

# PRISMATIC $G$ -DISPLAY AND DESCENT THEORY

KAZUHIRO ITO

ABSTRACT. For a smooth affine group scheme  $G$  over the ring of  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and a cocharacter  $\mu$  of  $G$ , we study  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over the prismatic site of Bhatt–Scholze. In particular, we obtain several descent results for them. If  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ , then our  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays can be thought of as Breuil–Kisin modules with some additional conditions.

In fact, our results are formulated and proved for smooth affine group schemes over the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_E$  of any finite extension  $E$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  by using  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms, which are  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -analogues of prisms.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries on $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms	5
3. Displayed Breuil–Kisin module	18
4. Display group	25
5. Prismatic $G$ - $\mu$ -display	30
6. $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over complete regular local rings	42
7. $p$ -divisible groups and prismatic Dieudonné crystals	55
References	58

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $G$  be a smooth affine group scheme over the ring of  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{W(k)}$  a cocharacter defined over the ring  $W(k)$  of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors, where  $G_{W(k)} := G \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Z}_p} \mathrm{Spec} W(k)$ .

The purpose of this note is to provide a systematic study of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over the prismatic site of Bhatt–Scholze [BS22], following the approach of Lau [Lau21]. In particular, we prove several descent results for such prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays. The results obtained here will be used to study the deformation theory of prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays in our future work. If  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ , our  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays can be regarded as Breuil–Kisin modules (in the generalized sense of Definition 3.1.2) with some additional conditions. In the following, we review some previous work, and then explain our results.

**1.1. Displays and Breuil–Kisin modules.** The theory of displays and windows, initiated by Zink and developed by many authors including Zink and Lau, has been successfully applied in the study of  $p$ -divisible groups. There are several classifications of  $p$ -divisible groups in terms of displays and windows, following the spirit of the classical Dieudonné theory. Such results are particularly useful for understanding deformations of

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F30; Secondary 14G45, 14L05.

*Key words and phrases.* prisms, displays,  $p$ -divisible groups.

$p$ -divisible groups as well as structural properties of (local) moduli spaces of  $p$ -divisible groups.

For example, let  $R$  be a complete noetherian local ring with residue field  $k$ . Then, Zink and Lau proved that there exists an equivalence between the category of  $p$ -divisible groups over  $R$  and the category of Dieudonné displays for  $R$ ; see [Zin01a] for  $p \geq 3$ , and [Lau14] for all  $p > 0$ . A Dieudonné display for  $R$  is a free module of finite rank over the Zink ring  $\mathbb{W}(R)$  (which is also denoted by  $\hat{W}(R)$ ) equipped with a filtration and a certain Frobenius operator. The ring  $\mathbb{W}(R)$  is a subring of the ring  $W(R)$  of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors which is stable by the Frobenius endomorphism of  $W(R)$ . Dieudonné displays are closely related to Dieudonné crystals on crystalline sites.

On the other hand, it is also known that  $p$ -divisible groups over the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  of a finite totally ramified extension  $K$  of  $W(k)[1/p]$  are classified by minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules for  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . To be more precise, let  $\mathfrak{S} := W(k)[[t]]$ , which admits a Frobenius endomorphism  $\phi: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$  such that it acts on  $W(k)$  as the usual Frobenius and sends  $t$  to  $t^p$ . Let  $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  be a uniformizer and  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{S}$  the Eisenstein polynomial of  $\varpi$ . A minuscule Breuil–Kisin module for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  (with respect to  $\varpi$ ) is a free  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $M$  of finite rank equipped with an  $\mathfrak{S}$ -linear homomorphism

$$F_M: \phi^* M := \mathfrak{S} \otimes_{\phi, \mathfrak{S}} M \rightarrow M$$

whose cokernel is killed by  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then, there exists an equivalence of categories:

$$\{p\text{-divisible groups over } \mathcal{O}_K\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules for } \mathcal{O}_K\}. \quad (1.1)$$

This result was conjectured by Breuil, proved by Kisin ([Kis06], [Kis09]) when  $p \geq 3$ , and proved in [Kim12], [Liu13], and [Lau14] for all  $p > 0$ . In [Lau14], Lau first showed that the category of minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is equivalent to the category of Dieudonné displays for  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , and then deduced the result from the classification of  $p$ -divisible groups in terms of Dieudonné displays. In fact, the same result is obtained for any complete regular local ring  $R$  (of any dimension) with residue field  $k$  in [Lau14]. See also [Zin01b], [VZ10], and [Lau10] for the results in this direction. The equivalence (1.1) is a key ingredient in Kisin’s construction of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties of abelian type with hyperspecial level structure [Kis10].

The pair  $(\mathfrak{S}, (\mathcal{E}))$  consisting of  $\mathfrak{S}$  and the ideal  $(\mathcal{E})$  generated by  $\mathcal{E}$  is a *prism* in the sense of Bhatt–Scholze [BS22]. In [ALB23], Anschütz–Le Bras gave a cohomological description of the functor (1.1) using the prismatic site, and proved that it is an equivalence by a different method ([ALB23, Theorem 5.12]). See also Theorem 7.2.4 in Section 7. The theory of prisms is likely to become increasingly important in the study of various moduli spaces in arithmetic geometry such as Rapoport–Zink spaces and (local) Shimura varieties. From the module theoretic viewpoint, minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules are more convenient to handle than Dieudonné displays (e.g. the ring  $\mathfrak{S}$  is noetherian, while the Zink ring  $\mathbb{W}(\mathcal{O}_K)$  is not). On the other hand, from the viewpoint of deformation theory, there are some technical issues in working with minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules, or prismatic Dieudonné crystals (in the sense of [ALB23]). For example, the Grothendieck–Messing deformation theory does not apply directly in this setting.

**1.2. Prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays.** For applications to Rapoport–Zink spaces and (local) Shimura varieties, it will also be convenient to consider displays with “ $G$ - $\mu$ -structures”. Such objects, called  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays (also called  $(G, \mu)$ -displays or  $G$ -displays of type  $\mu$ ),

were introduced by Bültel [Bü08] and Bültel–Pappas [BP20]. Then, the theory of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays has been developed in various settings; see for example [Pap20], [Lau21], and [Dan21]. These objects also have applications to K3 surfaces and related varieties; see [LZ19], [Lau21], and [Ino21].

In [Lau21], Lau introduced a useful framework for studying  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays, which allows for a unified treatment of several constructions considered in previous studies. Although it is not stated in *loc.cit.* explicitly, we can follow Lau’s approach to study the notion of  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over prisms. In fact, such an adaptation has already appeared in the paper of Bartling [Bar22]. Bartling used  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over perfect prisms in order to study the relation between Rapoport–Zink spaces constructed in [BP20] and integral models of local Shimura varieties defined in [SW20] under a certain nilpotence condition (introduced in [BP20]) on  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays.

In this paper, we study  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays (and Breuil–Kisin modules) over prisms in more details. We pay a special attention to  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over prisms of Breuil–Kisin type (in the sense of Proposition 2.3.8). In our work, we do not need to impose any nilpotence condition on  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays. We will develop the deformation theory for  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over prisms in [Ito23], using the results obtained here.

**1.3. Summary of results.** Let us now summarize the contents of this paper. Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded prism in the sense of [BS22], such that  $A$  is a  $W(k)$ -algebra. We assume that  $(A, I)$  is orientable, that is, the ideal  $I$  is principal.

Before introducing  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays, we study Breuil–Kisin modules in Section 3, where we follow the terminology of [ALB23] and define Breuil–Kisin modules over any  $(A, I)$ . We introduce the notions of *displayed* Breuil–Kisin module and of *minuscule* Breuil–Kisin module. Prismatic  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  introduced below can be regarded as displayed Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, I)$ . Moreover, if the cocharacter  $\mu$  is minuscule, then the corresponding displayed Breuil–Kisin modules are minuscule (up to twist).

Let  $d \in I$  be a generator, which is a nonzerodivisor. In Section 4, we will define and study the following group

$$G_\mu(A, I) := \{ g \in G(A) \mid \mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1} \text{ lies in } G(A) \subset G(A[1/d]) \},$$

which we call the *display group*. We endow  $G(A)$  with the following action of  $G_\mu(A, I)$ :

$$G(A) \times G_\mu(A, I) \rightarrow G(A), \quad (X, g) \mapsto g^{-1}X\phi(\mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1}).$$

We note that  $G_\mu(A, I)$  does not depend on the choice of  $d$ , while the above action does. Let  $(A, I)_{\acute{e}t}$  be the category of bounded prisms  $(A', I')$  with a  $(p, I)$ -completely étale map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  of prisms. We endow (the opposite of)  $(A, I)_{\acute{e}t}$  with the  $(p, I)$ -completely étale topology. The display groups  $G_\mu(A', I')$  form a group sheaf  $G_{\mu, A, I}$  on  $(A, I)_{\acute{e}t}$ , and the above action naturally extends to an action of  $G_{\mu, A, I}$  on the sheaf  $G_{\Delta, A}$  defined by  $(A', I') \mapsto G(A')$ . We refer to Section 4 for the details.

In Section 5, we define  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over prisms, and present some basic results. A  $G$ - $\mu$ -display (or prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -display) over  $(A, I)$  is a pair

$$(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$$

consisting of a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}$  with respect to the  $(p, I)$ -completely étale topology and a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -equivariant map  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A}$  of sheaves. The map  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}$  plays the same role as Frobenius homomorphisms  $F_M$  in the theory of Breuil–Kisin modules. When there is

no possibility of confusion, we write  $\mathcal{Q}$  instead of  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$ . Let

$$G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$$

be the groupoid of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$ . We remark that this groupoid does not depend on the choice of  $d \in I$  up to canonical equivalence. In fact, in the main body of this paper, we slightly modify the above definition, and  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays are defined in such a way that they are completely independent of the choice of  $d$ . Also, we can define  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays for any (not necessarily orientable) bounded prism. See Section 5.2 for more details.

We say that a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  over  $(A, I)$  is *banal* if  $\mathcal{Q}$  is trivial as a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor. The groupoid of banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  is equivalent to the following quotient groupoid:

$$[G(A)/G_{\mu}(A, I)].$$

The objects of this groupoid are the elements  $X \in G(A)$ , and the morphisms are defined by

$$\text{Hom}(X, X') = \{g \in G_{\mu}(A, I) \mid g^{-1}X'\phi(\mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1}) = X\}.$$

The banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display corresponding to an element  $X \in G(A)$  is

$$\mathcal{Q}_X = (G_{\mu, A, I}, \alpha_X)$$

where  $\alpha_X: G_{\mu, A, I} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A}$  is given by  $1 \mapsto X$ .

The results of Section 5 can be summarized as follows:

- (1) We prove  $(p, I)$ -completely flat descent for prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays (Proposition 5.3.1).
- (2) To any  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathcal{Q}$  over  $(A, I)$ , we attach a  $P_{\mu}$ -torsor  $P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I}$  over  $\text{Spec } A/I$ , which is called the *Hodge filtration* (Definition 5.4.1 and Remark 5.4.4). Here  $P_{\mu}$  is the usual subgroup scheme of  $G_{W(k)}$  associated with the cocharacter  $\mu$ ; see Section 4.2.
- (3) A  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor over  $(A, I)$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  consisting of a  $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\text{Spec } A$  and an isomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{P}}: (\phi^*\mathcal{P})[1/\phi(d)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}[1/\phi(d)]$$

of  $G$ -torsors over  $\text{Spec } A[1/\phi(d)]$ . Here, for a  $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\text{Spec } A$ , we write  $\mathcal{P}[1/\phi(d)]$  for the base change  $\mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Spec } A} \text{Spec } A[1/\phi(d)]$ . We associate to each  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathcal{Q}$  over  $(A, I)$  a  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$  over  $(A, I)$ , which we call the *underlying  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor* of  $\mathcal{Q}$  (Definition 5.5.4).

- (4) Let  $R$  be a perfectoid ring and  $(W(R^{\flat}), I_R)$  the corresponding perfect prism. In Section 5.6, we study  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(W(R^{\flat}), I_R)$ . In particular, we prove that the construction  $\mathcal{Q} \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$  induces an equivalence from  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(W(R^{\flat}), I_R)$  to the groupoid of  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsors over  $(W(R^{\flat}), I_R)$  of type  $\mu$ . See Definition 5.5.6 and Proposition 5.6.10 for the notion of  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor of type  $\mu$ . This result can also be found in [Bar22]. A  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor over  $(W(R^{\flat}), I_R)$  is also called a  *$G$ -Breuil-Kisin-Fargues module* for  $R$  in the literature.

Let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring with residue field  $k$ . As in [BS22] and [ALB23], we consider the category  $(R)_{\Delta}$  of bounded prisms  $(A, I)$  with a homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A/I$ . A  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(R)_{\Delta}$  is defined to be an object of the following groupoid

$$G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}((R)_{\Delta}) := 2 - \varprojlim_{(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta}} G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I).$$

One can say that a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(R)_\Delta$  is a “prismatic crystal in  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays” on  $(R)_\Delta$ .

There exists a prism

$$(W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_n]], (\mathcal{E}))$$

with an isomorphism  $R \simeq W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]/\mathcal{E}$  which lifts the identity  $\text{id}_k: k \rightarrow k$ . Here the Frobenius  $\phi$  of  $W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$  is such that  $\phi(t_i) = t_i^p$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\mathcal{E} \in W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$  is a formal power series whose constant term is  $p$ . In Section 6, we prove the following theorem, which is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.3.1** (Theorem 6.1.3). *Assume that the cocharacter  $\mu$  is 1-bounded. Then the natural functor*

$$G\text{-Disp}_\mu((R)_\Delta) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_\mu(W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_n]], (\mathcal{E}))$$

*is an equivalence.*

We refer to Definition 4.2.3 for the definition of 1-bounded cocharacters. If  $G$  is reductive, then  $\mu$  is 1-bounded if and only if  $\mu$  is minuscule. If  $G = \text{GL}_N$ , this result (or more precisely, the analogous result for minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules) is stated in [ALB23, Section 5.2], and the proof is given in the case where  $n \leq 1$ . Our proof (in the case where  $G = \text{GL}_N$ ) goes along the same line as that of [ALB23], but it requires some additional arguments when  $n \geq 2$ . This result fits in the omitted part of the proof of [ALB23, Theorem 5.12]; see Section 7 for details. The proof of Theorem 1.3.1 for a general  $G$  is inspired by that of [Lau21, Proposition 7.1.5].

In fact, the results of this paper will be formulated and proved for a smooth affine group scheme  $G$  over the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_E$  of any finite extension  $E$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . For this, we will use  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -analogues of prisms, called  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. This notion was already introduced in the work of Marks [Mar23] (in which these objects are called  $E$ -typical prisms). Section 2 is devoted to discuss analogous results to those of [BS22, Section 2 and Section 3] for  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. We will define  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays for bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms in the same way, and prove the above results for them. It will be convenient to establish our results in this generality, but the reader (who is familiar with the theory of prisms) may assume that  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and skip Section 2 on a first reading. The arguments for general  $\mathcal{O}_E$  are the same as for the case where  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**Notation.** In this paper, all rings are commutative and unital. For a module  $M$  over a ring  $R$  and a ring homomorphism  $f: R \rightarrow R'$ , the tensor product  $M \otimes_R R'$  is denoted by  $M_{R'}$  or  $f^*M$ . For a scheme  $X$  over  $R$ , the base change  $X \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R'$  is denoted by  $X_{R'}$  or  $f^*X$ . We use similar notation for the base change of group schemes,  $p$ -divisible groups, etc. Moreover, all actions of groups will be right actions, unless otherwise stated.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PRISMS

Throughout this paper, we fix a prime number  $p$ . Let  $E$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_E$  and residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Here  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a finite field with  $q$  elements. We fix a uniformizer  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_E$ .

In this section, we study an “ $\mathcal{O}_E$ -analogue” of the notion of prism. Such objects are called  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms in this paper. This notion was also introduced in the work of Marks [Mar23] (in which  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms are called  $E$ -typical prisms). We discuss some properties of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms which we need in the sequel. We hope that this section will also help the reader unfamiliar with [BS22] to understand some basic facts about prisms.

**2.1. Prisms.** We first recall the definition of bounded prisms.

Let  $A$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra. A  $\delta$ -structure on  $A$  is a map  $\delta: A \rightarrow A$  of sets with the following properties:

- (1)  $\delta(1) = 0$ .
- (2)  $\delta(xy) = x^p\delta(y) + y^p\delta(x) + p\delta(x)\delta(y)$ .
- (3)  $\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + (x^p + y^p - (x + y)^p)/p$ .

A  $\delta$ -ring is a pair  $(A, \delta)$  of a  $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -algebra  $A$  and a  $\delta$ -structure  $\delta: A \rightarrow A$ . The above equalities imply that

$$\phi: A \rightarrow A, \quad x \mapsto x^p + p\delta(x)$$

is a ring homomorphism which is a lift of the Frobenius  $A/p \rightarrow A/p$ ,  $x \mapsto x^p$ .

In the following, for a ring  $A$  and an ideal  $I \subset A$ , we say that an  $A$ -module  $M$  is  $I$ -adically complete (or  $x$ -adically complete if  $I$  is generated by an element  $x \in I$ ) if the natural homomorphism

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} \widehat{M} := \varprojlim_n M/I^n M$$

is bijective.

**Definition 2.1.1** ([BS22]). A *bounded prism* is a pair  $(A, I)$  of a  $\delta$ -ring  $A$  and a Cartier divisor  $I \subset A$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $A$  is  $(p, I)$ -adically complete.
- (2)  $A/I$  has bounded  $p$ -torsion, i.e.  $(A/I)[p^\infty] = (A/I)[p^n]$  for some integer  $n > 0$ .
- (3) We have  $p \in (I, \phi(I))$ .

We say that a bounded prism  $(A, I)$  is *orientable* if  $I$  is principal.

**Remark 2.1.2.** Under the condition that  $A/I$  has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion, the requirement that  $A$  is  $(p, I)$ -adically complete is equivalent to saying that  $A$  is *derived*  $(p, I)$ -adically complete; see [BS22, Lemma 3.7]. We refer to [BS22, Notation 1.2] and [Sta18, Tag 091N] for the notion of derived complete module (or complex). We note that in general for a ring  $A$  and a finitely generated ideal  $I \subset A$ , if an  $A$ -module  $M$  is  $I$ -adically complete, then  $M$  is derived  $I$ -adically complete.

**2.2.  $\delta_E$ -ring.** In this subsection, we recall the notion of  $\delta_E$ -ring, which is an “ $\mathcal{O}_E$ -analogue” of the notion of  $\delta$ -ring. We define

$$\delta_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi}: \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E, \quad x \mapsto (x - x^q)/\pi.$$

**Definition 2.2.1** ([Mar23, Definition 2.2]).

- (1) Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra. A  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $A$  is a map  $\delta_E: A \rightarrow A$  of sets with the following properties:
  - (a)  $\delta_E(xy) = x^q\delta_E(y) + y^q\delta_E(x) + \pi\delta_E(x)\delta_E(y)$ .
  - (b)  $\delta_E(x + y) = \delta_E(x) + \delta_E(y) + (x^q + y^q - (x + y)^q)/\pi$ .
  - (c)  $\delta_E: A \rightarrow A$  is compatible with  $\delta_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi}$ , i.e. we have  $\delta_E(x) = \delta_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi}(x)$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{O}_E$ .

A  $\delta_E$ -ring is a pair  $(A, \delta_E)$  of an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra  $A$  and a  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $A$ .

- (2) A homomorphism  $f: (A, \delta_E) \rightarrow (A', \delta'_E)$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings is a homomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow A'$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras such that  $f \circ \delta_E = \delta'_E \circ f$ .

The term  $(x^q + y^q - (x + y)^q)/\pi$  in (b) makes sense since we can write it as

$$(x^q + y^q - (x + y)^q)/\pi = - \sum_{0 < i < q} \binom{q}{i}/\pi x^i y^{q-i}.$$

We usually denote a  $\delta_E$ -ring  $(A, \delta_E)$  simply by  $A$ .

**Remark 2.2.2.** The notion of  $\delta_E$ -ring also appeared in [Bor11, Remark 1.19] and [Li22] for example. In the end of [Li22], Li suggests to use  $\delta_E$ -structures for the study of prismatic sites of higher level over ramified bases.

**Remark 2.2.3.** Strictly speaking, the definition of  $\delta_E$ -structures depends on the choice of  $\pi$ . However, the notion of  $\delta_E$ -ring is essentially independent of  $\pi$ . More precisely, let  $\pi' \in \mathcal{O}_E$  be another uniformizer. We write  $\pi = u\pi'$  for a unique unit  $u \in \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ . If an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra  $A$  is equipped with a  $\delta_E$ -structure  $\delta_E: A \rightarrow A$  with respect to  $\pi$ , then it also admits a  $\delta_E$ -structure with respect to  $\pi'$ , defined by  $x \mapsto u\delta_E(x)$ .

For a  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A$ , we define

$$\phi_A: A \rightarrow A, \quad x \mapsto x^q + \pi\delta_E(x).$$

We see that  $\phi_A$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras and is a lift of the  $q$ -th power Frobenius  $A/\pi \rightarrow A/\pi$ ,  $x \mapsto x^q$ . The homomorphism  $\phi_A$  is called the *Frobenius* of the  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A$ . When there is no ambiguity, we omit the subscript and simply write  $\phi = \phi_A$ .

**Remark 2.2.4.** If  $A$  is a  $\pi$ -torsion free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra, then the construction  $\delta_E \mapsto \phi$  gives a bijection between the set of  $\delta_E$ -structures on  $A$  and the set of homomorphisms  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$  over  $\mathcal{O}_E$  that are lifts of  $A/\pi \rightarrow A/\pi$ ,  $x \mapsto x^q$ .

**Example 2.2.5** (Free  $\delta_E$ -rings). We define an endomorphism  $\phi$  of the polynomial ring  $\mathcal{O}_E[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$  by  $X_i \mapsto X_i^q + \pi X_{i+1}$  ( $i \geq 0$ ). By Remark 2.2.4, we get the corresponding  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $\mathcal{O}_E[X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots]$ , which sends  $X_i$  to  $X_{i+1}$ . We write

$$\mathcal{O}_E\{X\}$$

for the resulting  $\delta_E$ -ring. As in the proof of [BS22, Lemma 2.11], one can check that  $\mathcal{O}_E\{X\}$  has the following property: For a  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A$  and an element  $x \in A$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $f: \mathcal{O}_E\{X\} \rightarrow A$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings with  $f(X_0) = x$ . In other words, the  $\delta_E$ -ring  $\mathcal{O}_E\{X\}$  is a free object with basis  $X := X_0$  in the category of  $\delta_E$ -rings.

Using  $\mathcal{O}_E\{X\}$ , we can prove the following result:

**Lemma 2.2.6.** *For a  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A$ , the Frobenius  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$  is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A$  be an element. We have to show that  $\phi(\delta_E(x)) = \delta_E(\phi(x))$ . Since there exists a (unique) homomorphism  $f: \mathcal{O}_E\{X\} \rightarrow A$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings with  $f(X) = x$ , it suffices to prove the assertion for  $A = \mathcal{O}_E\{X\}$ , which is clear since  $A$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free and  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$  is  $\phi$ -equivariant.  $\square$

Following [BS22, Remark 2.4], we shall give a characterization of  $\delta_E$ -rings in terms of *ramified* Witt vectors. For an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra  $A$ , let

$$W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$$

denote the *ring of  $\pi$ -typical Witt vectors of length 2*: the underlying set of  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$  is  $A \times A$ , and for  $(x_0, x_1), (y_0, y_1) \in W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, x_1) + (y_0, y_1) &= (x_0 + y_0, x_1 + y_1 + (x_0^q + y_0^q - (x_0 + y_0)^q)/\pi), \\ (x_0, x_1) \cdot (y_0, y_1) &= (x_0 y_0, x_0^q y_1 + y_0^q x_1 + \pi x_1 y_1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\pi = p$ , then  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$  is the ring  $W_2(A)$  of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors of length 2. For a detailed treatment of the rings of  $\pi$ -typical Witt vectors (of any length), we refer to [Sch17, Section 1.1] or [Bor11].

**Remark 2.2.7** (cf. [BS22, Remark 2.4]). The map

$$\mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A), \quad x \mapsto (x, \delta_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi}(x))$$

is a ring homomorphism for any  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra  $A$ . We regard  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$  as an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra by this homomorphism. Let

$$\epsilon: W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A) \rightarrow A, \quad (x_0, x_1) \mapsto x_0$$

denote the projection map, which is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras. Then, for a  $\delta_E$ -structure  $\delta_E: A \rightarrow A$  on  $A$ , the map  $s: A \mapsto W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$  defined by  $x \mapsto (x, \delta_E(x))$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras such that  $\epsilon \circ s = \text{id}_A$ . By this procedure, we obtain a bijection between the set of  $\delta_E$ -structures on  $A$  and the set of homomorphisms  $s: A \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras satisfying  $\epsilon \circ s = \text{id}_A$ .

**Remark 2.2.8** (cf. [BS22, Remark 2.7]). Using the characterization of  $\delta_E$ -structures given in Remark 2.2.7, we see that the category of  $\delta_E$ -rings admits all limits and colimits, and they are preserved by the forgetful functor from the category of  $\delta_E$ -rings to the category of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras.

The following two lemmas will be used frequently in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.2.9.** *Let  $A = (A, \delta_E)$  be a  $\delta_E$ -ring and  $I \subset A$  an ideal. Then  $I$  is stable under  $\delta_E$  if and only if  $A/I$  admits a  $\delta_E$ -structure that is compatible with the one on  $A$ . If such a  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $A/I$  exists, then it is unique.*

*Proof.* This follows immediately from the definition of  $\delta_E$ -structures (see the proof of [BS22, Lemma 2.9]).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.10.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\delta_E$ -ring and let  $I \subset A$  be a finitely generated ideal containing  $\pi$ . Then, for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , there exists an integer  $m \geq 1$  such that for any  $x \in A$ , we have  $\delta_E(x + I^m) \subset \delta_E(x) + I^n$ . In particular, the  $I$ -adic completion of  $A$  admits a unique  $\delta_E$ -structure that is compatible with the one on  $A$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is the same as that of [BS22, Lemma 2.17].  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.11.** We say that a  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A$  is *perfect* if the Frobenius  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$  is bijective.

In the rest of this subsection, we discuss some properties of perfect  $\delta_E$ -rings.

**Lemma 2.2.12** ([Mar23, Lemma 2.11]). *A perfect  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free.*

*Proof.* This is proved in [Mar23, Lemma 2.11], and follows from the same argument as in the proof of [BS22, Lemma 2.28].  $\square$

**Example 2.2.13.** Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra. Assume that  $R$  is perfect (i.e. the Frobenius  $R \rightarrow R$ ,  $x \mapsto x^p$  is bijective). Let  $W(R)$  be the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors and we define

$$W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R) := W(R) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E.$$

Let  $\phi: W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R) \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)$  denote the base change of the  $q$ -th power Frobenius of  $W(R)$ . This is a lift of the  $q$ -th power Frobenius of  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)/\pi = R$ . Since  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free, we obtain the corresponding  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)$ . It is clear that  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)$  is a perfect  $\delta_E$ -ring.



**Lemma 2.2.14.** *The functor  $R \mapsto W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)$  from the category of perfect  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebras to the category of  $\pi$ -adically complete  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras admits a right adjoint given by  $A \mapsto \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} A/\pi A$ .*

*Proof.* This is well-known in the case where  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$  (see [Ser79, Chapter II, Proposition 10] for example). The general case follows from this special case.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.15** ([Mar23, Proposition 2.12]). *The following categories are equivalent:*

- *The category  $\mathcal{C}_1$  of  $\pi$ -adically complete perfect  $\delta_E$ -rings  $(A, \delta_E)$ .*
- *The category  $\mathcal{C}_2$  of  $\pi$ -adically complete and  $\pi$ -torsion free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras  $A$  such that  $A/\pi A$  is perfect.*
- *The category  $\mathcal{C}_3$  of perfect  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebras  $R$ .*

*More precisely, the functors*

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1,$$

*defined by  $(A, \delta_E) \mapsto A$ ,  $A \mapsto A/\pi$ ,  $R \mapsto W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)$ , respectively, are equivalences.*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 2.2.14, one can prove the assertion in exactly the same way as [BS22, Corollary 2.31].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.16.** *Let  $A$  be a perfect  $\delta_E$ -ring and  $B$  a  $\pi$ -adically complete  $\delta_E$ -ring. Then any homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings.*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $A$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete. It then follows from Lemma 2.2.14 and Corollary 2.2.15 that  $A \rightarrow B$  is  $\phi$ -equivariant. Let  $B^{\text{perf}}$  be a limit of the diagram

$$B \xleftarrow{\phi} B \xleftarrow{\phi} B \xleftarrow{\phi} \dots$$

in the category of  $\delta_E$ -rings, which is a perfect  $\delta_E$ -ring. Then, since  $A$  is perfect, we see that  $A \rightarrow B$  factors through a  $\phi$ -equivariant homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B^{\text{perf}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras. Since  $B^{\text{perf}}$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free by Lemma 2.2.12, it follows that  $A \rightarrow B^{\text{perf}}$  is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings. Since  $A \rightarrow B$  is the composition  $A \rightarrow B^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow B$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

**2.3.  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism.** We now introduce  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms.

