

EIGENVALUE ESTIMATES FOR THE FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN ON LATTICE SUBGRAPHS

JIAXUAN WANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce the the fractional Laplacian on a subgraph of a graph with Dirichlet boundary condition. For a lattice graph, we prove the upper and lower estimates for the sum of the first k Dirichlet eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian, extending the classical results by Li-Yau and Kröger.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 05C63, 35J91, 35P15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the fractional Laplacian appears in different research fields in mathematics, the properties and applications of this operator in \mathbb{R}^n are of growing interests in the literature, e.g. [Fra16, CRS⁺15, Kwa15, Poz16, SV15, CKS10]. In this paper, we introduce the fractional Laplacian on a locally finite connected graph and define the fractional Laplacian operator with Dirichlet boundary condition on a finite subgraph. For an integer lattice graph, we derive the upper and lower estimates of the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian.

In a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem as follows:

$$\begin{cases} Lu + \lambda u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let L be the Laplacian, and we can order the eigenvalues as

$$0 < \lambda_1(\Omega) < \lambda_2(\Omega) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k(\Omega) \leq \dots$$

Let $V(\Omega)$ be the volume of the domain Ω and V_d be the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . In 1912, Weyl [Wey12] proved that as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \sim C_d \left(\frac{k}{V(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}},$$

where $C_d = (2\pi)^2 V_d^{-\frac{2}{d}}$. For any planar domain Ω which tiles \mathbb{R}^2 , Pólya [P61] gave the lower bound estimate that

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq C_d \left(\frac{k}{V(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}}.$$

His proof actually works in any dimension $d \geq 2$, and he conjectured that this estimate holds for all bounded domains in \mathbb{R}^d . To solve this conjecture, Lieb [Lie79] obtained the result that

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq D_d \left(\frac{k}{V(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}}.$$

Here the constant $D_d < C_d$ which is proportional to C_d . Later in 1983, Li-Yau [LY83] derived the sharp estimate that

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega) \geq \frac{d}{d+2} C_d \left(\frac{k}{V(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}},$$

called the Berezin-Li-Yau inequality, improving the constant D_d to $\frac{d}{d+2} C_d$. The upper bound estimate was proved by Kröger [Kro94] for large k in 1994, which includes an extra term depending on the geometry of Ω .

For the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$, $0 < s < 1$, we denote by $\lambda_{s,i}(\Omega)$ the i -th eigenvalue of $(-\Delta)^s$, and the Berezin-Li-Yau type inequality was generalized in [YY13] as

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_{s,i}(\Omega) \geq \frac{d}{d+2s} C_d^s \left(\frac{k}{V(\Omega)} \right)^{\frac{2s}{d}}.$$

In recent years, people paid attention to the analysis on graphs. The graph Laplacian is studied in the literature, e.g. [GMS90, GM00, HK13, BL21]. There are some results for the fractional graph Laplacian, for example [GGWY22, YL22, BBDS20, Sch21]. However, the fractional Laplacian in these work is always defined on the whole graph, without reference to the Dirichlet eigenvalues of this operator. In this paper, for a locally finite, simple, undirected, connected graph G , we introduce the definition of the fractional Laplacian on a subgraph with Dirichlet boundary condition.

We recall the setting of graphs. A locally finite, simple, undirected and connected graph $G = (V, E)$ consists of the set of vertices V and the set of edges E . We call two vertices x, y neighbours, denoted by $x \sim y$, if there exists $e \in E$ connecting x and y . A subgraph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ of G means $V_1 \subset V$ and $E_1 \subset E$. In this paper we always consider locally finite, simple, undirected and connected graphs. We write $x \in G$ instead of $x \in V$ and denote by $|G|$ the number of vertices in G .

Recall that the graph Laplacian is defined as, for any $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\Delta f(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} (f(y) - f(x)).$$

Let $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ be the fractional Laplacian operator, $0 < \alpha < 2$. Here we use the equivalent definition (Bochner's definition) in [Kwa15] to describe the fractional Laplacian on G ,

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty (u(x) - e^{t\Delta} u(x)) t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} dt,$$

where $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $e^{t\Delta}$ denotes the heat semigroup, see Definition 2.2. Given a finite subgraph $G_1 \subsetneq G$, we introduce the fractional Laplacian operator with Dirichlet boundary condition, denoted by $L_{G_1}^\alpha$, which is defined as

$$L_{G_1}^\alpha u(x) = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^*(x)|_{G_1}.$$

Here $u : G_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and $u^* : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the zero extension of u . One readily sees that $L_{G_1}^\alpha$ is a real symmetric operator on G_1 . The Dirichlet eigenvalue problem is given by

$$L_{G_1}^\alpha u(x) = \lambda u(x).$$

There are $|G_1|$ solutions and corresponding real eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1(G_1) < \lambda_2(G_1) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{|G_1|}(G_1).$$

See Section 2.2 for more properties about this operator.

