

Boolean lattices of torsion classes over finite-dimensional algebras*

Yongle Luo[†] and Jiaqun Wei

School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, 210023 Nanjing, China

E-mail: yongleluo@nnu.edu.cn, weijiaqun@njnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra. We denote by $\mathbf{tors}A$ (respectively, $\mathbf{f-tors}A$) the collection of all torsion classes (respectively, functorially finite torsion classes) of A . It has been proved in [5] that $\mathbf{tors}A$ is always a completely semidistributive lattice ordered by containment. In the present paper, we show that the lattice $\mathbf{tors}A$ is semimodular if and only if $\mathbf{f-tors}A$ forms a semimodular lattice, if and only if $\mathbf{tors}A = \mathbf{f-tors}A$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ partially ordered by the inclusion of subsets, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A -modules. Moreover, when A is basic, we prove that the lattice $\mathbf{tors}A$ is semimodular if and only if A is isomorphic to the product of finitely many finite-dimensional local algebras. Other equivalent descriptions related to τ -tilting theory are also discussed.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16S90, 06B99.

Keywords: lattice of torsion classes; functorially finite; semimodular; Boolean lattice; local algebras; τ -tilting theory.

1 Introduction and main result

Torsion theory is an important concept in representation theory. It is closely related to the study of derived categories and their t -structures. Recently, Demonet-Iyama-Reading-Reiten-Thomas in [5] established a lattice theoretical framework to study the torsion classes over a finite-dimensional algebra. Based on these descriptions, we mainly consider the semimodularity and distributivity of the lattice of torsion

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11771212) and the Postgraduate Research & Practice Innovation Program of Jiangsu Province.

[†]Corresponding author

classes. In addition, we also provide some characterizations of the algebra such that the lattice of torsion classes is semimodular or distributive.

Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field K . We denote by $\mathbf{mod}A$ the category of finite-dimensional right A -modules. Throughout this paper, all subcategories of $\mathbf{mod}A$ are full. A subcategory of $\mathbf{mod}A$ is called a *torsion class* (respectively, *torsion-free class*) if it is closed under extensions and factor modules (respectively, submodules). Given a subcategory $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbf{mod}A$, we define its *Hom-orthogonal subcategories* of $\mathbf{mod}A$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{X}^\perp &= \{M \in \mathbf{mod}A \mid \mathrm{Hom}_A(X, M) = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\}, \\ {}^\perp\mathcal{X} &= \{N \in \mathbf{mod}A \mid \mathrm{Hom}_A(N, X) = 0, \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{F} be two subcategories of $\mathbf{mod}A$. We call $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ a *torsion pair* if $\mathcal{T}^\perp = \mathcal{F}$ and ${}^\perp\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{T}$. For a torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$, we know that \mathcal{T} is a torsion class uniquely determined by \mathcal{F} , and \mathcal{F} is a torsion-free class uniquely determined by \mathcal{T} .

Denote by $\mathbf{tors}A$ (respectively, $\mathbf{torf}A$) the set of all torsion classes (respectively, torsion-free classes). Iyama-Reiten-Thomas-Todorov proved that the two posets $\mathbf{tors}A$ and $\mathbf{torf}A$ partially ordered by containments are both complete lattices [8]. In addition, the two complete lattices are anti-isomorphic:

$$(-)^\perp : \mathbf{tors}A \rightarrow \mathbf{torf}A, \quad {}^\perp(-) : \mathbf{torf}A \rightarrow \mathbf{tors}A.$$

Barnard-Carroll-Zhu [3] proved that the *completely join irreducible elements* of $\mathbf{tors}A$ are in bijection with the *bricks* of $\mathbf{mod}A$. By considering the Hasse quiver of the lattice of torsion classes, Demonet-Iyama-Reading-Reiten-Thomas [5] introduced the brick labeling and showed that the lattice is completely semidistributive, bialgebraic and hence arrow-separated. For more details of the semidistributivity of this lattice, one can also refer to [10].