**Definition 2.3.1** ([Mar23, Definition 3.1]).

- (1) An  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism is a pair  $(A, I)$  of a  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A$  and a Cartier divisor  $I \subset A$  such that  $A$  is derived  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete and  $\pi \in I + \phi(I)A$ .
- (2) We say that an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  is *bounded* if  $A/I$  has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion.
- (3) We say that an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  is *orientable* if  $I$  is principal.
- (4) An *oriented and bounded*  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, d)$  is an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  with a choice of a generator  $d \in I$ .
- (5) A map  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms is a homomorphism  $f: A \rightarrow A'$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings such that  $f(I) \subset I'$ .

If  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms are nothing but bounded prisms in the sense of Definition 2.1.1.

**Remark 2.3.2.** Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. By [Tia21, Proposition 1.4 (1)] (see also Lemma 2.5.1 below), we see that  $A$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete. Moreover, since  $A/I$  is derived  $\pi$ -adically complete and has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion, it follows that  $A/I$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete (see [BMS19, Lemma 4.7] for example).

Let  $A$  be a  $\delta_E$ -ring. Following [BS22, Definition 2.19], we say that an element  $d \in A$  is *distinguished* if  $\delta_E(d) \in A^\times$ , i.e.  $\delta_E(d)$  is a unit. Since  $\delta_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi}(\pi) = 1 - \pi^{q-1} \in \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ , we see that  $\pi \in A$  is distinguished.

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $\delta_E$ -ring and  $d \in A$  an element. Assume that  $\pi$  is contained in the Jacobson radical  $\text{rad}(A)$  of  $A$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $d = fh$  for some elements  $f, h \in A$  with  $f \in \text{rad}(A)$ . If  $d$  is distinguished, then  $f$  is distinguished and  $h \in A^\times$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $d \in \text{rad}(A)$ . Then  $d$  is distinguished if and only if  $\pi \in (d, \phi(d))$ .*

*Proof.* This can be proved exactly in the same way as [BS22, Lemma 2.24, Lemma 2.25]. See also [Mar23, Lemma 2.9].  $\square$

The following rigidity property plays a fundamental role in the theory of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms.

**Lemma 2.3.4** (cf. [BS22, Lemma 3.5]). *Let  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  be a map of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. Then, the natural homomorphism  $I \otimes_A A' \rightarrow IA'$  is an isomorphism and  $IA' = I'$ .*

*Proof.* By using [Mar23, Lemma 3.4], this follows from the same argument as in the proof of [BS22, Lemma 3.5]. We recall the argument in the case where both  $(A, I)$  and  $(A', I')$  are orientable. It follows from Lemma 2.3.3 (2) that any generator  $d \in I$  is distinguished. Let  $d' \in I'$  be a generator. Then Lemma 2.3.3 (1) implies that  $d$  is mapped to  $ud'$  for some  $u \in A'^\times$ . In particular, the image of  $d$  in  $A'$  is a nonzerodivisor, and we obtain  $I \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} IA'$  and  $IA' = I'$ .  $\square$

The following lemma will be used several times in this paper.

**Lemma 2.3.5** (cf. the proof of [BS22, Lemma 4.8]). *Let  $A$  be a perfect  $\delta_E$ -ring and  $(B, I)$  a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. Then any homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B/I$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras lifts uniquely to a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.2.16, it is enough to check that the homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B/I$  lifts uniquely to a homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras. We may assume that  $A$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete, and then  $A \simeq W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R)$  for some perfect  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebra  $R$  by Corollary 2.2.15. Since  $B$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete and  $B/I$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete, it suffices to prove that for every integer  $n \geq 1$ , any homomorphism  $W_n(R) \rightarrow B/(\pi^n, I)$  of  $W_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -algebras lifts uniquely to a homomorphism  $W_n(R) \rightarrow B/(\pi^n, I^n)$  of  $W_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -algebras (here  $W_n(R) = W(R)/p^n$ ). This follows from the fact that the cotangent complex  $L_{W_n(R)/W_n(\mathbb{F}_q)}$  is acyclic ([SZ18, Lemma 3.27 (1)]).  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.6** ( $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ ). Let  $k$  be a perfect field containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We will write

$$\mathcal{O} := W(k) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$$

instead of  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(k)$ . Let  $(A, I)$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. If  $A$  is equipped with a homomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow A$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings, then we say that  $(A, I)$  is an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism *over*  $\mathcal{O}$ . There is an obvious notion of map of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**Example 2.3.7** (cf. [BS22, Example 1.3 (1)]). Let  $A$  be a  $\pi$ -adically complete and  $\pi$ -torsion free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra. Let  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$  be a homomorphism over  $\mathcal{O}_E$  which is a lift of the  $q$ -th power Frobenius of  $A/\pi$ . This homomorphism induces a  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $A$ , and the pair  $(A, (\pi))$  is a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism.

Let  $\mathcal{O} = W(k) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$  be as in Definition 2.3.6. Let

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} := \mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$$

(where  $n \geq 0$ ) and let  $\phi: \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$  be the homomorphism such that  $\phi(t_i) = t_i^q$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and its restriction to  $\mathcal{O}$  agrees with the Frobenius of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free,  $\phi$  gives rise to a  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.8** (cf. [BS22, Example 1.3 (3)]). *Let  $\mathcal{E} \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$  be a power series whose constant term is a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}$ . Then, the pair*

$$(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$$

*is a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

*Proof.* We shall show that  $\pi \in (\mathcal{E}, \phi(\mathcal{E}))$ ; the other required conditions are clearly satisfied. It is enough to check that  $\delta_E(\mathcal{E}) \in (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}})^\times$ . For this, it suffices to show that the image of  $\delta_E(\mathcal{E})$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}/(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \mathcal{O}$  is a unit, which is clear since the constant term of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a uniformizer of  $\mathcal{O}$ .  $\square$

We call  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$  an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism of Breuil–Kisin type in this paper. Here  $n$  could be any non-negative integer. Such a pair is also considered in [Che18].

**2.4. Perfectoid rings and  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms.** The notion of (integral) perfectoid ring in the sense of [BMS18, Definition 3.5] plays a central role in the theory of prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays. We refer to [BMS18, Section 3] and [ČS19, Section 2] for basic properties of perfectoid rings. We recall the definition of perfectoid rings and some notation from [BMS18, Section 3].

A ring  $R$  is a *perfectoid ring* if there exists an element  $\varpi \in R$  such that  $p \in (\varpi)^p$  and  $R$  is  $\varpi$ -adically complete, the Frobenius  $R/p \rightarrow R/p$ ,  $x \mapsto x^p$  is surjective, and the kernel of  $\theta: W(R^b) \rightarrow R$  is principal. Here

$$R^b := \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R/p$$

and

$$\theta: W(R^b) \rightarrow R$$

is the unique homomorphism whose reduction modulo  $p$  is the projection  $R^b \rightarrow R/p$ ,  $(x_0, x_1, \dots) \mapsto x_0$ . By [BMS18, Lemma 3.9], there is an element  $\varpi^b \in R^b$  such that  $\theta([\varpi^b])$  is a unit multiple of  $\varpi$ , where  $[-]$  denotes the Teichmüller lift.

Here are some typical examples of perfectoid rings:

**Example 2.4.1.**

- (1) An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $R$  is a perfectoid ring if and only if it is perfect; see [BMS18, Example 3.15].
- (2) Let  $V$  be a  $p$ -adically complete valuation ring with algebraically closed fraction field. Then  $V$  is a perfectoid ring. This follows from [BMS18, Lemma 3.10].

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be as in Definition 2.3.6. If  $R$  is a perfectoid ring over  $\mathcal{O}$  (i.e.  $R$  is a perfectoid ring with a ring homomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow R$ ), then  $R^b$  is naturally a  $k$ -algebra, and  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b) = W(R^b) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra. Let

$$\theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}: W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b) \rightarrow R$$

be the homomorphism induced from  $\theta$ .

**Lemma 2.4.2** (cf. [FS21, Proposition II.1.4]). *The kernel  $\text{Ker } \theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}$  of  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}$  is a principal ideal. Moreover, any generator of  $\text{Ker } \theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\varpi \in R$  be an element such that  $R$  is  $\varpi$ -adically complete and  $p \in (\varpi)^p$ . By virtue of [BMS18, Lemma 3.10 (i)], after replacing  $\varpi$  by  $\theta([\varpi^b]^{1/p^n})$  for some integer  $n > 0$ , we have  $\pi \in (\varpi)$ . Then, we can write  $\pi = \theta([\varpi^b]x)$  for some element  $x \in W(R^b)$  since  $\theta$  is surjective. We shall show that  $\pi - [\varpi^b]x \in W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)$  generates the kernel of  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}(T) \in W(k)[T]$  be the (monic) Eisenstein polynomial of  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}$  so that we have  $W(k)[T]/\mathcal{E}(T) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ ,  $T \mapsto \pi$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)/(\pi - [\varpi^b]x) &\simeq W(R^b)[T]/(\mathcal{E}(T), T - [\varpi^b]x) \\ &\simeq W(R^b)/\mathcal{E}([\varpi^b]x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{E}([\varpi^b]x)$  generates the kernel of  $\theta$ . One can check that, for the Witt vector expansion  $\mathcal{E}([\varpi^b]x) = (y_0, y_1, \dots)$ , we have  $y_1 \in (R^b)^\times$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{E}([\varpi^b]x)$  is a generator of the kernel of  $\theta$ ; see [BMS18, Remark 3.11].

It remains to prove that any generator  $\xi$  of the kernel of  $\theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}$  is a nonzerodivisor. For this, we recall that the kernel of  $\theta$  is generated by a nonzerodivisor  $\xi' \in W(R^b)$ . Since  $W(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$  is flat, the element  $\xi'$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)$ , too. This implies that  $\xi$  is a nonzerodivisor since we have  $\xi' \in (\xi) = \text{Ker } \theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.3** (cf. [BS22, Example 1.3 (2)]). *Let  $R$  be a perfectoid ring over  $\mathcal{O}$  and we write  $I_R := \text{Ker } \theta_{\mathcal{O}_E}$ . Then, the pair*

$$(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b), I_R)$$

*is an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

*Proof.* By the proof of Lemma 2.4.2, we know that  $I_R$  is generated by a nonzerodivisor of the form  $\xi = \pi - [(\varpi')^b]b$  where  $\varpi' \in R$  is such that  $R$  is  $\varpi'$ -adically complete and  $p \in (\varpi')^p$ . In order to show that  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)$  is  $(\pi, \xi)$ -adically complete, it suffices to show that  $W(R^b)$  is  $(p, [(\varpi')^b])$ -adically complete, which is easy to check (see also the proof of [ČS19, Proposition 2.1.11 (b)]). Moreover  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)/\xi = R$  has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion by [BS22, Lemma 3.8].

It remains to show that  $\pi \in (\xi, \phi(\xi))$ . It suffices to prove that  $\delta_E(\xi) \in W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)^\times$ . The image of  $\delta_E(\xi)$  in  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)/[(\varpi')^b]$  is equal to  $1 - \pi^{q-1}$  (we note that  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)/[(\varpi')^b]$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free) and hence is a unit, which in turn implies that  $\delta_E(\xi) \in W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b)^\times$ .  $\square$

A homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  of perfectoid rings over  $\mathcal{O}$  induces a map  $(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b), I_R) \rightarrow (W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^b), I_S)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

**2.5. Prismatic sites.** For a ring  $A$ , let  $D(A)$  denote the derived category of  $A$ -modules. Let  $I \subset A$  be a finitely generated ideal. Following [BS22], we say that a complex  $M \in D(A)$  is  *$I$ -completely flat* (resp.  *$I$ -completely faithfully flat*) if  $M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/I$  is concentrated in degree 0 and it is a flat (resp. faithfully flat)  $A/I$ -module.

**Lemma 2.5.1.** *Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism.*

- (1) *For a complex  $M \in D(A)$ , the derived  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $M$  is isomorphic to*

$$R \varprojlim_n (M \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/(\pi, I)^n).$$

In particular, if  $M$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat, then the derived  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $M$  is concentrated in degree 0.

- (2) Let  $M$  be an  $A$ -module. Assume that  $M$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat and derived  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete. Then  $M$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete. Moreover the natural homomorphism  $M \otimes_A I \rightarrow M$  is injective and  $M/I^n M$  has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion for any  $n$ .

*Proof.* (1) The assertion follows from [Tia21, Proposition 1.4 (1)] or the proof of [BS22, Lemma 3.7 (1)]. This can also be deduced from the results discussed in [Yek21]; by [Yek21, Corollary 3.5, Theorem 3.11], it suffices to prove that the ideal  $(\pi, I) \subset A$  is weakly proregular in the sense of [Yek21, Definition 3.2], which follows from the same argument as in the proof of [Yek21, Theorem 7.3].

(2) It follows from (1) that  $M$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete. The second statement can be proved in the same way as [BS22, Lemma 3.7 (2)].  $\square$

We say that a map  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms is a (faithfully) flat map if  $A \rightarrow A'$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely (faithfully) flat. If  $f$  is a faithfully flat map, then we say that  $(A', I')$  is a flat covering of  $(A, I)$ .

**Remark 2.5.2.** For a map  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms, we have  $A' \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/I \simeq A'/I'$  by Lemma 2.3.4, which in turn implies that

$$A' \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/(\pi, I) \simeq A'/I' \otimes_{A/I}^{\mathbb{L}} A/(\pi, I). \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, we see that  $f$  is a (faithfully) flat map if and only if  $A/I \rightarrow A'/I'$  is  $\pi$ -completely (faithfully) flat.

**Remark 2.5.3.** Any bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  admits a faithfully flat map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (B, IB)$  of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms such that  $(B, IB)$  is orientable. Indeed, by [Mar23, Lemma 3.4], we see that there exists a faithfully flat homomorphism  $A \rightarrow A'$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings such that  $IA'$  is principal. Let  $B$  be the  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $A'$ . Then, by virtue of Lemma 2.2.10 and Lemma 2.5.1, the pair  $(B, IB)$  is an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism, and the map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (B, IB)$  is faithfully flat.

**Definition 2.5.4.** Let  $R$  be a  $\pi$ -adically complete  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra. Let

$$(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$$

denote the category of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms  $(A, I)$  together with a homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A/I$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras. The morphisms  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  are the maps of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms such that  $A/I \rightarrow A'/I'$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -algebras. We endow the opposite category  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  with the topology generated by the faithfully flat maps. This topology is called the *flat topology*.

If  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  is just the category  $(R)_{\Delta}$  introduced in [BS22, Remark 4.7].

**Remark 2.5.5.** A diagram

$$(A_2, I_2) \xleftarrow{g} (A_1, I_1) \xrightarrow{f} (A_3, I_3)$$

in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  such that  $g$  is a flat map, admits a colimit (i.e. a pushout). Indeed, by Lemma 2.5.1 (1), the  $(\pi, I_3)$ -adic completion  $A := (A_2 \otimes_{A_1} A_3)^\wedge$  is isomorphic to the derived  $(\pi, I_3)$ -adic completion of  $A_2 \otimes_{A_1}^{\mathbb{L}} A_3$ . In particular  $A$  is  $(\pi, I_3)$ -completely flat over  $A_3$ . It follows from Remark 2.2.8 and Lemma 2.2.10 that  $A$  admits a unique  $\delta_E$ -structure that is compatible with the  $\delta_E$ -structures on  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ . By Lemma 2.5.1 (2),

we see that  $(A, I_3A)$  is a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. By construction,  $(A, I_3A)$  is a colimit of the above diagram. As a result, it follows that  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  is indeed a site.

**Example 2.5.6.**

- (1) The category  $(\mathcal{O}_E)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  is just the category of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. The category  $(\mathcal{O})_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  is the same as the category of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms over  $\mathcal{O}$  by Lemma 2.3.5.
- (2) Let  $R$  be a perfectoid ring over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3.5 that  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  is the category of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms  $(A, I)$  with a map  $(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat}), I_R) \rightarrow (A, I)$ .

**Remark 2.5.7** (cf. [BS22, Corollary 3.12]). A faithfully flat map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  induces faithfully flat homomorphisms  $A/(\pi, I)^n \rightarrow A'/(\pi, I')^n$  and  $A/(\pi^n, I) \rightarrow A'/(\pi^n, I')$  for any  $n$ . It follows that the functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}: (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E} &\rightarrow \text{Set}, & (A, I) &\mapsto A, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\overline{\Delta}}: (R)_{\overline{\Delta}, \mathcal{O}_E} &\rightarrow \text{Set}, & (A, I) &\mapsto A/I \end{aligned}$$

form sheaves with respect to the flat topology. Here Set is the category of sets.

More generally, we have the following descent result.

**Proposition 2.5.8.** *The fibered category over  $(\mathcal{O}_E)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  which associates to each  $(A, I) \in (\mathcal{O}_E)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  the category of finite projective  $A$ -modules satisfies descent with respect to the flat topology. The same holds for finite projective  $A/I$ -modules.*

*Proof.* The assertions follow by using faithfully flat descent for finite projective modules over  $A/(\pi, I)^n$  and  $A/(\pi^n, I)$ , respectively. See also [ALB23, Lemma A.1, Proposition A.3].  $\square$

Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism and  $I \subset A$  a finitely generated ideal. We say that  $A \rightarrow B$  is  *$I$ -completely étale* if  $B$  is derived  $I$ -adically complete,  $B \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/I$  is concentrated in degree 0, and  $B/IB$  is étale over  $A/I$ . We write  $A_{I\text{-ét}}$  for the category of  $I$ -completely étale  $A$ -algebras. If  $I = 0$ , then  $A_{I\text{-ét}}$  is just the category  $A_{\text{ét}}$  of étale  $A$ -algebras.

**Lemma 2.5.9.** *Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism.*

- (1) *A ring homomorphism  $A/I \rightarrow C$  is  $\pi$ -completely étale if and only if  $C$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete and  $C/\pi^n$  is étale over  $A/(\pi^n, I)$  for every integer  $n \geq 1$ . If this is the case, then  $C$  has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion.*
- (2) *A ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale if and only if  $B$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete and  $B/(\pi, I)^n$  is étale over  $A/(\pi, I)^n$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . If this is the case, then  $B \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/I \xrightarrow{\sim} B/IB$  and  $A/I \rightarrow B/IB$  is  $\pi$ -completely étale.*
- (3) *The functors*

$$A_{(\pi, I)\text{-ét}} \rightarrow (A/I)_{\pi\text{-ét}} \rightarrow (A/(\pi, I))_{\text{ét}},$$

*where the first one is defined by  $B \mapsto B/IB$  and the second one is defined by  $C \mapsto C/\pi$ , are equivalences.*

*Proof.* This result is well-known to specialists, but we include a proof for the convenience of the reader.

- (1) Assume that  $A/I \rightarrow C$  is  $\pi$ -completely étale. Then, since  $A/I$  has bounded  $p^\infty$ -torsion, [BMS19, Lemma 4.7] implies that  $C$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete and has bounded

$p^\infty$ -torsion. Since  $C/\pi^n$  is flat over  $A/(\pi^n, I)$  and  $C/\pi$  is étale over  $A/(\pi, I)$ , it follows that  $C/\pi^n$  is étale over  $A/(\pi^n, I)$  for any  $n$ .

We next prove the “if” direction, so we assume that  $C$  is  $\pi$ -adically complete and  $C/\pi^n$  is étale over  $A/(\pi^n, I)$  for any  $n$ . We want to show that  $C \otimes_{A/I}^{\mathbb{L}} A/(\pi, I)$  is concentrated in degree 0. There exists an étale  $A/I$ -algebra  $C_0$  such that  $C_0/\pi \simeq C/\pi$  over  $A/(\pi, I)$ ; see for example [Ara01, Section 1.1] or [Sta18, Tag 04D1] (this is known as a special case of Elkik’s result [Elk73]). One easily see that the derived  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $C_0$ , the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $C_0$ , and  $C$  are isomorphic to each other. Then, we obtain

$$C \otimes_{A/I}^{\mathbb{L}} A/(\pi, I) \simeq C_0 \otimes_{A/I}^{\mathbb{L}} A/(\pi, I) \simeq C_0/\pi.$$

This proves the assertion.

(2) Assume that  $A \rightarrow B$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale. We easily see that  $B/(\pi, I)^n$  is étale over  $A/(\pi, I)^n$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5.1 that  $B$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete and we have  $B \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/I \xrightarrow{\sim} B/IB$ . It is then clear that  $A/I \rightarrow B/IB$  is  $\pi$ -completely étale.

The “if” direction can be proved by the same argument as in (1). Suppose that  $B$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete and  $B/(\pi, I)^n$  is étale over  $A/(\pi, I)^n$  for any  $n$ . As above, there exists an étale  $A$ -algebra  $B_0$  such that the  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $B_0$  is isomorphic to  $B$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5.1 (1) that  $B$  is isomorphic to the derived  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $B_0$ , which in turn implies that  $B$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale over  $A$ .

(3) This follows from the proofs of (1) and (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.10** (cf. [BS22, Lemma 2.18]). *Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism and  $A \rightarrow B$  a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale homomorphism. Then  $B$  admits a unique  $\delta_E$ -structure compatible with that on  $A$ . Moreover, the pair  $(B, IB)$  is a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove the first statement by Lemma 2.5.1. For this, we proceed as in the proof of [BS22, Lemma 2.18].

We regard  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  as an  $A$ -algebra via the composition  $A \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A) \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$ , where  $A \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(A)$  is the homomorphism corresponding to the  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $A$  (Remark 2.2.7). Then  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete. Indeed, we have an exact sequence of  $A$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \phi_* B \xrightarrow{V} W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} B \rightarrow 0,$$

where we write  $\phi_* B$  for  $B$  regarded as an  $A$ -algebra via the composition  $A \xrightarrow{\phi} A \rightarrow B$ , and  $V: \phi_* B \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  is defined by  $x \mapsto (0, x)$ . Since  $B \otimes_A^{\mathbb{L}} A/(\pi, I)^n$  is concentrated in degree 0 and both  $\phi_* B$  and  $B$  are  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete, we can conclude that  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete.

As in the proof of Lemma 2.5.9, there exists an étale  $A$ -algebra  $B_0$  such that the  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $B_0$  is isomorphic to  $B$ . Since  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  is  $(\text{Ker } \epsilon)$ -adically complete and  $A \rightarrow B_0$  is étale, there exists a unique homomorphism  $s_0: B_0 \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  of  $A$ -algebras such that  $\epsilon \circ s_0$  coincides with  $B_0 \rightarrow B$ . Then, since  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -adically complete, we see that  $s_0: B_0 \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  extends to a unique homomorphism  $s: B \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E, \pi, 2}(B)$  of  $A$ -algebras such that  $\epsilon \circ s = \text{id}_B$ , which corresponds to a unique  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $B$  compatible with that on  $A$  by virtue of Remark 2.2.7.  $\square$

**Example 2.5.11.** Let  $R$  be a perfectoid ring over  $\mathcal{O}_E$  and let  $R \rightarrow S$  be a  $\pi$ -completely étale (or equivalently,  $p$ -completely étale) homomorphism. By [ALB23, Corollary 2.10] or [Lau18, Lemma 8.11], we see that  $S$  is a perfectoid ring. Moreover, the isomorphism (2.1) implies that  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^b) \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^b)$  is  $(\pi, I_R)$ -completely étale.

Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. We say that a homomorphism  $B \rightarrow B'$  of  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale  $A$ -algebras is a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale covering if

$$\mathrm{Spec} B'/(\pi, I) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} B/(\pi, I)$$

is surjective, or equivalently, the homomorphism  $B \rightarrow B'$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely faithfully flat. We note that  $B \rightarrow B'$  is automatically  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale.

**Definition 2.5.12.** We write

$$(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$$

for the category of  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale  $A$ -algebras instead of  $A_{(\pi, I)\text{-}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ . We endow the opposite category  $(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}}$  with the topology generated by the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale coverings, which is called the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology.

The category  $(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}}$  admits fiber products. Indeed, a colimit of the diagram  $C \leftarrow B \rightarrow D$  in  $(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$  is given by the  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $C \otimes_B D$ . It follows that  $(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}}$  is a site.

**2.6. Prismatic envelopes for regular sequences.** The existence and the flatness of prismatic envelopes for regular sequences are proved in [BS22, Proposition 3.13]. In this subsection, we give an analogous result for  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. We will freely use the formalism of *animated rings* here. For the definition and properties of animated rings, see for example [ČS19, Section 5] and [BL22a, Appendix A]. (See also [Lur, Chapter 25], where animated rings are called simplicial rings.)

We recall some terminology from [ČS19] and [BS22]. For an animated ring  $A$ , we can attach a graded ring of homotopy groups  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \pi_n(A)$ . For an animated ring  $A$  and a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \pi_0(A)$ , the *derived quotient* of  $A$  with respect to  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \pi_0(A)$  is defined by

$$A/\mathbb{L}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n]/(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

Here  $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow A$  is a morphism such that the induced ring homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow \pi_0(A)$  is given by  $X_i \mapsto x_i$ . In [BS22], the derived quotient is denoted by  $\mathrm{Kos}(A; x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . We say that a morphism  $A \rightarrow B$  of animated rings is *flat* (resp. *faithfully flat*) if  $\pi_0(B)$  is flat (resp. faithfully flat) over  $\pi_0(A)$  and we have  $\pi_n(A) \otimes_{\pi_0(A)} \pi_0(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_n(B)$  for any  $n \geq 0$ .

Before stating the result, let us quickly recall the definition of an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PD structure, and their relation to  $\delta_E$ -structures.

**Definition 2.6.1** ([HG94, Section 10], [Fal02, Definition 14]). Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra and  $I \subset A$  an ideal. An  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PD structure on  $I$  is a map  $\gamma_\pi: I \rightarrow I$  of sets with the following properties:

- (1)  $\pi\gamma_\pi(x) = x^q$ .
- (2)  $\gamma_\pi(ax) = a^q\gamma_\pi(x)$  where  $a \in A$ .
- (3)  $\gamma_\pi(x + y) = \gamma_\pi(x) + \gamma_\pi(y) + (x^q + y^q - (x + y)^q)/\pi$ .

**Example 2.6.2** ([Fal02]). Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer and let  $\mathcal{O}_E[(X_{i,j})]$  be the polynomial ring with variables  $X_{i,j}$  indexed by integers  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $j \geq 0$ . We write

$$\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\mathrm{PD}}$$

for the quotient of  $\mathcal{O}_E[(X_{i,j})]$  by the ideal generated by the elements  $X_{i,j}^q - \pi X_{i,j+1}$  for all  $i, j$ . The image of  $X_{i,0}$  in  $\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\mathrm{PD}}$  is denoted by  $X_i$ . We see that



$\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{PD}}$  is canonically isomorphic to the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -subalgebra of  $E[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  generated by the elements  $X_i^{q^j} / \pi^{1+q+\dots+q^{j-1}}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $j \geq 0$ ). The ideal  $I^{\text{PD}} \subset \mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{PD}}$  generated by the elements  $X_{i,j}$  admits an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PD structure  $\gamma_\pi$  such that  $\gamma_\pi(X_{i,j}) = X_{i,j+1}$ . In fact, the pair  $(\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{PD}}, I^{\text{PD}})$  is the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PD envelope (in the usual sense) of the polynomial ring  $\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  with respect to the ideal  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ .

**Lemma 2.6.3** (cf. [BS22, Lemma 2.38]). *Let  $B$  be a  $\pi$ -torsion free  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$  be a sequence such that  $(B/\pi)^{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$  is concentrated in degree 0, where  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \in B/\pi$  are the images of  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$ . We set*

$$C := B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{PD}}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n] \rightarrow B$  is defined by  $X_i \mapsto x_i$ . Then  $C$  is concentrated in degree 0 and  $\pi_0(C)$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free. Moreover the pair  $(\pi_0(C), I^{\text{PD}}\pi_0(C))$  is the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PD envelope of  $B$  with respect to the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

*Proof.* The proof is identical to that of [BS22, Lemma 2.38].  $\square$

In the following, we will write

$$D_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(B) := \pi_0(C) = B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]} \mathcal{O}_E[X_1, \dots, X_n]^{\text{PD}}.$$

We also need the following construction. Let  $B$  be a  $\delta_E$ -ring. Let  $d \in B$  be an element, and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$  a sequence. We set  $B\{X\} := B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}_E\{X\}$  and let

$$B\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$$

be the  $n$ -th tensor power of  $B\{X\}$  over  $B$ . We consider the following diagram of  $\delta_E$ -rings

$$B \xleftarrow{f} B\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \xrightarrow{g} B\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\},$$

where  $f$  is defined by  $X_i \mapsto x_i$  and  $g$  is defined by  $X_i \mapsto dY_i$ . Let

$$B\{x_1/d, \dots, x_n/d\}$$

denote the pushout of this diagram, which is a  $\delta_E$ -ring over  $B$  with the following property: For a homomorphism  $B \rightarrow C$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings such that the image of  $d$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $C$  and  $x_i \in dC$  for all  $i$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $B\{x_1/d, \dots, x_n/d\} \rightarrow C$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings over  $B$ . We let  $x_i/d \in B\{x_1/d, \dots, x_n/d\}$  denote the image of  $Y_i \in B\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ .

**Lemma 2.6.4** (cf. [BS22, Corollary 2.39]). *Let  $B$  be a  $\pi$ -torsion free  $\delta_E$ -ring. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$  be a sequence such that  $(B/\pi)^{\mathbb{L}}(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n)$  is concentrated in degree 0. We set  $D := B \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{O}_E\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  where  $\mathcal{O}_E\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \rightarrow B$  is defined by  $X_i \mapsto \phi(x_i)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_E\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  is defined by  $X_i \mapsto \pi Y_i$ . Then  $D$  is concentrated in degree 0. Moreover*

$$\pi_0(D) = B\{\phi(x_1)/\pi, \dots, \phi(x_n)/\pi\}$$

*is  $\pi$ -torsion free, and is isomorphic to  $D_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}(B)$  as a  $B$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* This can be proved in the same way as [BS22, Corollary 2.39].  $\square$

Now we can state the desired result:

**Proposition 2.6.5** (cf. [BS22, Proposition 3.13]). *Assume that  $(A, I)$  is an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. Let  $d \in I$  be a generator. Let  $B$  be a  $\delta_E$ -ring over  $A$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in B$  be a sequence such that the induced morphism*

$$A/\mathbb{L}(\pi, d) \rightarrow B/\mathbb{L}(\pi, d, x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (2.2)$$

*of animated rings is flat. We set  $J := (d, x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset B$ . Then, the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *The  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion  $B\{J/I\}^\wedge$  of  $B\{x_1/d, \dots, x_n/d\}$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat over  $A$ . In particular, the pair*

$$(B\{J/I\}^\wedge, IB\{J/I\}^\wedge)$$

*is an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. Moreover  $B\{J/I\}^\wedge$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely faithfully flat over  $A$  if the morphism (2.2) is faithfully flat.*

- (2) *For a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(D, ID)$  over  $(A, I)$  and a homomorphism  $B \rightarrow D$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings over  $A$  such that  $JD \subset ID$ , there exists a unique map of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms*

$$(B\{J/I\}^\wedge, IB\{J/I\}^\wedge) \rightarrow (D, ID)$$

*over  $B$ . Moreover, the formation of  $B\{J/I\}^\wedge$  commutes with base change along any map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms, and also commutes with base change along any  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat homomorphism  $B \rightarrow B'$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings.*

See [BS22, Proposition 3.13 (3)] for the precise meaning of the last statement.

*Proof.* Let  $C := B \otimes_{B\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}}^{\mathbb{L}} B\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  be the pushout of the diagram  $B \leftarrow B\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \rightarrow B\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ , where  $B\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \rightarrow B$  is defined by  $X_i \mapsto x_i$  and  $B\{X_1, \dots, X_n\} \rightarrow B\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  is defined by  $X_i \mapsto dY_i$ , in the  $\infty$ -category of animated rings. It suffices to prove that if the morphism (2.2) is flat (resp. faithfully flat), then  $C$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat (resp.  $(\pi, I)$ -completely faithfully flat) over  $A$ . Indeed, if  $C$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat (resp.  $(\pi, I)$ -completely faithfully flat) over  $A$ , it follows that the derived  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion of  $C$  is isomorphic to  $B\{J/I\}^\wedge$ , and in particular  $B\{J/I\}^\wedge$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat (resp.  $(\pi, I)$ -completely faithfully flat) over  $A$ . Then, it is easy to see that  $B\{J/I\}^\wedge$  has the desired properties.

In order to prove that  $C$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely flat (resp.  $(\pi, I)$ -completely faithfully flat) over  $A$ , one can argue as in the proof of [BS22, Proposition 3.13]. (The faithful flatness is not discussed in *loc.cit.*, but the same argument works.) The only difference is that here we have to use  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PD structures, instead of usual PD structures. The details are left to the reader.  $\square$

The bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(B\{J/I\}^\wedge, IB\{J/I\}^\wedge)$  is called the *prismatic envelope* of  $B$  over  $(A, I)$  with respect to the ideal  $J$ .

**Remark 2.6.6.** As in [BS22, Proposition 3.13], we need to use animated  $\delta_E$ -rings in the proof of Proposition 2.6.5. One can define the notion of animated  $\delta_E$ -ring in the same way as in [Mao21, Section 5] (i.e. by animating  $\delta_E$ -rings). Alternatively, we can follow the approach employed in [BL22b, Appendix A].

### 3. DISPLAYED BREUIL–KISIN MODULE

In this section, we study Breuil–Kisin modules for bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. We introduce the notions of displayed Breuil–Kisin module and of minuscule Breuil–Kisin module. These objects serve as examples of prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays introduced in Section 5, namely they will appear as prismatic  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -displays.

**3.1. Displayed Breuil–Kisin modules.** We use the following notation. Let  $A$  be a ring. For modules  $M$  and  $N$  over  $A$ , the set of  $A$ -linear homomorphisms  $M \rightarrow N$  is denoted by  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$ . Let  $I \subset A$  be a Cartier divisor. For an integer  $n \geq 1$ , we define  $I^{\otimes -n} := \mathrm{Hom}_A(I^{\otimes n}, A)$ , where  $I^{\otimes n}$  is the  $n$ -th tensor power of  $I$  over  $A$ . We have a natural injection  $I^{\otimes -n} \hookrightarrow I^{\otimes -n-1}$  for any integer  $n$ . We then define

$$A[1/I] := \varinjlim_n I^{\otimes -n},$$

which has the structure of an  $A$ -algebra. For a module  $M$  over  $A$ , we write  $M[1/I] := M \otimes_A A[1/I]$ . If  $I$  is generated by a nonzerodivisor  $d \in I$ , then we have  $A[1/I] = A[1/d]$  and  $I^{\otimes -n} = d^{-n}A$ .

**Lemma 3.1.1.** *Let  $M, N$  be finite projective  $A$ -modules and let  $F: N[1/I] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[1/I]$  be an  $A[1/I]$ -linear isomorphism. For an integer  $i$ , we set*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i(N) := \{x \in N \mid F(x) \in M \otimes_A I^{\otimes i}\},$$

where we view  $M \otimes_A I^{\otimes i}$  as a subset of  $M[1/I]$ . Let  $m$  be an integer. Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mathrm{Fil}^{m+1}(N) \subset IN$ .
- (2)  $M \otimes_A I^{\otimes m} \subset F(N)$ .

If these equivalent conditions are satisfied, then  $F$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Fil}^m(N) \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_A I^{\otimes m}$ , and in particular  $\mathrm{Fil}^m(N)$  is a finite projective  $A$ -module.

*Proof.* The final statement clearly follows from (2). We shall prove that (1) and (2) are equivalent. For this, we can reduce to the case where  $I$  is generated by a nonzerodivisor  $d \in I$ .

Assume that (1) holds. Let  $x \in M$ . We want to show that  $d^m x \in F(N)$ . For a large enough integer  $n$ , we have  $d^n x \in F(N)$ . Let  $y \in N$  be an element such that  $F(y) = d^n x$ . If  $n \geq m+1$ , then we have  $y \in \mathrm{Fil}^{m+1}(N) \subset IN$ , which in turn implies that  $d^{n-1}x \in F(N)$ . From this observation, we can conclude that  $d^m x \in F(N)$ .

Assume that (2) holds. Let  $y \in \mathrm{Fil}^{m+1}(N)$ . There exists an element  $x \in M$  such that  $F(y) = d^{m+1}x$ . The condition (1) implies that  $d^m x = F(z)$  for some  $z \in N$ . Then, it follows that  $y = dz \in IN$ .  $\square$

Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism.

**Definition 3.1.2.** A *Breuil–Kisin module* over  $(A, I)$  is a pair  $(M, F_M)$  consisting of a finite projective  $A$ -module  $M$  and an  $A[1/I]$ -linear isomorphism

$$F_M: (\phi^*M)[1/I] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[1/I],$$

where  $\phi^*M := A \otimes_{\phi, A} M$ . When there is no possibility of confusion, we simply write  $M$  instead of  $(M, F_M)$ . For an integer  $i$ , we set

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) := \{x \in \phi^*M \mid F_M(x) \in M \otimes_A I^{\otimes i}\}.$$

Let  $P^i \subset (\phi^*M)/I(\phi^*M)$  be the image of  $\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$ . We often write

$$M_{\mathrm{dR}} := (\phi^*M)/I(\phi^*M).$$

**Remark 3.1.3.** If the equality  $\mathrm{Fil}^0(\phi^*M) = \phi^*M$  holds, or equivalently, if  $F_M(\phi^*M) \subset M$ , then we say that  $(M, F_M)$  is *effective*. In this case, the induced homomorphism  $\phi^*M \rightarrow M$  is again denoted by  $F_M$ . We note that the cokernel of  $F_M: \phi^*M \rightarrow M$  is killed by some power of  $I$ .

Conversely, for a finite projective  $A$ -module  $M$  and a homomorphism  $F_M: \phi^*M \rightarrow M$  of  $A$ -modules whose cokernel is killed by some power of  $I$ , the induced homomorphism  $(\phi^*M)[1/I] \rightarrow M[1/I]$  is an isomorphism. Indeed, it is clear that  $(\phi^*M)[1/I] \rightarrow M[1/I]$  is surjective, which in turn implies that it is an isomorphism since (the vector bundles on  $\text{Spec } A$  associated with)  $\phi^*M$  and  $M$  have the same rank. In particular, it follows that  $F_M: \phi^*M \rightarrow M$  is injective.

**Remark 3.1.4.** For any integer  $i$ , we have  $I \text{Fil}^{i-1}(\phi^*M) = \text{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) \cap I(\phi^*M)$ . In other words, the natural homomorphism  $\text{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)/I \text{Fil}^{i-1}(\phi^*M) \rightarrow P^i$  is bijective. We have  $P^i = M_{\text{dR}}$  for small enough  $i$  and  $P^i = 0$  for large enough  $i$ .

**Definition 3.1.5.** Let  $M$  be a Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$ . We say that  $M$  is *displayed* if the  $A/I$ -submodule  $P^i \subset M_{\text{dR}}$  is a direct summand for every  $i$ . In this case, the filtration  $\{P^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called the *Hodge filtration*. We say that  $M$  is *minuscule* if  $(M, F_M)$  is displayed, and if we have  $P^i = M_{\text{dR}}$  for any  $i \leq 0$  and  $P^i = 0$  for any  $i \geq 2$ .

The following proposition, which is basically a consequence of [ALB23, Remark 4.25], shows that the definition of a minuscule Breuil–Kisin module given in Definition 3.1.5 agrees with the usual one employed in the literature (for example in [Kis06, Section 2.2] and [ALB23, Definition 4.24]).

**Proposition 3.1.6.** *Let  $M$  be a Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M$  is minuscule.
- (2)  $M$  is effective, and the cokernel  $\text{Coker } F_M$  of  $F_M: \phi^*M \rightarrow M$  is killed by  $I$ .

*Proof.* Assume that (1) holds. It follows from  $P^0 = M_{\text{dR}}$  and Nakayama’s lemma that  $\text{Fil}^0(\phi^*M) = \phi^*M$ . Moreover, we have  $IM \subset F_M(\phi^*M)$  by Lemma 3.1.1. This proves that (1) implies (2).

Assume that (2) holds. It follows from Lemma 3.1.1 that  $\text{Fil}^2(\phi^*M) \subset I(\phi^*M)$ , and hence  $P^i = 0$  for any  $i \geq 2$ . Since  $M$  is effective, we have  $P^i = M_{\text{dR}}$  for any  $i \leq 0$ . It remains to prove that  $P^1$  is a direct summand of  $M_{\text{dR}}$ . For this, it suffices to show that  $(\phi^*M)/\text{Fil}^1(\phi^*M)$  is projective as an  $A/I$ -module. Since we have the following exact sequence of  $A/I$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow (\phi^*M)/\text{Fil}^1(\phi^*M) \rightarrow M/IM \rightarrow \text{Coker } F_M \rightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to prove that  $\text{Coker } F_M$  is a projective  $A/I$ -module. With Lemma 3.1.7 below, this follows from the same argument as in [ALB23, Remark 4.25].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.7.** *Let  $(A, I)$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. For a perfect field  $k$  containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and a homomorphism  $g: A/I \rightarrow k$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras, there exists a map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}, (\pi))$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms which induces  $g$ , where  $\mathcal{O} := W(k) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A_{\text{perf}} := \varinjlim_{\phi} A$  be a colimit of the diagram

$$A \xrightarrow{\phi} A \xrightarrow{\phi} A \rightarrow \cdots,$$

which is a perfect  $\delta_E$ -ring. Since  $k$  is perfect, the homomorphism  $A/\pi \rightarrow k$  induced by the composition  $A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow k$  factors through a homomorphism  $A_{\text{perf}}/\pi \rightarrow k$ . This homomorphism then lifts uniquely to a homomorphism  $A_{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings by Lemma 2.3.5. The composition  $A \rightarrow A_{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  gives a map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}, (\pi))$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms which induces  $g$ , as desired.  $\square$

The following lemma provides a useful decomposition of  $\phi^*M$  for a displayed Breuil–Kisin module  $M$ .

**Lemma 3.1.8.** *Let  $M$  be a displayed Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$ . Then, there exists a decomposition  $\phi^*M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_j$  such that*

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) = \left( \bigoplus_{j \geq i} L_j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j < i} I^{i-j} L_j \right)$$

for every  $i$ .

We call such a decomposition  $\phi^*M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_j$  a *normal decomposition*.

*Proof.* We choose a decomposition  $(\phi^*M)/I(\phi^*M) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} K_j$  such that  $P^i = \bigoplus_{j \geq i} K_j$  for every  $i$ . Since  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete, there exists a finite projective  $A$ -module  $L_j$  such that  $L_j/IL_j \simeq K_j$  for every  $j$ . Since  $\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) \rightarrow P^i$  is surjective, there exists a homomorphism  $L_i \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  which fits into the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_i & \longrightarrow & K_i \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) & \longrightarrow & P^i. \end{array}$$

The induced homomorphism  $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_j \rightarrow \phi^*M$  is an isomorphism since it is a lift of the isomorphism  $\bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} K_j \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi^*M)/I(\phi^*M)$ . We shall prove that, under this isomorphism,  $\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  coincides with  $(\bigoplus_{j \geq i} L_j) \oplus (\bigoplus_{j < i} I^{i-j} L_j)$  for any  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We proceed by induction on  $i$ . The assertion clearly holds for small enough  $i$ . Let us assume that the assertion holds for an integer  $i$ . Since

$$\left( \bigoplus_{j \geq i} IL_j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j < i} I^{i+1-j} L_j \right) = I \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1}(\phi^*M)$$

and  $\bigoplus_{j \geq i+1} L_j \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1}(\phi^*M)$  by construction, we obtain

$$\left( \bigoplus_{j \geq i+1} L_j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j < i+1} I^{i+1-j} L_j \right) \subset \mathrm{Fil}^{i+1}(\phi^*M).$$

The left hand side contains  $I \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  and the quotient by  $I \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  is equal to  $P^{i+1}$ . The same holds for the right hand side by Remark 3.1.4. Therefore, this inclusion is actually an equality.  $\square$

Let  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  be a map of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. For a Breuil–Kisin module  $(M, F_M)$  over  $(A, I)$ , let  $F_{M_{A'}}: (\phi^*(M_{A'}))[1/I'] \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{A'})[1/I']$  be the base change of  $F_M$ , where  $M_{A'} := M \otimes_A A'$ . We also write  $f^*M$  for  $(M_{A'}, F_{M_{A'}})$ .

**Proposition 3.1.9.** *Let  $(M, F_M)$  be a Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$ .*

- (1) *Assume that  $(M, F_M)$  is displayed. Then  $(M_{A'}, F_{M_{A'}})$  is a displayed Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A', I')$ , and we have  $\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*(M_{A'}))$  for any integer  $i$ .*
- (2) *Assume that  $(M_{A'}, F_{M_{A'}})$  is displayed and  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  is faithfully flat. Then  $(M, F_M)$  is displayed.*

*Proof.* We first make a general remark. Let  $m$  be an integer such that  $M \otimes_A I^{\otimes m} \subset F_M(\phi^*M)$ . Then, the isomorphism  $F_M$  restricts to  $\mathrm{Fil}^m(\phi^*M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_A I^{\otimes m}$ . Together with  $I \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} I'$  (see Lemma 2.3.4), it follows that  $\mathrm{Fil}^m(\phi^*M) \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^m(\phi^*(M_{A'}))$ . Moreover, we have the equality

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) = \phi^*M \cap (\mathrm{Fil}^m(\phi^*M) \otimes_A I^{\otimes i-m})$$

in  $(\phi^*M)[1/I]$  for any integer  $i$ .

(1) Let  $\phi^*M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_j$  be a normal decomposition as in Lemma 3.1.8. We write  $L'_j := L_j \otimes_A A'$ . It suffices to prove that the equality

$$\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*(M_{A'})) = \left( \bigoplus_{j \geq i} L'_j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j < i} I^{i-j} L'_j \right)$$

holds in  $(\phi^*(M_{A'}))[1/I']$  for any integer  $i$ . This follows from the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*(M_{A'})) &= \phi^*(M_{A'}) \cap (\mathrm{Fil}^m(\phi^*(M_{A'})) \otimes_{A'} I'^{\otimes i-m}) \\ &= \phi^*(M_{A'}) \cap (\mathrm{Fil}^m(\phi^*(M)) \otimes_A I'^{\otimes i-m}) \\ &= \phi^*(M_{A'}) \cap \left( \bigoplus_{j \leq m} (L'_j \otimes_{A'} I'^{\otimes i-j}) \right) \\ &= \left( \bigoplus_{j \geq i} L'_j \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j < i} I^{i-j} L'_j \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the first two equalities follow from the above remark, and for the third equality, we use the fact that  $L_j = 0$  for  $j \geq m+1$ , which follows from Lemma 3.1.1 and Nakayama's lemma.

(2) We note that  $\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*(M_{A'}))$  is a finite projective  $A'$ -module for any integer  $i$  by Lemma 3.1.8. By virtue of Proposition 2.5.8, there is a descending filtration  $\{\mathrm{Fil}^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\phi^*M$  by finite projective  $A$ -submodules such that  $\mathrm{Fil}^i \otimes_A A' \rightarrow \phi^*(M'_A)$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Fil}^i \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*(M_{A'}))$  for any  $i$ . We see that  $F_M$  restricts to  $\mathrm{Fil}^m \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_A I^{\otimes m}$ , and hence we have  $\mathrm{Fil}^m = \mathrm{Fil}^m(\phi^*M)$ . Moreover, we have  $I \mathrm{Fil}^{i-1} \subset \mathrm{Fil}^i$  for any  $i$ , and  $I \mathrm{Fil}^{i-1} = \mathrm{Fil}^i$  for  $i \geq m+1$ . In particular, we obtain  $\mathrm{Fil}^i = \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  for  $i \geq m$ .

Let  $i$  be any integer. We claim that the natural homomorphism of  $A/I$ -modules

$$\iota: \mathrm{Fil}^i / I \mathrm{Fil}^{i-1} \rightarrow (\phi^*M) / I(\phi^*M)$$

is injective and its cokernel is a finite projective  $A/I$ -module. Indeed, it suffices to show that for every closed point  $x \in \mathrm{Spec} A/I$ , the base change of  $\iota$  to the residue field  $k(x)$  is injective. Since  $x$  is contained in  $\mathrm{Spec} A/(\pi, I)$  and  $\mathrm{Spec} A'/(\pi, I') \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} A/(\pi, I)$  is surjective, it is enough to prove that the base change of  $\iota$  along  $A/I \rightarrow A'/I'$  is injective and its cokernel is a finite projective  $A'/I'$ -module. This follows from the assumption that  $(M_{A'}, F_{M_{A'}})$  is displayed.

It follows from the claim that  $I \mathrm{Fil}^{i-1} = I(\phi^*M) \cap \mathrm{Fil}^i$ , or equivalently,  $\mathrm{Fil}^{i-1} = \phi^*M \cap (\mathrm{Fil}^i \otimes_A I^{\otimes -1})$ . Since  $\mathrm{Fil}^i = \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  for  $i \geq m$ , we can conclude that  $\mathrm{Fil}^i = \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  for any  $i$ . This, together with the claim, shows that  $(M, F_M)$  is displayed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.10.** *The fibered category over  $(\mathcal{O}_E)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\mathrm{op}}$  which associates to a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  the category of displayed Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, I)$  satisfies descent with respect to the flat topology.*

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 2.5.8 and Proposition 3.1.9.  $\square$

### 3.2. Breuil–Kisin modules of type $\mu$ .

**Definition 3.2.1.** Let  $M$  be a displayed Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$ . Let  $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  be a tuple of integers with  $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$ . Let  $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  be the number of occurrences of  $i$  in  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$ . We say that  $M$  is of type  $\mu$  if, for the Hodge filtration  $\{P^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , the successive quotient  $P^i/P^{i+1}$  is of rank  $r_i$  (i.e. the corresponding vector bundle on  $\text{Spec } A/I$  has constant rank  $r_i$ ) for every  $i$ . We say that  $M$  is *banal* if all successive quotients  $P^i/P^{i+1}$  are free  $A/I$ -modules.

We write  $\text{BK}_\mu(A, I)$  (resp.  $\text{BK}_\mu(A, I)_{\text{banal}}$ ) for the category of Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$  (resp. banal Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$ ).

**Remark 3.2.2.** Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the standard basis of  $A^n$ . Let  $L_{\mu, j} := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n, m_i = j} A e_i$  and

$$\text{Fil}_\mu^i := \left( \bigoplus_{j \geq i} L_{\mu, j} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{j < i} I^{i-j} L_{\mu, j} \right).$$

Let  $M$  be a banal Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$ . Let  $\phi^* M = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} L_j$  be a normal decomposition. Then, each  $L_j$  is a free  $A$ -module of rank  $r_j$ . By choosing a basis of  $L_j$  for every  $j$ , we obtain an isomorphism  $A^n \simeq \phi^* M$  such that the filtration  $\{\text{Fil}_\mu^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  coincides with the filtration  $\{\text{Fil}^i(\phi^* M)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Example 3.2.3.** Assume that  $\mu = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  where 1 appears  $s$  times and 0 appears  $n - s$  times. Then, any Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$  is minuscule.

In the rest of this subsection, we assume that  $(A, I)$  is orientable and we fix a generator  $d \in I$ . We provide a few results that will be used to show that any Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$  arises from a  $\text{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -display.

**Remark 3.2.4.** Let  $M$  be a Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$ . Since  $P^{m_1+1} = 0$ , it follows from Lemma 3.1.1 that  $F_M$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\text{Fil}^{m_1}(\phi^* M) \xrightarrow{\sim} d^{m_1} M$  (here  $m_1$  is possibly negative). We define  $F_{m_1}: \text{Fil}^{m_1}(\phi^* M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M$  by  $x \mapsto d^{-m_1} F_M(x)$ .

**Example 3.2.5.** The cocharacter  $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n, \mathcal{O}_E}$  defined by  $t \mapsto \text{diag}(t^{m_1}, \dots, t^{m_n})$  is denoted by the same symbol  $\mu$ . We set  $M := A^n$ . To an invertible matrix  $X \in \text{GL}_n(A)$ , we attach a Breuil–Kisin module  $(M, F_X)$  over  $(A, I)$  where  $F_X$  is the composition

$$(\phi^* M)[1/d] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[1/d] \xrightarrow{X} M[1/d] \xrightarrow{\mu(d)} M[1/d].$$

Here, the first isomorphism is induced by

$$\phi^*(A^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} A^n, \quad 1 \otimes (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\phi(a_1), \dots, \phi(a_n)).$$

The Breuil–Kisin module  $(M, F_X)$  is displayed. Moreover, it is banal and of type  $\mu$ . Indeed, via the composition  $\phi^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} M \xrightarrow{X} A^n$ , the filtration  $\{\text{Fil}^i(\phi^* M)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  coincides with the filtration  $\{\text{Fil}_\mu^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.6.** *Let  $M$  be a banal Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$ . Then, there exists an invertible matrix  $X \in \text{GL}_n(A)$  such that  $M$  is isomorphic to the Breuil–Kisin module  $(A^n, F_X)$  constructed in Example 3.2.5.*

*Proof.* We fix a trivialization  $h: A^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi^*M$  such that the filtration  $\{\mathrm{Fil}_\mu^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  coincides with the filtration  $\{\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ; see Remark 3.2.2. Let  $\beta: A^n \xrightarrow{\sim} M$  denote the composition  $A^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}_\mu^{m_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}^{m_1}(\phi^*M) \xrightarrow{\sim} M$  where the first isomorphism  $A^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fil}_\mu^{m_1}$  is given by  $d^{m_1}\mu(d)^{-1}$ , the second one is induced by  $h$ , and the third one is the isomorphism  $F_{m_1}$  defined in Remark 3.2.4. Then, let  $\alpha_d(h) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(A)$  be the invertible matrix corresponding to the following composition:

$$A^n = \phi^*(A^n) \xrightarrow{\phi^*\beta} \phi^*M \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} A^n.$$

By construction,  $\beta: A^n \xrightarrow{\sim} M$  gives an isomorphism  $(A^n, F_{\alpha_d(h)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} M$  of Breuil–Kisin modules.  $\square$

**3.3. Windows and displays.** We shortly discuss the relation between the notion of minuscule (resp. displayed) Breuil–Kisin module and the notion of window (resp. display).

An oriented and bounded prism  $(A, d)$  gives rise to a quadruple

$$(A, (d), \phi, \phi_1)$$

where  $\phi_1: (d) \rightarrow A$  is defined by  $dx \mapsto \phi(x)$ . This quadruple is a *frame* in the sense of Zink and Lau; see for example [Lau10, Section 2] for the notion of frame. Similarly, for an oriented and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, d)$ , the same construction gives an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -*frame*  $(A, (d), \phi, \phi_1)$  in the sense of [Ahs12].

We recall the notion of window, adapted to our context.

**Definition 3.3.1.** Let  $(A, d)$  be an oriented and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. A *window* over  $(A, d)$  is a quadruple

$$\underline{N} = (N, \mathrm{Fil}^1(N), \Phi, \Phi_1)$$

where  $N$  is a finite projective  $A$ -module,  $\mathrm{Fil}^1(N) \subset N$  is an  $A$ -submodule,  $\Phi: N \rightarrow N$  and  $\Phi_1: \mathrm{Fil}^1(N) \rightarrow N$  are  $\phi$ -linear homomorphisms, such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) We have  $dN \subset \mathrm{Fil}^1(N)$ , and  $\Phi(x) = \Phi_1(dx)$  for every  $x \in N$ .
- (2) The image  $P^1 \subset N/dN$  of  $\mathrm{Fil}^1(N)$  is a direct summand of  $N/dN$ .
- (3) The linearization  $1 \otimes \Phi_1: \phi^* \mathrm{Fil}^1(N) \rightarrow N$  of  $\Phi_1$  is an isomorphism.

