \llbracket Hx, Hy, [Hz, u] \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + [Hz, \llbracket Hx, Hy, u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ \stackrel{(3)}{=} & 0. \end{aligned}$$

Other equalities can be obtained similarly. We omit the details. \square

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be two Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Let (ρ, μ) be an action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathfrak{h} . Define $\delta : \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ to be

$$(24) \quad (\delta(x, y))z := \mu(y, z)(Hx) - \mu(x, z)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Proposition 4.3. *With the notations above, $\delta(x, y)$ defined by (24) is a 1-cocycle of the Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ with coefficients in the representation $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho_H, \mu_H)$.*

Proof. For all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_I(\delta(x, y))(x_1, x_2) \\ = & \rho_H(x_1)\delta(x, y)x_2 - \rho_H(x_2)\delta(x, y)x_1 - \delta(x, y)([x_1, x_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ = & \rho_H(x_1)\left(\mu(y, x_2)(Hx) - \mu(x, x_2)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}\right) \\ & - \rho_H(x_2)\left(\mu(y, x_1)(Hx) - \mu(x, x_1)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}\right) \\ & - \mu(y, [x_1, x_2]_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hx) + \mu(x, [x_1, x_2]_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, H[x_1, x_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ = & [Hx_1, \mu(y, x_2)(Hx)]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho(x_1)\mu(y, x_2)(Hx) - [Hx_1, \mu(x, x_2)(Hy)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & - \rho(x_1)\mu(x, x_2)(Hy) + [Hx_1, \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} + \rho(x_1) \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & - [Hx_2, \mu(y, x_1)(Hx)]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \rho(x_2)\mu(y, x_1)(Hx) + [Hx_2, \mu(x, x_1)(Hy)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & + \rho(x_2)\mu(x, x_1)(Hy) - [Hx_2, \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \rho(x_2) \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ & - \mu(y, [x_1, x_2]_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hx) + \mu(x, [x_1, x_2]_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, [Hx_1, Hx_2]_{\mathfrak{h}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ = & 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{II}(\delta(x, y))(x_1, x_2, x_3) \\ = & D_H(x_1, x_2)\delta(x, y)x_3 + \mu_H(x_2, x_3)\delta(x, y)x_1 - \mu_H(x_1, x_3)\delta(x, y)x_2 - \delta(x, y) \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ = & D_H(x_1, x_2)\left(\mu(y, x_3)(Hx) - \mu(x, x_3)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}\right) \\ & + \mu_H(x_2, x_3)\left(\mu(y, x_1)(Hx) - \mu(x, x_1)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}\right) \\ & - \mu_H(x_1, x_3)\left(\mu(y, x_2)(Hx) - \mu(x, x_2)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}\right) \\ & - \mu(y, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hx) + \mu(x, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hy) - \llbracket Hx, Hy, H \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \llbracket Hx_1, Hx_2, \mu(y, x_3)(Hx) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + D(x_1, x_2)\mu(y, x_3)(Hx) - \llbracket Hx_1, Hx_2, \mu(x, x_3)(Hy) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad - D(x_1, x_2)\mu(x, x_3)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx_1, Hx_2, \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + D(x_1, x_2) \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad + \llbracket \mu(y, x_1)(Hx), Hx_2, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu(x_2, x_3)\mu(y, x_1)(Hx) - \llbracket \mu(x, x_1)(Hy), Hx_2, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad - \mu(x_2, x_3)\mu(x, x_1)(Hy) + \llbracket \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, Hx_2, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu(x_2, x_3) \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad - \llbracket \mu(y, x_2)(Hx), Hx_1, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \mu(x_1, x_3)\mu(y, x_2)(Hx) + \llbracket \mu(x, x_2)(Hy), Hx_1, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad + \mu(x_1, x_3)\mu(x, x_2)(Hy) - \llbracket \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, Hx_1, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \mu(x_1, x_3) \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hx_2 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&\quad - \mu(y, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hx) + \mu(x, \llbracket x_1, x_2, x_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})(Hy) - \llbracket Hx, Hy, \llbracket Hx_1, Hx_2, Hx_3 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\delta(x, y)$ is a 1-cocycle. This finishes the proof. \square

By now, we can establish the cohomology of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebra as follows. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Define the set of n -cochains to be

$$\mathfrak{C}_H^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}_{LieY}^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}), & p \geq 1, \\ \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, & p = 0. \end{cases}$$