Recall from [1] that a torsion class \mathcal{T} in $\mathbf{mod}A$ is *functorially finite* precisely when it is of the form $\mathbf{Fac}(M)$ for some $M \in \mathbf{mod}A$, where $\mathbf{Fac}(M)$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{mod}A$ consisting of all factor modules of finite direct sums of copies of M . Adachi-Iyama-Reiten introduced the τ -tilting theory in [1], where it was proved that there are bijections among functorially finite torsion classes, isomorphism classes of basic support τ -tilting modules, and isomorphism classes of basic two-term sifting complexes.

Denote by $\mathbf{f-tors}A$ the set of all functorially finite torsion classes in $\mathbf{mod}A$. In general, $\mathbf{f-tors}A$ need not form a lattice. Iyama-Reiten-Thomas-Todorov [8] considered the case when $A = KQ$, where KQ is the path algebra of a finite connected acyclic quiver Q . They showed that $\mathbf{f-tors}KQ$ forms a lattice (not necessarily complete), if and only if Q is either a Dynkin quiver or has at most two vertices. In

these cases, Yang [11] proved that the set of isomorphism classes of basic support τ -tilting KQ -modules ($\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}KQ$) forms a distributive lattice if and only if Q is of type A_1 .

The purpose of the present paper is to study the semimodularity and distributivity of $\mathbf{tors}A$ and $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$. Our main result is as follows, which extends the result in [11, Theorem 1.4].

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field K . Denote by $\mathbf{tors}A$ and $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ the sets of all torsion classes, functorially finite torsion classes, respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) *The lattice $\mathbf{tors}A$ is upper semimodular.*
- (a') *The poset $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ forms an upper semimodular lattice.*
- (b) *The lattice $\mathbf{tors}A$ is lower semimodular.*
- (b') *The poset $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ forms a lower semimodular lattice.*
- (c) *$\mathbf{tors}A = \mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ partially ordered by inclusion of subsets, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A -modules.*

Moreover, if A is basic, then these conditions are also equivalent to the following.

- (d) *Each brick in $\mathbf{mod}A$ is isomorphic to a simple A -module.*
- (e) *The regular A -module A_A is the unique basic τ -tilting A -module.*
- (f) *$\mathbf{tors}A = \mathbf{wide}A$, where $\mathbf{wide}A$ is the set of isomorphism classes of all wide subcategories of $\mathbf{mod}A$.*
- (g) *Each two-term silted complex is a tilting complex.*
- (h) *The algebra A is isomorphic to the product of finitely many finite-dimensional local algebras.*

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Lattice theory

We recall some fundamental materials on lattices that can be found in the standard lattice theory book [6].

Given a poset L and $a, b \in L$, we say that a *covers* b , and write $a \succ b$, if $a > b$ and there is no element c such that $a > c > b$. A poset L is called *Hasse-regular* if it has the property that each vertex of its Hasse quiver has the same degree (as an undirected graph).

A poset L is called a *lattice* if, for all $a, b \in L$, there exists a least upper bound $a \vee b \in L$, called the *join* of a and b , and a greatest lower bound $a \wedge b \in L$, called the *meet* of a and b . Let $L = (L, \leq, \vee, \wedge)$ be a lattice. Recall that L is *upper semimodular* if $a, b \succ a \wedge b$ implies that $a \vee b \succ a, b$, for all $a, b \in L$. Dually, L is *lower semimodular* if $a \vee b \succ a, b$ implies that $a, b \succ a \wedge b$, for all $a, b \in L$. Moreover, L is *distributive* if $(a \vee b) \wedge c = (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c)$, for all $a, b, c \in L$. In particular, a bounded lattice L (i.e., L has a minimum 0 and a maximum 1) is *Boolean* if L is distributive and for any $a \in L$, there exists $b \in L$ such that $a \vee b = 1$ and $a \wedge b = 0$. For instance, the class of all subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ forms a Boolean lattice with respect to the inclusion order.

Let L be a lattice. We say that L is *complete* if any subset S of L has a join, which we denote $\bigvee_{x \in S} x$ or $\bigvee S$, and a meet, which we denote $\bigwedge_{x \in S} x$ or $\bigwedge S$. Given a complete lattice L and $x \in L$, x is *completely join irreducible* if $\bigvee_{y < x} y < x$.

Definition 2.1. Let L be a complete lattice.