**Proposition 3.3.2** (cf. [CL17, Lemma 2.1.16], [ALB23, Proposition 4.26]). *Let  $(A, d)$  be an oriented and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism. For a window  $(N, \mathrm{Fil}^1(N), \Phi, \Phi_1)$  over  $(A, d)$ , the pair  $(\mathrm{Fil}^1(N), F)$ , where  $F: \phi^* \mathrm{Fil}^1(N) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^1(N)$  is defined by  $F = d(1 \otimes \Phi_1)$ , is a minuscule Breuil–Kisin module over the bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, (d))$ . This construction gives an equivalence between the category of windows over  $(A, d)$  and the category of minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, (d))$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is identical to that of [CL17, Lemma 2.1.16].  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.3** (Displayed Breuil–Kisin modules and displays). We assume that  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$  for simplicity. Let  $(A, d)$  be an oriented and bounded prism. A displayed Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, (d))$  gives rise to a *display*

$$(\phi^*M, \{\mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{\Phi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

over the frame  $(A, (d), \phi, \phi_1)$  in the sense of [LZ19], where  $\Phi_i: \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M) \rightarrow \phi^*M$  are the  $\phi$ -linear homomorphisms defined by sending  $x \in \mathrm{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)$  to  $1 \otimes (d^{-i}F_M(x)) \in \phi^*M$ . One can prove that this construction gives an equivalence between the category of



displayed Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, (d))$  and the category of displays over the frame  $(A, (d), \phi, \phi_1)$ . Since we will not need this fact in this paper, we omit the details.

#### 4. DISPLAY GROUP

Let  $G$  be a smooth affine group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ . Let  $k$  be a perfect field containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and we set  $\mathcal{O} := W(k) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$ . Let

$$\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}} := G \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_E} \mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}$$

be a cocharacter defined over  $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}$ . In this section, we introduce the display group  $G_{\mu}(A, I)$  for an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ . The display group will be used in the definition of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays.

**4.1. Definition of the display group.** Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra with an ideal  $I \subset A$  which is generated by a nonzerodivisor  $d \in A$ .

**Definition 4.1.1.** We define

$$G_{\mu}(A, I) := \{ g \in G(A) \mid \mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1} \text{ lies in } G(A) \subset G(A[1/I]) \}.$$

The group  $G_{\mu}(A, I)$  is called the *display group*.

We note that  $G_{\mu}(A, I)$  does not depend on the choice of  $d$ .

**Remark 4.1.2.** The definition of the display group given here is a translation of the one given in [Lau21] to our setting. If  $G$  is reductive and  $\mu$  is minuscule, such a group was also considered in [BP20].

For the cocharacter  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$ , we endow  $G_{\mathcal{O}}$  with the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  defined by

$$G_{\mathcal{O}}(R) \times \mathbb{G}_m(R) \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}(R), \quad (g, t) \mapsto \mu(t)^{-1}g\mu(t) \quad (4.1)$$

for every  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $R$ . We write  $G = \mathrm{Spec} A'_G$  and  $A_G := A'_G \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_E} \mathcal{O}$ , so that  $G_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathrm{Spec} A_G$ . Then, we have an action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on the  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $A_G$ . Let  $A_G := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{G,n}$  be the weight decomposition with respect to the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . An element  $t \in \mathbb{G}_m(R) = R^{\times}$  acts on  $A_{G,n} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} R$  by  $r_n \mapsto t^n r_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . (See for example [CGP15, Lemma A.8.8] for the existence of the weight decomposition over a ring.)

**Remark 4.1.3.** Let  $R$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra. For any  $t \in \mathbb{G}_m(R)$  and any  $g \in G_{\mathcal{O}}(R)$  with corresponding homomorphism  $g^*: A_G \rightarrow R$ , the homomorphism

$$(\mu(t)^{-1}g\mu(t))^*: A_G \rightarrow R$$

corresponding to  $\mu(t)^{-1}g\mu(t) \in G_{\mathcal{O}}(R)$  sends an element  $r_n \in A_{G,n}$  to  $t^n g^*(r_n) \in R$ .

**Lemma 4.1.4.** *Let  $g \in G(A)$  be an element. Then  $g \in G_{\mu}(A, I)$  if and only if  $g^*(r_n)$  belongs to  $I^n A$  for every  $n > 0$  and any  $r_n \in A_{G,n}$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from Remark 4.1.3. □

**Example 4.1.5.** Assume that  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  and let  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathcal{O}}$  be the cocharacter associated with a tuple  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  of integers with  $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$ ; see Example 3.2.5. Let  $\{\mathrm{Fil}_{\mu}^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the filtration of  $M := A^n$  defined as in Remark 3.2.2. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{GL}_n)_{\mu}(A, I) &= \{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(A) \mid g(\mathrm{Fil}_{\mu}^{m_1}) = \mathrm{Fil}_{\mu}^{m_1} \} \\ &= \{ g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(A) \mid g(\mathrm{Fil}_{\mu}^i) = \mathrm{Fil}_{\mu}^i \text{ for every } i \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $d \in I$  be a generator. For the isomorphism  $d^{-m_1}\mu(d): \mathrm{Fil}_\mu^{m_1} \xrightarrow{\sim} M$ , and for an element  $g \in (\mathrm{GL}_n)_\mu(A, I)$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fil}_\mu^{m_1} & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathrm{Fil}_\mu^{m_1} \\ \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ M & \xrightarrow{\mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1}} & M. \end{array}$$

**4.2. Properties of the display group.** For the cocharacter  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$ , we consider the closed subgroup schemes  $P_\mu, U_{-\mu} \subset G_{\mathcal{O}}$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  defined by, for every  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu(R) &= \{ g \in G(R) \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu(t)g\mu(t)^{-1} \text{ exists} \}, \\ U_{-\mu}(R) &= \{ g \in G(R) \mid \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mu(t)^{-1}g\mu(t) = 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that  $P_\mu$  and  $U_{-\mu}$  are stable under the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $G_{\mathcal{O}}$  given by (4.1). The group schemes  $P_\mu$  and  $U_{-\mu}$  are smooth over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Moreover, the multiplication map

$$U_{-\mu} \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}} P_\mu \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$$

is an open immersion. See [CGP15, Section 2.1], especially [CGP15, Proposition 2.1.8], for details.

**Remark 4.2.1.** Let us remark that we have employed slightly different notation than in [Lau21]. For example, in *loc.cit.*, the subgroup  $P_\mu$  (resp.  $P_{-\mu}$ ) is denoted by  $P^-$  (resp.  $P^+$ ).

**Lemma 4.2.2.**

- (1) *Let  $R$  be an  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra and  $g \in G_{\mathcal{O}}(R)$  an element. Then, we have  $g \in P_\mu(R)$  if and only if  $g^*(r_n) = 0$  for every  $n > 0$  and every  $r_n \in A_{G,n}$ .*
- (2) *We have  $P_\mu(A) \subset G_\mu(A, I)$ , and the image of  $G_\mu(A, I)$  in  $G(A/I)$  under the projection  $G(A) \rightarrow G(A/I)$  is contained in  $P_\mu(A/I)$ . Moreover  $\mu(d)P_\mu(A)\mu(d)^{-1}$  is contained in  $P_\mu(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Remark 4.1.3 immediately implies (1). The second assertion (2) follows from (1) and Lemma 4.1.4.  $\square$

**Definition 4.2.3** ([Lau21, Definition 6.3.1]). The action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on  $G_{\mathcal{O}}$  given in (4.1) induces an action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}})$ . Let

$$\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}}) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n$$

be the weight decomposition with respect to the action of  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . We say that the cocharacter  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$  is *1-bounded* if  $\mathfrak{g}_n = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

In general, the Lie algebra  $\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu})$  of  $U_{-\mu}$  coincides with  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_n$ . (We also note that  $\mathrm{Lie}(P_\mu) = \bigoplus_{n \leq 0} \mathfrak{g}_n$ .) Thus, we see that  $\mu$  is 1-bounded if and only if the equality  $\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) = \mathfrak{g}_1$  holds in  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}})$ .

**Remark 4.2.4.** If  $G$  is a reductive group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , then  $\mu$  is 1-bounded if and only if  $\mu$  is minuscule, that is, we have  $\mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}}) = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ .

**Example 4.2.5.** Assume that  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  and let the notation be as in Example 4.1.5. Then the cocharacter  $\mu$  is 1-bounded if and only if  $m_1 - m_n \leq 1$ .

For a free  $\mathcal{O}$ -module  $M$  of finite rank, we let  $V(M)$  denote the group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}$  defined by  $R \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} R$  for every  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $R$ .

**Lemma 4.2.6.** *There exists a  $\mathbb{G}_m$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\log: U_{-\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}))$$

*of schemes over  $\mathcal{O}$  which induces the identity on the Lie algebras. If  $\mu$  is 1-bounded, then the isomorphism  $\log$  is unique, and it is an isomorphism of group schemes over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

*Proof.* The same arguments as in the proofs of [Lau21, Lemma 6.1.1, Lemma 6.3.2] work here.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.7.**

- (1) Let  $\log: U_{-\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}))$  be an isomorphism as in Lemma 4.2.6. This isomorphism induces a bijection

$$U_{-\mu}(A) \cap G_{\mu}(A, I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} I^n(\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A).$$

- (2) If  $\mu$  is 1-bounded, then we identify  $U_{-\mu}$  with  $V(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}))$  by the unique isomorphism  $\log$ . In particular, we view  $\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A$  as a subgroup of  $G(A)$ . We then obtain

$$I(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A) = (\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A) \cap G_{\mu}(A, I).$$

Moreover, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A) & \hookrightarrow & G_{\mu}(A, I) \\ \downarrow dv \mapsto v & & \downarrow g \mapsto \mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1} \\ \mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A & \hookrightarrow & G(A). \end{array}$$

**Proposition 4.2.8** (cf. [Lau21, Lemma 6.2.2]). *Assume that  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete. Then, the multiplication map*

$$(U_{-\mu}(A) \cap G_{\mu}(A, I)) \times P_{\mu}(A) \rightarrow G_{\mu}(A, I) \quad (4.2)$$

*is bijective.*

*Proof.* We first note that since we have  $P_{\mu}(A) \subset G_{\mu}(A, I)$  by Lemma 4.2.2, the multiplication map (4.2) is well-defined. Since the map  $U_{-\mu} \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}} P_{\mu} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$  is an open immersion, the map (4.2) is injective.

We shall show that the map (4.2) is surjective. Let  $g \in G_{\mu}(A, I)$  be an element. By Lemma 4.2.2, the image of  $g$  in  $G(A/I)$  is contained in  $P_{\mu}(A/I)$ . Since  $P_{\mu}$  is smooth and  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete, there exists an element  $t \in P_{\mu}(A)$  whose image in  $P_{\mu}(A/I)$  coincides with the image of  $g$ . In particular, the restriction of the morphism  $gt^{-1}: \mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$  to  $\mathrm{Spec} A/I$  factors through the open subscheme  $U_{-\mu} \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}} P_{\mu}$ . Since  $I \subset \mathrm{rad}(A)$ , it then follows that the morphism  $gt^{-1}: \mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$  itself factors through  $U_{-\mu} \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}} P_{\mu}$ . In other words, there are elements  $u \in U_{-\mu}(A)$  and  $t' \in P_{\mu}(A)$  such that  $g = ut't$ . We note that  $u \in G_{\mu}(A, I)$ . In conclusion, we have shown that  $g$  is contained in the image of the map (4.2).  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.9.** *Assume that  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$  is 1-bounded. Assume further that  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete. Then, the multiplication map*

$$I(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A) \times P_{\mu}(A) \rightarrow G_{\mu}(A, I) \quad (4.3)$$

is bijective. Moreover  $G_\mu(A, I)$  coincides with the inverse image of  $P_\mu(A/I)$  in  $G(A)$  under the projection  $G(A) \rightarrow G(A/I)$ , and we have the following bijection:

$$G(A)/G_\mu(A, I) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(A/I)/P_\mu(A/I). \quad (4.4)$$

*Proof.* It follows from Remark 4.2.7 and Proposition 4.2.8 that the multiplication map (4.3) is bijective. Let  $G'_\mu \subset G(A)$  be the inverse image of  $P_\mu(A/I)$ . We have  $G_\mu(A, I) \subset G'_\mu$ . By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.8, one can show that  $G'_\mu \subset I(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A) \times P_\mu(A)$ . Thus, we obtain  $G_\mu(A, I) = G'_\mu$ .

It remains to prove that the map (4.4) is bijective. Since  $G$  is smooth and  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete, the projection  $G(A) \rightarrow G(A/I)$  is surjective, which in turn implies the surjectivity of (4.4). The injectivity follows from the equality  $G_\mu(A, I) = G'_\mu$ .  $\square$

For an integer  $m \geq 0$ , let  $G^{\geq m}(A)$  denote the kernel of the homomorphism  $G(A) \rightarrow G(A/I^m)$ . We set

$$G_\mu^{\geq m}(A, I) := G_\mu(A, I) \cap G^{\geq m}(A).$$

We record a structural result about the quotient  $G_\mu^{\geq m}(A, I)/G_\mu^{\geq m+1}(A, I)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.10.** *Assume that  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete. Then we have the following isomorphisms of groups:*

$$G^{\geq m}(A)/G^{\geq m+1}(A) \simeq \begin{cases} G(A/I) & (m = 0) \\ \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} I^m/I^{m+1} & (m \geq 1), \end{cases}$$

$$G_\mu^{\geq m}(A, I)/G_\mu^{\geq m+1}(A, I) \simeq \begin{cases} P_\mu(A/I) & (m = 0) \\ (\bigoplus_{n \leq m} \mathfrak{g}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} I^m/I^{m+1} & (m \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete and  $G$  is smooth, the homomorphism  $G(A) \rightarrow G(A/I^m)$  is surjective. It follows that  $G^{\geq m}(A)/G^{\geq m+1}(A)$  is isomorphic to the kernel of  $G(A/I^{m+1}) \rightarrow G(A/I^m)$ . From this, we can deduce the desired description of  $G^{\geq m}(A)/G^{\geq m+1}(A)$ .

Since  $P_\mu(A) \rightarrow P_\mu(A/I)$  is surjective (as  $A$  is  $I$ -adically complete and  $P_\mu$  is smooth), it follows from Lemma 4.2.2 that  $G_\mu(A, I)/G_\mu^{\geq 1}(A, I) \simeq P_\mu(A/I)$ . For any  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$G_\mu^{\geq m}(A, I)/G_\mu^{\geq m+1}(A, I) \subset G^{\geq m}(A)/G^{\geq m+1}(A) \simeq \mathrm{Lie}(G_{\mathcal{O}}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} I^m/I^{m+1}.$$

Using an isomorphism  $\log: U_{-\mu} \xrightarrow{\sim} V(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}))$  as in Lemma 4.2.6 and the bijection (4.2), one can show that  $G_\mu^{\geq m}(A, I)/G_\mu^{\geq m+1}(A, I)$  coincides with  $(\bigoplus_{n \leq m} \mathfrak{g}_n) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} I^m/I^{m+1}$ .  $\square$

**4.3. Display groups on prismatic sites.** Let  $(A, I)$  be an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ . In this subsection, we define the display group sheaf  $G_{\mu, A, I}$  on the site  $(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}}$  and discuss some basic results on  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsors.

For a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale  $A$ -algebra  $B \in (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$ , the pair  $(B, IB)$  is naturally an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism by Lemma 2.5.10.

**Definition 4.3.1.** We define the following two functors:

$$G_{\Delta, A}: (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, \quad B \mapsto G(B),$$

$$G_{\mu, A, I}: (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, \quad B \mapsto G_\mu(B, IB).$$

Since  $G$  is affine, the two functors  $G_{\Delta, A}$  and  $G_{\mu, A, I}$  are group sheaves with respect to the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology by Remark 2.5.7.

We begin with a comparison result between torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  (or  $\mathrm{Spec} A/I$ ) with respect to the usual étale topology, and torsors on the site  $(A, I)_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\mathrm{op}}$ . To an affine scheme  $X$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  (or  $A$ ), we associate a sheaf

$$X_{\Delta, A}: (A, I)_{\mathrm{ét}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, \quad B \mapsto X(B).$$

Similarly, to an affine scheme  $X$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  (or  $A/I$ ), we associate a sheaf

$$X_{\overline{\Delta}, A}: (A, I)_{\mathrm{ét}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, \quad B \mapsto X(B/IB).$$

**Proposition 4.3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a smooth affine group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

- (1) *For an  $H_{A/I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\mathrm{Spec} A/I$  with respect to the étale topology, which is an affine scheme over  $A/I$ , the sheaf  $\mathcal{P}_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  is an  $H_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor with respect to the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology. This construction*

$$\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$$

*gives an equivalence from the groupoid of  $H_{A/I}$ -torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} A/I$  to the groupoid of  $H_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsors.*

- (2) *The construction*

$$\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\Delta, A}$$

*gives an equivalence from the groupoid of  $H_A$ -torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} A$  to the groupoid of  $H_{\Delta, A}$ -torsors.*

*Proof.* (1) It is clear that  $\mathcal{P}_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  is an  $H_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor. To show that  $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  is an equivalence, it suffices to prove that the fibered category over  $(A, I)_{\mathrm{ét}}^{\mathrm{op}}$  which associates to a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale  $A$ -algebra  $B$  to the groupoid of  $H_{B/IB}$ -torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} B/IB$  is a stack with respect to the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology.

It is known that, for any  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra  $R$ , the groupoid of  $H_R$ -torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} R$  is equivalent to the groupoid of exact tensor functors  $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}}(H) \rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}(R)$ , where  $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathcal{O}}(H)$  is the category of algebraic representations of  $H$  on free  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules of finite rank, and  $\mathrm{Vect}(R)$  is the category of finite projective  $R$ -modules; see [SW20, Theorem 19.5.1] and [Bro13, Theorem 1.2]. (Although this result is stated only for the case where  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}_p$  in [SW20, Theorem 19.5.1], the proof also works for general  $\mathcal{O}$ .) Using this Tannakian perspective, the desired claim follows from Proposition 2.5.8 and the following fact: For a  $\pi$ -completely étale covering  $C \rightarrow C'$ , a complex

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$$

of finite projective  $C$ -modules is exact if and only if the base change

$$0 \rightarrow M_1 \otimes_C C' \rightarrow M_2 \otimes_C C' \rightarrow M_3 \otimes_C C' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. For the proof of this fact, see [PR21, Lemma 2.3.6] for example.

- (2) This can be proved in the same way as (1). □

We remark that Proposition 4.3.2 can not be applied directly to  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsors. However, it is still useful for analysing  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsors in several places below, since we have the following lemma. (For the notation used below, see Lemma 4.2.10.)

**Lemma 4.3.3.**

(1) For an integer  $m \geq 0$ , the functor

$$G_{\mu,A,I}^{=m}: (A, I)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Set}, \quad B \mapsto G_{\mu}^{\geq m}(B, IB)/G_{\mu}^{\geq m+1}(B, IB)$$

forms a group sheaf, and it is isomorphic to  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  (resp.  $V(\bigoplus_{n \leq m} \mathfrak{g}_n)_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ ) if  $m = 0$  (resp.  $m \geq 1$ ). Moreover, the functor

$$G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m}: (A, I)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Set}, \quad B \mapsto G_{\mu}(B, IB)/G_{\mu}^{\geq m}(B, IB)$$

forms a group sheaf.

(2) For a  $G_{\mu,A,I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}$ , we write  $\mathcal{Q}^{<m}$  for the pushout of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along  $G_{\mu,A,I} \rightarrow G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_m \mathcal{Q}^{<m}$ .

*Proof.* (1) The statement about  $G_{\mu,A,I}^{=m}$  follows from Lemma 4.2.10. Using the exact sequence

$$1 \mapsto G_{\mu,A,I}^{=m}(B) \rightarrow G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m+1}(B) \rightarrow G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m}(B) \rightarrow 1,$$

the statement about  $G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m}$  then follows by induction on  $m$ .

(2) We shall prove that  $G_{\mu,A,I} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_m G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m}$ . This claim implies that  $\varprojlim \mathcal{Q}^{<m}$  is a  $G_{\mu,A,I}$ -torsor, and hence  $\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim \mathcal{Q}^{<m}$ . By Proposition 4.2.8, the multiplication map  $(U_{-\mu}(A) \cap G_{\mu}(A, I)) \times P_{\mu}(A) \rightarrow G_{\mu}(A, I)$  is bijective. Note that  $G_{\mu}(A, I)/G_{\mu}^{\geq m}(A, I)$  can be identified with the image of  $G_{\mu}(A, I)$  in  $G(A/I^m)$ . Let  $U^{<m}$  be the image of  $U_{-\mu}(A) \cap G_{\mu}(A, I)$  in  $U_{-\mu}(A/I^m)$ . Then, the multiplication map induces a bijection

$$U^{<m} \times P_{\mu}(A/I^m) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\mu}(A, I)/G_{\mu}^{\geq m}(A, I).$$

We have  $P_{\mu}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim P_{\mu}(A/I^m)$ . Moreover, using Lemma 4.2.6, one can check that  $U_{-\mu}(A) \cap G_{\mu}(A, I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim U^{<m}$ . Thus, we obtain  $G_{\mu}(A, I) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim G_{\mu}(A, I)/G_{\mu}^{\geq m}(A, I)$ . The same assertion holds for any  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale  $A$ -algebra  $B$ , and hence  $G_{\mu,A,I} \rightarrow \varprojlim G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We note that  $G_{\mu,A,I}^{<1} = G_{\mu,A,I}^{=0} = (P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  by Lemma 4.3.3 (1).

**Corollary 4.3.4.** *A  $G_{\mu,A,I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}$  (with respect to the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology) is trivial if the pushout of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along  $G_{\mu,A,I} \rightarrow (P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  is trivial as a  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.3.3 (2), it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{Q}^{<m}$  is trivial as a  $G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m}$ -torsor for any  $m$ . We proceed by induction on  $m$ . The assertion is true for  $m = 1$  by our assumption. We assume that  $\mathcal{Q}^{<m}$  is trivial for an integer  $m \geq 1$ , so that there exists an element  $x \in \mathcal{Q}^{<m}(A)$ . The fiber of the morphism  $\mathcal{Q}^{<m+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^{<m}$  at  $x$  is a  $G_{\mu,A,I}^{=m}$ -torsor. Lemma 4.3.3 (1) shows that  $G_{\mu,A,I}^{=m} \simeq V(\bigoplus_{n \leq m} \mathfrak{g}_n)_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ . By Proposition 4.3.2, the fiber arises from a  $V(\bigoplus_{n \leq m} \mathfrak{g}_n)_{A/I}$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec } A/I$ , which is trivial since  $\text{Spec } A/I$  is affine. This implies that the  $G_{\mu,A,I}^{<m+1}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}^{<m+1}$  is trivial, as desired.  $\square$

## 5. PRISMATIC $G$ - $\mu$ -DISPLAY

In this section, we come to the heart of this paper, namely prismatic  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays. We first introduce banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays in Section 5.1 as a warm-up, and then define  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays in Section 5.2. In Sections 5.3-5.6, we establish some fundamental properties of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays. We retain the notation of Section 4.

**5.1. Banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display.** To an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ , we attach the display group  $G_\mu(A, I)$  as in Definition 4.1.1. We note that since  $G$  is defined over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , the Frobenius  $\phi$  of  $A$  induces a homomorphism  $\phi: G(A) \rightarrow G(A)$ . For each generator  $d \in I$ , we can then define the following homomorphism:

$$\sigma_{\mu,d}: G_\mu(A, I) \rightarrow G(A), \quad g \mapsto \phi(\mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1}). \quad (5.1)$$

We endow  $G(A)$  with the following action of  $G_\mu(A, I)$ :

$$G(A) \times G_\mu(A, I) \rightarrow G(A), \quad (X, g) \mapsto g^{-1}X\sigma_{\mu,d}(g). \quad (5.2)$$

For a group  $H$ , a set with an action of  $H$  is simply called an  $H$ -set. We view  $H$  as an  $H$ -set by right multiplication. An  $H$ -torsor is an  $H$ -set which is isomorphic to  $H$  as an  $H$ -set.

With the action (5.2), we view  $G(A)$  as a  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -set and it is denoted by  $G(A)_d$ . For another generator  $d' \in I$ , we have  $d = ud'$  for an element  $u \in A^\times$ . The map  $G(A)_d \rightarrow G(A)_{d'}$  defined by  $X \mapsto X\phi(\mu(u))$  is  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -equivariant. Using this, we can define the following  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -set

$$G(A)_I := \varprojlim_d G(A)_d$$

where  $d$  runs over the set of elements  $d \in I$  which generates  $I$ . The projection map  $G(A)_I \rightarrow G(A)_d$  is an isomorphism of  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -sets. For an element  $X \in G(A)_I$ , let

$$X_d \in G(A)_d$$

denote the image of  $X$ .

**Definition 5.1.1** (Banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display). Let  $(A, I)$  be an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

- (1) A *banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display* over  $(A, I)$  is a pair

$$(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -torsor and  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow G(A)_I$  is a  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -equivariant map of sets. When there is no possibility of confusion, we simply write  $\mathcal{Q}$  instead of  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$ .

- (2) An isomorphism  $g: (\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{R}, \alpha_{\mathcal{R}})$  of banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  is an isomorphism  $g: \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{R}$  of  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -torsors such that  $\alpha_{\mathcal{R}} \circ g = \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

We have the following alternative description of banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays, which we will use frequently in the sequel.

**Remark 5.1.2.** Let

$$[G(A)_I/G_\mu(A, I)]$$

denote the groupoid whose objects are the elements  $X \in G(A)_I$  and whose morphisms are defined by  $\text{Hom}(X, X') = \{g \in G_\mu(A, I) \mid X' \cdot g = X\}$ . Here  $(-) \cdot g$  denotes the action of  $g \in G_\mu(A, I)$ . To each  $X \in G(A)_I$ , we attach a banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display

$$\mathcal{Q}_X := (G_\mu(A, I), \alpha_X)$$

over  $(A, I)$  where  $\alpha_X: G_\mu(A, I) \rightarrow G(A)_I$  is defined by  $1 \mapsto X$ . This construction gives an equivalence from  $[G(A)_I/G_\mu(A, I)]$  to the groupoid of banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$ .

**Remark 5.1.3.** If  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mu$  is 1-bounded, the notion of banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display for oriented and bounded prisms has already appeared in the paper of Bartling [Bar22, Definition 10], following the ideas presented in [BP20] and [Lau21]. See also Remark 5.2.4.

A typical example is the following:

**Example 5.1.4.** Assume that  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$ . Let  $\mu = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$  be a tuple of integers with  $m_1 \geq \dots \geq m_n$  and we denote by the same notation  $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n, \mathcal{O}}$  the associated cocharacter (see Example 3.2.5). We fix a generator  $d \in I$ . To each  $X \in \mathrm{GL}_n(A)_I$ , we attach the banal Breuil–Kisin module

$$(A^n, F_{X_d})$$

over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$  given in Example 3.2.5 (with respect to  $d$ ). Let  $X, X' \in \mathrm{GL}_n(A)_I$ . For an element  $g \in (\mathrm{GL}_n)_\mu(A, I)$  such that  $X' \cdot g = X$ , we see that the homomorphism  $\mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1}: A^n \xrightarrow{\sim} A^n$  induces an isomorphism  $(A^n, F_{X_d}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (A^n, F_{X'_d})$  of Breuil–Kisin modules. In this way, we have a functor

$$[\mathrm{GL}_n(A)_I / (\mathrm{GL}_n)_\mu(A, I)] \rightarrow \mathrm{BK}_\mu(A, I)_{\mathrm{banal}}^{\simeq},$$

where  $\mathrm{BK}_\mu(A, I)_{\mathrm{banal}}^{\simeq}$  denotes the groupoid of banal Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$ . We claim that this functor is an equivalence. Indeed, the essential surjectivity follows from Lemma 3.2.6. It is straightforward to show that this functor is fully faithful.

Next, we discuss the notion of base change for banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays. Let us begin with a preliminary remark.

**Remark 5.1.5** (Pushout). Let  $f: H \rightarrow H'$  be a homomorphism of groups and  $Q$  an  $H$ -set. We can attach to  $Q$  an  $H'$ -set  $Q^f$  and a map  $Q \rightarrow Q^f$  of  $H$ -sets (where we regard  $Q^f$  as an  $H$ -set via  $f: H \rightarrow H'$ ) with the following universal property: For any  $H'$ -set  $Q'$  and any map  $Q \rightarrow Q'$  of  $H$ -sets, the map  $Q \rightarrow Q'$  factors through a unique map  $Q^f \rightarrow Q'$  of  $H'$ -sets. Explicitly, we can define  $Q^f$  as the contracted product

$$Q^f = (Q \times H')/H,$$

where the action of an  $h \in H$  on  $Q \times H'$  is defined by  $(x, h') \mapsto (xh, f(h)^{-1}h')$ . The  $H'$ -set  $Q^f$  is called the pushout of  $Q$  along  $f: H \rightarrow H'$ . We note that if  $Q$  is an  $H$ -torsor, then  $Q^f$  is an  $H'$ -torsor.

Similarly, for a homomorphism  $f: H \rightarrow H'$  of group sheaves on a site and a sheaf  $Q$  with an action of  $H$ , we can form the pushout  $Q^f$  with the same properties as above.

