

Dynamical degrees of birational maps from indices of polynomials with respect to blow-ups I. General theory and 2D examples

Jaume Alonso Yuri B. Suris Kangning Wei

Institut für Mathematik, MA 7-1

Technische Universität Berlin, Str. des 17. Juni, 10623 Berlin, Germany

E-mail: alonso@math.tu-berlin.de, suris@math.tu-berlin.de, wei@math.tu-berlin.de

Abstract

In this paper we address the problem of computing $\deg(f^n)$, the degrees of iterates of a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$. For this goal, we develop a method based on two main ingredients: the factorization of a polynomial under pull-back of f , based on local indices of a polynomial associated to blow-ups used to resolve the contraction of hypersurfaces by f , and the propagation of these indices along orbits of f .

For maps admitting algebraically stable modifications $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$, where X is a variety obtained from \mathbb{P}^N by a finite number of blow-ups, this method leads to an algorithm producing a finite system of recurrent equations relating the degrees and indices of iterated pull-backs of linear polynomials. We illustrate the method by three representative two-dimensional examples. It is actually applicable in any dimension, and we will provide a number of three-dimensional examples as a separate companion paper.

1 Introduction

Birational maps belong to the most important objects of the theory of integrable systems. In fact, it was in the context of difference equations and the corresponding birational maps in which one of the most celebrated integrability criteria was formulated, namely the “*singularity confinement*” [39]. Although it soon became clear that this criterium is

neither necessary nor sufficient for integrability, its investigation and application has led to many important discoveries and developments. In particular, the whole area of discrete Painlevé equations and their geometric theory has been established [38, 44, 46, 56]. A closely related line of research deals with the so called QRT maps and their relatives [3, 24, 25, 33, 54, 55, 62]. An interpretation of the “singularity confinement” criterion in the language of projective and algebraic geometry [40, 41, 48, 59, 60], the introduction of the notion of “*algebraic entropy*”, and the establishment of the vanishing of algebraic entropy as a valid integrability criterium [19, 63] are the further hallmarks on this path.

It should be mentioned that one of the main sources of birational maps in the theory of integrable systems is the problem of *integrable discretization* of continuous time integrable systems. A large number of interesting examples with distinguished geometric properties appeared in the recent years in the context of Kahan-Hirota-Kimura discretization. Such a discretization produces for any quadratic vector field on \mathbb{R}^n a birational map of degree n on \mathbb{P}^n , see [42, 45, 47]. The study of remarkable conservation properties of this class of birational maps, including their integrability under certain circumstances, was initiated in [26, 27, 51, 52], and led to further findings.

Another line of research leading to the study of the same structures is the multi-dimensional complex dynamics. A representative though, of course, non-exhaustive list of relevant references includes [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 29, 30, 31, 32, 36, 37, 49, 58].

An important quantity associated to a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is the *dynamical degree* $\lambda(f)$, defined as $\lambda(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{1/n}$. Its logarithm $h(f) = \log(\lambda(f))$ is called the *algebraic entropy* of f , and its vanishing belongs to the most important indicators of integrability for discrete systems. The growth rate of the sequence $\deg(f^n)$ is, thus, crucial for understanding the dynamics. In the case of dimension $N = 2$, one can actually establish a precise correspondence between the growth rate of $\deg(f^n)$ and the underlying geometry of an algebraic surface [32]. In particular, $\deg(f^n) \sim n^2$ corresponds to an automorphism of an algebraic surface preserving a fibration of elliptic curves.

Our goal in this paper is even more ambitious than the computation of $\lambda(f)$ or the growth rate of $\deg(f^n)$ for a given birational map f , namely, it is the *exact computation* of all degrees $\deg(f^n)$. A general method for doing this is available in the literature quoted above, and consists in, first, lifting the map f on \mathbb{P}^N to a so called *algebraically stable* modification f_X on some variety X obtained from \mathbb{P}^N via a birational morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ (a composition of blow-up transformations), and, second, studying the induced linear action f_X^* on the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ (the free abelian group generated by divisor classes on X). A great advantage of this method is a reduction of a complicated

dynamical problem to a problem of linear algebra (studying powers of the matrix of f_X^* relative to a suitable basis).