- (1) The lattice L is *completely join semidistributive*, if given $x \in L$ and a set $S \subseteq L$ such that $x \vee y = z$ for all $y \in S$, then $x \vee (\bigwedge S) = z$;
- (2) The lattice L is *completely meet semidistributive*, if given $x \in L$ and a set $S \subseteq L$ such that $x \wedge y = z$ for all $y \in S$, then $x \wedge (\bigvee S) = z$;
- (3) The lattice L is *completely semidistributive*, if L is both completely join semidistributive and completely meet semidistributive.

2.2 Lattices of $\mathbf{tors}A$ and $\mathbf{torf}A$

Each torsion class (respectively, torsion-free class) can be determined by filtration of modules. Let \mathcal{C} be a subcategory of $\mathbf{mod}A$. We define $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$) the subcategory whose modules are filtered by quotients (respectively, submodules) of modules from \mathcal{C} . It has been proved in [10, Proposition 2.1] that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$) is the smallest torsion class (respectively, torsion-free class) containing all modules from \mathcal{C} . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}) = {}^\perp(\mathcal{C}^\perp)$ with induced torsion pair $(\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C}^\perp)$, and $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) = ({}^\perp\mathcal{C})^\perp$ with induced torsion pair $({}^\perp\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}))$.

Note that the intersection of torsion classes is again a torsion class. The poset $\mathbf{tors}A$ partially ordered by containments clearly has a meet given by intersection. Dually, the same is true for $\mathbf{torf}A$. Next, we consider the join operation of $\mathbf{tors}A$.

The left (respectively, right) perpendicular operation is order-reversing bijections between $\mathbf{tors}A$ and $\mathbf{torf}A$. Let S be any subset of $\mathbf{tors}A$, then we have

$$\bigvee_{\mathcal{T} \in S} \mathcal{T} =^\perp \left(\bigwedge_{\mathcal{T} \in S} \mathcal{T}^\perp \right).$$

Since the join is the smallest torsion class which contains all \mathcal{T} in S , we can also define the join directly as follows:

$$\bigvee_{\mathcal{T} \in S} \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\bigcup_{\mathcal{T} \in S} \mathcal{T}).$$

For the poset $\mathbf{torf}A$, the meet and join are obtained dually.

Lemma 2.2. [8, Proposition 2.1] *The two posets $\mathbf{tors}A$ and $\mathbf{torf}A$ are both complete lattices. There are anti-isomorphisms between them:*

$$\mathbf{tors}A \rightarrow \mathbf{torf}A, \quad \mathcal{T} \mapsto \mathcal{T}^\perp,$$

with inverse

$$\mathbf{torf}A \rightarrow \mathbf{tors}A, \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto {}^\perp \mathcal{F}.$$

2.3 τ -tilting theory, silting complexes, semibricks

Recall that a module $T \in \mathbf{mod}A$ is τ -rigid if $\mathrm{Hom}_A(T, \tau T) = 0$, where τ is the Auslander-Reiten translation. A module $T \in \mathbf{mod}A$ is called τ -tilting if it is τ -rigid and has n non-isomorphic indecomposable summands, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A -modules. Moreover, we say that $T \in \mathbf{mod}A$ is *support τ -tilting* if it is a τ -tilting $A/\langle e \rangle$ -module for some idempotent $e \in A$.

We say that two non-isomorphic basic support τ -tilting A -modules M and N are *mutations* of each other if $M = U \oplus X$, $N = U \oplus Y$ and X, Y are either 0 or indecomposable. By [1], either $\mathbf{Fac}(N) \subsetneq \mathbf{Fac}(M)$ or $\mathbf{Fac}(M) \subsetneq \mathbf{Fac}(N)$ holds. In this case, then we say that N is a *left mutation* (respectively, *right mutation*) of M if $\mathbf{Fac}(N) \subsetneq \mathbf{Fac}(M)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{Fac}(M) \subsetneq \mathbf{Fac}(N)$).

Denote by $s\tau$ -tilt A the set of isomorphism classes of basic support τ -tilting A -modules and $i\tau$ -rigid A the set of isomorphism classes of indecomposable τ -rigid A -modules. The algebra A is called *τ -tilting finite* (or *τ -rigid finite*) if there are only finitely many τ -tilting A -modules (equivalently, if $i\tau$ -rigid A is a finite set). It follows from [7, Theorem 1.2] that A is τ -tilting finite if and only if $\mathbf{tors}A = \mathbf{f-tors}A$.