Define $\partial : \mathfrak{C}_H^p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_H^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ to be

$$\partial = \begin{cases} \delta^H, & p \geq 1, \\ \delta, & p = 0, \end{cases}$$

where the map δ^H is the corresponding coboundary map given by (11)-(14) with coefficients in the representation $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho_H, \mu_H)$. Then combining with Proposition 4.3, we obtain that $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{C}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}), \partial)$ is a complex. Denote the set of n -cochains by $\mathcal{Z}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, and denote the set of n -coboundaries by $\mathcal{B}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$. The resulting n -th cohomology group is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) := \mathcal{Z}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) / \mathcal{B}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}), n \geq 0.$$

Definition 4.4. The cohomology of the cochain complex $(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{C}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}), \partial)$ is called the **cohomology** of the crossed homomorphism H .

At the end of this section, we show that a certain homomorphism between two crossed homomorphisms induces a homomorphism between the corresponding cohomology groups. Let H and H' be two crossed homomorphisms from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Let $(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{h}})$ be a homomorphism from H' to H , where $\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is invertible. For $n \geq 2$, define a linear map $p : \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ to be

$$\begin{aligned}
p_{\text{I}}(f)(\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_n) &= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(f(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{x}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{x}_n))), \\
p_{\text{II}}(g)(\mathfrak{x}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{x}_n, x) &= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{x}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{x}_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))), \quad \forall (f, g) \in \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathfrak{X}_k = x_k \wedge y_k \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, and we use a notation $\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_k) = \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x_k) \wedge \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In particular, for $n = 1$, $p : \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is defined to be

$$p(f)(x) = \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(f(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, f \in \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}).$$

Theorem 4.5. *With the notations above, p is a cochain map from a cochain $(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}), \delta^{H'})$ to $(\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{C}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}), \delta^H)$. Consequently, p induces a homomorphism $p_* : \mathcal{H}_{H'}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_H^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ between cohomology groups.*

Proof. For all $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ ($n \geq 2$), and for all $\mathfrak{X}_k = x_k \wedge y_k \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\Pi}^H(p_{\Pi}(f), p_{\Pi}(g))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \left(\mu_H(y_n, x) p_{\Pi}(g)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, x_n) - \mu_H(x_n, x) p_{\Pi}(g)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_{n-1}, y_n) \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} D_H(\mathfrak{X}_k) p_{\Pi}(g)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k < l}^n (-1)^k p_{\Pi}(g)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_k \circ \mathfrak{X}_l, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k p_{\Pi}(g)(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= (-1)^{n-1} \left(\mu_H(y_n, x) \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x_n))) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \mu_H(x_n, x) \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n))) \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} D_H(\mathfrak{X}_k) \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k < l}^n (-1)^k \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_k) \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_l), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))) \\ & \quad + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\llbracket \mathfrak{X}, x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}))) \\ &= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \left((-1)^{n-1} \left(\mu_{H'}(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x)) g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x_n)) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \mu_{H'}(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x)) g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_{n-1}), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n)) \right) \right) \\ & \quad + \psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} D_{H'}(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_k)) g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x)) \right) \\ & \quad + \psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \left(\sum_{k < l}^n (-1)^k g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_k) \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_l), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x)) \right) \\ & \quad + \psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k g(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathfrak{X}_k}), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n), \llbracket \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}) \right) \\ &= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(\delta_{\Pi}^{H'}(f, g)(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_1), \dots, \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{X}_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))) \\ &= p_{\Pi}(\delta_{\Pi}^{H'}(f, g))(\mathfrak{X}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{X}_n, x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the third equality holds since for all $x, y_n \in \mathfrak{g}$, $u \in \mathfrak{h}$, by using $(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}, \psi_{\mathfrak{h}})$ is a homomorphism from crossed homomorphism H' to crossed homomorphism H , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_H(y_n, x)\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(u) &= \llbracket \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(u), Hy_n, Hx \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu(y_n, x)\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(u) \\ &= \llbracket \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}(u), \psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ H' \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{h}} \circ H' \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}\mu(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))u \\ &= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\llbracket u, H' \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n), H' \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \mu(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))u\right) \\ &= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}\left(\mu_{H'}(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(y_n), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}(x))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that $p_{\text{II}}(\delta_{\text{II}}^{H'}(f, g)) = \delta_{\text{II}}^H(p_{\text{I}}(f), p_{\text{II}}(g))$ for all $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ ($n \geq 2$). Similarly, we can show that $p_{\text{I}}(\delta_{\text{I}}^{H'}(f, g)) = \delta_{\text{I}}^H(p_{\text{I}}(f), p_{\text{II}}(g))$ for all $(f, g) \in \mathfrak{C}_{H'}^n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ ($n \geq 2$). And moreover, it is easy to see that the case of $n = 1$ is still valid. This finishes the proof. \square