Let  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  be a map of orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms over  $\mathcal{O}$ . The image of  $G_\mu(A, I)$  under the usual homomorphism  $f: G(A) \rightarrow G(A')$  is contained in  $G_\mu(A', I')$ ; the induced homomorphism  $G_\mu(A, I) \rightarrow G_\mu(A', I')$  is again denoted by  $f$ . Let  $d \in I$  and  $d' \in I'$  be generators and let  $u \in A'^\times$  be the unique element satisfying  $f(d) = ud'$ . Then we have the following map of  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -sets:

$$f_u: G(A)_d \rightarrow G(A')_{d'}, \quad X \mapsto f(X)\phi(\mu(u)).$$

Moreover, we define the following  $G_\mu(A, I)$ -equivariant map

$$G(A)_I \simeq G(A)_d \xrightarrow{f_u} G(A')_{d'} \simeq G(A')_{I'}. \quad (5.3)$$

This map is independent of the choices of  $d$  and  $d'$ , which we also denote by  $f$ .



**Definition 5.1.6.** Let  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  be a map of orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms over  $\mathcal{O}$ . For a banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  over  $(A, I)$ , let  $\mathcal{Q}^f$  denote the pushout of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along  $f: G_{\mu}(A, I) \rightarrow G_{\mu}(A', I')$ . By the universal property of  $\mathcal{Q}^f$ , there exists a unique  $G_{\mu}(A', I')$ -equivariant map  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}^f}^f: \mathcal{Q}^f \rightarrow G(A')_{I'}$  making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}} & G(A)_I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{Q}^f & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}^f}^f} & G(A')_{I'}. \end{array}$$

The base change of  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  along  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  is defined to be  $(\mathcal{Q}^f, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}^f}^f)$ , which will be denoted by  $f^*\mathcal{Q} := (\mathcal{Q}^f, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}^f}^f)$ .

**5.2.  $G$ - $\mu$ -display.** Let  $(A, I)$  be an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ . We define the following functor

$$G_{\Delta, A, I}: (A, I)_{\text{ét}} \rightarrow \text{Set}, \quad B \mapsto G(B)_{IB}.$$

This is (non-canonically) isomorphic to  $G_{\Delta, A}$ , and hence it forms a sheaf with respect to the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology. The sheaf  $G_{\Delta, A, I}$  is equipped with a natural action of  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ .

**Definition 5.2.1** ( $G$ - $\mu$ -display). Let  $(A, I)$  be an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

- (1) A  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$  is a pair

$$(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor (with respect to the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology) and  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A, I}$  is a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -equivariant map of sheaves on  $(A, I)_{\text{ét}}^{\text{op}}$ . The  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}$  is called the *underlying  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor* of  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$ . When there is no possibility of confusion, we write  $\mathcal{Q}$  instead of  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$ .

- (2) An isomorphism  $g: (\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{R}, \alpha_{\mathcal{R}})$  of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  is an isomorphism  $g: \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{R}$  of  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsors such that  $\alpha_{\mathcal{R}} \circ g = \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}$ .

**Remark 5.2.2** ( $G$ - $\mu$ -displays and banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays). The groupoid of banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  in the sense of Definition 5.1.1 is naturally equivalent to the groupoid of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  over  $(A, I)$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is trivial as a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor. For an element  $X \in G(A)_I$ , we define a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display

$$\mathcal{Q}_X := (G_{\mu, A, I}, \alpha_X)$$

over  $(A, I)$  where  $\alpha_X: G_{\mu, A, I} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A, I}$  is given by  $1 \mapsto X$ . This corresponds to the banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathcal{Q}_X$  over  $(A, I)$  defined in Remark 5.1.2. We say that a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  over  $(A, I)$  is *banal* if the underlying  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}$  is trivial.

We write

$$G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I) \quad \text{and} \quad G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)_{\text{banal}}$$

for the groupoid of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  and the groupoid of banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$ , respectively.

**Remark 5.2.3.** Let  $\tilde{k}$  be a perfect field containing  $k$ . We set  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} := W(\tilde{k}) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$ . Let  $\tilde{\mu}: \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}$  be the base change of  $\mu$  along the natural homomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ . Then, for an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  over  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ , a  $G$ - $\tilde{\mu}$ -display over  $(A, I)$  is the same as a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ .

**Remark 5.2.4.** The notion of  $G$ - $\mu$ -display was originally introduced by Bültel [Bü08], Bültel–Pappas [BP20], and Lau [Lau21] in different settings. The definition given here is simply an appropriate adaptation of Lau’s approach to the context of prisms (and more generally  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms). If  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mu$  is 1-bounded, the notion of  $G$ - $\mu$ -display for an oriented perfect prism  $(W(R^b), \xi)$  has already appeared in [Bar22, Definition 12]. Moreover, he also claimed that the same construction should work for more general oriented prisms in [Bar22, Remark 14].

We can generalize Example 5.1.4 as follows.

**Example 5.2.5** (Breuil–Kisin modules of type  $\mu$  and  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -displays). Assume that  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  and let the notation be as in Example 5.1.4. Let  $M$  be a Breuil–Kisin module of type  $\mu$  over  $(A, I)$ . We shall construct a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$  associated with  $M$ . Let  $\{\mathrm{Fil}_\mu^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be the filtration of  $A^n$  defined in Remark 3.2.2. The functor

$$\mathcal{Q} := \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}_{\mathrm{Fil}}(A^n, \phi^* M): (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$$

sending  $B \in (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$  to the set of isomorphisms  $h: B^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (\phi^* M) \otimes_A B$  preserving the filtrations is a  $(\mathrm{GL}_n)_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor by Example 4.1.5, Remark 3.2.2, and the fact that  $M$  is  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale locally on  $A$  banal. Let  $d \in IB$  be a generator. For an isomorphism  $h$  as above, we define  $\alpha_d(h): B^n \xrightarrow{\sim} B^n$  in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.6. The element  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}(h) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(B)_{IB}$  such that  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}(h)_d = \alpha_d(h)$  does not depend on the choice of  $d$ . In this way, we obtain a  $(\mathrm{GL}_n)_{\mu, A, I}$ -equivariant morphism  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A, I}$  of sheaves, so that the pair  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  is a  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . We claim that the resulting functor

$$\mathrm{BK}_\mu(A, I)^\simeq \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n\text{-Disp}_\mu(A, I),$$

where  $\mathrm{BK}_\mu(A, I)^\simeq$  denotes the groupoid of Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$ , is an equivalence. Indeed, by virtue of Corollary 3.1.10, it suffices to show that the induced functor

$$\mathrm{BK}_\mu(A, I)_{\mathrm{banal}}^\simeq \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n\text{-Disp}_\mu(A, I)_{\mathrm{banal}} \quad (5.4)$$

is an equivalence. For a generator  $d \in I$ , we constructed an equivalence

$$[\mathrm{GL}_n(A)_I / (\mathrm{GL}_n)_\mu(A, I)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{BK}_\mu(A, I)_{\mathrm{banal}}^\simeq$$

in Example 5.1.4. One can check that the composition of this functor with (5.4) is equivalent to the functor  $X \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_X$ . It follows that (5.4) is an equivalence.

Let  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  be a map of orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms over  $\mathcal{O}$ . There is a canonical way to extend the base change functor  $f^*$  defined in Definition 5.1.6 to the groupoids of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays. Formally, we can define it as follows.

The functor  $(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}} \rightarrow (A', I')_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$  sending  $B \in (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$  to the  $(\pi, I)$ -adic completion  $B'$  of  $B \otimes_A A'$  induces a morphism of sites  $f: (A', I')_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}}$ , and thus a morphism of the associated topoi

$$f: ((A', I')_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}})^\sim \rightarrow ((A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}})^\sim.$$

We have a natural homomorphism  $f: f^{-1}G_{\Delta, A} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A'}$  of group sheaves, which in turn induces a homomorphism  $f: f^{-1}G_{\mu, A, I} \rightarrow G_{\mu, A', I'}$  of group sheaves. Moreover, we can extend the map  $f: G(A)_I \rightarrow G(A')_{I'}$  defined in (5.3) to a morphism  $f: f^{-1}G_{\Delta, A, I} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A', I'}$  of sheaves, which is equivariant for the action of  $f^{-1}G_{\mu, A, I}$ .

**Definition 5.2.6** (Base change). Let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  be a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . The pushout of the  $f^{-1}G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor  $f^{-1}\mathcal{Q}$  along  $f: f^{-1}G_{\mu, A, I} \rightarrow G_{\mu, A', I'}$  is denoted by  $f^*\mathcal{Q}$ . By the universal property of  $f^*\mathcal{Q}$ , the composition  $f^{-1}\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow f^{-1}G_{\Delta, A, I} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A', I'}$  factors through a unique  $G_{\mu, A', I'}$ -equivariant map  $f^*(\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}): f^*\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A', I'}$ . The base change of  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  along  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  is defined to be

$$(f^*\mathcal{Q}, f^*(\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})).$$

This construction is functorial in  $\mathcal{Q}$ ; for an isomorphism  $g: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$ , we write  $f^*g: f^*\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow f^*\mathcal{R}$  for the induced isomorphism of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A', I')$ .

It will be convenient to introduce  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over a (not necessarily orientable) bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ , in an ad hoc way. This enables us to formulate some results in a manner that is more consistent with the terminology commonly employed in the literature.

**Definition 5.2.7.** Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $(A, I)_{\Delta, \text{ori}}$  be the category of orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms  $(B, J)$  with a map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (B, J)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. We call the following groupoid

$$G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I) := 2 - \varprojlim_{(B, J) \in (A, I)_{\Delta, \text{ori}}} G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(B, J)$$

the *groupoid of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$* . A  *$G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$*  is defined to be an object of this groupoid.

We recall that any bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  admits a faithfully flat map  $(A, I) \rightarrow (B, J)$  of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms such that  $(B, J)$  is orientable; see Remark 2.5.3.

A map  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms over  $\mathcal{O}$  gives rise to a natural functor  $f^*: G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A', I')$ . When  $(A, I)$  is orientable, the groupoid  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$  defined in Definition 5.2.7 is canonically isomorphic to the groupoid of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  in the sense of Definition 5.2.1, so that the notation is consistent with the previous one.

**Example 5.2.8.** Let  $(A, I)$  be a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Assume that  $G = \text{GL}_n$  and let the notation be as in Example 5.1.4. By virtue of Corollary 3.1.10 and Example 5.2.5, we obtain an equivalence  $\text{BK}_{\mu}(A, I) \simeq \widetilde{\rightarrow} \text{GL}_n\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$ .

**5.3. Flat descent.** We can prove the following  $((\pi, I)$ -completely) flat descent result, which is an analogue of [Lau21, Lemma 5.4.2].

**Proposition 5.3.1** (Flat descent). *The fibered category over  $(\mathcal{O})_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  which associates to each  $(A, I) \in (\mathcal{O})_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  the groupoid  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$  is a stack with respect to the flat topology.*

*Proof.* By the definition of  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$  given in Definition 5.2.7, it suffices to prove flat descent for  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms  $(A, I)$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ . We write  $(A, I)_{\Delta} := (A, I)_{\Delta, \text{ori}}$  for the category  $(A, I)_{\Delta, \text{ori}}$  introduced in Definition 5.2.7.

We endow  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$  with the flat topology. Note that  $(A, I)_{\text{ét}}$  can be regarded as a full subcategory of  $(A, I)_{\Delta}$ . We can define sheaves  $G_{\Delta, A, I}$ ,  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ , and  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  on the site  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$  in the same way, and  $G_{\mu, A, I}$  acts on  $G_{\Delta, A, I}$  similarly. It then suffices to prove that the groupoid  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$  is equivalent to the groupoid  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}^{\text{fl}}(A, I)$  of pairs  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor on the site  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$  and  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A, I}$  is a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -equivariant map of sheaves.

We claim that every  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}$  on the site  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$  is trivialized by a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale covering  $(A, I) \rightarrow (B, IB)$ . Indeed, in the same way as Corollary 4.3.4, we can prove that if the pushout of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along  $G_{\mu, A, I} \rightarrow (P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  is trivial as a  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor, then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is itself trivial. The same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.3.2 shows that any  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P}$  on the site  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\text{op}}$  arises from a  $(P_{\mu})_{A/I}$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec } A/I$  with respect to the étale topology, which in turn implies that  $\mathcal{P}$  is trivialized by a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale covering  $(A, I) \rightarrow (B, IB)$ . The claim then follows.

By the claim, the restriction of each pair  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}) \in G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}^{\text{fl}}(A, I)$  to the site  $(A, I)_{\text{ét}}$  is a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ , so that we obtain a functor  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}^{\text{fl}}(A, I) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$ . Let us define a functor  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}^{\text{fl}}(A, I)$  as follows. Let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}) \in G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$ . The claim implies that the functor  $\mathcal{Q}'$  sending an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(B, J)$  with a map  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (B, J)$  to the set  $\mathcal{Q}'(B) := (f^*\mathcal{Q})(B)$  forms a sheaf with respect to the flat topology. Then  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}$  induces a  $G_{\mu, A, I}$ -equivariant map  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}'}: \mathcal{Q}' \rightarrow G_{\Delta, A, I}$  of sheaves. This construction gives a functor  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}^{\text{fl}}(A, I)$ . By construction, it is clear that these two functors are inverse to each other.

The proof of Proposition 5.3.1 is complete.  $\square$

**5.4. Hodge filtrations.** We define the Hodge filtrations for  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays, following [Lau21, Section 7.4].

Let  $(A, I)$  be an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let us denote the inclusion  $G_{\mu, A, I} \hookrightarrow G_{\Delta, A}$  by  $\tau$ . The composition of  $\tau$  with the projection map  $G_{\Delta, A} \rightarrow G_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$  is denoted by  $\bar{\tau}$ . (Here  $G_{\overline{\Delta}, A} := (G_{\mathcal{O}})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ ; see Section 4.3.) By Lemma 4.2.2, the homomorphism  $\bar{\tau}$  factors through a homomorphism  $\bar{\tau}_P: G_{\mu, A, I} \rightarrow (P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ . In summary, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_{\mu, A, I} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & G_{\Delta, A} \\ \bar{\tau}_P \downarrow & \searrow \bar{\tau} & \downarrow \\ (P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A} & \longrightarrow & G_{\overline{\Delta}, A} \end{array}$$

**Definition 5.4.1** (Hodge filtration). Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . We write

$$\mathcal{Q}_{A/I} := \mathcal{Q}^{\bar{\tau}} \quad (\text{resp. } P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I} := \mathcal{Q}^{\bar{\tau}_P})$$

for the pushout of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along  $\bar{\tau}$  (resp.  $\bar{\tau}_P$ ), which is a  $G_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor (resp. a  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor). There is a natural  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -equivariant injection

$$P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{A/I}$$

of sheaves on  $(A, I)_{\text{ét}}^{\text{op}}$ . We call  $P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I}$  (or the injection  $P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{A/I}$ ) the *Hodge filtration* of  $\mathcal{Q}_{A/I}$ . If there is no risk of confusion, we also say that  $P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I}$  is the Hodge filtration of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Example 5.4.2.** Assume that  $G = \text{GL}_n$  and let the notation be as in Example 5.1.4. Let  $M$  be a Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  the associated  $\text{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$  given in Example 5.2.5. Recall that the filtration  $\{\text{Fil}^i(\phi^*M)\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  defines the Hodge filtration  $\{P^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $M_{\text{dR}} = (\phi^*M)/I(\phi^*M)$ . Similarly, the filtration  $\{\text{Fil}_\mu^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $A^n$  induces a filtration of  $(A/I)^n$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{A/I} &\simeq \underline{\text{Isom}}((A/I)^n, M_{\text{dR}}), \\ P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I} &\simeq \underline{\text{Isom}}_{\text{Fil}}((A/I)^n, M_{\text{dR}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\underline{\text{Isom}}((A/I)^n, M_{\text{dR}})$  (resp.  $\underline{\text{Isom}}_{\text{Fil}}((A/I)^n, M_{\text{dR}})$ ) is the functor sending  $B \in (A, I)_{\text{ét}}$  to the set of isomorphisms  $f: (B/IB)^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{\text{dR}})_{B/IB}$  (resp. the set of isomorphisms  $f: (B/IB)^n \xrightarrow{\sim} (M_{\text{dR}})_{B/IB}$  preserving the filtrations).

**Example 5.4.3.** Let  $X \in G(A)_I$  be an element. Then the Hodge filtration associated with  $\mathcal{Q}_X$  can be identified with the natural inclusion  $(P_\mu)_{\overline{\Delta}, A} \hookrightarrow G_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ .

**Remark 5.4.4.** Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . It follows from Proposition 4.3.2 that the  $G_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}_{A/I}$  (resp. the  $(P_\mu)_{\overline{\Delta}, A}$ -torsor  $P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I}$ ) arises from a unique (up to isomorphism)  $G_{A/I}$ -torsor (resp.  $(P_\mu)_{A/I}$ -torsor) over  $\text{Spec } A/I$ , which will be denoted by the same symbol.

**Proposition 5.4.5.** *A  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathcal{Q}$  over  $(A, I)$  is banal if and only if the Hodge filtration  $P(\mathcal{Q})_{A/I}$  is a trivial  $(P_\mu)_{A/I}$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec } A/I$ .*

*Proof.* This is a restatement of Corollary 4.3.4 in the current context.  $\square$

**5.5.  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor of type  $\mu$ .** Let  $(A, I)$  be an orientable and bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism over  $\mathcal{O}$ . We set  $A[1/\phi(I)] := A[1/\phi(d)]$  for a generator  $d \in I$ , which does not depend on the choice of  $d$ .

**Definition 5.5.1.** A  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor over  $(A, I)$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  consisting of a  $G_A$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\text{Spec } A$  (with respect to the étale topology) and an isomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{P}}: (\phi^*\mathcal{P})[1/\phi(I)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}[1/\phi(I)]$$

of  $G_{A[1/\phi(I)]}$ -torsors over  $\text{Spec } A[1/\phi(I)]$ .

Here, for a  $G_A$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P}$  over  $\text{Spec } A$ , we let  $\phi^*\mathcal{P}$  denote the base change of  $\mathcal{P}$  along  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$ . Since  $\phi$  is  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -linear and  $G$  is defined over  $\mathcal{O}_E$ , we have  $\phi^*(G_A) = G_A$ , and hence  $\phi^*\mathcal{P}$  is a  $G_A$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec } A$ . Moreover, we write  $\mathcal{P}[1/\phi(I)]$  for the base change  $\mathcal{P} \times_{\text{Spec } A} \text{Spec } A[1/\phi(I)]$ .

In the case where  $G = \text{GL}_n$ , we can describe  $\phi$ - $\text{GL}_n$ -torsors as follows:

**Example 5.5.2.** Assume that  $G = \text{GL}_n$ . Let  $(M, \phi_M)$  be a pair consisting of a finite projective  $A$ -module of constant rank  $n$  and an  $A[1/\phi(I)]$ -linear isomorphism

$$\phi_M: (\phi^*M)[1/\phi(I)] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[1/\phi(I)],$$

where  $M[1/\phi(I)] := M[1/\phi(d)]$ . We write  $\mathcal{P} := \underline{\text{Isom}}(A^n, M)$  for the  $G_A$ -torsor over  $\text{Spec } A$  defined by sending an  $A$ -algebra  $B$  to the set of isomorphisms  $B^n \simeq M_B$ . The isomorphism  $\phi_M$  gives rise to an isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}: (\phi^*\mathcal{P})[1/\phi(I)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}[1/\phi(I)]$ . This

construction induces an equivalence between the groupoid of pairs  $(M, \phi_M)$  as above and the groupoid of  $\phi$ - $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -torsors over  $(A, I)$ .

**Remark 5.5.3.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_2$  be  $G_A$ -torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} A$ . Then the functor sending  $B \in (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$  to the set of isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \times_{\mathrm{Spec} A} \mathrm{Spec} B[1/\phi(d)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_2 \times_{\mathrm{Spec} A} \mathrm{Spec} B[1/\phi(d)]$$

of  $G_{B[1/\phi(d)]}$ -torsors forms a sheaf. This follows from Proposition 2.5.8 and the Tannakian perspective of torsors (see the proof of Proposition 4.3.2). Moreover, the fibered category over  $(A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{op}}$  which associates to each  $B \in (A, I)_{\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{t}}$  the groupoid of  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsors over  $(B, IB)$  is a stack with respect to the  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale topology.

By virtue of Remark 5.5.3, we can attach a  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor over  $(A, I)$  to a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$  as follows. We first note that for any  $X \in G(A)_I$ , the element

$$X_\phi := X_d \phi(\mu(d)) \in G(A[1/\phi(I)]) \quad (5.5)$$

is independent of the choice of a generator  $d \in I$ . Let  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  be a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . We write

$$\mathcal{Q}_A := \mathcal{Q}^\tau$$

for the pushout of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along the inclusion  $\tau: G_{\mu, A, I} \hookrightarrow G_{\Delta, A}$ . As in Proposition 4.3.2, this corresponds to a  $G_A$ -torsor over  $\mathrm{Spec} A$ , again denoted by  $\mathcal{Q}_A$ . We shall construct an isomorphism

$$\phi_{\mathcal{Q}_A}: (\phi^*(\mathcal{Q}_A))[1/\phi(I)] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}_A[1/\phi(I)].$$

Assume first that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is banal, so that  $\mathcal{Q}_A$  is a trivial  $G_A$ -torsor. We choose an element

$$x \in \mathcal{Q}(A) \subset \mathcal{Q}_A(A)$$

and let  $\phi^*(x) \in (\phi^*(\mathcal{Q}_A))(A)$  be the element obtained by base change. We define  $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}_A}$  to be the unique isomorphism which sends  $\phi^*(x)$  to

$$x \cdot (\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}(x))_\phi \in \mathcal{Q}_A(A[1/\phi(I)]).$$

We note that  $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}_A}$  is independent of the choice of  $x \in \mathcal{Q}(A)$ . In the general case, let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale covering such that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is banal over  $(B, IB)$ . It follows from Remark 5.5.3 that there exists a unique isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}_A}$  whose base change to  $B[1/\phi(d)]$  agrees with the isomorphism constructed above. The resulting isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{Q}_A}$  does not depend on the choice of  $B$ .

**Definition 5.5.4** (Underlying  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor). Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . The  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor

$$\mathcal{Q}_\phi := (\mathcal{Q}_A, \phi_{\mathcal{Q}_A})$$

over  $(A, I)$  constructed above is called the *underlying  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor* of  $\mathcal{Q}$ .

**Example 5.5.5.** Assume that  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  and let the notation be as in Example 5.2.5. Let  $M$  be a Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  of type  $\mu$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  the associated  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . Then, the underlying  $\phi$ - $\mathrm{GL}_n$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}_\phi$  of  $\mathcal{Q}$  corresponds to the pair

$$(\phi^*M, \phi^*(F_M))$$

under the equivalence given in Example 5.5.2.

**Definition 5.5.6** ( $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor of type  $\mu$ ). We say that a  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  over  $(A, I)$  is of type  $\mu$  if there exists a  $(\pi, I)$ -completely étale covering  $A \rightarrow B$  such that the base change  $\mathcal{P}_B$  is trivial as a  $G_B$ -torsor and, via some (and hence any) trivialization  $\mathcal{P}_B \simeq G_B$ , the isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  is given by  $g \mapsto Yg$  for an element  $Y$  in the double coset

$$G(B)\phi(\mu(d))G(B) \subset G(B[1/\phi(d)]).$$

**Example 5.5.7.** The underlying  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$  of a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathcal{Q}$  is of type  $\mu$ .

**5.6.  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays for perfectoid rings.** Let  $R$  be a perfectoid ring over  $\mathcal{O}$ . In this subsection, we discuss the relation between  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat}), I_R)$  and their underlying  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsors.

Following [PR21] and [Bar22], a  $\phi$ - $G$ -torsor  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  over  $(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat}), I_R)$  (in the sense of Definition 5.5.1) is also called a  *$G$ -Breuil-Kisin-Fargues module* (or simply a  $G$ -BKF module) for  $R$ . In Definition 5.5.6, we introduced the notion of  $G$ -BKF module of type  $\mu$ .

**Remark 5.6.1** ( $G$ -BKF module of type  $\mu$ ). Assume that  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . In [Bar22], Bartling introduced the notion of  $G$ -BKF module of type  $\mu$  in a different way. Namely, in [Bar22], a  $G$ -BKF module  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  for  $R$  is said to be of type  $\mu$  if for any homomorphism  $R \rightarrow V$  with  $V$  a  $p$ -adically complete valuation ring of rank  $\leq 1$  whose fraction field is algebraically closed, the base change of  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  along  $W(R^{\flat}) \rightarrow W(V^{\flat})$  is of type  $\mu$  in the sense of Definition 5.5.6. In Proposition 5.6.10 below, we will prove that this notion agrees with the one introduced in Definition 5.5.6.

Our first task is to prove that the functor  $\mathcal{Q} \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$  is an equivalence:

**Proposition 5.6.2.** *The functor  $\mathcal{Q} \mapsto \mathcal{Q}_{\phi}$  from the groupoid of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat}), I_R)$  to the groupoid of  $G$ -BKF modules for  $R$  of type  $\mu$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* By Example 2.5.11, any  $(\pi, I_R)$ -completely étale  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat})$ -algebra is of the form  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})$  for a perfectoid ring  $S$  that is  $\pi$ -completely étale over  $R$ . Thus, by virtue of Remark 5.5.3, we may work  $(\pi, I_R)$ -completely étale locally on  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat})$ . The result then follows from the proof of [Bar22, Lemma 4.8]. We include the proof for the sake of completeness. To simplify the notation, we write  $A := W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat})$  and  $I := I_R$ .

We shall prove that the functor is fully faithful. It suffices to prove that for all  $X, X' \in G(A)_I$  and the associated banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays  $\mathcal{Q}_X, \mathcal{Q}_{X'}$  over  $(A, I)$ , we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{Q}_X, \mathcal{Q}_{X'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}((\mathcal{Q}_X)_{\phi}, (\mathcal{Q}_{X'})_{\phi}).$$

The left hand side can be identified with the set  $\{g \in G_{\mu}(A, I) \mid g^{-1}X'_{\phi}\phi(g) = X_{\phi}\}$ , and the right hand side can be identified with the set  $\{g \in G(A) \mid g^{-1}X'_{\phi}\phi(g) = X_{\phi}\}$ . (See (5.5) for the definition of  $X_{\phi}$ .) Thus, we have to show that an element  $g \in G(A)$  satisfying  $g^{-1}X'_{\phi}\phi(g) = X_{\phi}$  is contained in  $G_{\mu}(A, I)$ . We fix a generator  $\xi \in I$ . Then we have

$$\phi(\mu(\xi)g\mu(\xi)^{-1}) = (X'_{\xi})^{-1}gX_{\xi} \in G(A).$$

The bijectivity of  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$  implies that  $\mu(\xi)g\mu(\xi)^{-1} \in G(A)$ , which means that  $g \in G_{\mu}(A, I)$ .

We next prove that the functor is essentially surjective. It now suffices to show that a  $G$ -BKF module  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  for  $R$ , such that  $\mathcal{P} = G_A$  and the isomorphism  $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  corresponds to an element  $Y$  in the double coset  $G(A)\phi(\mu(\xi))G(A)$ , arises from a banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . In other words, we have to show that there is an element  $X \in G(A)_I$  such

that for some  $g \in G(A)$ , we have  $g^{-1}X_\xi\phi(\mu(\xi))\phi(g) = Y$  (this means that  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{Q}_X)_\phi$ ). Let us write  $Y = Z\phi(\mu(\xi))Z'$  for some  $Z, Z' \in G(A)$ . Then, we see that the assertion holds for the elements  $g := \phi^{-1}(Z') \in G(A)$  and  $X \in G(A)_I$  such that  $X_\xi = gZ \in G(A)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\text{Perfd}_R$  be the category of perfectoid rings over  $R$ . We endow  $\text{Perfd}_R^{\text{op}}$  with the topology generated by the  $\pi$ -complete arc-coverings (or equivalently, the  $p$ -complete arc-coverings) in the sense of [ČS19, Section 2.2.1]. This topology is called the  $\pi$ -complete arc-topology. In the rest of this subsection, we discuss a few  $\pi$ -complete arc-descent results on torsors.