Torsion theory is closely related to derived categories and their t -structures. Naturally, silting complex is an important tool to study torsion classes. Denote by $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^b(\mathbf{mod}A)$ the derived category of bounded complexes over $\mathbf{mod}A$, and by

$\mathbf{K}^b(\mathbf{proj}A)$ the homotopy category of bounded complexes of finitely generated projective A -modules.

A complex T is called *tilting* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $T \in \mathbf{K}^b(\mathbf{proj}A)$.
- (2) T is selforthogonal, i.e., $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(T, T[i]) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.
- (3) T generates $\mathbf{K}^b(\mathbf{proj}A)$ as a triangulated category.

A complex T is called *silting* if it satisfies the conditions (1), (3) above and the semi-selforthogonal property, i.e., $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}}(T, T[i]) = 0$ for all $i > 0$.

A module $B \in \mathbf{mod}A$ is called a *brick* if every non-zero endomorphism of B is an isomorphism. A set $\{B_i\}_{i \in I}$ of bricks is called a *semibrick* if $\mathrm{Hom}_A(B_i, B_j) = 0$ for any $i \neq j$. We denote by $\mathbf{brick}A$ (respectively, $\mathbf{sbrick}A$) the set of isomorphism classes of bricks (respectively, semibricks) of A .

A subcategory $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathbf{mod}A$ is *wide* if it is closed under extensions, kernels and cokernels. Denote by $\mathbf{wide}A$ the set of all wide subcategories of $\mathbf{mod}A$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

The following proposition is crucial for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a finite-dimensional K -algebra. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) *The lattice $\mathbf{tors}A$ is upper semimodular.*
- (b) *The lattice $\mathbf{tors}A$ is lower semimodular.*
- (c) *The lattice $\mathbf{tors}A = \mathbf{f-tors}A$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ partially ordered by inclusion of subsets, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A -modules.*

Proof. It is clear that (c) \Rightarrow (a), (b).

(a) \Rightarrow (c): Without loss of generality, we assume that the algebra A has n non-isomorphic simple A -modules: S_1, \dots, S_n . Note that the arrows incident to 0 in the Hasse quiver of $\mathbf{tors}A$ are $\mathcal{T}(S_i) \rightarrow 0$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since S_i can not be filtered by the other simple A -modules, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{T}(\{S_i\}_{i \in I}) = \mathcal{T}(\{S_j\}_{j \in J}) \iff I = J,$$

for any subsets I, J of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, we also have

$$\mathcal{T}(\{S_i\}_{i \in I}) \vee \mathcal{T}(\{S_j\}_{j \in J}) = \mathcal{T}(\{S_k\}_{k \in I \cup J}),$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\{S_i\}_{i \in I}) \wedge \mathcal{T}(\{S_j\}_{j \in J}) = \mathcal{T}(\{S_k\}_{k \in I \cap J}),$$

Particularly, $\mathcal{T}(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\mathcal{T}(\{S_k\}_{k \in \{1, \dots, n\}}) = \mathbf{mod} A$.

Notice that $\mathbf{tors} A$ is upper semimodular. Given two non-isomorphic simple A -modules S_i, S_j , it is clear that they are covered by their join. That is, $\mathcal{T}(S_i, S_j) \succ \mathcal{T}(S_i)$, and $\mathcal{T}(S_i, S_j) \succ \mathcal{T}(S_j)$. By induction, we get that

$$\mathcal{T}(\{S_i\}_{i \in I}) \succ \mathcal{T}(\{S_j\}_{j \in J}) \iff I \succ J,$$

for any subsets I, J of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

Let \mathcal{T} be an arbitrary torsion class in $\mathbf{tors} A$ and $S_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the set of all simple A -modules in \mathcal{T} . We claim that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}})$. Firstly, $\mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}}) \subsetneq \mathcal{T}$. Then $\mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\})$ is not comparable with \mathcal{T} , for any simple A -module $S_i \notin S_{\mathcal{T}}$. Indeed, if $\mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, then it contradicts the assumption that $S_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the maximum set containing all simple A -modules in \mathcal{T} . If $\mathcal{T} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\})$, then it contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\}) \succ \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}})$.

