

# SOME RESULTS ON SESHADRI CONSTANTS OF VECTOR BUNDLES

INDRANIL BISWAS, KRISHNA HANUMANTHU, AND SNEHAJIT MISRA

**ABSTRACT.** We study Seshadri constants of certain ample vector bundles on projective varieties. Our main motivation is the following question: Under what conditions are the Seshadri constants of ample vector bundles at least 1 at all points of the variety. We exhibit some conditions under which this question has an affirmative answer. We primarily consider ample bundles on projective spaces and Hirzebruch surfaces. We also show that Seshadri constants of ample vector bundles can be arbitrarily small.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Seshadri constants of line bundles on projective varieties were defined by Demailly [Dem] in 1990 and since then they have been an active area of research around positivity in algebraic geometry. This notion has been generalized to vector bundles by Hacon [Hac2] and recently Seshadri constants for vector bundles have been studied in depth by Fulger and Murayama [FM]. While a lot is known for Seshadri constants of line bundles, especially on surfaces, not much is known about Seshadri constants of vector bundles on varieties of dimension at least two. For instance we do not know how to compute Seshadri constants for arbitrary vector bundles on the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . For vector bundles on curves, the picture is much clearer.

The usual notions of positivity of line bundles have been generalized to vector bundles, usually by considering the tautological line bundle on the associate projective bundle. For instance a vector bundle  $E$  on a variety  $X$  is called ample if the tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is ample. It is natural to define and study Seshadri constants of vector bundles following this approach.

Let  $X$  be a projective variety and  $E$  a nef vector bundle on  $X$ . The Seshadri constant  $\varepsilon(X, E, x)$  of  $E$  at a closed point  $x \in X$  is defined as follows by Hacon [Hac2].

Let us consider the following Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(E) \times_X \tilde{X}_x = \mathbb{P}(\rho_x^*(E)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_x} & \mathbb{P}(E) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\pi} & & \downarrow \pi \\ \tilde{X}_x & \xrightarrow{\rho_x} & X \end{array}$$

Here  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$  is the projective bundle over  $X$  associated to  $E$  and

$$\rho_x : \tilde{X}_x = \text{Bl}_x(X) \rightarrow X$$

---

*Date:* 23 March, 2023.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14C20, 14J60.

*Key words and phrases.* Seshadri constant, vector bundle, nef cone, ample cone, multiplicity.

is the blow up of  $X$  at  $x$ . Let  $\tilde{\xi}_x$  be the numerical equivalence class of the tautological bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\rho_x^* E)}(1)$ , and  $\tilde{E}_x := \tilde{\rho}_x^{-1}(F_x)$ , where  $F_x$  is the class of the fiber of the map  $\pi$  over the point  $x$ . Then the *Seshadri constant of  $E$  at  $x \in X$*  is defined as

$$\varepsilon(X, E, x) := \sup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid \tilde{\xi}_x - \lambda \tilde{E}_x \text{ is nef} \right\}.$$

In [Hac2] it is shown that if  $E$  is an ample vector bundle on a smooth irreducible projective curve  $C$ , then  $\varepsilon(C, E, x) = \mu_{\min}(E)$  for all  $x \in C$ , where  $\mu_{\min}(E)$  denotes the minimal slope among all quotient bundles of  $E$ .

There is an equivalent definition of Seshadri constants for vector bundles due to Fulger-Murayama in [FM]. Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\pi, x}$  denote the set of all irreducible curves  $C \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$  that intersect  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ , but not contained in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ . Note that the curves in  $\mathcal{C}_{\pi, x}$  are precisely the irreducible curves  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  such that  $\text{mult}_x \pi_* C > 0$ . Then the Seshadri constants of  $E$  at  $x$  has the following expression:

$$\varepsilon(X, E, x) = \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\pi, x}} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\text{mult}_x \pi_* C} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over the set  $\mathcal{C}_{\pi, x}$ .

Seshadri constants can also be computed by restriction to curves [FM, Corollary 3.21]. This description, which will be used here, is recalled below.

Let  $C \subset X$  be an irreducible curve in  $X$ , possibly singular. Take its normalization  $\alpha : C' \rightarrow C$ . Let  $\nu : C' \rightarrow X$  denote the composition of  $\alpha$  with the inclusion map  $C \hookrightarrow X$ . Then

$$\varepsilon(X, E, x) = \inf_{x \in C \subset X} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E)}{\text{mult}_x C} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves  $C \subset X$  passing through  $x \in X$  (see [FM, Corollary 3.21]).

If  $L$  is an ample and globally generated line bundle on a projective variety  $X$ , then it is easy to show that  $\varepsilon(X, L, x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . On the other hand, Miranda has shown that the Seshadri constants can be arbitrary small for ample line bundles (which are, necessarily, not globally generated). However in a fundamental paper, Ein and Lazarsfeld, [EL], showed that

$$\varepsilon(X, L, x) \geq 1$$

for *very general points*  $x \in X$  when  $L$  is ample.

The above behaviour does not carry over to the case of vector bundles of higher rank. For vector bundles over curves, one still has some good bounds.

As mentioned above, for an ample vector bundle  $E$  on a smooth curve  $X$ , Hacon [Hac2, Theorem 3.1] proved that

$$\varepsilon(X, L, x) = \mu_{\min}(E) \quad (2)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . This implies that

$$\varepsilon(X, L, x) \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, for every possible rank there are examples where this inequality is actually an equality. On the other hand,  $\varepsilon(X, E, x) \geq 1$  for any ample and *globally generated* vector bundle  $E$  on a smooth projective curve  $X$  and all points  $x \in X$  [Hac2, Proposition 3.2].