The method we propose in the present paper is based on a detailed investigation of the main analytic phenomenon responsible for the fact that $\deg(f^n) \neq (\deg(f))^n$, namely the cancellation of common factors in the components of f^n . The most closely related method is that by Viallet [64, 65]. The main difference of his approach as opposed to ours is the following: he studies the peculiar factorization patterns in the components of f^n , which amounts to the study of iterated pull-backs of the *coordinate hyperplanes*; for doing this, the interplay between the operations of pull-back and push-forward plays a crucial role. For us, on the contrary, the main role is played by the iterated pull-backs of *generic hyperplanes*. Neither do we pay any special attention to the specific behavior of coordinate hyperplanes nor do we consider the push-forward operation.

Our method is based on the use of local indices of polynomials associated to blowing-ups coming from resolution of the singularities of the birational map. The factorization phenomenon mentioned above is based on the following fact. For a birational map f on \mathbb{P}^N (given by its minimal lift \tilde{f} to homogeneous coordinates, a polynomial map on \mathbb{C}^{N+1}) and for an irreducible homogeneous polynomial P on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} , the pull-back $f^*P = P \circ \tilde{f}$ need not be irreducible anymore; rather, it can acquire certain factors of geometric origin:

$$f^*P = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r K_i^{\nu_i(P)} \right) \tilde{P},$$

where \tilde{P} is irreducible and K_i are minimal generating polynomials of the irreducible components of the critical set $\mathcal{C}(f)$, defined by the equation $\det d\tilde{f} = 0$. Our first contribution is the exact computation of indices $\nu_i(P)$ for an arbitrary homogeneous polynomial P (see Theorem 4.5). It is based on the (local) blow-up maps used to resolve the contraction of the divisors $\{K_i = 0\}$ to the respective points p_i .

Based on this result, one defines inductively

$$P_{n+1} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r K_i^{-\nu_i(P_n)} \right) (f^*P_n),$$

then $\deg(f^n) = \deg(P_n)$ for a *generic* homogeneous polynomial P_0 of degree 1. Thus, the task of computing $\deg(P_n)$ is reduced to the task of determining the recurrent relations which express $\deg(P_{n+1})$ and $\nu_i(P_{n+1})$ through the data of the polynomial P_n . This is achieved by further blow-ups along the orbits of the points p_i . If f admits an algebraically stable modification f_X , the resulting system of recurrent relations is closed (finite). It is

then related to (but does not necessarily coincide with) the analogous system describing the powers of the linear map f_X^* on $\text{Pic}(X)$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we recall the basic notions and results related to rational and birational maps on \mathbb{P}^N , based mainly on [2, 30, 36, 43]. In Section 4 we discuss the problem of factorization of polynomials under pull-backs, introduce our *local index* of a polynomial associated to a blow-up, and prove the main Theorem 4.5. The propagation of the local indices under the birational map is studied in Section 5. The discussion here is not exhaustive but covers the most common and useful situations. These results are combined in Section 6 to an algorithm for computing the sequence of degrees $\deg(f^n) = \deg(P_n)$, which terminates if f admits an algebraically stable modification $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$. The more traditional algorithm based on the study of the action of f_X^* on $\text{Pic}(X)$ is presented in Section 7, and the two methods are compared in Section 8. The last three Sections 9–11 contain a detailed study of three two-dimensional examples, illustrating various aspects of our method and its realization for concrete maps.

It should be mentioned that our method can be applied to birational maps in all dimensions. In a companion paper, we will provide examples in dimension $N = 3$. Of course, in dimension $N = 2$ the geometry is more thoroughly understood, which gives several additional insights. For instance, our local indices can be interpreted as linear combinations of intersection numbers between divisors on the surface. In the higher dimensional cases, such an interpretation is not yet available.