5. DEFORMATIONS OF CROSSED HOMOMORPHISMS BETWEEN LIE-YAMAGUTI ALGEBRAS

In this section, we use the cohomology theory established in the former section to characterize deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

5.1. Linear deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras. In this subsection, we use the cohomology constructed in the former section to characterize the linear deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Definition 5.1. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho, \mu)$. Let $\mathfrak{H} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a linear map. If $H_t := H + t\mathfrak{H}$ is still a crossed homomorphism for all t , then we say that \mathfrak{H} generates a **linear deformation** of the crossed homomorphism H .

It is easy to see that \mathfrak{H} generates a linear deformation of the crossed homomorphism H , then for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, there hold that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \rho(x)(\mathfrak{H}y) - \rho(y)(\mathfrak{H}x) + [Hx, \mathfrak{H}y]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [\mathfrak{H}x, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \mathfrak{H} \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} &= D(x, y)(\mathfrak{H}z) + \mu(y, z)(\mathfrak{H}x) - \mu(x, z)(\mathfrak{H}y) + \llbracket \mathfrak{H}x, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &\quad + \llbracket Hx, \mathfrak{H}y, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \llbracket Hx, Hy, \mathfrak{H}z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that \mathfrak{H} is a 2-cocycle of the crossed homomorphism H .

Definition 5.2. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) .

- (i) Two linear deformations $H_t^1 = H + t\mathfrak{H}_1$ and $H_t^2 = H + t\mathfrak{H}_2$ are called **equivalent** if there exists an element $\mathfrak{X} \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ such that $(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X}), \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X}))$ is a homomorphism from H_t^2 to H_t^1 .
- (ii) A linear deformation $H_t = H + t\mathfrak{H}$ of the crossed homomorphism H is called **trivial** if it is equivalent to H .

If two linear deformations H_t^2 and H_t^1 are equivalent, then $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})$ is a homomorphism on the Lie-Yamaguti algebra \mathfrak{g} , which implies that

$$(25) \quad \llbracket \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, y \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0,$$

$$(26) \quad \llbracket \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, y \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, t \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} + \llbracket \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, y \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, z, \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, t \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} + \llbracket y, \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \mathfrak{X}, t \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0,$$

$$(27) \quad \left[\left[\left[\mathfrak{X}, y \right]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \left[\mathfrak{X}, z \right]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \left[\mathfrak{X}, t \right]_{\mathfrak{g}} \right]_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$$

for all $y, z, t \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Note that by Eqs. (18) and (19), we obtain that for all $y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(28) \quad \rho(\left[\mathfrak{X}, y \right]_{\mathfrak{g}})D(\mathfrak{X}) = 0,$$

$$(29) \quad \mu(\left[\mathfrak{X}, y \right]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z)D(\mathfrak{X}) + \mu(y, \left[\mathfrak{X}, z \right]_{\mathfrak{g}})D(\mathfrak{X}) + \mu(\left[\mathfrak{X}, y \right]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \left[\mathfrak{X}, z \right]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 0,$$

$$(30) \quad \mu(\left[\mathfrak{X}, y \right]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \left[\mathfrak{X}, z \right]_{\mathfrak{g}})D(\mathfrak{X}) = 0.$$

Moreover, Eq. (17) yields that for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\left(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(x, y) \right) \left(H + t\mathfrak{S}_2 \right) z = \left(H + t\mathfrak{S}_1 \right) \left(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathfrak{Q}(x, y) \right) z,$$

which implies that

$$(31) \quad \mathfrak{S}_2 z - \mathfrak{S}_1 z = \mu(y, z)(Hx) - \mu(x, z)(Hy) + \left[Hx, Hy, Hz \right]_{\mathfrak{h}} = \partial(\mathfrak{X})z.$$

Thus by (31), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho, \mu)$. If two linear deformations $H_t^1 = H + t\mathfrak{S}_1$ and $H_t^2 = H + t\mathfrak{S}_2$ are equivalent, then \mathfrak{S}_1 and \mathfrak{S}_2 are in the same cohomology class in $\mathcal{H}_H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$.*

Definition 5.4. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . If an element $\mathfrak{X} \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ satisfies Eqs. (25)-(30) and the following equality

$$D(\mathfrak{X}) \left(D(\mathfrak{X})(Hy) - H[\mathfrak{X}, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \right) = 0, \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{g},$$

then \mathfrak{X} is called a **Nijenhuis element** associated to H . Denote by $\text{Nij}(H)$ the set of Nijenhuis elements associated to H .