Much less is known when the dimension of the base is at least two. Hacon [Hac1] gave examples of ample and globally generated vector bundles  $E$  on K3 surfaces for which  $\varepsilon(L, x) < 1$  for all points  $x$ . Hence the Ein–Lazarsfeld inequality

$$\varepsilon(X, E, x) \geq 1 \tag{3}$$

does not hold, in general, for ample and globally generated vector bundles of rank at least two. It however holds for *very ample* vector bundles; see [BSS].

So it is reasonable to ask when the Ein–Lazarsfeld inequality (3) holds for ample vector bundles of arbitrary rank on arbitrary projective varieties.

Most of the results in this paper are motivated by the above question. There does not seem to be a general method to approach this question, so we focus on specific cases and consider vector bundles satisfying specific conditions.

In Section 2, we recall some preliminaries that are used in later sections. In Section 3, we prove (3) for vector bundles satisfying a certain hypothesis and give several examples. In Section 4, we first show that the Seshadri constants of ample vector bundles can be arbitrarily small. We also give lower bounds for the Seshadri constants for vector bundles satisfying some conditions. In Section 5, we consider some vector bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces.

We work throughout over the field of complex numbers.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $X$  be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension  $n$  with a fixed ample line bundle  $H$  on it. For a torsion-free coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  of rank  $r$  on  $X$ , its degree with respect to  $H$ , denoted by  $\deg_H(\mathcal{G})$ , is defined as follows:

$$\deg_H(\mathcal{G}) = c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot H^{n-1},$$

and the  $H$ -slope of  $\mathcal{G}$  is defined as

$$\mu_H(\mathcal{G}) := \frac{c_1(\mathcal{G}) \cdot H^{n-1}}{r} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

A vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  is said to be  $H$ -semistable (respectively,  $H$ -stable) if  $\mu_H(\mathcal{G}) \leq \mu_H(E)$  (respectively,  $\mu_H(\mathcal{G}) < \mu_H(E)$ ) for all subsheaves  $\mathcal{G} \subsetneq E$  such that  $E/\mathcal{G}$  is torsion free. A vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  is called  $H$ -unstable if it is not  $H$ -semistable. For every vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$ , there is a unique positive integer  $l$  and a unique filtration

$$0 = E_l \subsetneq E_{l-1} \subsetneq E_{l-2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq E_0 = E$$

of subsheaves of  $E$ , called the Harder–Narasimhan filtration of  $E$ , such that  $E_i/E_{i+1}$  is an  $H$ -semistable torsion-free sheaf for each  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, l-1\}$  and

$$\mu_H(E_{l-1}/E_l) > \mu_H(E_{l-2}/E_{l-1}) > \cdots > \mu_H(E_0/E_1).$$

We define  $Q_1 := E_0/E_1$  and  $\mu_{\min}(E) := \mu_H(Q_1) = \mu_H(E_0/E_1)$ ; also define  $\mu_{\max}(E) := \mu_H(E_{l-1})$ .

The following lemma will be used in Section 5.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow E' \xrightarrow{\iota} E \longrightarrow E'' \longrightarrow 0$$

*be a short exact sequence of vector bundles on a smooth irreducible projective curve  $C$ . Then*

$$\mu_{\min}(E) \geq \min \{ \mu_{\min}(E'), \mu_{\min}(E'') \}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $E \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}} Q_1 \longrightarrow 0$  be the quotient of minimal slope in the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $E$ , so  $\mu_{\min}(E) = \mu(Q_1)$ . Note that  $Q_1$  is semistable. If  $\mathbf{j} \circ \iota \neq 0$ , then

$$\mu_{\min}(E) = \mu(Q_1) \geq \mu(\mathbf{j} \circ \iota(E')) \geq \mu_{\min}(E').$$

If  $\mathbf{j} \circ \iota = 0$ , then we have an induced nonzero map  $E'' \xrightarrow{\mathbf{j}'} Q_1$ . Consequently,

$$\mu_{\min}(E) = \mu(Q_1) \geq \mu(\mathbf{j}'(E'')) \geq \mu_{\min}(E'').$$

This proves the lemma. □

### 3. EIN-LAZARSELD INEQUALITY FOR VECTOR BUNDLES

In this section, we give one instance of vector bundles for which the Ein–Lazarsfeld inequality (3) holds for arbitrary points. We give several examples to illustrate this result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth complex irreducible projective variety and  $L$  a very ample line bundle on  $X$ . Let  $E$  be an ample vector bundle on  $X$  such that  $E \otimes L^{-1}$  is nef. Then*

$$\varepsilon(E, x) \geq 1$$

*for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(E) \longrightarrow X$  be the projection map, and  $\xi$  denotes the numerical class of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(1)$ . Take a point  $x \in X$ . Recall that

$$\varepsilon(E, x) := \inf_{C \in \mathcal{C}_{\pi, x}} \left\{ \frac{\xi \cdot C}{\text{mult}_x \pi_* C} \right\},$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_{\pi, x}$  is the set of all irreducible curves  $C \subset \mathbb{P}(E)$  which intersect  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  while not being contained in it. As  $E \otimes L^{-1}$  is nef, we have  $\{\xi - \pi^* L\} \cdot C \geq 0$  for all curves  $C \in \mathcal{C}_{\pi, x}$ . This implies that

$$\xi \cdot C \geq \pi^* L \cdot C = \pi_* C \cdot L = \deg(\pi_* C) \geq \text{mult}_x \pi_* C.$$

So  $\varepsilon(E, x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . □

**Remark 3.2.** We give an alternative argument for Proposition 3.1. Let  $E$  be an ample vector bundle on  $X$  as in Proposition 3.1. Then for every point  $x \in X$ ,

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \varepsilon((E \otimes L^{-1}) \otimes L, x) \geq \varepsilon(E \otimes L^{-1}, x) + \varepsilon(L, x) \geq 1.$$

**Definition 3.3.** A vector bundle  $E$  on a smooth projective variety  $X$  is called *Fano* if  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  is a Fano variety, meaning the anti-canonical line bundle  $-K_{\mathbb{P}(E)}$  is ample.