2 Rational maps

The general setting for our discussion will be rational maps of \mathbb{P}^N ,

$$f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N, \quad [x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_N] \mapsto [X_0 : X_1 : \dots : X_N], \quad (2.1)$$

where $X_k = X_k(x_0, \dots, x_N)$, $k = 0, \dots, N$, are homogeneous polynomials of one and the same degree d without a non-trivial common factor. The number d is called the *degree* of f , denoted by $\deg(f)$. The polynomial map

$$\tilde{f} : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N+1}, \quad (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N) \mapsto (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_N) \quad (2.2)$$

will be called a *minimal lift* of f . It is defined up to a constant factor. To each rational map we associate:

- the *indeterminacy set* $\mathcal{I}(f)$ consisting of the points $[x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_N] \in \mathbb{P}^N$ for which $X_0 = X_1 = \dots = X_N = 0$; and

- the *critical set* $\mathcal{C}(f)$ consisting of the points $[x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_N] \in \mathbb{P}^N$ where $\det d\tilde{f} = 0$; the latter equation of degree $(N + 1)(d - 1)$ defines a variety of codimension 1.

The set of singular points $\mathcal{I}(f)$ has codimension at least 2. Otherwise, if f were singular on a codimension 1 variety defined by a polynomial equation $K = 0$, then the homogeneous polynomials X_0, X_1, \dots, X_N that define f would be divisible by K , which is a contradiction.

Let $\tilde{f}^k = \tilde{f} \circ \tilde{f} \circ \dots \circ \tilde{f}$ (k times). The components of this polynomial map may contain a nontrivial common factor: $\tilde{f}^k = K \cdot f^{[k]}$, where K is a homogeneous polynomial and components of $f^{[k]}$ have no nontrivial common factor, so that $f^{[k]}$ is a minimal lift of the rational map f^k on \mathbb{P}^n . We write

$$f^{[k]}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N) = (X_0^k, X_1^k, \dots, X_N^k).$$

Thus, $\deg(f^k)$ is the common degree of the homogeneous polynomials $X_0^k, X_1^k, \dots, X_N^k$ which equals $d^k - \deg K \leq d^k$. An understanding and a control of such a drop of degree is achieved based on the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let f and g be rational maps of \mathbb{P}^N with minimal lifts \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} . Then $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g}$ is not a minimal lift of $f \circ g$ and $\deg(f \circ g) < \deg f \cdot \deg g$ if and only if there exists a hypersurface A such that $g(A) \subset \mathcal{I}(f)$. Such a hypersurface, if exists, has to be a subset of $\mathcal{C}(g)$.*

Proof. If the map $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g}$ is not a minimal lift of $f \circ g$ then there is a homogeneous polynomial a which divides all $N + 1$ components of $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g}$. Let $A = \{a = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be the hypersurface defined by a . Then \tilde{f} vanishes at the lift of $g(A)$ to \mathbb{C}^{N+1} , so that $g(A) \subset \mathcal{I}(f)$. Conversely, for any hypersurface A with this property, $\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{g}$ vanishes on the lift of A to \mathbb{C}^{N+1} , and all $N + 1$ components of this map are divisible by a minimal defining polynomial of A . Finally, we show that a hypersurface with this property has to be a subset of $\mathcal{C}(g)$: indeed, if A would contain non-critical point of g then the image $g(A)$ would contain a piece of codimension 1 and therefore could not be contained in the variety $\mathcal{I}(f)$ of codimension 2. \square

A specialization to the most important case, namely when g is an iterate of f , leads to the following definition and corollary.

Definition 2.2. A hypersurface $A = \{a = 0\} \subset \mathcal{C}(f)$ is called a *degree lowering hypersurface* if $f^k(A) \subset \mathcal{I}(f)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2.3. *For a rational map f of \mathbb{P}^N , we have $\deg(f^n) = (\deg f)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if it does not possess a degree lowering hypersurface. If such a hypersurface exists, then the dynamical degree of the map f , defined as*

$$\lambda(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\deg(f^n))^{1/n}, \quad (2.3)$$

is strictly less than $\deg f$.