**Remark 5.6.3.** We quickly review the notion of  $\pi$ -complete arc-covering.

- (1) We say that a homomorphism  $R \rightarrow S$  of perfectoid rings over  $\mathcal{O}$  is a  $\pi$ -complete arc-covering if for any homomorphism  $R \rightarrow V$  with  $V$  a  $\pi$ -adically complete valuation ring of rank  $\leq 1$ , there exist an extension of  $V \hookrightarrow W$  of  $\pi$ -adically complete valuation rings of rank  $\leq 1$  and a homomorphism  $S \rightarrow W$  such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V & \longrightarrow & W. \end{array}$$

- (2) The category  $\text{Perfd}_R^{\text{op}}$  admits fiber products; a colimit of the diagram  $S_2 \leftarrow S_1 \rightarrow S_3$  in  $\text{Perfd}_R$  is given by the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $S_2 \otimes_{S_1} S_3$  (cf. [ČS19, Proposition 2.1.11]). We see that  $\text{Perfd}_R^{\text{op}}$  is indeed a site.
- (3) Let  $R$  be a perfectoid ring over  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $R \rightarrow S$  a  $\pi$ -completely étale covering. Then  $S$  is a perfectoid ring as explained in Example 2.5.11, and  $R \rightarrow S$  is a  $\pi$ -complete arc-covering; see [ČS19, Section 2.2.1].
- (4) Any perfectoid ring  $R$  admits a  $\pi$ -complete arc-covering of the form  $R \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} V_i$  where  $V_i$  are  $\pi$ -adically complete valuation rings of rank  $\leq 1$  with algebraically closed fraction fields; see [ČS19, Lemma 2.2.3].

We recall the following result from [Ito21].

**Proposition 5.6.4** ([Ito21, Corollary 4.2]). *The fibered category over  $\text{Perfd}_R^{\text{op}}$  which associates to a perfectoid ring  $S$  over  $R$  the category of finite projective  $S$ -modules satisfies descent with respect to the  $\pi$ -complete arc-topology. In particular, the functor  $\text{Perfd}_R \rightarrow \text{Set}$ ,  $S \mapsto S$  forms a sheaf.*

*Proof.* See [Ito21, Corollary 4.2]. The second assertion was previously proved in [BS22, Proposition 8.10].  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.5.** In fact, it is proved in [Ito21, Theorem 1.2] that the functor on  $\text{Perfd}_R$  associating to each  $S \in \text{Perfd}_R$  the  $\infty$ -category  $\text{Perf}(S)$  of perfect complexes over  $S$  satisfies  $\pi$ -complete arc-hyperdescent. Using this, we can prove that for any integer  $n \geq 1$ , the functor  $S \mapsto \text{Perf}(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^b)/I_S^n)$  on  $\text{Perfd}_R$  satisfies  $\pi$ -complete arc-hyperdescent, by induction on  $n$ . This implies that the functor  $S \mapsto \text{Perf}(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^b))$  satisfies  $\pi$ -complete arc-hyperdescent as well. See the discussion in [Ito21, Section 4.1].

As a corollary of these results, we have:



**Corollary 5.6.6.** *The fibered category over  $\mathrm{Perfd}_R^{\mathrm{op}}$  which associates to a perfectoid ring  $S$  over  $R$  the category of finite projective  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})$ -modules satisfies descent with respect to the  $\pi$ -complete arc-topology. The same holds for finite projective  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})/I_S^n$ -modules.*

*Proof.* By the same argument as in the proof of [Ito21, Corollary 4.2], we can deduce the assertion from Remark 5.6.5.  $\square$

In particular, the functor  $\mathrm{Perfd}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ ,  $S \mapsto W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})$  forms a sheaf. This fact also follows from [ČS19, Lemma 4.2.6] or the proof of [BS22, Proposition 8.10] (using that  $W(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$  is flat).

For an affine scheme  $X$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  (or  $R$ ), we define a functor  $X_{\overline{\Delta}}: \mathrm{Perfd}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ ,  $S \mapsto X(S)$ . Proposition 5.6.4 implies that this forms a sheaf. Similarly, for an affine scheme  $X$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  (or  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat})$ ), we define a functor  $X_{\underline{\Delta}}: \mathrm{Perfd}_R \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$ ,  $S \mapsto X(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat}))$ , which forms a sheaf by Corollary 5.6.6. We have the following analogue of Proposition 4.3.2.

**Proposition 5.6.7.** *Let  $H$  be a smooth affine group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}$ .*

- (1) *The functor  $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\overline{\Delta}}$  from the groupoid of  $H_R$ -torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} R$  to the groupoid of  $H_{\overline{\Delta}}$ -torsors on  $\mathrm{Perfd}_R^{\mathrm{op}}$  is an equivalence.*
- (2) *The functor  $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_{\underline{\Delta}}$  from the groupoid of  $H_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat})}$ -torsors over  $\mathrm{Spec} W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat})$  to the groupoid of  $H_{\underline{\Delta}}$ -torsors on  $\mathrm{Perfd}_R^{\mathrm{op}}$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* This can be proved by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 4.3.2, using Proposition 5.6.4 and Corollary 5.6.6.  $\square$

We define the following functors

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu,I}: \mathrm{Perfd}_R &\rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, & S &\mapsto G_{\mu}(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat}), I_S), \\ G_{\underline{\Delta},I}: \mathrm{Perfd}_R &\rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, & S &\mapsto G(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat}))_{I_S}, \end{aligned}$$

which form sheaves. The group sheaf  $G_{\mu,I}$  acts on  $G_{\underline{\Delta},I}$ .

**Lemma 5.6.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a  $G_{\mu,I}$ -torsor with respect to the  $\pi$ -complete arc-topology. Then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is trivialized by a  $\pi$ -completely étale covering  $R \rightarrow S$ .*

*Proof.* We claim that if the pushout of  $\mathcal{Q}$  along the homomorphism  $G_{\mu,I} \rightarrow (P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}}$  is trivial as a  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}}$ -torsor, then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is itself trivial. Indeed, one can prove the analogue of Lemma 4.3.3 for  $G_{\mu,I}$ , and then the argument as in the proof of Corollary 4.3.4 works.

By the claim, it suffices to prove that any  $(P_{\mu})_{\overline{\Delta}}$ -torsor with respect to the  $\pi$ -complete arc-topology can be trivialized by a  $\pi$ -completely étale covering  $R \rightarrow S$ . This is a consequence of Proposition 5.6.7.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.6.9.** *The fibered category over  $\mathrm{Perfd}_R^{\mathrm{op}}$  which associates to a perfectoid ring  $S$  over  $R$  the groupoid of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat}), I_S)$  is a stack with respect to the  $\pi$ -complete arc-topology.*

*Proof.* This can be deduced from Lemma 5.6.8 by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.3.1.  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the following result:

**Proposition 5.6.10.** *For a  $G$ -BKF module  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  for  $R$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  is of type  $\mu$  (in the sense of Definition 5.5.6).
- (2) There exists a  $\pi$ -complete arc-covering  $R \rightarrow S$  such that the base change of  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  along  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat}) \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})$  is of type  $\mu$ .
- (3) For any homomorphism  $R \rightarrow V$  with  $V$  a  $\pi$ -adically complete valuation ring of rank  $\leq 1$  whose fraction field is algebraically closed, the base change of  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  along  $W(R^{\flat}) \rightarrow W(V^{\flat})$  is of type  $\mu$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that (1) implies (2) and (3). We assume that the condition (2) is satisfied. As in the Proposition 5.6.2, the  $G$ -BKF module  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  for  $R$  then arises from a pair  $(\mathcal{Q}, \alpha_{\mathcal{Q}})$  where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a  $G_{\mu, I}$ -torsor with respect to the  $\pi$ -complete arc-topology and  $\alpha_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow G_{\Delta, I}$  is a  $G_{\mu, I}$ -equivariant map of sheaves on  $\text{Perfd}_R^{\text{op}}$ . It follows from Lemma 5.6.8 that the  $G_{\mu, I}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{Q}$  is trivialized by a  $\pi$ -completely étale covering  $R \rightarrow S$ . This implies that  $(\mathcal{P}, \phi_{\mathcal{P}})$  is of type  $\mu$ . Thus we get that (2) implies (1).

Assume that the condition (3) is satisfied. We want to show that this implies (2), which will conclude the proof of the proposition. By Remark 5.6.3 (4), there exists a  $\pi$ -complete arc-covering  $R \rightarrow S = \prod_i V_i$  where  $V_i$  are  $\pi$ -adically complete valuation rings of rank  $\leq 1$  with algebraically closed fraction fields. Since  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat})$  is strictly henselian, the base change  $\mathcal{P}_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat})}$  is a trivial  $G_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat})}$ -torsor. It follows that the  $G_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})}$ -torsor  $\mathcal{P}_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})}$  is trivial as well. We fix a trivialization  $\mathcal{P}_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})} \simeq G_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})}$ . Let  $\xi \in I_S$  be a generator. The condition (3) implies that for each  $i$ , the base change of  $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  along  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat}) \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat})$  corresponds to an element of  $G(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat})[1/\phi(\xi)])$  which is of the form  $Z_i \phi(\mu(\xi)) Z'_i$  for some  $Z_i, Z'_i \in G(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat}))$ , via the induced trivialization  $\mathcal{P}_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat})} \simeq G_{W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat})}$ . We set

$$Z := (Z_i)_i \in G(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})) = \prod_i G(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(V_i^{\flat}))$$

and similarly let  $Z' := (Z'_i)_i \in G(W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat}))$ . Then, the base change of  $\phi_{\mathcal{P}}$  along  $W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(R^{\flat}) \rightarrow W_{\mathcal{O}_E}(S^{\flat})$  corresponds to the element  $Z \phi(\mu(\xi)) Z'$ . This means that the condition (2) is satisfied.  $\square$

## 6. $G$ - $\mu$ -DISPLAYS OVER COMPLETE REGULAR LOCAL RINGS

Let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring with a local homomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow R$  which induces an isomorphism on the residue fields. In this section, we study compatible systems  $\{\mathcal{Q}_{(A, I)}\}_{(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}}$  of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays on the category  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ . In Section 6.1, we state the main result (Theorem 6.1.3) of this paper. The proof will be given in Section 6.4. In Section 6.2 and Section 6.3, we discuss a few technical results that will be used in the proof of the main result.

### 6.1. $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over absolute prismatic sites.

**Definition 6.1.1.** Let  $R$  be a  $\pi$ -adically complete  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra. A  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  is defined to be an object of the following groupoid

$$G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}((R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}) := 2 - \varprojlim_{(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}} G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I).$$

**Remark 6.1.2.** Giving a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathfrak{Q}$  over  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  is equivalent to giving a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathfrak{Q}_{(A, I)}$  over  $(A, I)$  for each  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  and an isomorphism

$$\gamma_f: f^*(\mathfrak{Q}_{(A, I)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Q}_{(A', I')}$$

for each morphism  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ , such that  $\gamma_{f'} \circ (f'^* \gamma_f) = \gamma_{f' \circ f}$  for two morphisms  $f: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  and  $f': (A', I') \rightarrow (A'', I'')$ . We call  $\mathfrak{Q}_{(A, I)}$  the *value* of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  at  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ .

Assume that  $R$  is a complete regular local ring equipped with a local homomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow R$  which induces an isomorphism on the residue fields. Let  $(\mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]], (\mathcal{E}))$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism of Breuil–Kisin type with an isomorphism  $R \simeq \mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]/\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  (where  $n \geq 0$  is the dimension of  $R$ ). Such an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism exists; see for example [Che18, Section 3.3]. We set  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} := \mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ . Our goal is to prove the following result.

**Theorem 6.1.3.** *Assume that the cocharacter  $\mu$  is 1-bounded. Then the functor*

$$G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}((R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})), \quad \mathfrak{Q} \mapsto \mathfrak{Q}_{(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))}$$

*given by evaluation at  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$  is an equivalence.*

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.1.3.

**6.2. Coproducts of Breuil–Kisin prisms.** In this subsection, we establish some properties of the object

$$(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}.$$

If  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $n = 1$ , and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free, then all the results in this subsection follow from (the arguments given in) [ALB23, Section 5.2]. In the general case, we need some additional arguments, as we will see below.

We begin with the following result.

**Proposition 6.2.1.** *For any  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ , there exists a flat covering  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  such that  $(A', I')$  admits a morphism  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $(A, I)$  is orientable by Remark 2.5.3. Let  $d \in I$  be a generator. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in A$  be elements such that each  $v_i$  is a lift of the image of  $t_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$  under the composition  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow R \rightarrow A/I$ . Let  $B := A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . We set

$$x_i := 1 \otimes t_i - v_i \otimes 1 \in B.$$

Then the morphism  $A/\mathbb{L}(\pi, d) \rightarrow B/\mathbb{L}(\pi, d, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  of animated rings is faithfully flat.

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}$  be the  $(\pi, \mathcal{E})$ -adic completion of a colimit  $\varinjlim_{\phi} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$  of the diagram

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \dots$$

Since  $\phi: \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$  is faithfully flat, we see that  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}$  is  $(\pi, \mathcal{E})$ -completely faithfully flat. In fact, it is faithfully flat by virtue of [Yek18, Theorem 1.5]. We set  $B' := B \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}$ . Let  $J := (d, x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset B'$  and we consider the prismatic envelope

$$(A', I') := (B' \{J/I\}^{\wedge}, IB' \{J/I\}^{\wedge})$$

of  $B'$  over the bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$  with respect to the ideal  $J$ . By Proposition 2.6.5, we see that  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  is a flat covering.

We shall construct a morphism  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ . We remark that since  $A'/I'$  is not necessarily  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adically complete for the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ , it is not clear that the natural homomorphism  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow A'$  induces a morphism  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ . Instead, we construct a morphism  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A', I')$  as follows. Since  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}$  can be identified with the  $(\pi, \mathcal{E})$ -adic completion of

$$\cup_{m \geq 0} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}[t_1^{1/q^m}, \dots, t_n^{1/q^m}],$$

the quotient  $R_\infty := \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty} / \mathcal{E}$  is the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $\cup_{m \geq 0} R[\bar{t}_1^{1/q^m}, \dots, \bar{t}_n^{1/q^m}]$ , where  $\bar{t}_i \in R$  is the image of  $t_i$ . Here

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}[t_1^{1/q^m}, \dots, t_n^{1/q^m}] = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}[X_1, \dots, X_n] / (X_1^{q^m} - t_1, \dots, X_n^{q^m} - t_n)$$

and similarly for  $R[\bar{t}_1^{1/q^m}, \dots, \bar{t}_n^{1/q^m}]$ . The composition  $R \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow A'/I'$  factors through the homomorphism

$$g: R_\infty \rightarrow A'/I'$$

defined by sending  $\bar{t}_i^{1/q^m}$  to the image of  $1 \otimes t_i^{1/q^m} \in A'$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3.5 that there exists a unique map  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A', I')$  of bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms which induces  $g$ . By construction, the composition

$$(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A', I')$$

is a morphism in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.2.** Assume that  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . In this case, Proposition 6.2.1 is proved in [BS22, Example 7.13] and [ALB23, Lemma 5.14], using [BS22, Lemma 7.11]. Moreover, if  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} = W(k)[[t]]$  and  $\mathcal{E} \in W(k)[[t]]$  is an Eisenstein polynomial, then an alternative argument using prismatic envelopes is given in [BS21, Example 2.6]. Our argument is similar to the one given in [BS21, Example 2.6], but we have to modify it slightly in order to treat the case where  $A/I$  is not  $\mathfrak{m}$ -adically complete.

In a similar way, we obtain the following result:

**Lemma 6.2.3.** *Let  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  and let  $f_1, f_2: (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A, I)$  be two morphisms in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ . If  $f_1(t_i) = f_2(t_i)$  in  $A$  for any  $i$ , then we have  $f_1 = f_2$ .*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Proposition 6.2.1, let  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}$  be the  $(\pi, \mathcal{E})$ -adic completion of  $\varinjlim_{\phi} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$ , which is faithfully flat over  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$ . After replacing  $(A, I)$  by a flat covering, we may assume that  $f_1: (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A, I)$  factors through a map  $\tilde{f}_1: (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}, (\mathcal{E})) \rightarrow (A, I)$  of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prisms. Since  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty}$  is the  $(\pi, \mathcal{E})$ -adic completion of  $\cup_{m \geq 0} \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}[t_1^{1/q^m}, \dots, t_n^{1/q^m}]$ , there exists a map  $\tilde{f}_2: \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty} \rightarrow A$  extending  $f_2$  such that

$$\tilde{f}_2(t_i^{1/q^m}) = \tilde{f}_1(t_i^{1/q^m})$$

for all  $m$  and  $i$ . It suffices to prove that  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$ . We note that both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  induce the same homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A/I$ . Since  $R_\infty = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}, \infty} / \mathcal{E}$  is the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $\cup_{m \geq 0} R[\bar{t}_1^{1/q^m}, \dots, \bar{t}_n^{1/q^m}]$ , it follows that the homomorphism  $R_\infty \rightarrow A/I$  induced by  $\tilde{f}_1$  agrees with the one given by  $\tilde{f}_2$ . Then, by Lemma 2.3.5, we conclude that  $\tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_2$ .  $\square$

We study a coproduct of two copies of  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$  in the category  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ . To simplify the notation, we write

$$(A, I) := (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$$

in the rest of this section. We set

$$B := A[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$$

and let  $p'_1: A \rightarrow B$  be the natural homomorphism. There exists a unique  $\delta_E$ -structure on  $B$  such that  $p'_1$  is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings and the associated Frobenius  $\phi: B \rightarrow B$  sends  $x_i$  to  $(x_i + t_i)^q - t_i^q$  for every  $i$ . We can consider the prismatic envelope

$$(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$$

of  $B$  over  $(A, I)$  with respect to the ideal  $(\mathcal{E}, x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset B$  as in Proposition 2.6.5. Let  $p_1: (A, I) \rightarrow (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$  denote the natural map. We view  $(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$  as an object of  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  via the homomorphism  $\bar{p}_1: R \rightarrow A^{(2)}/I^{(2)}$  induced by  $p_1$ .

The homomorphism  $p'_2: A \rightarrow B$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  defined by  $t_i \mapsto x_i + t_i$  is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings. Let  $p_2: A \rightarrow A^{(2)}$  denote the composition of  $p'_2$  with the natural homomorphism  $B \rightarrow A^{(2)}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.4.** *Let the notation be as above.*

- (1) *We have  $p_2(I) \subset I^{(2)}$ , and the induced map  $p_2: (A, I) \rightarrow (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$  is a morphism in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ .*
- (2) *The object  $(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  with the morphisms  $p_1, p_2: (A, I) \rightarrow (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$  is a coproduct of two copies of  $(A, I)$  in the category  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ .*

*Proof.* (1) It suffices to show that the composition of  $p_2: A \rightarrow A^{(2)}$  with  $A^{(2)} \rightarrow A^{(2)}/I^{(2)}$  coincides with the composition of  $A \rightarrow R$  with  $\bar{p}_1: R \rightarrow A^{(2)}/I^{(2)}$ . For any  $h \in A$ , the element  $p'_2(h) - p'_1(h) \in B$  is contained in the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \subset B$ . Since the image of  $x_i$  in  $A^{(2)}$  is contained in  $I^{(2)}$ , we have  $p_2(h) - p_1(h) \in I^{(2)}$ , which implies the assertion.

(2) We have to show that for any  $(A', I') \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  and two morphisms  $f_1, f_2: (A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ , there exists a unique morphism

$$f: (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \rightarrow (A', I')$$

in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  such that  $f \circ p_1 = f_1$  and  $f \circ p_2 = f_2$ .

We first prove the uniqueness of  $f$ . Let  $f': B \rightarrow A'$  be the composition of  $f$  with  $B \rightarrow A^{(2)}$ . Then, we have  $f' \circ p'_j = f_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ), and  $f'$  sends  $x_i = p'_2(t_i) - p'_1(t_i)$  to

$$f_2(t_i) - f_1(t_i) \in I' \subset A'$$

for any  $i$ . Since  $A'$  is  $I'$ -adically complete, such a homomorphism  $f'$  of  $\delta_E$ -rings is uniquely determined (if it exists). The uniqueness of  $f$  now follows from the universal property of the prismatic envelope  $(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$ .

We next prove the existence of  $f$ . Since  $f_2(t_i) - f_1(t_i) \in I' \subset A'$  and  $A'$  is  $I'$ -adically complete, there exists a unique homomorphism  $f': B \rightarrow A'$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  such that  $f' \circ p'_1 = f_1$  and  $f'(x_i) = f_2(t_i) - f_1(t_i)$  for every  $i$ .

We claim that  $f'$  is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings. Indeed, as in the proof of Proposition 6.2.1, let  $A_\infty$  be the  $(\pi, \mathcal{E})$ -adic completion of  $\varinjlim_\phi A$ , which is faithfully flat over  $A$ . After replacing  $(A', I')$  by a flat covering, we may assume that  $f_j$  factors through a morphism  $\tilde{f}_j: (A_\infty, IA_\infty) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  for each  $j = 1, 2$ . For an integer  $m \geq 0$  and  $i$ , we set

$$x_{i,m} := \tilde{f}_2(t_i^{1/q^m}) - \tilde{f}_1(t_i^{1/q^m}) \in A'.$$

Since we have  $x_{i,m}^{q^m} \in (\pi, I')$ , it follows that  $A'$  is  $(x_{1,m}, \dots, x_{n,m})$ -adically complete for every  $m$ . Thus, for each  $m \geq 0$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $f'(m): B \rightarrow A'$  such that  $f'(m) \circ p'_1$  is the composition

$$A \rightarrow A_\infty \xrightarrow{\phi^{-m}} A_\infty \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_1} A'$$

and we have  $f'(m)(x_i) = x_{i,m}$  for any  $i$ . Since  $f'(m) = f'(m+1) \circ \phi$ , they give rise to a homomorphism

$$\tilde{f}': \varinjlim_\phi B \rightarrow A'.$$

It follows from Corollary 2.2.16 that  $\tilde{f}'$  is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings. Since  $f'$  is the composition  $B \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\phi} B \rightarrow A'$ , we conclude that  $f'$  is a homomorphism of  $\delta_E$ -rings as well.

By the universal property of the prismatic envelope  $(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$ , the homomorphism  $f'$  extends to a unique morphism  $f: (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ . By construction, we have  $f \circ p_1 = f_1$ . It follows from Lemma 6.2.3 that  $f \circ p_2 = f_2$ .

The proof of Lemma 6.2.4 is complete.  $\square$

Let

$$m: (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$$

be the unique morphism in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  such that  $m \circ p_1 = m \circ p_2 = \text{id}_{(A, I)}$ . Let  $K$  be the kernel of  $m: A^{(2)} \rightarrow A$ . Let  $d \in I^{(2)}$  be a generator.

**Lemma 6.2.5** (cf. [ALB23, Lemma 5.15]). *We have  $\phi(K) \subset dK$ .*

*Proof.* To prove that  $\phi(K) \subset dK$ , it suffices to show that  $\phi(K) \subset dA^{(2)}$ . Indeed, let  $x \in K$ , and we assume that  $\phi(x) = dy$  for some  $y \in A^{(2)}$ . Then, since  $m(\phi(x)) = 0$  and  $m(d) \in A$  is a nonzerodivisor, we have  $y \in K$ .

We shall prove that  $\phi(K) \subset dA^{(2)}$ . We may assume that  $d = p_1(\mathcal{E})$ . The image  $p_1(\mathcal{E}) \in B$  is also denoted by  $d$ . It follows from Proposition 2.6.5 that  $A^{(2)}$  can be identified with the  $(\pi, d)$ -adic completion of

$$C := B\{x_1/d, \dots, x_n/d\}.$$

We write  $y_i := x_i/d$ . The composition  $C \rightarrow A^{(2)} \rightarrow A$  sends  $\delta_E^j(y_i)$  to 0 for any  $j \geq 0$  and any  $i$ . Here  $\delta_E^j$  is the  $j$ -th iterate of the map  $\delta_E: C \rightarrow C$ . Since the kernel of the homomorphism  $B \rightarrow A$  defined by  $x_i \mapsto 0$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) coincides with  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , it follows that the kernel  $K_0$  of  $C \rightarrow A$  is generated by

$$\{\delta_E^j(y_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, j \geq 0}.$$

Moreover  $K$  can be identified with the  $(\pi, d)$ -adic completion of  $K_0$ . Since we have  $dA^{(2)} = \bigcap_{l \geq 0} (dA^{(2)} + (\pi, d)^l A^{(2)})$ , it then suffices to show that  $\phi(\delta_E^j(y_i)) \in dA^{(2)}$  for any  $j \geq 0$  and any  $i$ . This can be proved by the same argument as in the proof of [ALB23, Lemma 5.15] when  $\mathcal{E} \in A$  is not contained in  $\pi A$ . In fact, a similar argument holds when  $\mathcal{E} \in \pi A$ . We include the argument in this case for the convenience of the reader.

We may assume that  $\mathcal{E} = \pi$ . In fact, we prove a more general statement: For any  $j \geq 0$ , we have  $\phi^l(\delta_E^j(y_i)) \in \pi^l A^{(2)}$  for any  $l \geq 1$  and any  $i$ . We proceed by induction on  $j$ . Let  $u_i := x_i + t_i = \pi y_i + t_i \in A^{(2)}$ . Then, we have

$$\phi^l(x_i) = u_i^{q^l} - t_i^{q^l} = \sum_{0 \leq h \leq q^l - 1} \binom{q^l}{h} (\pi y_i)^{q^l - h} t_i^h \in \pi^{l+1} A^{(2)}.$$

Thus, we obtain  $\phi^l(y_i) \in \pi^l A^{(2)}$ , which proves the assertion in the case where  $j = 0$ . Suppose that the assertion holds for some  $j \geq 0$ . Since

$$\pi \phi^l(\delta_E^{j+1}(y_i)) = \phi^l(\pi \delta_E^{j+1}(y_i)) = \phi^l(\phi(\delta_E^j(y_i)) - \delta_E^j(y_i)^q) = \phi^{l+1}(\delta_E^j(y_i)) - \phi^l(\delta_E^j(y_i))^q,$$

the induction hypothesis implies that  $\pi \phi^l(\delta_E^{j+1}(y_i)) \in \pi^{l+1} A^{(2)}$ , whence  $\phi^l(\delta_E^{j+1}(y_i)) \in \pi^l A^{(2)}$ .  $\square$

Our next goal is to prove the following lemma, which plays a crucial role in the proof of Theorem 6.1.3 (especially in the proof of Proposition 6.3.1 below). As in the proof of Lemma 6.2.5, we set  $y_i := x_i/d \in A^{(2)}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.6.** *Let  $M \subset A^{(2)}$  be the ideal generated by  $\phi(y_1)/d, \dots, \phi(y_n)/d \in K$ . Then we have inclusions*

$$\phi(K) \subset dM + d(\pi, d)K \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(M) \subset d(t_1, \dots, t_n)M + d(\pi, d)K.$$

**Remark 6.2.7.** Assume that  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Under the assumption that  $n = 1$  and  $R$  is  $p$ -torsion free, Anschütz–Le Bras gave a proof of the analogue of Theorem 6.1.3 for minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules in [ALB23, Section 5.2]. (We will come back to this result in Section 7.1.) In the proof, they use that the map  $K \rightarrow K$ ,  $x \mapsto \phi(x)/d$  is topologically nilpotent with respect to the  $(p, d)$ -adic topology ([ALB23, Lemma 5.15]). This topological nilpotence may not be true if  $n \geq 2$  or  $p = 0$  in  $R$ . We will use Lemma 6.2.6 and the fact that the local ring  $A$  is complete and noetherian to overcome this issue; see Section 6.3 below.

The proof of Lemma 6.2.6 will require some preliminary results. We first introduce some notation.

If  $\mathcal{E}$  is not contained in  $\pi A$ , then the  $\delta_E$ -ring  $A\{\phi(\mathcal{E})/\pi\}$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free, and is isomorphic to the  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -PD envelope  $D_{(\mathcal{E})}(A)$  of  $A$  with respect to the ideal  $(\mathcal{E})$ ; see Lemma 2.6.4. In this case, let  $A''$  be the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $A\{\phi(\mathcal{E})/\pi\}$ , and let  $g: A \rightarrow A''$  be the natural homomorphism. We note that  $A''$  is also  $\pi$ -torsion free. We consider the following pushout squares of  $\delta_E$ -rings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{p'_1} & B & \longrightarrow & A^{(2)} & \xrightarrow{m} & A \\ \phi \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ A & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & A^{(2)'} & \longrightarrow & A \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ A'' & \longrightarrow & B''_0 & \longrightarrow & A_0^{(2)''} & \longrightarrow & A'' \end{array}$$

Let  $A^{(2)''}$  be the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $A_0^{(2)''}$  and  $K''$  the kernel of the induced homomorphism  $A^{(2)''} \rightarrow A''$ . Since  $A \rightarrow A^{(2)}$  is flat, so is  $A'' \rightarrow A_0^{(2)''}$ . In particular  $A^{(2)''}$  is  $\pi$ -torsion free. In the case where  $\mathcal{E} \in \pi A$ , we set  $A^{(2)''} := A^{(2)}$  and  $K'' := K$ .