There are classifications of Fano bundles of rank two on smooth surfaces and on 3-folds in [SW2] and [SW1] respectively. We will now consider some of examples of Fano bundles of rank two on projective varieties.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $E$  be the rank two vector bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  which fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_t \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_t$  denotes the ideal sheaf of a point  $t \in \mathbb{P}^2$ . Note that  $E$  is a semistable bundle but it is not stable. Also  $E(2)$  is ample (see [SW2, Proposition 2.1]). We will show that  $E(1)$  is nef, but  $E(1)$  is not ample.

Note that for any line  $L$  passing through the point  $t \in \mathbb{P}^2$ , we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(1) \longrightarrow E|_L \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

This gives the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L(2) \longrightarrow E(1)|_L \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_L \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E(1)$  has a quotient line bundle of degree zero, it is not ample.

To prove that  $E(1)$  is nef, it is enough to prove that  $E(1)|_C$  is nef for any irreducible curve  $C$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . For any curve  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  of degree  $d \geq 1$ , we have

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(d + \text{mult}_t C) \longrightarrow E(1)|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(d - \text{mult}_t C) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $d \geq \text{mult}_t C$ , this proves that  $E(1)$  is nef. Consequently, Proposition 3.1 says that

$$\varepsilon(E(k), x) \geq 1$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and for all  $k \geq 2$ .

In Example 3.9, we generalize Example 3.4 to the ideal sheaf of five points in general position in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

**Example 3.5.** Consider the rank two vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  fitting in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 4} \longrightarrow E(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

We note that  $E$  is stable with  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = 2$ . The vector bundle  $E(2)$  is ample (see [SW2, Proposition 2.1]), and  $E(1)$  is globally generated and hence nef. But  $E(1)$  is not ample as there are lines  $L$  in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that  $E(1)|_L = \mathcal{O}_L \oplus \mathcal{O}_L(2)$ . Thus  $\varepsilon(E(k), x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and all  $k \geq 2$ .

**Example 3.6.** Consider the rank two vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow E(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

So  $E$  is stable with  $c_1(E) = 0$  and  $c_2(E) = 3$ . The vector bundle  $E(2)$  is ample and  $E(1)$  is globally generated, see [SW2, Proposition 2.1, Proposition 2.6]. Thus  $\varepsilon(E(k), x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$  and all  $k \geq 2$ .

**Example 3.7.** Consider the null correlation bundle  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^3$ . We have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow T_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $c_1(\mathcal{N}) = 0$ . The vector bundle  $\mathcal{N}(2)$  is ample, and  $\mathcal{N}(1)$  is globally generated (see [SW1, Theorem 2.1]). Hence  $\varepsilon(\mathcal{N}(2), x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^3$ .

**Example 3.8.** Next consider the rank two stable vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  which fits in the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(-1, -1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow E(1, 1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus  $c_1(E) = (-1, -1)$  and  $c_2(E) = 2$ . The vector bundle  $E(1, 1)$  is globally generated, and  $E(2, 2)$  is ample (see [SW2, Proposition 3.11]). Hence

$$\varepsilon(E(2, 2), x) \geq 1$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

We now give an example of a vector bundle for which the hypothesis of Proposition 3.1 does *not* hold, despite that (3) holds.

**Example 3.9.** Let  $Z = \{p_1, \dots, p_5\}$  be five distinct points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  such that no three of them are collinear. Denote the ideal sheaf of  $Z$  by  $\mathcal{I}_Z$ . Then there exists a vector bundle  $E$  of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  which fits in the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \xrightarrow{-s} E \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_Z \longrightarrow 0.$$

In other words, there is a section  $s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, E)$  whose zero locus is precisely  $Z$ . Such vector bundles exist for any finite set of points in  $\mathbb{P}^2$ ; see [OSS, Section 5.2, Example 1, Page 103].

We will show that  $E(2)$  is not nef while  $E(3)$  is ample.

Let  $C$  be the unique conic passing through all the points in  $Z$ . Restricting the section  $s \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, E)$  to  $C$ , we obtain a short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(5) \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-5) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Tensoring this sequence with  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)|_C$ , we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(9) \longrightarrow E(2)|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E(2)|_C$  has a quotient bundle of negative degree, it is not nef. Consequently,  $E(2)$  is not a nef line bundle on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

The vector bundle  $E(3)$  will be shown to be ample using the Seshadri criterion for ampleness of vector bundles. We will in fact show that  $\varepsilon(E(3), x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$ . This would show that  $E(3)$  is ample, by [FM, Theorem 3.11].

The description of Seshadri constant of  $E$  at  $x$  recalled in (1) says

$$\varepsilon(E(3), x) = \inf_{x \in B \subset X} \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(3)))}{\text{mult}_x B},$$

where  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is any irreducible curve, possibly singular, with its normalization  $\alpha : B' \rightarrow B$ , and  $\nu : B' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is the composition of  $\alpha$  with the inclusion map  $B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  ( $\mu_{\min}$  was defined in Section 2).