Consider the infinite d -dimensional integer lattice \mathbb{Z}^d as a graph. We study the fractional Laplacian on a finite subgraph Ω of \mathbb{Z}^d by the Fourier transform. In 2021, Bauer and Lippner [BL21] studied the graph Laplacian eigenvalues with Dirichlet boundary condition on Ω . For the average of the first k Dirichlet eigenvalues, they obtained the upper estimate in the spirit of Kröger [Kro94] and the lower estimate in the spirit of Li-Yau [LY83]. In this paper, we follow the proof strategies in [Kro94, LY83, BL21] to derive the upper and lower bounds of Dirichlet eigenvalues for the fractional Laplacian.

For a finite subgraph $\Omega \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$, we define a boundary term

$$|\partial^\alpha \Omega| = \sum_{\substack{x \in \Omega^c \\ y \in \Omega}} Q_\alpha(x, y) < +\infty.$$

This could be regarded as the size of the boundary associated with L_Ω^α . Here $Q_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} p(t, x, y) dt$, and $p(t, x, y)$ is the heat kernel on \mathbb{Z}^d , see Definition 2.2. The following are main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d , and the operator L_Ω^α be the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ with Dirichlet boundary condition, $0 < \alpha < 2$. Then*

(a): *for all $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, \frac{V_d}{2^d}\}|\Omega|$, we have the upper bound estimate*

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega) \leq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} + \frac{|\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|}.$$

For $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, \frac{V_d}{2^{d+1}}\}|\Omega|$, there holds

$$\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega) \leq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d \cdot 2^{\frac{d+\alpha}{d}}}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} + 2 \frac{|\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|}.$$

(b): For all $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, (\frac{2^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\sqrt{3}}{2\pi})^d V_d\}|\Omega|$, the lower bound estimate is given as

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega) \geq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} - (2\pi)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{d}{d+2\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{d}}.$$

The proof strategy is as follows. The upper bound estimate follows from Rayleigh-Ritz formula. Let $\{\phi_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq |\Omega|}$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions, V_k be the subspace of \mathbb{C}^Ω spanned by ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_k and P_k be the orthogonal projection to V_k . First by the Rayleigh quotient, we write $\lambda_{k+1} = \inf_{0 \neq w \perp V_k} \frac{\langle w, L_\Omega^\alpha w \rangle_\Omega}{\langle w, w \rangle_\Omega}$. For any $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, set $g = P_k g + g - P_k g$ and we have Lemma 3.1. With the Fourier transform, we choose $g(x) = h_z(x) = e^{i\langle x, z \rangle}$ and integrate in a measurable subset B of $[-\pi, \pi]^d$ to prove Lemma 3.2. Finally, we prove (a) by choosing proper set B . For the lower bound estimate, the key is to prove an integral inequality (Lemma 3.5) which is an adaptation of Li and Yau's method. To prove this lemma, we construct a radially symmetric function $\varphi(z) : [-\pi, \pi]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies $0 \leq \varphi(z) \leq (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ and

$$\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} F(z) dz \geq \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \varphi(z) F(z) dz.$$

One easily sees that $\tilde{F} = M1_{B_R}$ (see Lemma 3.5) minimizes the integral $\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \varphi(z) F(z) dz$, which gives the lower bound of $\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} F(z) dz$. By choosing $F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^k |\langle \phi_j, h_z \rangle_\Omega|^2$, (b) is derived from this lemma.

Note that on Ω , comparing to the Laplacian, we know the fractional Laplacian is a global operator, while the Laplacian is a local operator. Recall that

$$\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d | \exists y \in \Omega : y \sim x\}, \quad \partial\Omega = \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega.$$

Here we define a new boundary term $|\partial^\alpha \Omega|$, which depends on all vertices outside Ω , different from the finite sum term $|\partial\Omega|$. In addition, the leading term of our estimates is

$$(2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d|\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}},$$

which is the same as [YY13]. Letting $\alpha \rightarrow 2$, we know the operator tends to the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition. The leading term is consistent with [LY83, Kro94], and our results actually are generalizations of [BL21].

The paper is organized as follows: In next section, we introduce the fractional Laplacian on a locally finite connected graph G , and define the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem on a finite subgraph. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Ruwei Li, Fengwen Han, Feng Zhou, Zuoqin Wang for helpful discussions, and thank B. Hua

for useful guidance and suggestions. J.X. Wang is supported by Shanghai Science and Technology Program [Project No. 22JC1400100].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The fractional Laplacian on graphs.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a locally finite, simple, undirected and connected graph. The Laplacian on G is defined as

$$\Delta u(x) = \sum_{y \sim x} (u(y) - u(x)),$$

where $u \in C(G) := \{f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$, and clearly Δ is a local operator. We know the heat equation on G is given as

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(t, x) = \Delta v(t, x) & \text{in } (0, +\infty) \times G, \\ v(0, x) = u(x) & \text{on } G. \end{cases}$$

We denote the solution $v(t, x)$ of (1) by $e^{t\Delta}u(x)$, and the heat kernel by $p(t, x, y)$. We have

$$e^{t\Delta}u(x) = \sum_{y \in G} p(t, x, y)u(y).$$

Here we recall some fundamental properties as follows.