It is easy to see that a trivial deformation of a crossed homomorphism between Lie-Yamaguti algebras gives rise to a Nijenhuis element. However, the converse is also true.

Theorem 5.5. *Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Then for any $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Nij}(H)$, $H_t := H + t\mathfrak{S}$ with $\mathfrak{S} = \delta^H(\mathfrak{X})$ is a linear deformation of H . Moreover, this deformation is trivial.*

We need the following lemma to prove the above theorem.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Let $\psi_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}} : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be two Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphisms such that (18) and (19) hold. Then the linear map $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} with respect to the action (ρ, μ) .*

Proof. For all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}})([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \left(\rho(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x))H(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)) - \rho(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))H(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)) + [H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \\ &= \rho(x) \left(\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y) \right) - \rho(y) \left(\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) \right) + [\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}})(\llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \left(D(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y))H(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)) + \mu(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z))H(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)) - \mu(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z))H(\psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \llbracket H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y), H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \\
&= D(x, y)(\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z)) + \mu(y, z)(\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x)) - \mu(x, z)(\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y)) \\
&\quad + \left[\left[\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(x), \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(y), \psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}(z) \right] \right]_{\mathfrak{h}},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that the linear map $\psi_{\mathfrak{h}}^{-1} \circ H \circ \psi_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} with respect to the action (ρ, μ) . \square

The proof of Theorem 5.5: For any Nijenhuis element $\mathfrak{X} \in \text{Nij}(H)$, we define

$$\mathfrak{S} := \delta(\mathfrak{X}).$$

By Definition 5.4, for any t , $H_t = H + t\mathfrak{S}$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned}
H \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})) &= (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X})) \circ H_t, \\
(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X})) \circ \rho(x) &= \rho(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})(y)) \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X})), \\
(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X})) \circ \mu(y, z) &= \rho(\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})(y), \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})(z)) \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X})), \quad \forall y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.
\end{aligned}$$

For t sufficiently small, we see that $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})$ and $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X})$ are Lie-Yamaguti algebra homomorphisms. Thus, we have

$$H_t = (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X}))^{-1} \circ H \circ (\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{X})).$$

By Lemma 5.6, we deduce that H_t is a crossed homomorphism from \mathfrak{g} to \mathfrak{h} for t sufficiently small. Thus $\mathfrak{S} = \delta(\mathfrak{X})$ generates a linear deformations of H . It is easy to see that this deformation is trivial. This completes the proof. \square

5.2. Formal deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras. In this subsection, we study formal deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras. Let $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ be a ring of power series of one variable t . If $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra, then there is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra structure over the ring $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ on $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i t^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j t^j \right] &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=s} [x_i, y_j] t^s, \\
\left[\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i t^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j t^j, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_k t^k \right] \right] &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j+k=s} \llbracket x_i, y_j, z_k \rrbracket t^s, \quad \forall x_i, y_j, z_k \in \mathfrak{g}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any action (ρ, μ) of a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ on another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$, there is a natural action of the Lie-Yamaguti algebra $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ on the $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -Lie-Yamaguti algebra $\mathfrak{h}[[t]]$ given by

$$\rho\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i t^i\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k t^k\right) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+k=s} \rho(x_i)v_k t^s,$$

$$\mu\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x_i t^i, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} y_j t^j\right)\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k t^k\right) = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j+k=s} \mu(x_i, x_j) v_k t^s, \quad \forall x_i, y_j \in \mathfrak{g}, v_k \in V.$$

Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Consider the power series

$$(32) \quad H_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_i t^i, \quad \mathfrak{H}_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}),$$

that is, $H_t \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})[[t]] = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}[[t]])$.

Definition 5.7. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Suppose that H_t is given by (32) with $\mathfrak{H}_0 = H$, and H_t also satisfies

$$(33) \quad H_t[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho(x)(H_t y) - \rho(y)(H_t x) + [H_t x, H_t y]_{\mathfrak{h}},$$

$$(34) \quad H_t \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = D(x, y)(H_t z) + \mu(y, z)(H_t x) - \mu(x, z)(H_t y) + \llbracket H_t x, H_t y, H_t z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}},$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. We say that H_t is a **formal deformation** of H .