Writing  $E(3) = E(2) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ , we have the following equality:

$$\varepsilon(E(3), x) = \inf_{x \in B \subset X} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(2) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)))}{\text{mult}_x B} \right\}.$$

By [FM, Lemma 3.28],

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(2) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))) = \mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(2))) + \mu_{\min}(\nu^*(\mathcal{O}(1))) = \mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(2))) + \text{degree}(B).$$

So

$$\varepsilon(E(3), x) = \inf_{x \in B \subset X} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(2)))}{\text{mult}_x B} + \frac{\text{degree}(B)}{\text{mult}_x B} \right\}.$$

Since  $\text{degree}(B) \geq \text{mult}_x B$ , we only need to show that  $\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(2))) \geq 0$  for every curve  $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  containing  $x$ .

Denote  $m_i = \text{mult}_{p_i}(B)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , and also denote  $d = \text{degree}(B)$ . Then restricting the section  $s$  of  $E$  to  $B$  we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(2d + \sum m_i) \rightarrow E(2)|_B \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_B(2d - \sum m_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

Pulling this sequence back to the normalization  $B'$  we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B'}(2d + \sum m_i) \rightarrow \nu^*(E(2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{B'}(2d - \sum m_i) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu_{\min}(\nu^*(E(2))) = 2d - \sum m_i$ .

If  $B = C$  is the unique conic passing through  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_5$ , then its contribution to the Seshadri constant  $\varepsilon(E(3), x)$  is given by  $\frac{-1}{1} + \frac{2}{1} = 1$ .

It can be shown that  $2d \geq \sum m_i$  for every curve  $B \neq C$  passing through  $x$ . This actually follows easily from Bézout's theorem. Indeed, since  $B \neq C$ , we have  $2d = B \cdot C \geq \sum m_i$ .

This shows that  $\varepsilon(E(3), x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^2$ , as required.

Further, note that  $\varepsilon(E, x) = 1$  for any point  $x$  belonging to  $C$  or to any of the ten lines which pass through any two of the points  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_5$ .

**Example 3.10.** Let  $E$  be an equivariant ample vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Recall that there are only finitely many torus equivariant curves in  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , say  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m$ , where  $m = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ . Then by [DKS, Theorem 4.1], for any point  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ ,

$$\varepsilon(X, E, x) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \mu_{\min}(E|_{l_i}) \right\}.$$

As  $E$  is ample, the restriction  $E|_{l_i}$  is also ample. Hence  $\varepsilon(E|_{l_i}, y) = \mu_{\min}(E|_{l_i})$  for every point  $y \in l_i$ . As each  $l_i$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , we conclude that  $\mu_{\min}(E|_{l_i}) \geq 1$  for every  $i$ . Thus

$$\varepsilon(X, E, x) \geq \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \mu_{\min}(E|_{l_i}) \right\} \geq 1,$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ .

For example, consider the tangent bundle  $T_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Its restriction to any line  $l \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  is given by

$$T_{\mathbb{P}^n}|_l = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1),$$

so that

$$\mu_{\min}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}|_l) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \mu_{\min}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}|_{l_i}) \right\}$$

for every line  $l \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ . Hence by [DKS, Theorem 4.1] we conclude that for any point  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$

$$\varepsilon(\mathbb{P}^n, T_{\mathbb{P}^n}, x) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \mu_{\min}(T_{\mathbb{P}^n}|_{l_i}) \right\} = 1.$$

**Example 3.11.** More generally, Ein-Lazarsfeld inequality (3) holds for torus-equivariant ample vector bundles at *torus fixed* points, on: (a) toric varieties [HMP], (b) flag varieties [BHN] and (c) wonderful compactifications as well as Bott-Samelson varieties [BHK]. In all these cases, Seshadri constant at any torus fixed point can be computed by restricting to invariant curves which are smooth rational. Hence the restricted bundle is a direct sum of ample line bundles, and its Seshadri constant is the minimum degree of a line bundle appearing in the decomposition over all invariant curves. Since the degree is a positive integer, it follows that the Seshadri constant is at least 1.

In view of the results obtained in this section, we ask the following question — which is a variation of our motivating question — in the particularly simple case of  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ .

**Question 3.12.** Is it true that for an ample vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,

$$\varepsilon(\mathbb{P}^n, E, x) \geq 1$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ ?

Based on the observations about Fano bundles in this section, the following question may be more tractable.

**Question 3.13.** Is it true that for an ample Fano vector bundle  $E$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ,

$$\varepsilon(\mathbb{P}^n, E, x) \geq 1$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{P}^n$ ?

Both Questions 3.12 and 3.13 have a positive answer if  $E$  is a direct sum of line bundles.

Question 3.13 has a positive answer when  $E$  is of rank two. For  $n = 3, 4$ , this follows from the classification of Fano rank two bundles by [SW1, SW2]. The only non-split cases in this classification are studied in the examples of this section. For  $n \geq 4$ , [APW, Main Theorem 2.4(1)] shows that any Fano vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is split.



Recall that our motivating question asks under which circumstances does (3) hold for ample vector bundles? In the special case of projective spaces, there is reason to ask if the inequality holds for any ample vector bundle.