Proposition 2.1 ([HLLY17, Woj08]). *For $t > 0$, and any $x, y \in G$, we have*

- (a) $p(t, x, y) = p(t, y, x)$.
- (b) $p(t, x, y) > 0$.
- (c) $\sum_{y \in G} p(t, x, y) \leq 1$. *The graph G is stochastically complete if $\sum_{y \in G} p(t, x, y) = 1$.*
- (d) $\partial_t p(t, x, y) = \Delta_x p(t, x, y) = \Delta_y p(t, x, y)$.
- (e) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} p(t, x, y) = 1_{\{x=y\}}$.

We always consider stochastically complete graphs. With these properties, we give the definition of the fractional Laplacian.

Definition 2.2. *For a graph $G = (V, E)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, the fractional Laplacian is defined as*

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty (u(x) - e^{t\Delta}u(x)) t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} dt \\ &= \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u(x) - u(y)), \end{aligned}$$

where for $x \neq y$,

$$0 < Q_\alpha(x, y) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} p(t, x, y) dt < +\infty,$$

and $\sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} Q_\alpha(x, y) < +\infty$. For any $u \in H_\alpha(G) := \{u \in C(G) \mid \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} Q_\alpha(x, y) |u(y)| < +\infty\}$, the fractional Laplacian is well-defined.

Proof. For any $u \in H_\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty (u(x) - e^{t\Delta} u(x)) t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty (u(x) - \sum_{y \in G} p(t, x, y) u(y)) t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} p(t, x, y) (u(x) - u(y)) t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} dt \\ &= \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u(x) - u(y)). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.1, it is easy to see $Q_\alpha(x, y) > 0$. Using the fact that $0 < \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} p(t, x, y) \leq 1$, and

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} p(t, x, y) \right| = |1 - p(t, x, x)| = |p(0, x, x) - p(t, x, x)| = |t \cdot \partial_t p(\xi, x, x)| \leq ct,$$

we know $\sum_{\substack{y \in G \\ y \neq x}} Q_\alpha(x, y) < +\infty$. Since $u \in H_\alpha(\Omega)$, by above calculation one easily sees that $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is well-defined. \square

2.2. The Dirichlet eigenvalue problem and some properties.

Let $G_1 \subsetneq G$ be a finite subgraph. By Definition 2.2, we know that $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is well-defined on G . Suppose $u \in C(G_1)$, and we define the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem on G_1 as follows,

$$(3) \quad L_{G_1}^\alpha u(x) = (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^*(x)|_{G_1} = \lambda u(x).$$

Here $L_{G_1}^\alpha$ denotes the fractional Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary condition on G_1 , and $u^*(x) \in C(G)$ obtained by extending functions to be 0 on G_1^c .

$L_{G_1}^\alpha$ becomes a finite dimensional operator, and then has $|G_1|$ eigenvalues. Note that for any $u \in C(G_1)$, we have $u^* \in l^\infty(G) \subset H_\alpha(G)$. Further the quadratic form $\langle u, L_{G_1}^\alpha u \rangle_{G_1} = \langle u^*, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^*(x) \rangle_G$, with the natural Hermitian inner product, is well-defined. We give some properties as follows.

Proposition 2.3. $\forall u, v \in C(G_1)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, we have that

$$(a) \quad \langle L_{G_1}^\alpha u, v \rangle_{G_1} = \langle u, L_{G_1}^\alpha v \rangle_{G_1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u^*(x) - u^*(y)) \overline{(v^*(x) - v^*(y))} = \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u(x) - u(y)) \overline{(v(x) - v(y))} + \sum_{\substack{x \in G_1 \\ y \in G_1^c}} Q_\alpha(x, y) u(x) \overline{v(x)}.$$

(b) The eigenvalues are positive real numbers, labelling as

$$0 < \lambda_1(G_1) < \lambda_2(G_1) \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{|G_1|}(G_1).$$

(c) $\phi_1 > 0$, where $\{\phi_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq |\Omega|}$ denote the corresponding real eigenfunctions.

(d) For any $f \in C(G_1)$, the Poisson's equation $L_{G_1}^\alpha u(x) = f$ has a unique solution in $C(G_1)$.

Proof.

(a): From (3), Proposition 2.1 and Definition 2.2, we have $Q_\alpha(x, y) = Q_\alpha(y, x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle L_{G_1}^\alpha u, v \rangle_{G_1} = \langle (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^*(x), v^*(x) \rangle_G \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u^*(x) - u^*(y)) \overline{v^*(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u^*(x) - u^*(y)) \overline{(v^*(x) - v^*(y))} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u(x) - u(y)) \overline{(v(x) - v(y))} + \sum_{\substack{x \in G_1 \\ y \in G_1^c}} Q_\alpha(x, y) u(x) \overline{v(x)} \\ &= \langle u, L_{G_1}^\alpha v \rangle_{G_1}. \end{aligned}$$

(b): The property (a) tells us that the operator $L_{G_1}^\alpha$ is self-adjoint and positive definite, which contains that the eigenvalues are positive and real numbers. To prove λ_1 is single, we suppose that there exist real eigenfunctions ϕ_1 and φ_1 corresponding to λ_1 , which satisfy $\phi_1 \neq c\varphi_1$. Select a constant k to make $\phi_1 - k\varphi_1$ a sign changing eigenfunction, which contradicts the property (c).