Substituting the Eq. (32) into Eqs. (33) and (34) and comparing the coefficients of t^s ($\forall s \geq 0$), we have for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(35) \quad \sum_{\substack{i+j=s, \\ i, j \geq 0}} (\rho(x)(\mathfrak{H}_s y) - \rho(y)(\mathfrak{H}_s x) + [\mathfrak{H}_i x, \mathfrak{H}_j y]_{\mathfrak{h}} - \mathfrak{H}_s[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) t^s = 0,$$

$$(36) \quad \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=s, \\ i, j, k \geq 0}} (D(x, y)(\mathfrak{H}_s z) + \mu(y, z)(\mathfrak{H}_s x) - \mu(x, z)(\mathfrak{H}_s y) + \llbracket \mathfrak{H}_i x, \mathfrak{H}_j y, \mathfrak{H}_k z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} - \mathfrak{H}_s \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}) t^s = 0.$$

Proposition 5.8. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . If $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_i t^i$ is a formal deformation of H , then $\delta^H \mathfrak{H}_1 = 0$, i.e., $\mathfrak{H}_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is a 1-cocycle of H .

Proof. When $s = 1$, Eqs. (35) and (36) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_1[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} &= \rho(x)(\mathfrak{H}_1 y) - \rho(y)(\mathfrak{H}_1 x) + [Hx, \mathfrak{H}_1 y]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [\mathfrak{H}_1 x, Hy]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \mathfrak{H}_1 \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} &= D(x, y)(\mathfrak{H}_1 z) + \mu(y, z)(\mathfrak{H}_1 x) - \mu(x, z)(\mathfrak{H}_1 y) \\ &\quad + \llbracket \mathfrak{H}_1 x, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \llbracket Hx, \mathfrak{H}_1 y, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} + \llbracket Hx, Hy, \mathfrak{H}_1 z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\delta^H(\mathfrak{H}_1) = 0$, i.e., \mathfrak{H}_1 is a 1-cocycle of δ^H . \square

Definition 5.9. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . The 1-cocycle \mathfrak{H}_1 is called the **infinitesimal** of the formal deformation $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{H}_i t^i$ of H .

In the sequel, let us give the notion of equivalent formal deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras.

Definition 5.10. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Two formal deformations $\bar{H}_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{\xi}_i t^i$ and $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i t^i$, where $\bar{\xi}_0 = \xi_0 = H$ are said to be **equivalent** if there exist $\mathfrak{X} \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $\phi_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\varphi_i \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{h})$, $i \geq 2$, such that for

$$(37) \quad \phi_t = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} + t\mathcal{Q}(\mathfrak{X}) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \phi_i t^i, \quad \varphi_t = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}} + tD(\mathfrak{X}) + \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \varphi_i t^i,$$

the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(38) \quad [\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \phi_t[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \llbracket \phi_t(x), \phi_t(y), \phi_t(z) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = \phi_t \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g},$$

$$(39) \quad [\varphi_t(u), \varphi_t(v)]_{\mathfrak{h}} = \varphi_t[u, v]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \llbracket \varphi_t(u), \varphi_t(v), \varphi_t(w) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} = \varphi_t \llbracket u, v, w \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}, \quad \forall u, v, w \in \mathfrak{h},$$

$$(40) \quad \varphi_t \rho(x)u = \rho(\phi_t(x))(\varphi_t(u)), \quad \varphi_t \mu(x, y)u = \mu(\phi_t(x), \phi_t(y))(\varphi_t(u)), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, u \in \mathfrak{h},$$

and

$$(41) \quad H_t \circ \varphi_t = \phi_t \circ \bar{H}_t$$

as $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$ -module maps.

The following theorem is the second key conclusion in this section.

Theorem 5.11. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . If two formal deformations $\bar{H}_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{\xi}_i t^i$ and $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i t^i$ are equivalent, then their infinitesimals are in the same cohomology classes.