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}}) \leq \mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\}) \leq \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\}),$$

for any simple A -module $S_i \notin S_{\mathcal{T}}$. By the covering condition, we have

$$\mathcal{T} \wedge \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\}) = \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}}).$$

But

$$\mathcal{T} \wedge \left(\bigvee_{S_i \notin S_{\mathcal{T}}} \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}} \cup \{S_i\}) \right) = \mathcal{T} \wedge \mathbf{mod} A = \mathcal{T},$$

which is a contradiction that $\mathbf{tors} A$ does not completely semidistributive.

Therefore, we proved that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}})$ for any $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbf{tors} A$, where $S_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the set of simple A -modules it contains. Certainly, $\mathbf{tors} A$ has a strong combinatorial property: $\mathbf{tors} A$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ partially ordered by the inclusion of subsets.

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Since $\mathbf{tors} A$ is lower semimodular, we know from Lemma 2.2 that the lattice of torsion-free classes $\mathbf{torf} A$ is upper semimodular. The proof is similar. \square

We now recall some results of functorially finite torsion classes and τ -tilting theory. It has been proved in [1, Theorem 2.7] that there is a bijection between $s\tau$ -tilt A and $\mathbf{f-tors} A$ by sending every $M \in s\tau$ -tilt A to $\mathbf{Fac}(M)$. Moreover, the Hasse quiver of

$\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is completely determined by the mutations of $s\tau\text{-tilt } A$. Indeed, let $Q(s\tau\text{-tilt } A)$ be the quiver that the set of vertices is $s\tau\text{-tilt } A$, and draw an arrow from M to N if N is a left mutation of M . The Hasse quiver of $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is isomorphic to $Q(s\tau\text{-tilt } A)$ (see [1, Corollary 2.34]).

Lemma 3.2. [5, Corollary 4.6] *Let A be a finite-dimensional K -algebra. Then the poset $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is Hasse-regular and the degree of any vertex is n , where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A -modules.*

Lemma 3.3. [1, Theorem 2.35] *Let U and T be basic support τ -tilting A -modules such that $U > T$ (i.e., $\text{Fac}(U) \supsetneq \text{Fac}(T)$). Then:*

- (1) *there exists a right mutation V of T such that $\text{Fac}(U) \supseteq \text{Fac}(V) \supsetneq \text{Fac}(T)$;*
- (2) *there exists a left mutation V' of U such that $\text{Fac}(U) \supsetneq \text{Fac}(V') \supseteq \text{Fac}(T)$.*

The following Lemma shows that $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ forms a lattice (respectively, complete lattice) ordered by containment if and only if it is a sublattice (respectively, complete sublattice) of $\mathbf{tors}A$.

Lemma 3.4. [8, Theorem 2.12] *Let A be a finite-dimensional K -algebra.*

- (1) *A subset $\{\mathcal{T}_i \mid i \in I\}$ of $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ has a meet if and only if $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}_i$ is functorially.*
- (2) *A subset $\{\mathcal{T}_i \mid i \in I\}$ of $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ has a join if and only if ${}^\perp(\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}_i^\perp)$ is functorially.*

Next, we consider the semimodularity and distributivity of $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ when it forms a lattice.

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a finite-dimensional K -algebra. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (a') *The poset $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ forms an upper semimodular lattice.*
- (b') *The poset $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ forms a lower semimodular lattice.*
- (c) *The lattice $\mathbf{tors}A = \mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ partially ordered by inclusion of subsets, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A -modules.*

Proof. Obviously, we have $(c) \Rightarrow (a'), (b')$.