#### 4. LOWER BOUNDS FOR SESHADRI CONSTANTS OF AMPLE VECTOR BUNDLES

We begin this section by showing that Seshadri constants of ample vector bundles can be arbitrarily small. This is an analogue of a similar result of Miranda for line bundles; see [EL].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Fix any positive real number  $\delta > 0$  and a positive integer  $n$ . Then there is a smooth projective variety  $X$  of dimension  $n$  and an ample vector bundle  $E$  on  $X$  such that*

$$\varepsilon(E, x) < \delta$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be a positive integer such that  $0 < \frac{1}{r} < \delta$ . Fix a smooth projective curve  $C$  of genus  $g \geq 2$  and a smooth irreducible projective variety  $Y$  of dimension  $n - 1 \geq 0$ . Consider the product  $X = C \times Y$  together with the projections

$$p_1 : X \longrightarrow C \quad \text{and} \quad p_2 : X \longrightarrow Y.$$

Let  $x = (c, y) \in X$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$ . Further we choose a very ample line bundle  $L$  on  $Y$ . Let  $V_r$  be a stable vector bundle of rank  $r$  on  $C$  such that  $\det(V_r) \cong \mathcal{O}_C(c)$ . The vector  $V_r$  is ample because it is stable of positive degree [Bi, p. 520, Corollary 3.10]. Then  $E_r := p_1^*V_r \otimes p_2^*L$  is a semistable ample vector bundle on  $X$  with discriminant  $c_2(\text{End}(E_r)) = 0$ . Take  $B := C \times \{y\} \xrightarrow{\iota} C \times Y = X$ . Now by definition,

$$\varepsilon(E_r, x) \leq \mu_{\min}(\iota^*E_r) = \mu(V_r) = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(V_r)} = \frac{1}{r} < \delta.$$

This completes the proof. □

We now prove that Seshadri constants of vector bundles are bounded below by the reciprocal of the rank of the bundle, under suitable conditions. This is analogous to the case of vector bundles on curves where this lower bound always holds, by [Hac2, Corollary 3.1]. We consider two situations where this bound generalizes to the higher rank case and give some examples that satisfy the required hypotheses.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $E$  be an ample vector bundle on a smooth projective surface  $X$ . Let*

$$0 = E_l \subsetneq E_{l-1} \subsetneq E_{l-2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq E_0 = E \tag{4}$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $E$  such that

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^*E) = \mu(\nu^*Q_1) = \frac{\det(Q_1) \cdot C}{\text{rank}(Q_1)}$$

for every curve  $C \subset X$ , where  $\nu : \tilde{C} \rightarrow X$  is the composition of the normalization  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  with the inclusion map  $C \hookrightarrow X$ . Then

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \frac{\varepsilon(\det(Q_1), x)}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)}$$

for all but countably many points  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* By [FM, Corollary 3.1], we have

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \inf_{x \in C \subset X} \left\{ \frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E)}{\text{mult}_x C} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all irreducible curves  $C \subset X$  through  $x$ , and  $\nu : \tilde{C} \rightarrow X$  is composition of normalization map  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  with the inclusion map  $C \hookrightarrow X$ . By hypothesis, we have

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^* E) = \mu(\nu^* Q_1) = \frac{\det(Q_1) \cdot C}{\text{rank}(Q_1)}.$$

This shows that

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \inf_{x \in C \subset X} \left\{ \frac{\det(Q_1) \cdot C}{\text{mult}_x C} \right\} = \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \varepsilon(\det(Q_1), x).$$

Now the result follows from the Ein-Lazarsfeld inequality for Seshadri constants of ample line bundles on smooth projective surfaces; see [EL].  $\square$

**Example 4.3.** A vector bundle  $V$  on an abelian variety  $X$  is called *weakly-translation invariant* (semi-homogeneous in the sense of Mukai) if for every closed point  $x \in X$ , there is a line bundle  $L_x$  on  $X$  depending on  $x$  such that  $T_x^*(V) \simeq V \otimes L_x$  for all  $x \in X$ , where  $T_x : X \rightarrow X$  is the translation morphism  $y \mapsto y + x$ .

Mukai proved that a semi-homogeneous vector bundle  $E$  is Gieseker semistable (see [HL, Chapter 1] for definition) with respect to some polarization and it has projective Chern classes zero, i.e., if  $c(E)$  is the total Chern class of  $E$ , then  $c(E) = \left\{ 1 + c_1(E)/r \right\}^r$  (see [Mu, p. 260, Theorem 5.8], [Mu, Proposition 6.13]; also see [MN, Page 2]). Gieseker semistability implies slope semistability (see [HL]). So, in particular,  $E$  is slope semistable with

$$c_2(\text{End}(E)) = 2rc_2(E) - (r-1)c_1^2(E) = 0.$$

Hence for an ample semi-homogeneous vector bundle  $E$  of rank  $r$  on an abelian surface  $X$ , we have

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \frac{\varepsilon(\det(E), x)}{\text{rank}(E)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)},$$

for all but countable many points  $x \in X$ .

**Example 4.4.** Let  $\rho : X = \mathbb{P}_C(W) \rightarrow C$  be a ruled surface on a smooth projective curve  $C$  with  $\mu_{\min}(W) = \deg(W)$ . Take a vector bundle  $V$  on  $C$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\mu_{\min}(V) > -m \deg(W)$ . So  $E := \rho^*(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(m)$  is an ample vector bundle on  $X$  (see [MR, Corollary 10]).

Let

$$0 = V_l \subsetneq V_{l-1} \subsetneq V_{l-2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_0 = V$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $V$ , and let  $Q_i := V_{i-1}/V_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Since the map  $\rho$  is smooth, in particular it is flat, it follows that  $\rho^*$  is an exact functor. For any ample line bundle  $H$  on  $X$ , we have  $\mu_H(\rho^*Q_i) = \mu(Q_i)(f \cdot H)$  and  $f \cdot H > 0$ , where  $f$  denotes a fiber of  $\rho$ . Set  $E_i := (\rho^*V_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(E)}(m)$ . Then the above observation and the uniqueness of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration imply that

$$0 = E_l \subsetneq E_{l-1} \subsetneq E_{l-2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq E_0 = E$$

is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $E$  with respect to any polarization  $H$ .