Proof. The first statement follows directly from Proposition 2.1. For the second one, set $d_n = \deg(f^n)$. Then $d_{n+m} \leq d_n d_m$ for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and as a consequence of Fekete lemma [35], the limit in (2.3) always exists, cf. [58]. If there exists a hypersurface A with $f^k(A) \subset \mathcal{I}(f)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then by Proposition 2.1 we have $d_{k+1} = \deg(f^{k+1}) = \deg(f \circ f^k) < (\deg f)^{k+1}$, so that $(d_{k+1})^{1/(k+1)} < \deg f$. By induction, $(d_{(k+1)n})^{1/(k+1)n} \leq (d_{k+1})^{1/(k+1)}$. Therefore, $\lambda(f) \leq (d_{k+1})^{1/(k+1)} < \deg f$. \square

3 Birational maps

Definition 3.1. A rational map $f_+ : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is called birational if there is a rational map $f_- : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ such that $f_- \circ f_+ = id$ and $f_+ \circ f_- = id$ away from some subvariety of codimension 1.

This means that

$$\tilde{f}_- \circ \tilde{f}_+ = K_+ \cdot id, \quad \tilde{f}_+ \circ \tilde{f}_- = K_- \cdot id, \quad (3.1)$$

where K_+ and K_- are homogeneous polynomials. The degrees $d_+ = \deg(f_+)$ and $d_- = \deg(f_-)$ need not coincide. Notice that $\deg(K_+) = \deg(K_-) = d_+ d_- - 1$.

Proposition 3.2. [30, Proposition 3.3 and Corollary 3.6]

- a) *The image of $\mathcal{C}(f_+) \setminus \mathcal{I}(f_+)$ under the map f_+ belongs to $\mathcal{I}(f_-)$ (in particular, it is of codimension ≥ 2).*
- b) *There holds $\mathcal{I}(f_+) \subset \mathcal{C}(f_+)$. Moreover, every irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}(f_+)$ contracted by f_+ to a point contains a codimension 2 subvariety from $\mathcal{I}(f_+)$.*
- c) *The restriction $f_+ : \mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathcal{C}(f_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N \setminus \mathcal{C}(f_-)$ is biregular.*
- d) *The polynomial K_+ is a (in general non-minimal) defining polynomial of $\mathcal{C}(f_+)$, so that $\mathcal{C}(f_+) = \{K_+ = 0\}$.*

Proof. These statements are well known and can be found in the classical book [43] and in a more modern presentation (for 2D only) in [2, 30, 36]. \square

Thus, an important feature of a birational map f_+ (which does not hold for general rational maps) is that it contracts its critical set to a variety of codimension ≥ 2 . Notice that neither $\det(df_+)$ nor K_+ must be a minimal defining polynomial of the critical set $\mathcal{C}(f_+)$. The degree $\deg(\det(df_+))$ equals $(N+1)(d_+-1)$, while $\deg(K_+) = d_+d_- - 1$. In any case, if we denote by K_1, \dots, K_r the minimal defining polynomials of the irreducible components of the critical set $\mathcal{C}(f_+)$, then

$$\det(\tilde{f}_+) = \prod_{i=1}^r K_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad K_+ = \prod_{i=1}^r K_i^{\beta_i}, \quad \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In what follows, we will denote a birational map f_+ simply by f , and we will call f_- the *birational inverse* of f , and write $f_- = f^{-1}$. Let $A \subset \mathcal{C}(f)$ be a degree lowering hypersurface. According to Proposition 3.2, part a), the image $f(A) \subset \mathcal{I}(f^{-1})$ is a variety of codimension ≥ 2 . For $N = 2$, this means $f(A)$ is a point, but for $N \geq 3$, $f(A)$ can be more complicated. If the dimension of $f(A)$ is ≥ 1 , then the images of $f(A)$ under further iterates of f become more and more complicated algebraic varieties (i.e. of higher and higher degree). Therefore it becomes increasingly difficult to arrange (by adjusting parameters of the map f , say) that some $f^k(A)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{I}(f)$. One can hope to be able to arrange this only if $f(A)$ is a point, so that some iterate of this point under f^{k-1} has to land at a point belonging to $\mathcal{I}(f)$. Therefore, we make the following assumption:

Assumption 3.3. *All degree lowering components of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ are contracted by f to points.*

We stress that this condition is satisfied in all our examples. Of course, there could exist interesting examples where this assumption is violated.