$(b') \Rightarrow (c)$: Since $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is a lattice, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is a sublattice of $\mathbf{tors}A$. Thus $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$ is also completely semidistributive. Note that $\mathbf{mod}A$ is the unique source in the Hasse quiver of $\mathbf{f}\text{-tors}A$. By Lemma 3.2, there are

only n arrows which incident to $\mathbf{mod}A$, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple A -modules. Denote by X_1, \dots, X_n the corresponding functorially finite torsion classes, respectively. It is clear that $X_i \wedge X_j \neq X_i \wedge X_k$, for any $i, j \neq k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Otherwise, it follows from $X_i \wedge X_j = X_i \wedge X_k$ and the complete semidistributivity that

$$X_i \wedge X_j = X_i \wedge (X_j \vee X_k) = X_i \wedge \mathbf{mod}A = X_i,$$

which contradicts the fact that X_i and X_j are not comparable. Moreover, since $\mathbf{f-tors}A$ is lower semimodular, $X_i \wedge X_j$ and $X_i \wedge X_k$ are not comparable. Note that $\mathbf{f-tors}A$ is Hasse-regular. Then all the neighbors of X_i in the Hasse quiver are $\mathbf{mod}A$ and $X_i \wedge X_j$ for any $i \neq j$.

Next, we consider the neighbors of $X_i \wedge X_j$ for any $i \neq j$. Again by the lower semimodularity and complete semidistributivity, the neighbors of $X_i \wedge X_j$ are $X_i, X_j, X_i \wedge X_j \wedge X_k$ for any $k \neq i, j$. Continue these steps, we finally get that the neighbors of $\bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{X_i\}$ are $\overline{X_i}$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $\overline{X_i}$ is the meet of $\{X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}, X_{i+1}, \dots, X_n\}$. Note that $\bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{X_i\}$ is smaller than its neighbors. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that there is no elements strict smaller than $\bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{X_i\}$, that is, $\bigwedge_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} \{X_i\} = 0$.

In fact, all elements in $\mathbf{f-tors}A$ are listed completely in this process. Indeed, for any $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbf{f-tors}A$ with $\mathcal{T} \neq \mathbf{mod}A$, then we know from Lemma 3.3 again that $\mathcal{T} \leq X_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If $\mathcal{T} \not\leq X_i$, then we get that $\mathcal{T} \leq X_i \wedge X_j$ for another $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By induction, we proved that $\mathcal{T} = X_i \wedge X_j \wedge \dots \wedge X_k$ for some $i, j, \dots, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Certainly, $\mathbf{f-tors}A$ is finite, that is, $\mathbf{tors}A = \mathbf{f-tors}A$. Then it follows from Proposition 3.1 that it is also isomorphic to the Boolean lattice of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

(a') \Rightarrow (c): The proof is dual, since 0 is the unique target in the Hasse quiver of $\mathbf{f-tors}A$. \square

There are some meaningful results closely related to torsion theory as follows, which are helpful for us to describe the algebra A such that $\mathbf{tors}A$ is semimodular (Boolean).

Lemma 3.6. [3, Theorem 1.5] *The map $B \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(B)$ is a bijection from $\mathbf{brick}A$ to the set of all completely join irreducible elements in $\mathbf{tors}A$.*

Lemma 3.7. [10, Lemma 7.1] *Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbf{tors}A$. Then \mathcal{T} is characterized by the bricks it contains, that is,*

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigvee_{B \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathbf{brick}A} \mathcal{T}(B).$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let A be a finite-dimensional K -algebra.*

(1) [4, Theorem 4.1] There is an injection $i\tau$ -rigid $A \rightarrow \mathbf{brick}A$ sending M to $M/\text{rad}_{\text{End}(M)}M$.

(2) [2, Theorem 2.3] There is an injection $s\tau$ -tilt $A \rightarrow \mathbf{sbrick}A$ sending M to $M/\text{rad}_{\text{End}(M)}M$.

Moreover, if A is τ -tilting finite, the maps are bijections.

Lemma 3.9. [9] Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra. Then there is a bijection

$$\text{Filt} : \mathbf{sbrick}A \rightarrow \mathbf{wide}A$$

mapping a semibrick S to the full subcategory of A -modules that are filtered by bricks of S . The inverse bijection associate to $\mathcal{W} \in \mathbf{wide}A$ the set of its simple objects.