We conclude using [MR, Corollary 10] that each  $R_i := \rho^*(Q_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}(m)$  is an ample semistable bundle on  $X$  with  $c_2(\text{End}(R_i)) = 0$ . Thus

$$\varepsilon(R_i, x) \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(R_i)}$$

for all but countably many points  $x \in X$ . So inductively we have each  $E_i$  to be ample, and by [FM, Lemma 3.2] we have

$$\varepsilon(E_i, x) \geq \min\left\{\varepsilon(E_{i-1}, x), \varepsilon(R_i, x)\right\} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E_i)}$$

for all but countably many points  $x \in X$ . In particular, using Theorem 4.2,

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \frac{\varepsilon(\det(Q_1), x)}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)}$$

for all but countably many points  $x \in X$ .

**Example 4.5.** Let  $C$  and  $D$  be any two smooth projective curves. Consider the product  $X = C \times D$  together with the projections  $p_1 : X \rightarrow C$  and  $p_2 : X \rightarrow D$ .

Let  $V$  be an ample vector bundle on  $C$  and  $L$  a very ample line bundle on  $D$ . Then  $E := p_1^*V \otimes p_2^*L$  is an ample vector bundle on  $X$ . Let

$$0 = V_l \subsetneq V_{l-1} \subsetneq V_{l-2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_0 = V$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $V$ . Then

$$0 = E_l \subsetneq E_{l-1} \subsetneq E_{l-2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq E_0 = E$$

is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $E$ , where  $E_i = p_1^*V_i \otimes p_2^*L$  for each  $i$ . Note that each  $Q_i := E_{i-1}/E_i$  is semistable with  $c_2(\text{End}(Q_i)) = 0$ .

Therefore

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \frac{\varepsilon(\det(Q_1), x)}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(V)}$$

for all but countably many points  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $E$  be an ample and globally generated vector bundle on a smooth projective variety  $X$ . Let*

$$0 = E_l \subsetneq E_{l-1} \subsetneq E_{l-2} \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq E_1 \subsetneq E_0 = E \quad (5)$$

*be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of  $E$  with  $Q_1 = E/E_1$ . Suppose that*

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^*E) = \mu(\nu^*Q_1) = \frac{\det(Q_1) \cdot C}{\text{rank}(Q_1)}$$

*for every curve  $C \subset X$ , where  $\nu$  is the composition of the normalization  $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$  with the inclusion map  $C \hookrightarrow X$ . Then*

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \frac{\varepsilon(\det(Q_1), x)}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(Q_1)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)}$$

*for all  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of Theorem 4.2. □

**Example 4.7.** Let  $\pi : X := \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C$  be a projective bundle over an elliptic curve  $C$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  is an indecomposable vector bundle of rank  $n$  and degree 1 on  $C$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})}(1)$  be the tautological line bundle, and set  $E := \pi^*\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})}(1)$ , where  $\mathcal{E}$  is an indecomposable vector bundle of rank  $n$  and degree 1. Then  $E$  is an ample and globally generated vector bundle (see [No, Theorem 1.1, (4)]). Further  $\mathcal{E}$  is an indecomposable bundle, and hence semistable. As a result,  $E$  is a semistable bundle with  $c_2(\text{End}(E)) = 0$ . Thus

$$\varepsilon(E, x) = \frac{\varepsilon(\det(E), x)}{\text{rank}(E)} \geq \frac{1}{\text{rank}(E)}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

## 5. AMPLE VECTOR BUNDLES ON HIRZEBRUCH SURFACES

In this section we consider rank 2 vector bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces. Such bundles with small values of  $c_2$  have been classified in the literature, see [Is] for instance.

In the following proposition, we list the indecomposable rank 2 ample bundles on Hirzebruch surfaces with small  $c_2$ . For all these vector bundles it will be shown that the Seshadri constant is at least 1 at all points.

**Proposition 5.1** ([Is, Theorem 2.9]). *Let  $\pi : \mathbb{F}_e = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-e)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a Hirzebruch surface and  $E \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_e$  an indecomposable ample vector bundle of rank two. Denote the normalized section of  $\pi$  by  $\sigma$  and a fiber of  $\pi$  by  $f$ . Then  $c_2(E) \geq e + 5$ . Moreover, if  $c_2(E) \leq e + 6$ , then one of the following cases occur:*

**Case 1:**  *$E$  is an ample indecomposable rank 2 bundle on  $\mathbb{F}_1$  with  $c_2(E) = 6$  which sits in the following exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow 2\sigma + 2f \rightarrow E \rightarrow \sigma + 3f \rightarrow 0.$$

*In this case the Nef cone of  $\mathbb{F}_e$  is generated by  $\{\sigma + f, f\}$ .*

**Case 2:**  $E$  is an ample indecomposable rank 2 bundle on  $\mathbb{F}_0$  with  $c_2(E) = 6$  which sits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\sigma \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \sigma + 3f \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this case the Nef cone is generated by  $\{\sigma, f\}$ .

**Case 3:**  $E$  an ample indecomposable rank 2 bundle on  $\mathbb{F}_1$  with  $c_2(E) = 7$  which sits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\sigma + f \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \sigma + 4f \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this case the Nef cone of  $\mathbb{F}_e$  is generated by  $\{\sigma + f, f\}$ .