Proof. Let (ϕ_t, φ_t) be the maps defined by (37), which makes two deformations $\bar{H}_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{\xi}_i t^i$ and $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \xi_i t^i$ equivalent. By (41), we have

$$\bar{\xi}_1 z = \xi_1 z + \mu(y, z)(Hx) - \mu(x, z)(Hy) + \llbracket Hx, Hy, Hz \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}} = \xi_1 z + \partial(\mathfrak{X})(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{h},$$

which implies that $\bar{\xi}_1$ and ξ_1 are in the same cohomology class. \square

5.3. Order n deformations of crossed homomorphisms between Lie-Yamaguti algebras. In this subsection, we introduce a special cohomology class associated to an order n deformation of a crossed homomorphism, and show that a deformation of order n of a crossed homomorphism is extendable if and only if this cohomology class in the second cohomology group is trivial. This is why we call this special cohomology class the obstruction class of a deformation of order n being extendable.

Definition 5.12. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . If $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \xi_i t^i$ with $\xi_0 = H$, $\xi_i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, defines a $\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ -module from $\mathfrak{g}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ to the Lie-Yamaguti algebra $\mathfrak{h}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ satisfying

$$(42) \quad H_t[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho(x)(H_t y) - \rho(y)(H_t x) + [H_t x, H_t y]_{\mathfrak{h}},$$

$$(43) \quad H_t \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = D(x, y)(H_t z) + \mu(y, z)(H_t x) - \mu(x, z)(H_t y) + \llbracket H_t x, H_t y, H_t z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}},$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. we say that H_t is an **order n deformation** of H .

Remark 5.13. The left hand sides of Eqs. (42) and (43) hold in the Lie-Yamaguti algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ and the right hand sides of Eqs. (42) and (43) make sense since H_t is a $\mathbb{K}[t]/(t^{n+1})$ -module map.

Definition 5.14. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Let $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathfrak{H}_i t^i$ be an order n deformation of H . If there exists a 1-cochain $\mathfrak{H}_{n+1} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ such that $\widetilde{H}_t = T_t + \mathfrak{H}_{n+1} t^{n+1}$ is an order $n+1$ deformation of H , then we say that H_t is **extendable**.

The following theorem is the third key conclusion in this section.

Theorem 5.15. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action (ρ, μ) . Let $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathfrak{H}_i t^i$ be an order n deformation of H . Then H_t is extendable if and only if the cohomology class $[\text{Ob}^H] \in \mathcal{H}_H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is trivial, where $\text{Ob}^H = (\text{Ob}_I^H, \text{Ob}_{II}^H) \in \mathfrak{C}_H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ob}_I^H(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1, \\ 0 < i, j < n+1}} [\mathfrak{H}_i x, \mathfrak{H}_j y]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ \text{Ob}_{II}^H(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=n+1, \\ 0 < i, j, k < n+1}} \llbracket \mathfrak{H}_i x_1, \mathfrak{H}_j x_2, \mathfrak{H}_k x_3 \rrbracket, \quad \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\widetilde{H}_t = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \mathfrak{H}_i t^i$ be an extension of H_t , then for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(44) \quad \widetilde{H}_t[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho(x)(\widetilde{H}_t y) - \rho(y)(\widetilde{H}_t x) + [\widetilde{H}_t x, \widetilde{H}_t y]_{\mathfrak{h}},$$

$$(45) \quad \widetilde{H}_t \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} = D(x, y)(\widetilde{H}_t z) + \mu(y, z)(\widetilde{H}_t x) - \mu(x, z)(\widetilde{H}_t y) + \llbracket \widetilde{H}_t x, \widetilde{H}_t y, \widetilde{H}_t z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Expanding the Eq.(44) and comparing the coefficients of t^n yields that

$$\mathfrak{H}_{n+1}[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} = \rho(x)(\mathfrak{H}_{n+1} y) - \rho(y)(\mathfrak{H}_{n+1} x) + \sum_{i+j=n+1} [\mathfrak{H}_i x, \mathfrak{H}_j y]_{\mathfrak{h}},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(x)(\mathfrak{H}_{n+1} y) - \rho(y)(\mathfrak{H}_{n+1} x) + [\mathfrak{H}_{n+1} x, \mathfrak{H}_{n+1} y]_{\mathfrak{h}} + [x, \mathfrak{H}_{n+1} y]_{\mathfrak{h}} \\ &- \mathfrak{H}_{n+1}[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n+1, \\ 0 < i, j < n+1}} [\mathfrak{H}_i x, \mathfrak{H}_j y]_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$(46) \quad \text{Ob}_I^H + \delta_I^T(\mathfrak{H}_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Similarly, expanding the Eq.(45) and comparing the coefficients of t^n yields that

$$(47) \quad \text{Ob}_{II}^H + \delta_{II}^T(\mathfrak{H}_{n+1}) = 0.$$

From (46) and (47), we get

$$\text{Ob}^H = \delta^H(-\mathfrak{H}_{n+1}).$$

Thus, the cohomology class $[\text{Ob}^H]$ is trivial.