Lemma 3.10. [1, Theorem 2.30 (b)] Let $T = X \oplus U$ be a basic τ -tilting A -module that is the Bongartz completion of U , where X is indecomposable. Let $X \xrightarrow{f} U' \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence, where f is a minimal left $(\mathbf{add} U)$ -approximation. If U is sincere, then Y is a direct sum of copies of an indecomposable A -module Y_1 and is not in $\mathbf{add} T$. In this case, $Y_1 \oplus U$ is a basic τ -tilting A -module.

Now, we give the complete proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. It follows from Propositions 3.1, 3.5 that $(a) \Leftrightarrow (a') \Leftrightarrow (b) \Leftrightarrow (b') \Leftrightarrow (c)$.

$(a) \Rightarrow (d)$: Let $B \in \mathbf{brick}A$. Then by the proof of Proposition 3.1, we have $\mathcal{T}(B) = \bigvee \mathcal{T}(S_i)$, where each S_i is a simple A -module in $\mathcal{T}(B)$. On the other hand, note that $\mathcal{T}(B)$ is completely join irreducible from Lemma 3.6, we have $\mathcal{T}(B) = \mathcal{T}(S_i)$ for some simple A -module S_i , that is, $B \simeq S_i$.

$(d) \Rightarrow (e)$: Since all bricks in $\text{mod}A$ are simple, we know from Lemma 3.8 that A is τ -tilting finite and all τ -rigid A -modules are projective. Hence A_A as a regular A -module is the unique basic τ -tilting module.

$(e) \Rightarrow (h)$: Note that A is basic. Let $A_A = P_1 \oplus \dots \oplus P_n$ be an indecomposable decomposition of A . Suppose that A is not the product of some finite-dimensional local algebras, then $n > 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that P_1 satisfies the condition $\text{Hom}_A(P_1, P_2 \oplus \dots \oplus P_n) \neq 0$. We write $Q = P_2 \oplus \dots \oplus P_n$ simply. Let $f : P_1 \rightarrow Q'$ be a minimal left $(\mathbf{add} Q)$ -approximation of P_1 . It is clear that $f \neq 0$ and Q is sincere. Then we have an exact sequence of $\mathbf{mod}A$:

$$P_1 \xrightarrow{f} Q' \xrightarrow{g} \text{Coker} f \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.10 that there exists an indecomposable Y_1 in $\mathbf{mod}A$ such that $\text{Coker} f = Y_1^m$ for some m , and $Q \oplus Y_1$ is a basic τ -tilting A -module. Note that A is the unique τ -tilting A -module, we get that $Y_1 \cong P_1$ and $\text{Coker} f \cong P_1^m$. Since

g is a retraction, thus, $\text{Coker } f \cong P_1^m$ is a non-zero direct summand of Q' . So we have $P_1 \in \mathbf{add } Q$, which is a contradiction.

(h) \Rightarrow (d): Note that A is the product of finitely many local algebras. For every indecomposable module $M \in \mathbf{mod } A$, M is filtered by only one simple A -module. Particularly for any brick B , B is filtered by its top S . Then we have $\mathcal{T}(B) = \mathcal{T}(S)$, that is, $B \simeq S$.

(d) \Rightarrow (c): For any $\mathcal{T} \in \text{tors } A$, we know from Lemma 3.7 that

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigvee_{S_i \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{T}(S_i),$$

where each S_i is simple. Note that S_i can not be filtered by the other simple A -modules. Then $\text{tors } A$ is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice of $\{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$ partially ordered by the inclusion of subsets.

(h) \Rightarrow (g): It is clear that every 2-term silting complex is isomorphic to

$$0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{0} P' \rightarrow 0,$$

where $P = eA$ and $P' = (1 - e)A$ for some idempotent e . Since

$$\text{Hom}_A(P, P') = 0 = \text{Hom}_A(P', P),$$

the conclusion follows directly.

(g) \Rightarrow (h): Note that

$$0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{0} P' \rightarrow 0$$

is a 2-term silting complex, where $P = eA$, $P' = (1 - e)A$ for every primary idempotent e . Since it is tilting, then we have

$$\text{Hom}_A(P', P) \cong eA(1 - e) = 0,$$

which means that A is isomorphic to the product of finitely many finite-dimensional local algebras.

(d) \Rightarrow (f): Note that all semibricks are the sets of simple A -modules. So the result follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.9 immediately.