**Case 4:**  $E$  is an ample indecomposable rank 2 bundle on  $\mathbb{F}_2$  with  $c_2(E) = 8$  which sits in the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow 2\sigma + 4f \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \sigma + 4f \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this case the Nef cone of  $\mathbb{F}_e$  is generated by  $\{\sigma + 2f, f\}$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $\pi : \mathbb{F}_e = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-e)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  be a Hirzebruch surface, and let  $E$  be one of the four indecomposable ample vector bundle of rank 2 on  $\mathbb{F}_e$  listed in Proposition 5.1. Then  $\varepsilon(E, x) \geq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_e$ .

*Proof.* We will use [FM, Corollary 3.21] which allows us to compute the Seshadri constants  $\varepsilon(E, x)$  by restricting  $E$  to curves on  $\mathbb{F}_e$ ; see (1). To prove the theorem it suffices to show that

$$\frac{\mu_{\min}(\nu^*E)}{\text{mult}_x C} \geq 1 \quad (6)$$

for every irreducible curve  $C \subset \mathbb{F}_e$  containing  $x$ . Suppose that  $C$  is numerically equivalent to  $a\sigma + bf$  for some integers  $a, b$ .

We will prove (6) separately for each of the four cases in Proposition 5.1. Take  $x \in \mathbb{F}_e$ .

**Case 1:** Here  $e = 1$ . Let  $C \subset \mathbb{F}_1$  be an irreducible curve containing  $x$ . Restricting the defining short exact sequence to  $C$ , we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2b) \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2a + b) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (7)$$

If  $C = \sigma$ , then  $C$  is smooth, and  $\text{mult}_x C = 1$ . Then (7) becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E$  is ample, so is  $E|_C$ . The degree of  $E|_C$  is 2. Note that  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ . So  $E|_C = \mathcal{O}_C(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ .

In this case, it is clear that  $\varepsilon(C, E|_C, x) = 1$ . By (2),  $\mu_{\min}(E|_C) = 1$ . So (6) holds.

If  $C$  is numerically equal to  $f$ , then we claim that  $C$  is smooth. Indeed, the linear system  $\sigma + 2f$  is very ample (see [Har, Corollary V.2.18]) and hence it contains a nonsingular curve  $D$  through  $x$ . Then Bézout's theorem gives that

$$C \cdot D = 1 \geq \text{mult}_x C.$$

Now (7) becomes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2) \longrightarrow E|_C \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(1) \longrightarrow 0.$$

So  $\mu_{\min}(E|_C) \geq 1$  by Lemma 2.1. Therefore, (6) holds.

Now suppose that  $C$  is different from  $\sigma, f$ . Then, we have  $a > 0, b \geq a$  (see [Har, Corollary V.2.20(a)]). Intersecting  $C$  with the fiber through  $x$ , we obtain that

$$a = C \cdot f \geq \text{mult}_x C.$$

Pulling back the defining exact sequence of  $E$  to the normalization  $\alpha : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ , we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \nu^*(2\sigma + 2f) \rightarrow \nu^*E \rightarrow \nu^*(\sigma + 3f) \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\nu$  is the composition of  $\alpha$  with the inclusion map  $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_1$ .

By Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\min}(\nu^*E) &\geq \min \{ \text{degree}(\nu^*(2\sigma + 2f)), \text{degree}(\nu^*(\sigma + 3f)) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ \text{degree}(2\sigma + 2f|_C), \text{degree}(\sigma + 3f|_C) \} \\ &\geq \min \{ 2b, 2a + b \} \\ &\geq \text{mult}_x C. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (6).

**Case 2:** Here  $e = 0$ . Let  $C \subset \mathbb{F}_0$  be an irreducible curve containing  $x$ . Restricting the defining short exact sequence to  $C$ , we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2b) \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(3a + b) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

If  $C = \sigma$ , then  $C$  is smooth, and  $\text{mult}_x C = 1$ . Then (8) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(3) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E$  is ample, so is  $E|_C$ . The proof can be completed exactly as done in the analogous situation in Case 1.

If  $C$  is numerically equal to  $f$ , the argument is identical to the one in Case 1.

Now assume that  $C$  is different from  $\sigma, f$ . Then  $a > 0, b > 0$  by [Har, Corollary V.2.18(b)].

Note that through every point  $x \in \mathbb{F}_0$  there are curves numerically equivalent to  $\sigma$  and  $f$ . Intersecting  $C$  with these curves and using Bézout, we conclude that  $a \geq \text{mult}_x C$  and  $b \geq \text{mult}_x C$ .

As above, using Lemma 2.1 for the exact sequence (7) we obtain

$$\mu_{\min}(\nu^*E) \geq \min \{ 2b, 3a + b \} \geq \text{mult}_x C.$$

Hence (6) holds.

**Case 3:** Here  $e = 1$ . Let  $C \subset \mathbb{F}_1$  be an irreducible curve containing  $x$ . Restricting the defining short exact sequence to  $C$  we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(2b - a) \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(3a + b) \rightarrow 0. \quad (9)$$

If  $C = \sigma$ , then  $C$  is smooth, and  $\text{mult}_x C = 1$ . Then (9) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(-1) \rightarrow E|_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(3) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $E$  is ample, so is  $E|_C$ . We have  $E|_C = \mathcal{O}_C(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ . The proof can be completed exactly as in the analogous situation in Case 1.

If  $C$  is numerically equal to  $f$ , the argument is identical to the one in Case 1.

Now suppose  $C$  is different from  $\sigma, f$ . Then, by [Har, Corollary V.2.20(a)],  $a > 0$  and  $b > a$ . Intersecting  $C$  with a fiber through  $x$ , we obtain that  $a = C \cdot f \geq \text{mult}_x C$ .

We conclude exactly as done in Case 1.