(c): Suppose $\langle \phi_1, \phi_1 \rangle_{G_1} = 1$. If ϕ_1 changes sign, we choose $\phi_1(x_1) < 0$ and $\phi_1(x_2) > 0$. Define $\tilde{\phi}_1 = |\phi_1|$, and there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \langle \phi_1, L_{G_1}^\alpha \phi_1 \rangle_{G_1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G_1 \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y))^2 + \sum_{\substack{x \in G_1 \\ y \in G_1^c}} Q_\alpha(x, y) \phi_1^2(x) \\ &> \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G_1 \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (\tilde{\phi}_1(x) - \tilde{\phi}_1(y))^2 + \sum_{\substack{x \in G_1 \\ y \in G_1^c}} Q_\alpha(x, y) \tilde{\phi}_1^2(x) \\ &= \langle \tilde{\phi}_1, L_{G_1}^\alpha \tilde{\phi}_1 \rangle_{G_1}, \end{aligned}$$

causing the contradiction.

The above argument derives that $\phi_1 \geq 0$. We assume $G_1 \supset M = \{x \in G_1 : \phi_1(x) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$a = \min_{x \in G_1} \{\phi_1(x) \neq 0\} > 0,$$

$$a_1 = \sum_{\substack{x \in M \\ y \in G_1^c}} Q_\alpha(x, y) > 0,$$

$$a_2 = \sum_{\substack{x \in M \\ y \in G_1 \setminus M}} Q_\alpha(x, y) > 0,$$

and choose $0 < \epsilon < \frac{2aa_2}{a_1+a_2} < 2a$. Then we consider a new function

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(x) & , x \notin M, \\ \epsilon & , x \in M. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\langle u, u \rangle_{G_1} = 1 + |M|\epsilon^2$, and $\frac{2a-\epsilon}{\epsilon} > \frac{a_1}{a_2}$. By calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, L_{G_1}^\alpha u \rangle_{G_1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{x, y \in G_1 \\ x \neq y}} Q_\alpha(x, y) (u(x) - u(y))^2 + \sum_{\substack{x \in G_1 \\ y \in G_1^c}} Q_\alpha(x, y) u^2(x) \\ &= \langle \phi_1, L_{G_1}^\alpha \phi_1 \rangle_{G_1} + \sum_{\substack{x \in M \\ y \in G_1^c}} Q_\alpha(x, y) \epsilon^2 + \sum_{\substack{x \in M \\ y \in G_1 \setminus M}} Q_\alpha(x, y) [(\epsilon - u(y))^2 - (0 - u(y))^2] \\ &= \lambda_1 + a_1 \epsilon^2 - \sum_{\substack{x \in M \\ y \in G_1 \setminus M}} Q_\alpha(x, y) \epsilon (2u(y) - \epsilon) \\ &\leq \lambda_1 + a_1 \epsilon^2 - a_2 \epsilon (2a - \epsilon) = \lambda_1 + (a_1 - \frac{2a - \epsilon}{\epsilon} a_2) \epsilon^2 < \lambda_1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

(d): The unique solution is given by $(L_{G_1}^\alpha)^{-1} f$. \square

2.3. The Fourier transform on \mathbb{Z}^d .

Let \mathbb{Z}^d be the infinite d-dimensional integer lattice, and we choose $S = \{e_1, \dots, e_d, -e_1, \dots, -e_d\}$ as the generating set. Here $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is the vector whose i-th component is 1 and the rest is 0. Thus we can think \mathbb{Z}^d as the Cayley graph generated by S . From Definition 2.2 and (3), we are clear about the definitions of the fractional Laplacian and the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem on \mathbb{Z}^d . In this subsection we introduce the Fourier transform on \mathbb{Z}^d , and derive some critical equalities and inequalities on a finite subgraph Ω of \mathbb{Z}^d .

For $z \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$, let us define $h_z(x) : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $h_z(x) = e^{i\langle x, z \rangle}$, and $\langle x, z \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i z_i$. Thus the Fourier transform on \mathbb{Z}^d is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} : \quad \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}^d} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \\ u &\mapsto \hat{u}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and

$$\hat{u}(z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle x, z \rangle} u(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \langle u, h_z \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}^d},$$

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d . By (3), if $u \in C(\Omega)$, it is easy to see $u^* \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ and $\widehat{L_\Omega^\alpha u}$ is well-defined.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we introduce the function $\Phi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^d (2 - 2 \cos z_i)$, $z \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$, and prove some relevant lemmas as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *For any $u \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, $\xi \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$, we have*

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(\xi) = (\Phi(\xi))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

Proof. By Definition 2.2, we apply the Fourier transform operator to both sides of the equation (2) and get

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(\xi) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty (\widehat{u}(\xi) - e^{t\Delta} \widehat{u}(\xi)) t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} dt.$$

For the heat equation (1), we also apply the operator \mathcal{F} to both sides, and the equations are transformed into