(f) \Rightarrow (d): Let B be an arbitrary brick. By Lemma 3.9, $\text{Filt}(B)$ is a torsion class, hence $\mathcal{T}(B) = \text{Filt}(B)$. Let S be a direct summand of the top of B . Then S can be filtered by B , that is, $B \simeq S$. \square

Remark 3.11. These equivalent conditions above imply that $\bigvee_{i \in I} \{\mathcal{T}_i\} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \{\mathcal{T}_i\}$ for any $\mathcal{T}_i \in \mathbf{tors } A$, that is, the union of a collection of torsion classes is also a torsion class. Given a torsion class \mathcal{T} , denote by $S_{\mathcal{T}}$ the set of simple A -modules in \mathcal{T} . Then $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(S_{\mathcal{T}})$ is a also torsion-free class. Moreover, as a torsion class, the corresponding torsion-free class of \mathcal{T} is $\mathcal{T}(\overline{S_{\mathcal{T}}})$, where $\overline{S_{\mathcal{T}}}$ is set of simple A -modules not in \mathcal{T} .

At the end of this paper, we give a method to determine whether a class of basic finite-dimensional algebras are Auslander regular. Recall that an algebra A with minimal injection coresolution

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow \dots$$

of the A -modules is said n -Gorenstein for $n \geq 1$ if $\text{pdim } I_i \leq i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, where $\text{pdim } I_i$ is the projective dimension of I_i . A is called *Auslander regular* if it is n -Gorenstein for all $n \geq 1$ and additionally has finite global dimension.

Recently, Iyama-Marczinzik [7] provided a homological characterisation of a finite distributive lattice.

Lemma 3.12. [7] *let L be a finite lattice and denote by Q its Hasse quiver. Then L is distributive if and only if KQ/I is Auslander regular, where I is the ideal of KQ generated by all commutative relations.*

So we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $B = KQ/I$ be a bounded algebra, where Q is the Hasse quiver of the lattice of torsion classes over a τ -tilting finite algebra A , and I is the ideal of KQ generated by all commutative relations. Then the algebra B is Auslander regular if and only if A is isomorphic to the product of finitely many finite-dimensional local algebras.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.12 directly. □

Declaration of interests: The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

References

- [1] Adachi, T., Iyama, O., Reiten, I.: τ -tilting theory. *Compos. Math.* **150**, 415-452 (2014) DOI: 10.1112/S0010437X13007422
- [2] Asai, S.: Semibricks. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2020**, 4993-5054 (2020) DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rny150
- [3] Barnard, E., Carroll, A., Zhu, S.: Minimal inclusions of torsion classes. *Algebr. Comb.* **2**, 879-901 (2019) DOI: 10.5802/alco.72
- [4] Demonet, L., Iyama, O., Jasso, G.: τ -tilting finite algebras, bricks, and g -vectors. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 852-892 (2019) DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnx135

- [5] Demonet, L., Iyama, O., Reading, N., Reiten, I., Thomas, H.: Lattice theory of torsion classes. (2018) arXiv: 10.48550/arXiv.1711.01785
- [6] Grätzer, G.: Lattice Theory: Foundation. Springer, Birkhäuser, Basel DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-0018-1.
- [7] Iyama, O., Marczinzik, R.: Distributive lattice and Auslander regular algebras. Adv. in Math. **398**, 108233 (2022) DOI: 10.1016/j.aim.2022.108233.
- [8] Iyama, O., Reiten, I., Thomas, H., Todorov, T.: Lattice structure of torsion classes for path algebras. Bull. LMS. **47**, 639-650 (2015) DOI: 10.1112/blms/bdv041
- [9] Ringel, C.M.: Representations of K -species and bimodules. J. algebra. **41**, 269-302 (1976) DOI: 10.1016/0021-8693(76)90184-8
- [10] Thomas, H.: An introduction to the lattice of torsion classes. Bull. Iran. Math. Soc. **47**, 35-55 (2021) DOI: 10.1007/978-3-0348-7633-9
- [11] Yang, Y.: Distributive Lattice of tilting modules and support τ -tilting modules over path algebras. Glasgow Mathematical Journal. **59**, 503-511 (2017) DOI: 10.1017/S001708951600032X