Conversely, suppose that the cohomology class $[\text{Ob}^H]$ is trivial, then there exists $\mathfrak{H}_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{C}_H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$, such that $\text{Ob}^H = -\delta^H(\mathfrak{H}_{n+1})$. Set $\widetilde{H}_t = H_t + \mathfrak{H}_{n+1} t^{n+1}$. Then for all $0 \leq s \leq n+1$, and for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, \widetilde{H}_t satisfies

$$\sum_{i+j=s} \left(\mathfrak{H}_s[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} - (\rho(x)(\mathfrak{H}_s y) - \rho(y)(\mathfrak{H}_s x) + [\mathfrak{H}_i x, \mathfrak{H}_j y]_{\mathfrak{h}}) \right) = 0,$$

$$\sum_{i+j+k=s} \left(\mathfrak{H}_s \llbracket x, y, z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}} - (D(x, y)(\mathfrak{H}_s z) + \mu(y, z)(\mathfrak{H}_s x) - \mu(x, z)(\mathfrak{H}_s y) + \llbracket \mathfrak{H}_i x, \mathfrak{H}_j y, \mathfrak{H}_k z \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}}) \right) = 0,$$

which implies that \widetilde{H}_t is an order $n + 1$ deformation of H . Hence it is an extension of H_t . \square

Definition 5.16. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho, \mu)$, and $H_t = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathfrak{H}_i t^i$ be an order n deformation of H . Then the cohomology class $[\text{Ob}^H] \in \mathcal{H}_H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ defined in Theorem 5.15 is called the **obstruction class** of H_t being extendable.

Corollary 5.17. Let $H : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be a crossed homomorphism from a Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{g}})$ to another Lie-Yamaguti algebra $(\mathfrak{h}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{h}}, \llbracket \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{h}})$ with respect to an action $(\mathfrak{h}; \rho, \mu)$. If $\mathcal{H}_H^2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = 0$, then every 1-cocycle in $\mathcal{Z}_H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ is the infinitesimal of some formal deformation of H .

Acknowledgements: Qiao was partially supported by NSFC grant 11971282. Xu was partially supported by NSFC grant 12201253 and Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province BK20220510.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Balavoine. Deformations of algebras over a quadratic operad. Operad: Proceedings of Renaissance Conferences (Hartford, CT/Luminy, 1995), *Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, vol. **202**, (1997) 207-234. [2](#)
- [2] P. Benito, M. Bremner, and S. Madariaga. Symmetric matriaces, orthogonal Lie algebras and Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **63** (2015) 1257-1287. [2](#)
- [3] P. Benito, C. Draper, and A. Elduque. Lie-Yamaguti algebras related to \mathfrak{g}_2 , *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **202** (2005) 22-54. [2](#)
- [4] P. Benito, A. Elduque, and F. Martín-Herce. Irreducible Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213** (2009) 795-808. [2](#)
- [5] P. Benito, A. Elduque, and F. Martín-Herce. Irreducible Lie-Yamaguti algebras of generic type, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **215** (2011) 108-130. [2](#)
- [6] V. Chari and A. Pressley. A Guide to Quantum Groups, Cambridge University Press, 1994. [1](#)
- [7] I. Dorfman. Dirac structures and integrability of nonlinear evolution equations, Wiley Chichester, 1993. [2](#)
- [8] M. Gerstenhaber. The cohomology structure of an associative ring, *Ann. Math.* **78** (1963) 267-288. [2](#)
- [9] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformations of rings and algebras, *Ann. Math.*(2) **79** (1964) 59-103. [2](#)
- [10] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformations of rings and algebras II, *Ann. Math.* **84** (1966) 1-19. [2](#)
- [11] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformations of rings and algebras III, *Ann. Math.* **88** (1968) 1-34. [2](#)
- [12] M. Gerstenhaber. On the deformations of rings and algebras IV, *Ann. Math.* **99** (1974) 257-276. [2](#)
- [13] R. Hartshore. Deformation Theory, *Graduate Texts in Math.* 257 (2010) Springer, Berlin. [1](#)
- [14] S. Hou, M. Hu, L. Song, and Y. Zhou. Cohomology and the controlling algebra of crossed homomorphisms on 3-Lie algebras, arXiv:2212.02729 [2](#)
- [15] K. Iohara, Y. Koga. Representation Theory of the Virasoro Algebra, Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London (2011). [1](#)
- [16] M. K. Kinyon and A. Weinstein. Leibniz algebras, Courant algebroids and multiplications on reductive homogeneous spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001) 525-550. [2, 3](#)
- [17] K. Kodaira and D. Spencer. On deformations of complex analytic structures I and II, *Ann. Math.* **67** (1958) 328-466. [1](#)
- [18] J. Lin, L. Chen, and Y. Ma. On the deformaions of Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *Acta. Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **31** (2015) 938-946. [2](#)
- [19] D. Liu, Y. Pei, and L. Xia. A category of restricted modules for the Ovisenko-Roger algebra, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **25** (2022), no.3, 777-791. [1](#)