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{v}(t, \xi) = - \sum_{j=1}^d (2 - e^{i\langle e_j, \xi \rangle} - e^{-i\langle e_j, \xi \rangle}) \widehat{v}(t, \xi) \\ \quad = -\Phi(\xi) \widehat{v}(t, \xi) & \text{in } (0, +\infty) \times [-\pi, \pi]^d, \\ \widehat{v}(0, \xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi) & \text{in } [-\pi, \pi]^d. \end{cases}$$

The solution is given as $e^{t\Delta} \widehat{u}(\xi) = \widehat{v}(t, \xi) = e^{-\Phi(\xi)t} \widehat{u}(\xi)$. Hence, by integrating by parts for $\Gamma(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$, we derive that

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(\xi) = \widehat{u}(\xi) \cdot \frac{1}{|\Gamma(-\frac{\alpha}{2})|} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\Phi(\xi)t}) t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} dt = (\Phi(\xi))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

□

With Lemma 2.4 and the Plancherel formula, we observe that for any $u, v \in C(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, L_\Omega^\alpha u \rangle_\Omega &= \langle v^*, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u^* \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}^d} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \langle \widehat{v}^*, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{u}^* \rangle_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(\xi))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{v}^*(\xi) \widehat{u}^*(\xi) d\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and this integral is bounded.

Lemma 2.5. *Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d . For any $u \in C(\Omega)$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, there holds*

(a)

$$\langle u, u \rangle_\Omega = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} |\langle u, h_z \rangle_\Omega|^2 dz.$$

(b)

$$\langle u, L_\Omega^\alpha u \rangle_\Omega = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\langle u, h_z \rangle_\Omega|^2 dz.$$

Proof.

(a): The result comes from the Plancherel formula.

(b): From (4), let us replace v with u , then we have

$$\langle u, L_\Omega^\alpha u \rangle_\Omega = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\widehat{u^*}(z)|^2 dz.$$

Since $\widehat{u^*}(z) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle x, z \rangle} u^*(x) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} u(x) \overline{h_z}(x) = \langle u, h_z \rangle_\Omega$, the proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d . For $0 < \alpha < 2$, there holds*

(a)

$$\langle h_z, h_z \rangle_\Omega = |\Omega|.$$

(b)

$$|\langle h_z, L_\Omega^\alpha h_z \rangle_\Omega| \leq (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\Omega| + |\partial^\alpha \Omega|,$$

where

$$|\partial^\alpha \Omega| = \sum_{\substack{x \in \Omega^c \\ y \in \Omega}} Q_\alpha(x, y) < +\infty.$$

Proof.

(a):

$$\langle h_z, h_z \rangle_\Omega = \sum_{x \in \Omega} h_z(x) \overline{h_z}(x) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} 1 = |\Omega|.$$

(b): Since

$$|\langle h_z, L_\Omega^\alpha h_z \rangle_\Omega| = |\langle h_z^*, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_z^* \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}^d}| = \left| \sum_{x \in \Omega} e^{-i\langle x, z \rangle} \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_z^*(x) \right|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle h_z, (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_z^* \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}^d}| = \left| \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{-i\langle x, z \rangle} \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_z^*(x) \right| \\ & = |(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \widehat{(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_z^*}(z)| = |(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \widehat{h_z^*}(z)| \\ & = |(\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sum_{x \in \Omega} h_z(x) \cdot e^{-i\langle x, z \rangle}| = (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\Omega|, \end{aligned}$$

we can estimate the left-hand side of this inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle h_z, L_\Omega^\alpha h_z \rangle_\Omega| & \leq (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\Omega| + \sum_{x \in \Omega^c} |e^{-i\langle x, z \rangle} \cdot (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} h_z^*(x)| \\ & \leq (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\Omega| + \sum_{\substack{x \in \Omega^c \\ y \in \mathbb{Z}^d}} |Q_\alpha(x, y) h_z^*(y)| \\ & \leq (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\Omega| + |\partial^\alpha \Omega|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.7. *Letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ on \mathbb{Z}^d , we know $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ tends to the identity operator. We actually have*

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = u(x) + sL_\Delta u(x) + o(s) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where L_Δ is the logarithmic Laplacian. By the Fourier transform we define

$$\langle v, L_\Delta u \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \ln \Phi(\xi) \widehat{v}(\xi) \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi,$$

which is well-defined for $u, v \in l^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$. There have been a lot of researches about the logarithmic Laplacian in the continuous case, and the above properties of the fractional Laplacian may provide some information on the logarithmic Laplacian in the discrete case.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d . The eigenvalues of the operator L_Ω^α label as

$$0 < \lambda_1 < \dots \leq \lambda_{|\Omega|},$$

and $\{\phi_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq |\Omega|}$ are the corresponding standardized eigenfunctions. In this section, we follow the methods in [LY83, BL21, Kro94] to derive the upper and lower bounds of the Dirichlet eigenvalues of the fractional Laplacian, proving Theorem 1.1.