**Case 4:** The argument is identical to the above cases. So we omit its proof.  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** Let  $E$  be an equivariant ample vector bundle of rank  $r$  on a Hirzebruch surface  $X$  satisfying the following property as in [DKS, Theorem 5.1]:

$$\mu_{\min}(E|_{D_1}) = \mu_{\min}(E|_{D'_1}),$$

where  $D_1, D_2, D'_1$  and  $D'_2$  are certain torus-invariant curves in  $X$ ; see [DKS, Subsection 2.3 and Section 5] for more details. Set  $\mu_1 = \mu_{\min}(E|_{D_1})$ ,  $\mu_2 = \mu_{\min}(E|_{D_2})$ ,  $\mu'_1 = \mu_{\min}(E|_{D'_1})$  and  $\mu'_2 = \mu_{\min}(E|_{D'_2})$ . Then for any  $x \in X$ , by [DKS, Theorem 5.1] the Seshadri constants satisfy the following inequalities. Here  $\Gamma_2$  is a certain curve on  $X$ ; see [DKS] for a description:

$$\varepsilon(E, x) \geq \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu'_2\}, \text{ if } x \in \Gamma_2$$

and

$$\varepsilon(E, x) \geq \min\{\mu_1, \mu_2\}, \text{ if } x \notin \Gamma_2.$$

Since the invariant curves are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ , in both of the above cases we have

$$\varepsilon(E, x) \geq 1, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank G. V. Ravindra for several useful discussions. The second and the third authors are partially supported by a grant from Infosys Foundation. The third author is supported financially by SERB-NPDF fellowship (File no: PDF/2021/00028).

#### REFERENCES

- [APW] V. Ancona, T. Peternell and J. A. Wiśniewski, Fano bundles and splitting theorems on projective spaces and quadrics, *Pacific J. Math.* **163** (1994), no. 1, 17–42.
- [BSS] M. C. Beltrametti, M. H. Schneider and A. J. Sommese, Chern inequalities and spannedness of adjoint bundles, in *Proceedings of the Hirzebruch 65 Conference on Algebraic Geometry (Ramat Gan, 1993)*, 97–107, Israel Math. Conf. Proc., 9, Bar-Ilan Univ., Ramat Gan.
- [Bi] I. Biswas, Parabolic ample bundles, *Math. Ann.* **307** (1997), 511–529.
- [BHN] I. Biswas, K. Hanumanthu and D. S. Nagaraj, Positivity of vector bundles on homogeneous varieties, *Internat. J. Math.* **31** (2020), no. 12, 2050097, 11 pp.

- [BHK] I. Biswas, K. Hanumanthu and S. S. Kannan, On the Seshadri constants of equivariant bundles over Bott-Samelson varieties and wonderful compactifications, to appear in *Manuscripta Math.*, arXiv:2201.04519.
- [DKS] J. Dasgupta, B. Khan and A. Subramaniam, Seshadri constants of equivariant vector bundles on toric varieties, *J. Algebra* **595** (2022), 38–68.
- [Dem] J.-P. Demailly, Singular Hermitian metrics on positive line bundles, *Complex algebraic varieties* (Bayreuth, 1990), 87–104, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1507, Springer, Berlin, 1992.
- [EL] L. M. H. Ein and R. K. Lazarsfeld, Seshadri constants on smooth surfaces, *Astérisque* No. 218 (1993), 177–186.
- [FM] M. Fulger and T. Murayama, Seshadri constants for vector bundles, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **225** (2021), no. 4, Paper No. 106559, 35 pp.
- [Hac1] C. D. Hacon, Examples of spanned and ample vector bundles with small numerical invariants, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **323** (1996), no. 9, 1025–1029.
- [Hac2] C. D. Hacon, Remarks on Seshadri constants of vector bundles, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **50** (2000), no. 3, 767–780.
- [Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, No. 52, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [HL] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*, second edition, *Cambridge Mathematical Library*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [HMP] M. Hering, M. Mustața and S. Payne, Positivity properties of toric vector bundles, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **60** (2010), 607–640.
- [Is] H. Ishihara, Rank 2 ample vector bundles on some smooth rational surfaces, *Geom. Dedicata* **67** (1997), no. 3, 309–336.
- [MR] S. Misra and N. Ray, On ampleness of vector bundles, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **359** (2021), 763–772.
- [Mu] S. Mukai, Semi-homogeneous vector bundles on an Abelian variety, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **18** (1978), no. 2, 239–272.
- [MN] V. B. Mehta and M. V. Nori, Semistable sheaves on homogeneous spaces and abelian varieties, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.* **93** (1984), no. 1, 1–12.
- [No] A. Noma, Ample and spanned vector bundles of top Chern number two on smooth projective varieties, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (1998), no. 1, 35–43.
- [OSS] C. Okonek, M. H. Schneider and H. Spindler, *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, *Progress in Mathematics*, 3, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1980.
- [SW1] M. Szurek and J. A. Wiśniewski, Fano bundles over  $\mathbf{P}^3$  and  $Q_3$ , *Pacific J. Math.* **141** (1990), no. 1, 197–208.



- [SW2] M. Szurek and J. A. Wiśniewski, Fano bundles of rank 2 on surfaces, *Compositio Math.* **76** (1990), no. 1-2, 295–305.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

*Email address:* `indranil@math.tifr.res.in`

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1 SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM 603103, INDIA

*Email address:* `krishna@cmi.ac.in`

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, H1 SIPCOT IT PARK, SIRUSERI, KELAMBAKKAM 603103, INDIA

*Email address:* `snehajitm@cmi.ac.in`