- [20] J. Liu, Y. Sheng, Y. Zhou, and C. Bai. Nijenhuis operators on n -Lie algebras, *Commun. Theor. Phys. (Beijing)*, **65**(2016) no.6, 659-670. [2](#)
- [21] A. Lue. Crossed homomorphisms of Lie algebras, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **62**(1966), 577-581. [2](#)
- [22] Y. Ma, L. Chen, and J. Lin. One-parameter formal deformations of Hom-Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **56** (2015) 011701, 12pp. [2](#)
- [23] A. Nijenhuis and R. Richardson. Cohomology and deformations in graded Lie algebras, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **72** (1966) 1-29. [2](#)
- [24] K. Nomizu. Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954) 33-65. [2](#), [4](#)
- [25] Y. Pei, Y. Sheng, R. Tang, and K. Zhao. Actions of monoidal categories and representations of Cartan type Lie algebras, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* (2022) Doi:10.1017/S147474802200007X. [2](#)
- [26] Y. Sheng and R. Tang. Symplectic, product, and complex structures on 3-Lie algebras. *J. Algebra* **508** (2018) 256-300. [2](#)
- [27] Y. Sheng, J. Zhao, and Y. Zhou. Nijenhuis operators, product structures and complex structures on Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *J. Algebra Appl.* Vol. 20, No. 8 (2021) 2150146, 22pages. [2](#), [7](#)
- [28] N. Takahashi. Modules over quadratic spaces and representations of Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *J. Lie Theory* **31** (2021) no.4, 897-932. [2](#), [7](#)
- [29] R. Tang, C. Bai, L. Guo, and Y. Sheng. Deformations and their controlling cohomologies of O -operators, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **368** (2019) 655-700. [2](#)
- [30] R. Tang, S. Hou, and Y. Sheng. Lie 3-algebras and deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on 3-Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **567** (2021) 37-62. [2](#)
- [31] R. Tang, Y. Sheng, and Y. Zhou. Deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on Leibniz algebra, *Int. J. Geom. Methods in Modern Phys.* Vol. 17, No. 12 (2020) 21pp. [2](#)
- [32] K. Yamaguti. On the Lie triple system and its generalization, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A* **21** (1957/1958) 155-160. [2](#), [3](#)
- [33] K. Yamaguti. On cohomology groups of general Lie triple systems, *Kumamoto J. Sci. A* **8** (1967/1969) 135-146. [2](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- [34] K. Yamaguti. On the cohomology space of Lie triple systems, *Kumamoto J. Sci. A* **5**(1) (1960) 44-52. [2](#)
- [35] T. Zhang and J. Li. Deformations and extension of Lie-Yamaguti algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **63** (2015) 2212-2231. [2](#), [8](#)
- [36] T. Zhang and J. Li. Representations and cohomologies of Lie-Yamaguti algebras with applications, *Colloq. Math.* **148** (2017) 131-155. [2](#)
- [37] J. Zhao and Y. Qiao. Cohomology and relative Rota-Baxter-Nijenhuis structures on LieYRep pairs, *J. Geom. Phys.* **186** (2023) No. 104749, 24pp. [2](#)
- [38] J. Zhao and Y. Qiao. Cohomology and deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on Lie-Yamaguti algebras, arXiv:2204.04872. [2](#)

JIA ZHAO, SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, NANTONG UNIVERSITY, NANTONG, 226019, JIANGSU, CHINA
Email address: zhaojia@ntu.edu.cn

YU QIAO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SHAANXI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710119, SHAANXI, CHINA
Email address: yqiao@snnu.edu.cn

SEN RONG XU (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR), SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, JIANGSU UNIVERSITY, ZHENJIANG, 212013, JIANGSU, CHINA
Email address: senrongxu@ujs.edu.cn