3.1. Upper bound.

We start from a general lemma (proved in [BL21]) of eigenvalues.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 3.1 in [BL21]). *Let L be a self-adjoint, positive semi-definite operator on a finite dimensional, Hermitian, complex vector space W with Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $0 \leq \gamma_1 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_s$ denote its eigenvalues, and let us choose an orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $f_i : i = 1, \dots, s$, where f_i corresponds to γ_i . Then for any $1 \leq k \leq s$ and any vector $g \in W$ one has*

$$(5) \quad \gamma_{k+1} \langle g, g \rangle \leq \langle g, Lg \rangle + \sum_{j=1}^k (\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_j) |\langle g, f_j \rangle|^2.$$

In this lemma, we choose $g = h_z, f_j = \phi_j$, and we can derive an inequality between λ_{k+1} and $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d . For any $1 \leq k \leq |\Omega|$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, and $B \subset [-\pi, \pi]^d$ measurable, we have*

$$\lambda_{k+1} (|\Omega||B| - (2\pi)^d k) \leq |\Omega| \cdot \int_{z \in B} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dz - (2\pi)^d \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j + |B| \cdot |\partial^\alpha \Omega|,$$

where $\Phi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^d (2 - 2 \cos z_i)$, and $\lambda_{k+1} = 0$ if $k = |\Omega|$.

Proof. In Lemma 3.1, we choose

$$W = \mathbb{C}^\Omega, \quad L = L_\Omega^\alpha, \quad g = h_z, \quad \gamma_j = \lambda_j, \quad f_j = \phi_j.$$

From Proposition 2.3 we know the above choice satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1. Let us integrate both sides of the inequality (5) and we have

$$\lambda_{k+1} \int_{z \in B} \langle h_z, h_z \rangle_\Omega \leq \int_{z \in B} \langle h_z, L_\Omega^\alpha h_z \rangle_\Omega + \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \int_{z \in B} |\langle h_z, \phi_j \rangle_\Omega|^2.$$

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, the left-hand side is equal to $\lambda_{k+1}|B||\Omega|$, while

the right-side hand

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{z \in B} ((\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\Omega| + |\partial^\alpha \Omega|) dz + (2\pi)^d \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j) \langle \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle_\Omega \\ &= |\Omega| \cdot \int_{z \in B} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dz + |B| \cdot |\partial^\alpha \Omega| + (2\pi)^d \sum_{j=1}^k (\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_j), \end{aligned}$$

which completes this lemma. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d . For $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, \frac{V_d}{2^d}\}|\Omega|$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$, we obtain the upper bound estimate*

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \leq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} + \frac{|\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|}.$$

For $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, \frac{V_d}{2^{d+1}}\}|\Omega|$, there holds

$$\lambda_{k+1} \leq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d \cdot 2^{\frac{d+\alpha}{d}}}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} + 2 \frac{|\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|},$$

where $\lambda_{k+1} = 0$ if $k = |\Omega|$.

Proof.

In Lemma 3.2, for $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, \frac{V_d}{2^d}\}|\Omega|$, we choose the measurable set B as a ball of radius $2\pi \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}}$ centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^d , so that the radius $R = 2\pi \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \pi$ and $|B| = \frac{k(2\pi)^d}{|\Omega|}$. Thus we derive an inequality

$$(6) \quad (2\pi)^d \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \leq |\Omega| \cdot \int_{z \in B} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dz + |B| \cdot |\partial^\alpha \Omega|.$$

In the rest of the proof, we just need to estimate $\int_{z \in B} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dz$.

We know by calculation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (2 - 2 \cos z_i) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^d 4 \sin^2 \frac{z_i}{2} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^d z_i^2 \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = |z|^\alpha, \\
 \Rightarrow \int_{z \in B} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dz &\leq \int_{z \in B} |z|^\alpha = dV_d \int_0^R r^\alpha \cdot r^{d-1} dr = \frac{dV_d}{d+\alpha} R^{d+\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Apply the above inequality into (6), and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j &\leq \frac{dV_d}{d+\alpha} \frac{|\Omega| R^{d+\alpha}}{k(2\pi)^d} + \frac{|\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|} \\
 &= (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} + \frac{|\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, \frac{V_d}{2^{d+1}}\} |\Omega|$, we choose $R' = 2^{\frac{1}{d}} R$, which means $R' = 2\pi \left(\frac{2k}{V_d |\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq \pi$ and $|B| = \frac{2k(2\pi)^d}{|\Omega|}$. Then we repeat the above proof and ignore the effect of $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j$, obtaining that

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(2\pi)^d \lambda_{k+1} &\leq |\Omega| \cdot \int_{z \in B} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} dz + |B| \cdot |\partial^\alpha \Omega| \\
 &\leq |\Omega| \cdot \frac{dV_d}{d+\alpha} R^{d+\alpha} + \frac{2k(2\pi)^d |\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|}, \\
 \Rightarrow \lambda_{k+1} &\leq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d \cdot 2^{\frac{d+\alpha}{d}}}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} + 2 \frac{|\partial^\alpha \Omega|}{|\Omega|},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is what we want. \square

Remark 3.4. Note that letting $\alpha \rightarrow 2$, the fractional Laplacian tends to the Laplacian, and the upper bound estimate in Theorem 3.3 also holds, which is the result in [BL21].