**Lemma 6.2.8.** *Let the notation be as above. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *We have  $\phi(K'') \subset \pi K''$ .*
- (2) *We have  $x_i \in \pi K''$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . (Here we denote the image of  $x_i \in B$  in  $A^{(2)''}$  again by  $x_i$ .) We set  $w_i := x_i/\pi \in K''$ . Then  $K''/\pi K''$  is generated by the images of  $\{\delta_E^j(w_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, j \geq 0}$  as an  $A^{(2)''}$ -module.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{E} \in \pi A$ , then the assertions follow from Lemma 6.2.5 and its proof. Thus, we may assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is not contained in  $\pi A$ . Let  $h: A^{(2)} \rightarrow A^{(2)''}$  denote the natural homomorphism. Since  $g(\phi(\mathcal{E}))/\pi \in A''$  is a unit by Lemma 2.3.3 (1), it follows that  $h(d) \in A^{(2)''}$  is a unit multiple of  $\pi$ . The kernel  $K''$  of  $A^{(2)''} \rightarrow A''$  can be identified with the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $h^*K$ . Therefore, the assertion (1) follows from Lemma 6.2.5.

Using that  $h(d) \in A^{(2)''}$  is a unit multiple of  $\pi$ , we see that  $A^{(2)''}$  agrees with the  $\pi$ -adic completion of  $B''_0\{x_1/\pi, \dots, x_n/\pi\}$ . Since the kernel of  $B''_0 \rightarrow A''$  is generated

by  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , it follows that the kernel of  $B_0^j\{x_1/\pi, \dots, x_n/\pi\} \rightarrow A''$  is generated by  $\{\delta_E^j(x_i/\pi)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, j \geq 0}$ , which implies the assertion (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.9.** *We define*

$$\phi_1: K'' \rightarrow K'', \quad x \mapsto \phi(x)/\pi.$$

The induced  $\phi$ -linear homomorphism  $K''/\pi K'' \rightarrow K''/\pi K''$  is denoted by the same symbol  $\phi_1$ . Let  $\overline{M}'' \subset K''/\pi K''$  be the  $A^{(2)''}$ -submodule generated by the images of  $\phi_1(w_1), \dots, \phi_1(w_n) \in K''$ . Then we have inclusions

$$\phi_1(K''/\pi K'') \subset \overline{M}'' \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1(\overline{M}'') \subset (t_1, \dots, t_n)\overline{M}'',$$

where we denote the image of  $t_i \in A^{(2)}$  in  $A^{(2)''}$  again by  $t_i$ .

*Proof.* We have  $x^q = \phi(x) - \pi\delta_E(x) \in \pi K''$  for every  $x \in K''$  by Lemma 6.2.8. Let  $J \subset A^{(2)''}$  be the ideal generated by  $\{x^q/\pi\}_{x \in K''}$ . We claim that  $\phi_1(J) \subset \pi J$ . Indeed, for any  $x \in K''$ , we have

$$\phi_1(x^q/\pi) = \phi(x^q/\pi)/\pi = \phi(x)^q/\pi^2 = \pi^{q-1}(\phi_1(x)^q/\pi) \in \pi J.$$

We shall prove that  $K''/(J + \pi K'')$  is generated by the images of  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  as an  $A^{(2)''}$ -module. Since

$$\phi_1(w_i) = \phi(w_i)/\pi^2 = ((x_i + t_i)^q - t_i^q)/\pi^2 = ((\pi w_i + t_i)^q - t_i^q)/\pi^2,$$

we can write  $\phi_1(w_i)$  as

$$\phi_1(w_i) = \pi^{q-2}w_i^q + (q/\pi)t_i^{q-1}w_i + \pi b_i \tag{6.1}$$

for some element  $b_i \in K''$ . For any  $x \in K''$ , we have  $\delta_E(x) = \phi_1(x)$  in  $K''/J$ . In particular, we obtain  $\delta_E^j(x) = \phi_1(\delta_E^{j-1}(x))$  in  $K''/J$ . Then, using (6.1) and by induction on  $j \geq 1$ , we see that the image of  $\delta_E^j(w_i)$  in  $K''/(J + \pi K'')$  is contained in the  $A^{(2)''}$ -submodule of  $K''/(J + \pi K'')$  generated by the images of  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  for any  $j \geq 1$  and any  $i$ . Now the assertion follows from Lemma 6.2.8.

We have shown that every  $x \in K''$  can be written as

$$x = \left( \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i w_i \right) + b + \pi c$$

for some  $a_i \in A^{(2)''}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ),  $b \in J$ , and  $c \in K''$ . Since  $\phi_1(b) \in \pi J$ , it follows that the image of  $\phi_1(x)$  in  $K''/\pi K''$  coincides with that of  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \phi_1(a_i)\phi_1(w_i)$ . This proves that  $\phi_1(K''/\pi K'') \subset \overline{M}''$ . Moreover, since  $\phi_1(w_i^q) = \phi(w_i)\phi_1(w_i^{q-1})$  is contained in  $\pi K''$ , it follows from (6.1) that the image of  $\phi_1(\phi_1(w_i))$  in  $K''/\pi K''$  is equal to that of  $\phi_1((q/\pi)t_i^{q-1}w_i) = (q/\pi)t_i^{q(q-1)}\phi_1(w_i)$ . This proves that  $\phi_1(\overline{M}'') \subset (t_1, \dots, t_n)\overline{M}''$ .  $\square$

We now prove Lemma 6.2.6.

*Proof of Lemma 6.2.6.* We first treat the case where  $\mathcal{E} \in \pi A$ . In this case  $d$  is a unit multiple of  $\pi$ . Thus, the assertion follows from Lemma 6.2.9.

We now assume that  $\mathcal{E}$  is not contained in  $\pi A$ . We define

$$\phi_1: K \rightarrow K, \quad x \mapsto \phi(x)/d.$$

The induced  $\phi$ -linear homomorphism  $K/(\pi, d)K \rightarrow K/(\pi, d)K$  is also denoted by  $\phi_1$ . Let  $\overline{M} \subset K/(\pi, d)K$  be the  $A^{(2)}$ -submodule generated by the images of  $\phi_1(y_1), \dots, \phi_1(y_n) \in K$ . It suffices to prove that  $\phi_1(K/(\pi, d)K) \subset \overline{M}$  and  $\phi_1(\overline{M}) \subset (t_1, \dots, t_n)\overline{M}$ .



Let  $f: A^{(2)} \rightarrow A^{(2)'}$  denote the natural homomorphism. Let  $K'$  be the kernel of the homomorphism  $A^{(2)'}$   $\rightarrow$   $A$ , which can be identified with  $f^*K$ . We define  $\phi'_1: K' \rightarrow K'$  by  $x \mapsto \phi(x)/f(d)$ , and let  $\overline{M}' \subset K'/(\pi, f(d))K'$  be the  $A^{(2)'}$ -submodule generated by the images of  $\phi'_1(f(y_1)), \dots, \phi'_1(f(y_n)) \in K'$ . Since  $\phi: A \rightarrow A$  is faithfully flat, so is  $f$ . Therefore, in order to prove the assertion, it is enough to prove that

$$\phi'_1(K'/(\pi, f(d))K') \subset \overline{M}' \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_1(\overline{M}') \subset (f(t_1), \dots, f(t_n))\overline{M}'. \quad (6.2)$$

The homomorphism  $A^{(2)'}$   $\rightarrow$   $A^{(2)''}$  induced by  $g: A \rightarrow A''$  is again denoted by  $g$ . The element  $g(f(d))$  is a unit multiple of  $\pi$  in  $A^{(2)''}$ . Thus, for  $\phi_1: K'' \rightarrow K''$  defined in Lemma 6.2.9, the element  $g(\phi'_1(x))$  is a unit multiple of  $\phi_1(g(x))$  for any  $x \in K'$ . Also, the induced homomorphism  $A^{(2)'}/(\pi, f(d)) \rightarrow A^{(2)''}/\pi$ , again denoted by  $g$ , sends  $\overline{M}'$  into  $\overline{M}''$ . It follows from Lemma 6.2.9 that, for any  $x \in K'/(\pi, f(d))K'$  (resp.  $x \in \overline{M}'$ ), we have

$$g(\phi'_1(x)) \in \overline{M}'' \quad (\text{resp. } g(\phi'_1(x)) \in (g(f(t_1)), \dots, g(f(t_n)))\overline{M}''). \quad (6.3)$$

Since  $A''/\pi \simeq D_{(\mathcal{E})}(A)/\pi$ , we can find a homomorphism

$$s: A''/\pi \rightarrow A/(\pi, \phi(\mathcal{E}))$$

of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras such that the composition  $A/(\pi, \phi(\mathcal{E})) \xrightarrow{g} A''/\pi \xrightarrow{s} A/(\pi, \phi(\mathcal{E}))$  is the identity; see Example 2.6.2 and Lemma 2.6.3. We consider the following pushout squares of  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebras:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A''/\pi & \longrightarrow & A^{(2)''}/\pi & \longrightarrow & A''/\pi \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow \tilde{s} & & \downarrow s \\ A/(\pi, \phi(\mathcal{E})) & \longrightarrow & A^{(2)'}/(\pi, f(d)) & \longrightarrow & A/(\pi, \phi(\mathcal{E})). \end{array}$$

The homomorphism  $g: A^{(2)'}/(\pi, f(d)) \rightarrow A^{(2)''}/\pi$  is a section of  $\tilde{s}$ . We observe that  $\tilde{s}(K''/\pi K'') \subset K'/(\pi, f(d))K'$  and  $\tilde{s}(\overline{M}'') \subset \overline{M}'$ . It follows from (6.3) that, for any  $x \in K'/(\pi, f(d))K'$  (resp.  $x \in \overline{M}'$ ), its image  $\phi'_1(x) = \tilde{s}(g(\phi'_1(x)))$  belongs to  $\overline{M}'$  (resp.  $(f(t_1), \dots, f(t_n))\overline{M}'$ ). This proves (6.2), and the proof of Lemma 6.2.6 is now complete.  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.10.** There exists a coproduct  $(A^{(3)}, I^{(3)})$  of three copies of  $(A, I)$  in the category  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ . Indeed, one can define  $(A^{(3)}, I^{(3)})$  as a pushout of the diagram

$$(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \xleftarrow{p_2} (A, I) \xrightarrow{p_1} (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}),$$

which exists since  $p_1$  is flat (see Remark 2.5.5). Let  $q_1, q_2, q_3: (A, I) \rightarrow (A^{(3)}, I^{(3)})$  denote the associated three morphisms. For  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ , let  $p_{ij}: (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \rightarrow (A^{(3)}, I^{(3)})$  be the unique morphism such that  $p_{ij} \circ p_1 = q_i$  and  $p_{ij} \circ p_2 = q_j$ .

Let  $m: (A^{(3)}, I^{(3)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$  be the unique morphism in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  such that  $m \circ q_i = \text{id}_{(A, I)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Corollary 6.2.11.** *Let  $L$  be the kernel of  $m: A^{(3)} \rightarrow A$ . Let  $d \in I^{(3)}$  be a generator. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *We have  $\phi(L) \subset dL$ .*
- (2) *Let  $N \subset A^{(3)}$  be the ideal generated by  $\{\phi(p_{12}(y_i))/d, \phi(p_{23}(y_i))/d\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \subset L$ . Then we have inclusions*

$$\phi(L) \subset dN + d(\pi, d)L \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(N) \subset d(q_1(t_1), \dots, q_1(t_n))N + d(\pi, d)L.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $d$  is the image of a generator of  $I^{(2)}$ , again denoted by  $d$ , under the homomorphism  $p_{12}$ . As in Remark 6.2.10, we identify  $A^{(3)}$  with the  $(\pi, d)$ -adic completion of the pushout  $A_0^{(3)} := A^{(2)} \otimes_{p_2, A, p_1} A^{(2)}$  of the diagram

$$A^{(2)} \xleftarrow{p_2} A \xrightarrow{p_1} A^{(2)}.$$

Under this identification, the homomorphism  $p_{12}$  (resp.  $p_{23}$ ) is induced by the homomorphism  $A^{(2)} \rightarrow A_0^{(3)}$  defined by  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1$  (resp.  $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$ ). The kernel  $L_0$  of the natural homomorphism  $A_0^{(3)} \rightarrow A$  coincides with  $K \otimes_A A^{(2)} + A^{(2)} \otimes_A K$ , and  $L$  is the  $(\pi, d)$ -adic completion of  $L_0$ .

In order to prove the assertion (1), it suffices to show that, for any element  $x \in L$  which lies in the image of  $L_0 \rightarrow L$ , we have  $\phi(x) \in dA^{(3)}$ . This follows from Lemma 6.2.5. Similarly, the assertion (2) follows from Lemma 6.2.6. We note here that, since  $q_j(t_l) - q_i(t_l) = p_{ij}(x_l) \in dL$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$ , the ideal  $d(q_1(t_1), \dots, q_1(t_n))N + d(\pi, d)L$  is unchanged if we replace  $q_1$  by  $q_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ).  $\square$

**6.3. Deformations of isomorphisms.** As in Section 6.2, we write  $(A, I) = (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$ . In this subsection, as a preparation for the proof of Theorem 6.1.3, we study deformations of isomorphisms of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  along the morphisms  $m: (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$  and  $m: (A^{(3)}, I^{(3)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$  in  $(R)_{\underline{\Delta}, \mathcal{O}_E}$  defined in Section 6.2. Throughout this subsection, we assume that  $\mu$  is 1-bounded.

Our setup is as follows. Let  $(A', I') := (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$  (resp.  $(A', I') := (A^{(3)}, I^{(3)})$ ). Let  $m: (A', I') \rightarrow (A, I)$  denote  $m: (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$  (resp.  $m: (A^{(3)}, I^{(3)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$ ). Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \{p_1, p_2\}$  (resp.  $f_1, f_2 \in \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ ). We do not exclude the case where  $f_1 = f_2$ .

The purpose of this subsection is to prove the following result:

**Proposition 6.3.1.** *Assume that  $\mu$  is 1-bounded. Let  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  be  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$ . Then the map*

$$m^*: \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A', I')}(f_1^*(\mathcal{Q}_1), f_2^*(\mathcal{Q}_2)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)}(\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2) \quad (6.4)$$

*induced by the base change functor  $m^*: G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A', I') \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$  is bijective.*

We need some preliminary results before giving the proof of Proposition 6.3.1. We will use the following notation. Let  $H$  be a group scheme over  $\mathcal{O}$ . For an ideal  $J \subset A'$ , we write

$$H(J) := \mathrm{Ker}(H(A') \rightarrow H(A'/J))$$

for the kernel of the homomorphism  $H(A') \rightarrow H(A'/J)$ . If  $H = G_{\mathcal{O}}$ , then we simply write  $G(J) := G_{\mathcal{O}}(J)$ .

Let  $K$  denote the kernel of  $m: A' \rightarrow A$ . Let  $d \in I'$  be a generator.

**Lemma 6.3.2.** *Let  $J \subset A'$  be an ideal such that  $J \subset dK$  and, for any  $x \in J$ , we have  $\phi(x/d) \in J$ . Then, the homomorphism  $\sigma_{\mu, d}: G_{\mu}(A', I') \rightarrow G(A')$  (see (5.1)) sends  $G(J) \subset G_{\mu}(A', I')$  into itself.*

*Proof.* We note that, by Proposition 4.2.9, we have  $G(J) \subset G(dK) \subset G_{\mu}(A', I')$ , and the multiplication map  $U_{-\mu} \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}} P_{\mu} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{O}}$  induces a bijection

$$(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} J) \times P_{\mu}(J) \xrightarrow{\sim} G(J).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that  $\sigma_{\mu, d}(P_{\mu}(J)) \subset G(J)$  and  $\sigma_{\mu, d}(\mathrm{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} J) \subset G(J)$ .

We first prove that  $\sigma_{\mu,d}(P_\mu(J)) \subset G(J)$ . By Remark 4.1.3 and Lemma 4.2.2 (1), we have  $\mu(d)P_\mu(J)\mu(d)^{-1} \subset P_\mu(J)$ . (In fact, this holds for any ideal  $J \subset A'$ .) Since  $\phi(J) \subset J$ , we have  $\phi(G(J)) \subset G(J)$ . It follows that  $\sigma_{\mu,d}(P_\mu(J)) \subset G(J)$ .

We shall show that  $\sigma_{\mu,d}(\text{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} J) \subset G(J)$ . Since  $\mu$  is 1-bounded, the homomorphism  $G_\mu(A', I') \rightarrow G(A')$ ,  $g \mapsto \mu(d)g\mu(d)^{-1}$  restricts to a homomorphism

$$\text{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} J \rightarrow \text{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \frac{1}{d}J, \quad v \mapsto v/d.$$

(See Remark 4.2.7.) Since  $\phi((1/d)J) \subset J$ , we can conclude that  $\sigma_{\mu,d}(\text{Lie}(U_{-\mu}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} J) \subset G(J)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.3.3.** Let  $J \subset A'$  be an ideal as in Lemma 6.3.2. For an element  $X \in G(A')$ , we define a homomorphism

$$\mathcal{U}_{d,X}: G(J) \rightarrow G(J), \quad g \mapsto X\sigma_{\mu,d}(g)X^{-1}.$$

We also define a map of sets

$$\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(J) \rightarrow G(J), \quad g \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{d,X}(g)g^{-1}.$$

Let  $J_2 \subset J_1 \subset A'$  be two ideals which satisfy the assumption of Lemma 6.3.2. Then  $\mathcal{U}_{d,X}: G(J_1) \rightarrow G(J_1)$  induces a homomorphism

$$G(J_1)/G(J_2) \rightarrow G(J_1)/G(J_2),$$

which we denote by the same symbol  $\mathcal{U}_{d,X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(J_1)/G(J_2) \rightarrow G(J_1)/G(J_2)$  be the map of sets defined by  $g \mapsto \mathcal{U}_{d,X}(g)g^{-1}$ .

By Lemma 6.2.5 and Corollary 6.2.11, we have  $\phi(K) \subset dK$ . Thus, the ideal  $dK \subset A'$  satisfies the assumption of Lemma 6.3.2. We shall prove (in Proposition 6.3.7 below) that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(dK) \rightarrow G(dK)$  is bijective for any  $X \in G(A')$ , from which we will deduce Proposition 6.3.1. For this purpose, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 6.3.4.** *Let  $J_2 \subset J_1 \subset A'$  be two ideals which satisfy the assumption of Lemma 6.3.2. Assume that for any  $x \in J_1$ , we have  $\phi(x/d) \in J_2$ . Then, we have*

$$\sigma_{\mu,d}(G(J_1)) \subset G(J_2).$$

*In particular, the map  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(J_1)/G(J_2) \rightarrow G(J_1)/G(J_2)$  is equal to the map  $g \mapsto g^{-1}$  for any  $X \in G(A')$ .*

*Proof.* The same argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.3.2 shows that  $\sigma_{\mu,d}(G(J_1)) \subset G(J_2)$ . The second assertion immediately follows from the first one.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.5.** *Let  $J_3 \subset J_2 \subset J_1 \subset A'$  be three ideals which satisfy the assumption of Lemma 6.3.2. Let  $X \in G(A')$ . If the maps*

$$\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(J_1)/G(J_2) \rightarrow G(J_1)/G(J_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(J_2)/G(J_3) \rightarrow G(J_2)/G(J_3)$$

*are bijective, then  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(J_1)/G(J_3) \rightarrow G(J_1)/G(J_3)$  is also bijective.*

*Proof.* Let us prove the surjectivity. Let  $h \in G(J_1)/G(J_3)$  be an element. The image  $h' \in G(J_1)/G(J_2)$  of  $h$  can be written as  $h' = \mathcal{V}_{d,X}(g')$  for some element  $g' \in G(J_1)/G(J_2)$ . We choose some  $g \in G(J_1)/G(J_3)$  which is a lift of  $g'$ . Then, we see that  $\mathcal{U}_{d,X}(g)^{-1}hg$  is contained in  $G(J_2)/G(J_3)$ , so that there exists an element  $g'' \in G(J_2)/G(J_3)$  such that

$$\mathcal{V}_{d,X}(g'') = \mathcal{U}_{d,X}(g'')g''^{-1} = \mathcal{U}_{d,X}(g)^{-1}hg.$$

It follows that  $h = \mathcal{V}_{d,X}(gg'')$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(J_1)/G(J_3) \rightarrow G(J_1)/G(J_3)$  is surjective. The proof of the injectivity is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.6.** *Let  $l \geq 0$  be an integer. For any  $X \in G(A')$ , the map*

$$\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G((\pi, d)^l dK)/G((\pi, d)^{l+1} dK) \rightarrow G((\pi, d)^l dK)/G((\pi, d)^{l+1} dK)$$

*is bijective.*

*Proof. Step 1.* We set  $K_l := (\pi, d)^l K$ . We consider the ideal  $K^- := K^2 + (\pi, d)K$  and let  $K_l^- := (\pi, d)^l K^-$ . All of  $dK_l, dK_{l+1}, dK_l^-$  satisfy the assumption of Lemma 6.3.2. Since

$$\phi(K^2) \subset d^2 K^2 \subset d(\pi, d)K,$$

we have  $\phi(K_l^-) \subset dK_{l+1}$ . Therefore, it follows from Lemma 6.3.4 that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective for  $G(dK_l^-)/G(dK_{l+1})$ . By Lemma 6.3.5, it now suffices to show that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective for  $G(dK_l)/G(dK_l^-)$ .

*Step 2.* By Lemma 6.2.6 and Corollary 6.2.11, there exists a finitely generated ideal  $M \subset A'$  which is contained in  $K$  such that  $\phi(K) \subset dM + dK^-$  and  $\phi(M) \subset (t_1, \dots, t_n)dM + dK^-$ , where we abuse notation and denote the image of  $t_i \in A$  under the morphism  $p_1: A \rightarrow A'$  (resp.  $q_1: A \rightarrow A'$ ) if  $A' = A^{(2)}$  (resp. if  $A' = A^{(3)}$ ) by the same symbol. We set  $M_l := (\pi, d)^l M \subset K_l$ . Then, we have inclusions

$$\phi(K_l) \subset dM_l + dK_l^- \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(M_l) \subset (t_1, \dots, t_n)dM_l + dK_l^-. \quad (6.5)$$

In particular, the ideals  $dM_l + dK_l^- \subset dK_l$  satisfy the assumption of Lemma 6.3.4, so that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective for  $G(dK_l)/G(dM_l + dK_l^-)$ . By Lemma 6.3.5, it is enough to prove that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective for  $G(dM_l + dK_l^-)/G(dK_l^-)$ .

*Step 3.* We shall prove that

$$G(dM_l + dK_l^-)/G(dK_l^-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{r \geq 0} G(dM_l + dK_l^-)/G((t_1, \dots, t_n)^r dM_l + dK_l^-). \quad (6.6)$$

To simplify the notation, we set  $C_1 := A'/(dM_l + dK_l^-)$  and  $C_2 := A'/dK_l^-$ . Let  $N \subset C_2$  be the image of  $dM_l + dK_l^-$ . Since  $A'$  is  $I'$ -adically complete, it is henselian with respect to  $I'$ , which in turn implies that  $A'$  is henselian with respect to any ideal which is contained in  $I'$ . Using this fact and the smoothness of  $G$ , we see that

$$G(dM_l + dK_l^-)/G(dK_l^-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(G(C_2) \rightarrow G(C_1))$$

and

$$G(dM_l + dK_l^-)/G((t_1, \dots, t_n)^r dM_l + dK_l^-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(G(C_2/(t_1, \dots, t_n)^r N) \rightarrow G(C_1)).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that

$$N \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{r \geq 0} N/(t_1, \dots, t_n)^r N \quad \text{and} \quad C_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{r \geq 0} C_2/(t_1, \dots, t_n)^r N.$$

Since  $N$  is killed by  $K$ , we see that  $N$  is a finitely generated module over  $A'/K \xrightarrow{\sim} A$ . Since  $A$  is noetherian and is  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ -adically complete, it follows that  $N$  is also  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ -adically complete, which means that  $N \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{r \geq 0} N/(t_1, \dots, t_n)^r N$ . Moreover, this implies that  $C_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{r \geq 0} C_2/(t_1, \dots, t_n)^r N$ .

*Step 4.* We claim that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective for

$$G((t_1, \dots, t_n)^r dM_l + dK_l^-)/G((t_1, \dots, t_n)^{r+1} dM_l + dK_l^-)$$

for any  $r \geq 0$ . Indeed, the second inclusion of (6.5) shows that the assumption of Lemma 6.3.4 is satisfied in this case, and hence the assertion follows.

Using Lemma 6.3.5 repeatedly, we then see that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective for

$$G(dM_l + dK_l^-)/G((t_1, \dots, t_n)^r dM_l + dK_l^-)$$

for any  $r \geq 0$ . Then, it follows from (6.6) that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective for  $G(dM_l + dK_l^-)/G(dK_l^-)$  as well. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let us now prove the desired result.

**Proposition 6.3.7.** *For any  $X \in G(A')$ , the map  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(dK) \rightarrow G(dK)$  is bijective.*

*Proof.* We claim that  $G(dK) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{l \geq 0} G(dK)/G((\pi, d)^l dK)$ . Indeed, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 6.3.6, we see that

$$G(dK)/G((\pi, d)^l dK) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker}(G(A'/(\pi, d)^l dK) \rightarrow G(A'/dK)).$$

Since  $d$  is a nonzerodivisor and  $K$  is  $(\pi, d)$ -adically complete, it follows that  $dK \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{l \geq 0} dK/(\pi, d)^l dK$ . Moreover, this implies that  $A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{l \geq 0} A'/(\pi, d)^l dK$ . Using these isomorphisms, we can conclude that  $G(dK) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_{l \geq 0} G(dK)/G((\pi, d)^l dK)$ .

In order to show that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(dK) \rightarrow G(dK)$  is bijective, it suffices to check that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(dK)/G((\pi, d)^l dK) \rightarrow G(dK)/G((\pi, d)^l dK)$  is bijective for any  $l \geq 0$ . This follows from Lemma 6.3.6 by using Lemma 6.3.5 repeatedly.  $\square$

We also need the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . Then, there exists a finite extension  $\tilde{k}$  of  $k$  such that the base change of  $\mathcal{Q}$  to  $(A_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}, IA_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}})$  is banal, where  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} := W(\tilde{k}) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$  and  $A_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}} := A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} = \tilde{\mathcal{O}}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ .*

*Proof.* This immediately follows from Proposition 5.4.5.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 6.3.1.* By Lemma 6.3.8, there exists a finite Galois extension  $\tilde{k}$  of  $k$  such that the base changes of  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  to  $(A_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}, IA_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}})$  are banal. Here  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} := W(\tilde{k}) \otimes_{W(\mathbb{F}_q)} \mathcal{O}_E$  and  $A_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}} := A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ ; we use the same notation for  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras. We can identify  $(A'_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}, I'A'_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}})$  with a coproduct of two (resp. three) copies of  $(A_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}, IA_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}})$  in  $(R_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}})_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  if  $A' = A^{(2)}$  (resp. if  $A' = A^{(3)}$ ). By Galois descent for  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays, it suffices to prove the same statement for banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}}, IA_{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}})$ . We may thus assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  are banal  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$ .

If  $\mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_2$  are not isomorphic to each other, then the assertion holds trivially. Thus, we may further assume that  $\mathcal{Q}_1 = \mathcal{Q}_2 = \mathcal{Q}_Y$  for some  $Y \in G(A)_I$ . Let  $d := f_2(\mathcal{E})$ . We have  $f_1(\mathcal{E}) = ud$  for some  $u \in A'^{\times}$ . With the choice of  $d \in I'$ , the  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays  $f_1^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y)$  and  $f_2^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y)$  correspond to the elements  $f_1(Y_{\mathcal{E}})\phi(\mu(u)), f_2(Y_{\mathcal{E}}) \in G(A')_d$ , respectively, and hence we can identify  $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A', I')}(f_1^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y), f_2^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y))$  with the set

$$\{g \in G_{\mu}(A', I') \mid g^{-1}f_2(Y_{\mathcal{E}})\sigma_{\mu,d}(g) = f_1(Y_{\mathcal{E}})\phi(\mu(u))\}.$$

We set  $X := f_2(Y_{\mathcal{E}})$ . We shall prove that the map (6.4) is injective. Let  $g, h \in G_{\mu}(A', I')$  be two elements in  $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A', I')}(f_1^*(\mathcal{Q}_1), f_2^*(\mathcal{Q}_2))$  such that  $m(g) = m(h)$  in  $G_{\mu}(A, I)$ . We set  $\beta := gh^{-1}$ . Since  $m(\beta) = 1$ , we have  $\mu(d)\beta\mu(d)^{-1} \in G(K)$ . Then, it follows from  $\phi(K) \subset dK$  that  $\sigma_{\mu,d}(\beta) \in G(dK)$ . The equalities

$$g^{-1}X\sigma_{\mu,d}(g) = f_1(Y_{\mathcal{E}})\phi(\mu(u)) = h^{-1}X\sigma_{\mu,d}(h)$$

imply that  $\beta = X\sigma_{\mu,d}(\beta)X^{-1}$ . It follows that  $\beta \in G(dK)$ , and we have  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}(\beta) = 1$  for the map  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(dK) \rightarrow G(dK)$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}$  is bijective by Proposition 6.3.7, we obtain  $\beta = 1$ .