3.2. Lower bound.

To prove the lower bound estimate ((b) of Theorem 1.1), we follow the method by Li and Yau [LY83]. In the following part we prove a modification of Lemma 1 from [LY83], and with Lemma 2.5 we complete the proof.

Lemma 3.5 (modification of Lemma 1 from [LY83]). *Let F be a real-valued function in \mathbb{R}^d , satisfying $0 \leq F \leq M$ and*

$$\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} F(z) dz \geq K.$$

Assume $0 < \alpha < 2$, and

$$\left(\frac{K}{MV_d}\right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq a = 2^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} < \pi.$$

Then we have the integral inequality

$$\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} F(z) dz \geq \frac{dK}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{K}{MV_d}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} - \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{dK}{d+2\alpha} \left(\frac{K}{MV_d}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{d}},$$

where $\Phi(z) = \sum_{i=1}^d (2 - 2 \cos z_i)$.

Proof.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} F(z) dz = K$. Let us prove the lemma in two steps.

Step 1: At first we are going to construct a radially symmetric function $\varphi(z) : [-\pi, \pi]^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq \varphi(z) \leq (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$. By the Taylor expansion, we observe that if $|z| \leq a = 2^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} < \pi$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (2 - 2 \cos z_i)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \left(z_i^2 - \frac{1}{12} z_i^4\right)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \geq \left(\left(|z|^2 - \frac{1}{12} |z|^4\right)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \\ &= |z|^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{12} |z|^2\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \geq |z|^\alpha \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |z|^\alpha\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last step comes from $(1-x)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \geq 1 - x^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ for any $0 \leq x \leq 1$. For $|z| \leq a$, one easily sees that $|z|^\alpha \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |z|^\alpha\right)$ is increasing with respect to $|z|$. Thus we define

$$\varphi(z) = \begin{cases} |z|^\alpha - \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |z|^{2\alpha} & , |z| \leq a, \\ \frac{1}{4} (12)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} & , |z| > a. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\varphi(z)$ is increasing radially and $0 \leq \varphi(z) \leq (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ when $|z| \leq a$. If $|z| > a$ and $z \in [-\pi, \pi]^d$, we reduce some $|z_i|$ and choose $|z'| = a < |z|$ which satisfies $|z'_i| \leq |z_i|$. Hence from the expression of $(\Phi(z'))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, we know that

$$(\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \geq (\Phi(z'))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \geq \varphi(z') = \frac{1}{4} (12)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \varphi(z).$$

Step 2: With the function $\varphi(z)$, we can estimate the lower bound of $\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} F(z) dz$. Since $F \geq 0$, we get

$$(7) \quad \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} F(z) dz \geq \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \varphi(z) F(z) dz.$$

Choose the constant $R = (\frac{K}{MV_d})^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq a = 2^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}} < \pi$ to satisfy that $MR^dV_d = K$, and we define

$$\tilde{F}(z) = \begin{cases} M & , |z| \leq R, \\ 0 & , |z| > R, \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \tilde{F}(z) dz = \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} F(z) dz = K.$$

For any function F which satisfies the conditions $0 \leq F \leq M$ and $\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} F(z) dz = K$, we claim that \tilde{F} minimizes the integral $\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \varphi(z) F(z) dz$ since $\varphi(z)$ is monotonic and radially symmetric. The strict proof is as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \varphi(z)(F(z) - \tilde{F}(z)) dz \\ &= \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d \setminus B_R} \varphi(z) F(z) dz - \int_{B_R} \varphi(z)(M - F(z)) dz \\ &\geq \varphi(R) \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d \setminus B_R} F(z) dz - \varphi(R) \int_{B_R} (M - F(z)) dz \\ &= \varphi(R) \left(\int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} F(z) dz - \int_{B_R} M dz \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} F(z) dz \geq \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} \varphi(z) F(z) dz \geq M \int_{B_R} \varphi(z) dz \\ &= M \int_{B_R} (|z|^\alpha - (\frac{1}{12})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |z|^{2\alpha}) dz = M d V_d \int_0^R (r^\alpha - (\frac{1}{12})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} r^{2\alpha}) r^{d-1} dr \\ &= M d V_d \left(\frac{R^{d+\alpha}}{d+\alpha} - (\frac{1}{12})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{R^{d+2\alpha}}{d+2\alpha} \right) = \frac{dK}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{K}{MV_d} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} - (\frac{1}{12})^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot \frac{dK}{d+2\alpha} \left(\frac{K}{MV_d} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.6. *Let Ω be a finite subgraph of \mathbb{Z}^d . For $1 \leq k \leq \min\{1, (\frac{2^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}\sqrt{3}}{2\pi})^d V_d\} |\Omega|$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$, we obtain the lower bound estimate*

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(\Omega) \geq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} - (2\pi)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{12} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{d}{d+2\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{d}}.$$

Proof.

The standardized eigenfunctions $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^k$ form an orthogonal basis in $l^2(\Omega)$. We define P_j the projection operator on the space spanned by ϕ_j , and P the projection operator on the space spanned by $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^k$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the l^2 norm on Ω and $h_z(x) = e^{i\langle z, x \rangle}$ as before. To apply Lemma 3.5, let

$$F_j(z) = \langle P_j h_z, P_j h_z \rangle_\Omega = \|P_j h_z\|^2,$$

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^k F_j(z) = \|Ph_z\|^2.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \|Ph_z\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^k |\langle \phi_j, h_z \rangle_\Omega|^2 \leq \|h_z\|^2 = |\Omega|, \\ \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} F(z) dz &= \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} |\langle \phi_j, h_z \rangle_\Omega|^2 dz = (2\pi)^d \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \phi_j, \phi_j \rangle_\Omega = k(2\pi)^d, \\ \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} F(z) dz &= \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^d} (\Phi(z))^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} |\langle \phi_j, h_z \rangle_\Omega|^2 dz \\ &= (2\pi)^d \sum_{j=1}^k \langle \phi_j, L_\Omega^\alpha \phi_j \rangle_\Omega = (2\pi)^d \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.5 for $M = |\Omega|, K = k(2\pi)^d$, we get the result

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j(\Omega) \geq (2\pi)^\alpha \frac{d}{d+\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} - (2\pi)^{2\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{12}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{d}{d+2\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{V_d |\Omega|}\right)^{\frac{2\alpha}{d}}.$$

□

Remark 3.7. *Similar to Remark 3.4, letting $\alpha \rightarrow 2$, the fractional Laplacian tends to the Laplacian, and the above lower bound estimate also holds, which is the result proved in [BL21].*

REFERENCES

- [BBDS20] Michele Benzi, Daniele Bertaccini, Fabio Durastante, and Igor Simunec. Non-local network dynamics via fractional graph laplacians. *Journal of Complex Networks*, 8(3), jun 2020.
- [BL21] Frank Bauer and Gabor Lippner. Eigenvalue sum estimates for lattice sub-graphs. *To appear in Pure and Appl Math Quart*, 2021.
- [CKS10] Z. Q. Chen, P. Kim, and R. Song. Heat kernel estimates for the dirichlet fractional laplacian. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 12(5):1307–1329, 2010.
- [CRS⁺15] O. S. Ciaurri, L. Roncal, Prl Stinga, J. L. Torrea, and J. L. Varona. Fractional discrete laplacian versus discretized fractional laplacian, 2015.
- [Fra16] R. L. Frank. Eigenvalue bounds for the fractional laplacian: A review, 2016.
- [GGWY22] Z. Ge, H. Guo, T. Wang, and Z. Yang. Optimal fractional fourier filtering in time-vertex graphs signal processing. 2022.
- [GM00] S. Guattery and G. L. Miller. Graph embeddings and laplacian eigenvalues. *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, 2000.
- [GMS90] R. Grone, R. Merris, and V. S. Sunder. The laplacian spectrum of a graph. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics*, 1990.

- [HK13] B. Hua and M. Keller. Harmonic functions of general graph laplacians. *Calculus of Variations & Partial Differential Equations*, 51(1-2):343–362, 2013.
- [HLLY17] P. Horn, Y. Lin, S. Liu, and S. T. Yau. Volume doubling, poincaré inequality and gaussian heat kernel estimate for non-negatively curved graphs. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2017.
- [Kro94] P. Kroger. Estimates for sums of eigenvalues of the laplacian. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 126(1):217–227, 1994.
- [Kwa15] M. Kwanicki. Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional laplace operator, 2015.
- [Lie79] Elliott H. Lieb. *The Number of Bound States of One-Body Schroedinger Operators and the Weyl Problem*. Kyoto University, 1979.
- [LY83] P. Li and S.T. Yau. On the schrödinger equation and the eigenvalue problem. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 88(3):309–318, 1983.
- [P61] G Pólya. On the eigenvalues of vibrating membranes (i). *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, (1):419–433, 1961.
- [Poz16] C. Pozrikidis. *The Fractional Laplacian*. The Fractional Laplacian, 2016.
- [Sch21] Marcel Schweitzer. Decay bounds for bernstein functions of hermitian matrices with applications to the fractional graph laplacian, 2021.
- [SV15] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci. The brezis-nirenberg result for the fractional laplacian. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 367(1):67–102, 2015.
- [Wey12] Hermann Weyl. Das asymptotische verteilungsgesetz der eigenwerte linearer partieller differentialgleichungen (mit einer anwendung auf die theorie der hohlraumstrahlung). *Mathematische Annalen*, 71(4):441–479, 1912.
- [Woj08] R. K. Wojciechowski. Heat kernel and essential spectrum of infinite graphs. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 58(3):págs. 1419–1442, 2008.
- [YL22] F. J. Yan and B. Z. Li. Spectral graph fractional fourier transform for directed graphs and its application. 2022.
- [YY13] S Yildirim Yolcu and T. Yolcu. Estimates for the sums of eigenvalues of the fractional laplacian on a bounded domain. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 15(03):355–263, 2013.

JIAXUAN WANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

Email address: jiaxuanwang21@m.fudan.edu.cn