It remains to prove that the map (6.4) is surjective. For this, it suffices to prove that  $\text{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_\mu(A', I')}(f_1^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y), f_2^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y))$  is not empty. We claim that  $\phi(\mu(u)) \in G(dK)$  and  $\gamma := f_2(Y_\mathcal{E})^{-1}f_1(Y_\mathcal{E}) \in G(dK)$ . Indeed, since  $m(u) = 1$ , we have  $\mu(u) \in G(K)$ , which in turn implies that  $\phi(\mu(u)) \in G(dK)$ . Since the morphisms  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  induce the same homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A'/I'$ , we see that  $\gamma \in G(I')$ . Using that  $I' \cap K = dK$ , we then obtain  $\gamma \in G(dK)$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}: G(dK) \rightarrow G(dK)$  is bijective, there exists an element  $g \in G(dK)$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_{d,X}(g^{-1}) = X\phi(\mu(u))^{-1}\gamma^{-1}X^{-1}$ , or equivalently

$$g^{-1}f_2(Y_\mathcal{E})\sigma_{\mu,d}(g) = f_1(Y_\mathcal{E})\phi(\mu(u)).$$

In other words, the element  $g$  gives an isomorphism  $f_1^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_2^*(\mathcal{Q}_Y)$ .  $\square$

**6.4. Proof of Theorem 6.1.3.** In this section, we prove Theorem 6.1.3 using our previous results.

As in Section 6.2, we write  $(A, I) = (\mathfrak{S}_\mathcal{O}, (\mathcal{E}))$ . Let

$$G\text{-Disp}_\mu^{\text{DD}}(A, I)$$

be the groupoid of pairs  $(\mathcal{Q}, \epsilon)$  consisting of a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathcal{Q}$  over  $(A, I)$  and an isomorphism  $\epsilon: p_1^*\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*\mathcal{Q}$  of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})$  satisfying the cocycle condition  $p_{13}^*\epsilon = p_{23}^*\epsilon \circ p_{12}^*\epsilon$ . An isomorphism  $(\mathcal{Q}, \epsilon) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{Q}', \epsilon')$  is an isomorphism  $f: \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}'$  of  $G$ - $\mu$ -displays over  $(A, I)$  such that  $\epsilon' \circ (p_1^*f) = (p_2^*f) \circ \epsilon$ .

For a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathfrak{Q}$  over  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ , we have the associated isomorphism

$$\gamma_{p_i}: p_i^*(\mathfrak{Q}_{(A, I)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{Q}_{(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})}$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Let  $\epsilon := \gamma_{p_2}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{p_1}$ . Then  $\epsilon$  satisfies the cocycle condition, so that the pair  $(\mathfrak{Q}_{(A, I)}, \epsilon)$  is an object of  $\text{Disp}_\mu^{\text{DD}}(A, I)$ . This construction induces a functor

$$G\text{-Disp}_\mu((R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_\mu^{\text{DD}}(A, I), \quad \mathfrak{Q} \mapsto (\mathfrak{Q}_{(A, I)}, \epsilon).$$

**Proposition 6.4.1.** *The functor  $G\text{-Disp}_\mu((R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_\mu^{\text{DD}}(A, I)$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* This is a formal consequence of Proposition 5.3.1 and Proposition 6.2.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.3.* We assume that  $\mu$  is 1-bounded. By virtue of Proposition 6.4.1, it suffices to show that the forgetful functor

$$G\text{-Disp}_\mu^{\text{DD}}(A, I) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_\mu(A, I)$$

is an equivalence. Let  $m: (A^{(2)}, I^{(2)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$  be the unique morphism in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  such that  $m \circ p_i = \text{id}_{(A, I)}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and let  $m': (A^{(3)}, I^{(3)}) \rightarrow (A, I)$  be the unique morphism in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$  such that  $m \circ q_i = \text{id}_{(A, I)}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a  $G$ - $\mu$ -display over  $(A, I)$ . We claim that an isomorphism  $\epsilon: p_1^*\mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^*\mathcal{Q}$  satisfies the cocycle condition  $p_{13}^*\epsilon = p_{23}^*\epsilon \circ p_{12}^*\epsilon$  if and only if  $m^*\epsilon = \text{id}_\mathcal{Q}$ . Indeed, since the map

$$m'^*: \text{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_\mu(A^{(3)}, I^{(3)})}(q_1^*\mathcal{Q}, q_3^*\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_\mu(A, I)}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})$$

is bijective by Proposition 6.3.1, we see that  $\epsilon$  satisfies the cocycle condition  $p_{13}^*\epsilon = p_{23}^*\epsilon \circ p_{12}^*\epsilon$  if and only if  $m^*\epsilon = m^*\epsilon \circ m^*\epsilon$ , which is equivalent to saying that  $m^*\epsilon = \text{id}_\mathcal{Q}$ . By Proposition 6.3.1 again, the map

$$m^*: \text{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_\mu(A^{(2)}, I^{(2)})}(p_1^*\mathcal{Q}, p_2^*\mathcal{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{G\text{-Disp}_\mu(A, I)}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q})$$

is bijective. Therefore, for any  $G$ - $\mu$ -display  $\mathcal{Q}$  over  $(A, I)$ , there exists a unique isomorphism  $\epsilon: p_1^* \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_2^* \mathcal{Q}$  satisfying the cocycle condition  $p_{13}^* \epsilon = p_{23}^* \epsilon \circ p_{12}^* \epsilon$ , and  $\epsilon$  is characterized by the condition that  $m^* \epsilon = \text{id}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ . It follows that the forgetful functor  $G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}^{\text{DD}}(A, I) \rightarrow G\text{-Disp}_{\mu}(A, I)$  is an equivalence.  $\square$

## 7. $p$ -DIVISIBLE GROUPS AND PRISMATIC DIEUDONNÉ CRYSTALS

In this section, we make a few remarks on prismatic Dieudonné crystals, which are introduced in [ALB23].

**7.1. A remark on prismatic Dieudonné crystals.** Let  $R$  be a  $\pi$ -adically complete  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -algebra. Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$  denote the sheaf on the site  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  defined by  $(A, I) \mapsto A$ .

Following [ALB23], we say that an  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  is a *prismatic crystal in finite projective  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ -modules* if  $\mathcal{M}(A, I)$  is a finite projective  $A$ -module for any  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ , and for any morphism  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ , the natural homomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}(A, I) \otimes_A A' \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A', I')$$

is bijective. A *prismatic Dieudonné crystal* on  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  is a prismatic crystal  $\mathcal{M}$  in finite projective  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ -modules on  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  equipped with a  $\phi$ -linear homomorphism

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

such that for any  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}$ , the finite projective  $A$ -module  $\mathcal{M}(A, I)$  with the linearization  $1 \otimes \varphi_{\mathcal{M}}: \phi^*(\mathcal{M}(A, I)) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(A, I)$  is a minuscule Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$  in the sense of Definition 3.1.5 (see also Proposition 3.1.6). For a bounded  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism  $(A, I)$ , let

$$\text{BK}_{\min}(A, I)$$

be the category of minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A, I)$ . Then, the category of prismatic Dieudonné crystals on  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  is equivalent to the category

$$2\text{-}\varprojlim_{(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}} \text{BK}_{\min}(A, I).$$

As in Section 6, let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring equipped with a local homomorphism  $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow R$  which induces an isomorphism on the residue fields. Let  $(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_E$ -prism of Breuil–Kisin type, where  $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{O}[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ , with an isomorphism  $R \simeq \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}/\mathcal{E}$  over  $\mathcal{O}$ .

By using the results of Section 6, we can prove the following proposition, which is obtained in the proof of [ALB23, Theorem 5.12] if  $n \leq 1$  (and  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ ).

**Proposition 7.1.1.** *The functor  $\mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$  from the category of prismatic Dieudonné crystals on  $(R)_{\Delta, \mathcal{O}_E}^{\text{op}}$  to the category  $\text{BK}_{\min}(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$  is an equivalence.*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 6.1.3, one can deduce the proposition from the following claim: Let the notation be as in Proposition 6.3.1. Then, for minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  over  $(A, I) = (\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mathcal{E}))$ , the map

$$m^*: \text{Hom}_{\text{BK}_{\min}(A', I')}(f_1^*(M_1), f_2^*(M_2)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{BK}_{\min}(A, I)}(M_1, M_2) \quad (7.1)$$

induced by the base change functor  $m^*: \text{BK}_{\min}(A', I') \rightarrow \text{BK}_{\min}(A, I)$  is bijective.

We shall prove the claim. Let  $M := M_1 \oplus M_2 \in \mathrm{BK}_{\min}(A, I)$  be a direct sum of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . As  $A = \mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{O}}$  is local, we see that  $M$  is banal and of type  $\mu$  for some  $\mu = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Then, it follows from Example 5.2.5 and Proposition 6.3.1 that

$$m^* : \mathrm{Isom}_{\mathrm{BK}_{\min}(A', I')}(f_1^* M, f_2^* M) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Isom}_{\mathrm{BK}_{\min}(A, I)}(M, M), \quad (7.2)$$

where  $\mathrm{Isom}_{\mathrm{BK}_{\min}(A', I')}(f_1^* M, f_2^* M)$  is the set of isomorphisms  $f_1^* M \xrightarrow{\sim} f_2^* M$  of minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules over  $(A', I')$ , and similarly for  $\mathrm{Isom}_{\mathrm{BK}_{\min}(A, I)}(M, M)$ . We also see that the identity  $\mathrm{id}_{M_i} : M_i \rightarrow M_i$  uniquely lifts to an isomorphism  $\eta_i : f_1^*(M_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_2^*(M_i)$  for each  $i = 1, 2$ . We prove that the map (7.1) is injective. Let  $g : f_1^*(M_1) \rightarrow f_2^*(M_2)$  be a homomorphism such that  $m^* g = 0$ . We consider the homomorphism  $g' := \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 \\ g & \eta_2 \end{pmatrix} : f_1^* M \rightarrow f_2^* M$ . Since  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are isomorphisms, it follows that  $g'$  is an isomorphism. Since  $m^*(g') = \mathrm{id}_M$ , the injectivity of (7.2) implies that  $g' = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , which means that  $g = 0$ . This proves that (7.1) is injective. Similarly, we can deduce the surjectivity of (7.1) from that of (7.2).  $\square$

**7.2.  $p$ -divisible groups and minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules.** In this subsection, we consider the case where  $\mathcal{O}_E = \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let  $R$  be a  $p$ -adically complete ring, and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a  $p$ -divisible group over  $\mathrm{Spec} R$ . We define the following functors

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\mathcal{G}} : (R)_{\Delta} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, & (A, I) &\mapsto \mathcal{G}(A/I), \\ \underline{\mathcal{G}}[p^n] : (R)_{\Delta} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Set}, & (A, I) &\mapsto \mathcal{G}[p^n](A/I). \end{aligned}$$

These functors form sheaves on the site  $(R)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$ . In [ALB23, Proposition 4.69], it is proved that the  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ -module

$$\mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_{\Delta}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta})$$

on  $(R)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$  is a prismatic crystal in finite projective  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$ -modules. (Here we simply write  $\mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_{\Delta}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta})$  rather than  $\mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta})$ .)

For a bounded prism  $(A, I)$ , let

$$(A, I)_{\Delta}$$

denote the category of bounded prisms  $(B, J)$  over  $(A, I)$ . We endow  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$  with the flat topology. For an object  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta}$ , the site  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$  is the localization of  $(R)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$  at  $(A, I)$ .

**Remark 7.2.1.**

- (1) For an integer  $n \geq 1$ , the map  $[p^n] : \underline{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{G}}$  induced by multiplication by  $p^n$  is surjective. This follows from [ALB23, Corollary 3.25].
- (2) We have  $\mathcal{H}om_{(R)_{\Delta}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}) = 0$ . Indeed, since  $[p] : \underline{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{G}}$  is surjective and the topos associated with  $(R)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$  is replete in the sense of [BS15, Definition 3.1.1], the projection  $\varprojlim_{[p]} \underline{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{G}}$  is surjective. Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(A, I) = A$  is  $p$ -adically complete for any  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta}$ , we can conclude that  $\mathcal{H}om_{(R)_{\Delta}}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}) = 0$ . As a consequence, the local-to-global spectral sequence implies that

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{(A, I)_{\Delta}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_{\Delta}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta})(A, I)$$

for any  $(A, I) \in (R)_{\Delta}$ . Here the restrictions of  $\underline{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}$  to the site  $(A, I)_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{op}}$  are denoted by the same symbols. In particular  $\mathrm{Ext}_{(A, I)_{\Delta}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta})$  is a finite projective



$A$ -module and its formation commutes with base change along any morphism  $(A, I) \rightarrow (A', I')$  in  $(R)_\Delta$ .

In [ALB23, Theorem 4.71], it is also proved that  $\mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_\Delta}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$  with the  $\phi$ -linear homomorphism  $\mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_\Delta}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_\Delta}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$  induced by the Frobenius  $\phi: \mathcal{O}_\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Delta$  is a prismatic Dieudonné crystal if  $R$  is *quasisyntomic* in the sense of [BMS19, Definition 4.10]. (More precisely, they showed that  $\mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_\Delta}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$  is admissible in the sense of [ALB23, Definition 4.5].) We shall recall the argument.

**Proposition 7.2.2** ([ALB23, Theorem 4.71]). *Assume that  $R$  is quasisyntomic. Let  $(A, I) \in (R)_\Delta$ . We write  $M := \text{Ext}_{(A, I)_\Delta}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$ . Then  $M$  with the induced homomorphism  $F_M: \phi^*M \rightarrow M$  is a minuscule Breuil–Kisin module over  $(A, I)$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 3.1.10 (and Proposition 2.5.8), this can be checked  $(p, I)$ -completely flat locally. Thus, by virtue of [BMS19, Lemma 4.28] and [BS22, Proposition 7.11], we may assume that  $R$  is quasiregular semiperfectoid in the sense of [BMS19, Definition 4.20]. Then, by choosing a surjective homomorphism from a perfectoid ring to  $R$  and using [ALB23, Corollary 2.10, Lemma 4.70], we may assume that  $R$  is a perfectoid ring and  $(A, I) = (W(R^b), I_R)$ . (Here we regard  $(W(R^b), I_R)$  as an object of  $(R)_\Delta$  via the homomorphism  $\theta: W(R^b) \rightarrow R$ . We note that in [ALB23],  $(W(R^b), I_R)$  is viewed as an object of  $(R)_\Delta$  via the composition  $\theta \circ \phi^{-1}$ .)

Let  $\xi \in I_R$  be a generator. By Proposition 3.1.6, it suffices to prove that the cokernel of  $F_M$  is killed by  $\xi$ . By Remark 5.6.3 (4) and  $p$ -complete arc-descent (Proposition 5.6.4), we may further assume that  $R$  is a  $p$ -adically complete valuation ring of rank  $\leq 1$  with algebraically closed fraction field.

If  $p = 0$  in  $R$ , then  $R$  is perfect by Example 2.4.1. In this case, the Frobenius  $F_M$  can be identified with the homomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{(A, I)_\Delta}^1(\underline{(\phi^*\mathcal{G})}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{(A, I)_\Delta}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta)$$

induced by the relative Frobenius  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \phi^*\mathcal{G}$ . Thus, the Verschiebung homomorphism  $\phi^*\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  induces a  $W(R)$ -linear homomorphism  $V_M: M \rightarrow \phi^*M$  such that  $F_M \circ V_M = p$ , which in turn implies the assertion.

It remains to treat the case where  $p$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $R$ , so that  $R$  is the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_C$  of an algebraically closed non-archimedean extension  $C$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . We set

$$M_n := \text{Ext}_{(W(\mathcal{O}_C^b), I_{\mathcal{O}_C})_\Delta}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}[p^n]}, \mathcal{O}_\Delta).$$

In the proof of [ALB23, Proposition 4.69], it is proved that the natural homomorphism  $M \rightarrow M_n$  induces an isomorphism  $M/p^n \xrightarrow{\sim} M_n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . In particular, we obtain

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n M_n$$

and  $M_n$  is a free  $W_n(\mathcal{O}_C^b)$ -module of finite rank. We claim that the cokernel of the Frobenius  $F_{M_n}: \phi^*(M_n) \rightarrow M_n$  is killed by  $\xi$ . Indeed, there is an embedding  $\mathcal{G}[p^n] \hookrightarrow X$  into an abelian scheme  $X$  over  $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_C$ ; see [BBM82, Théorème 3.1.1]. Let  $Y$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $X$ , which is a smooth  $p$ -adic formal scheme over  $\text{Spf } \mathcal{O}_C$ . It follows from the proofs of [ALB23, Theorem 4.62, Proposition 4.66] that there exists a surjection

$$H_\Delta^1(Y/W(\mathcal{O}_C^b)) \rightarrow M_n$$

which is compatible with Frobenius homomorphisms. Here  $H_{\Delta}^1(Y/W(\mathcal{O}_C^b))$  is the first prismatic cohomology of  $Y$  (with respect to  $(W(\mathcal{O}_C^b), I_{\mathcal{O}_C})$ ) defined in [BS22]. Then, by [BS22, Theorem 1.8 (6)], we see that the cokernel of the Frobenius

$$\phi^* H_{\Delta}^1(Y/W(\mathcal{O}_C^b)) \rightarrow H_{\Delta}^1(Y/W(\mathcal{O}_C^b))$$

is killed by  $\xi$ , which in turn implies the claim. Since the image of  $\xi$  in  $W_n(\mathcal{O}_C)$  is a nonzerodivisor, it then follows that  $F_{M_n}$  is injective. Since  $F_M = \varprojlim_n F_{M_n}$ , we can conclude that the cokernel of  $F_M$  is killed by  $\xi$ .  $\square$

**Remark 7.2.3.** Our proof of Proposition 7.2.2 in the case where  $A = \mathcal{O}_C$  is slightly different from that given in [ALB23]. For example, we do not use [SW20, Proposition 14.9.4] (see the proof of [ALB23, Proposition 4.48]).

Finally, we recall the following classification theorem for  $p$ -divisible groups given in [ALB23]. Let  $R$  be a complete regular local ring with perfect residue field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, (\mathcal{E}))$  be a prism of Breuil–Kisin type, where  $\mathfrak{S} := W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ , with an isomorphism  $R \simeq \mathfrak{S}/\mathcal{E}$  which lifts  $\text{id}_k: k \rightarrow k$ .

**Theorem 7.2.4** (Anschütz–Le Bras [ALB23, Theorem 4.74, Theorem 5.12]).

(1) *The contravariant functor*

$$\{p\text{-divisible groups over } \text{Spec } R\} \rightarrow \{\text{prismatic Dieudonné crystals on } (R)_{\Delta}^{\text{op}}\}$$

*defined by  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{E}xt_{(R)_{\Delta}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta})$  is an anti-equivalence of categories.*

(2) *The contravariant functor*

$$\{p\text{-divisible groups over } \text{Spec } R\} \rightarrow \{\text{minuscule Breuil–Kisin modules over } (\mathfrak{S}, (\mathcal{E}))\}$$

*defined by  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \text{Ext}_{(\mathfrak{S}, (\mathcal{E}))_{\Delta}}^1(\underline{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{O}_{\Delta})$  is an anti-equivalence of categories.*

*Proof.* (1) This is a consequence of [ALB23, Theorem 4.74, Proposition 5.10].

(2) The assertion follows from (1) and Proposition 7.1.1. This result was already stated in [ALB23, Theorem 5.12], and the proof was given in the case where  $n \leq 1$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Kentaro Inoue, Arthur–César Le Bras, Kimihiko Li, and Samuel Marks for helpful discussions and comments. The author is also grateful to Teruhisa Koshikawa for answering some questions on prisms. The work of the author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 22K20332.

## REFERENCES

- [Ahs12] T. Ahsendorf,  $\mathcal{O}$ -displays and  $\pi$ -divisible formal  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, 2012, thesis, Universität Bielefeld.
- [ALB23] J. Anschütz and A.-C. Le Bras, Prismatic Dieudonné theory, *Forum Math. Pi* 11 (2023), Paper No. e2, 92.
- [Ara01] A. Arabia, Relèvements des algèbres lisses et de leurs morphismes, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 76 (2001), no. 4, 607–639.
- [Bar22] S. Bartling,  $\mathcal{G}$ - $\mu$ -displays and local shtuka, 2022, [arXiv:2206.13194](https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.13194).
- [BBM82] P. Berthelot, L. Breen and W. Messing, Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline. II, vol. 930 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [BL22a] B. Bhatt and J. Lurie, Absolute prismatic cohomology, 2022, [arXiv:2201.06120](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.06120).
- [BL22b] B. Bhatt and J. Lurie, The prismatic theory of  $p$ -adic formal schemes, 2022, [arXiv:2201.06124](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.06124).
- [BMS18] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow and P. Scholze, Integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 128 (2018), 219–397.
- [BMS19] B. Bhatt, M. Morrow and P. Scholze, Topological Hochschild homology and integral  $p$ -adic Hodge theory, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* 129 (2019), 199–310.

- [BS15] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, The pro-étale topology for schemes, *Astérisque* (2015), no. 369, 99–201.
- [BS21] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, Prismatic  $F$ -crystals and crystalline Galois representations, 2021, [arXiv:2106.14735](#).
- [BS22] B. Bhatt and P. Scholze, Prisms and prismatic cohomology, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 196 (2022), no. 3, 1135–1275.
- [Bor11] J. Borger, The basic geometry of Witt vectors, I: The affine case, *Algebra Number Theory* 5 (2011), no. 2, 231–285.
- [Bro13] M. Broshi,  $G$ -torsors over a Dedekind scheme, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 217 (2013), no. 1, 11–19.
- [BP20] O. Bültel and G. Pappas,  $(G, \mu)$ -displays and Rapoport-Zink spaces, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* 19 (2020), no. 4, 1211–1257.
- [Bü08] O. Bültel, PEL moduli spaces without  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued points, 2008, [arXiv:0808.4091](#).
- [CL17] B. Cais and E. Lau, Dieudonné crystals and Wach modules for  $p$ -divisible groups, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* 114 (2017), no. 4, 733–763.
- [ČS19] K. Česnavičius and P. Scholze, Purity for flat cohomology, 2019, [arXiv:1912.10932](#).
- [Che18] C. Cheng, Breuil  $\mathcal{O}$ -windows and  $\pi$ -divisible  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 370 (2018), no. 1, 695–726.
- [CGP15] B. Conrad, O. Gabber and G. Prasad, Pseudo-reductive groups, vol. 26 of *New Mathematical Monographs*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edn., 2015.
- [Dan21] P. Daniels, A Tannakian framework for  $G$ -displays and Rapoport-Zink spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2021 (2021), no. 22, 16963–17024.
- [Elk73] R. Elkik, Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 6 (1973), 553–603 (1974).
- [Fal02] G. Faltings, Group schemes with strict  $\mathcal{O}$ -action, Dedicated to Yuri I. Manin on the occasion of his 65th birthday, *Mosc. Math. J.* 2 (2002), no. 2, 249–279.
- [FS21] L. Fargues and P. Scholze, Geometrization of the local Langlands correspondence, 2021, [arXiv:2102.13459](#).
- [HG94] M. J. Hopkins and B. H. Gross, Equivariant vector bundles on the Lubin-Tate moduli space, in *Topology and representation theory* (Evanston, IL, 1992), vol. 158 of *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 23–88, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Ino21] K. Inoue, Construction of quasi-canonical liftings of K3 surfaces of finite height in odd characteristic, 2021, [arXiv:2108.11227](#).
- [Ito21] K. Ito,  $p$ -complete arc-descent for perfect complexes over integral perfectoid rings, 2021, [arXiv:2112.02443](#), to appear in *Mathematical Research Letters*.
- [Ito23] K. Ito, Deformation theory for prismatic  $G$ -displays, 2023, in preparation.
- [Kim12] W. Kim, The classification of  $p$ -divisible groups over 2-adic discrete valuation rings, *Math. Res. Lett.* 19 (2012), no. 1, 121–141.
- [Kis06] M. Kisin, Crystalline representations and  $F$ -crystals, in *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, vol. 253 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 459–496, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [Kis09] M. Kisin, Moduli of finite flat group schemes, and modularity, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 170 (2009), no. 3, 1085–1180.
- [Kis10] M. Kisin, Integral models for Shimura varieties of abelian type, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 23 (2010), no. 4, 967–1012.
- [LZ19] A. Langer and T. Zink, Grothendieck-Messing deformation theory for varieties of K3 type, *Tunis. J. Math.* 1 (2019), no. 4, 455–517.
- [Lau10] E. Lau, Frames and finite group schemes over complete regular local rings, *Doc. Math.* 15 (2010), 545–569.
- [Lau14] E. Lau, Relations between Dieudonné displays and crystalline Dieudonné theory, *Algebra Number Theory* 8 (2014), no. 9, 2201–2262.
- [Lau18] E. Lau, Dieudonné theory over semiperfect rings and perfectoid rings, *Compos. Math.* 154 (2018), no. 9, 1974–2004.
- [Lau21] E. Lau, Higher frames and  $G$ -displays, *Algebra Number Theory* 15 (2021), no. 9, 2315–2355.
- [Li22] K. Li, Prismatic and  $q$ -crystalline sites of higher level: an announcement, to appear in *RIMS Kôkyûroku* 2225 (2022).
- [Liu13] T. Liu, The correspondence between Barsotti-Tate groups and Kisin modules when  $p = 2$ , *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 25 (2013), no. 3, 661–676.

- [Lur] J. Lurie, Spectral algebraic geometry, <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>.
- [Mao21] Z. Mao, Revisiting derived crystalline cohomology, 2021, [arXiv:2107.02921](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.02921).
- [Mar23] S. Marks, Prismatic  $F$ -crystals and Lubin-Tate  $(\phi_q, \Gamma)$ -modules, 2023, [arXiv:2303.07620](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.07620).
- [Pap20] G. Pappas, On integral models of Shimura varieties, 2020, [arXiv:2003.13040](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.13040).
- [PR21] G. Pappas and M. Rapoport,  $p$ -adic shtukas and the theory of global and local Shimura varieties, 2021, [arXiv:2106.08270](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.08270).
- [Sch17] P. Schneider, Galois representations and  $(\varphi, \Gamma)$ -modules, vol. 164 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [SW20] P. Scholze and J. Weinstein, Berkeley lectures on  $p$ -adic geometry, vol. 389 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020.
- [Ser79] J.-P. Serre, Local fields, vol. 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1979, translated from the French by Marvin Jay Greenberg.
- [Sta18] T. Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2018.
- [SZ18] T. Szamuely and G. Z abradi, The  $p$ -adic Hodge decomposition according to Beilinson, in Algebraic geometry: Salt Lake City 2015, vol. 97 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pp. 495–572, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [Tia21] Y. Tian, Finiteness and Duality for the cohomology of prismatic crystals, 2021, [arXiv:2109.00801](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.00801).
- [VZ10] A. Vasiu and T. Zink, Breuil’s classification of  $p$ -divisible groups over regular local rings of arbitrary dimension, in Algebraic and arithmetic structures of moduli spaces (Sapporo 2007), vol. 58 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pp. 461–479, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2010.
- [Yek18] A. Yekutieli, Flatness and completion revisited, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* 21 (2018), no. 4, 717–736.
- [Yek21] A. Yekutieli, Weak proregularity, derived completion, adic flatness, and prisms, *J. Algebra* 583 (2021), 126–152.
- [Zin01a] T. Zink, A Dieudonn e theory for  $p$ -divisible groups, in Class field theory—its centenary and prospect (Tokyo, 1998), vol. 30 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pp. 139–160, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001.
- [Zin01b] T. Zink, Windows for displays of  $p$ -divisible groups, in Moduli of abelian varieties (Texel Island, 1999), vol. 195 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 491–518, Birkh user, Basel, 2001.

KAVLI INSTITUTE FOR THE PHYSICS AND MATHEMATICS OF THE UNIVERSE (WPI), THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, 5-1-5 KASHIWANOHA, KASHIWA, CHIBA, 277-8583, JAPAN

*Email address:* [kazuhiro.ito@ipmu.jp](mailto:kazuhiro.ito@ipmu.jp)