4 Control of factorization under pull-back: local indices

The question whether the $N+1$ components of \tilde{f}^k have a common factor, so that $\deg(f^k) < (\deg(f))^k$, reduces to the problem of factorization and common factors of the successive pull-backs of the coordinate monomials x_0, \dots, x_N , where the pull-back of a homogeneous polynomial $P = P(x_0, \dots, x_N)$ is defined as

$$f^*P = P \circ \tilde{f}. \tag{4.1}$$

The role of components of the critical set $\mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted to points is clarified by the following statement.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a birational map, let $\{K = 0\}$ be an irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ (with K being its minimal defining polynomial), such that f contracts $\{K = 0\} \setminus \mathcal{I}(f)$ to a point $p \in \mathcal{I}(f^{-1})$. Then, for any homogeneous polynomial P such that $\{P = 0\}$ passes through the point p , its pull-back f^*P is divisible by K . Moreover, if the multiplicity of the point p on the hypersurface $\{P = 0\}$ is $m \geq 1$, then f^*P is divisible by K^m .*

Informally speaking, each branch of a hypersurface $\{P = 0\}$ through the singularity $p \in \mathcal{I}(f^{-1})$ causes an extra factor K in the pull-back of the polynomial P under f to appear.

Proof. We start with the case $m = 1$. We have: $f^*P(x_0, \dots, x_N) = P(\tilde{f}(x_0, \dots, x_N))$. By hypothesis, whenever $[x_0 : \dots : x_N] \in \mathbb{P}^N$ satisfies $K(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0$ (and does not belong to $\mathcal{I}(f)$), its image $\tilde{f}(x_0, \dots, x_N) = \tilde{p}$ is a lift of p , so that $P(\tilde{f}(x_0, \dots, x_N)) = P(\tilde{p}) = 0$. Thus, $P \circ \tilde{f} = f^*P$ is divisible by K .

In the general case $m \geq 1$, we assume, without loss of generality, that $p = [1 : p_1 : \dots : p_N]$. Set $\xi_i = x_i/x_0$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. We have the Taylor expansion at p :

$$P(1, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_N \geq m} c_{i_1 \dots i_N} (\xi_1 - p_1)^{i_1} \cdots (\xi_N - p_N)^{i_N} \quad (4.2)$$

(the sum is finite). We denote $\tilde{f}(x_0, \dots, x_N) = (X_0, \dots, X_N)$. By hypothesis, polynomials $X_i - p_i X_0$ with $i = 1, \dots, N$ vanish as soon as $K(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0$, thus, they are divisible by $K(x_0, \dots, x_N) = 0$. This implies that (every term of) the polynomial

$$P(X_0, \dots, X_N) = \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_N \geq m} c_{i_1 \dots i_N} (X_1 - p_1 X_0)^{i_1} \cdots (X_N - p_N X_0)^{i_N} X_0^{\deg(P) - i_1 - \dots - i_N}$$

is divisible by K^m . □

One can prove a similar statement for any irreducible component $\{K = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ whose f -image is a variety of dimension between 1 and $N - 2$, namely that f^*P acquires a factor which is a certain power of K if $\{P = 0\}$ contains the f -image of $\{K = 0\}$. However, due to Assumption 3.3 we do not consider such components any further.

Definition 4.2. Given a homogeneous polynomial P , its pull-back under f is given by

$$f^*P = K_1^{\nu_1} \cdots K_r^{\nu_r} \tilde{P}, \quad (4.3)$$

where K_1, \dots, K_r are the minimal defining polynomials of the components of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted by f to points, and \tilde{P} is not divisible by any of K_1, \dots, K_r . We call the polynomial \tilde{P} the *proper pull-back of P under f* .

It is important to notice that Proposition 4.1 gives actually only a lower bound for the exponents ν_i in (4.3), namely

$$\nu_i \geq \text{multiplicity of the point } p_i = f(\{K_i = 0\}) \text{ on } \{P = 0\}. \quad (4.4)$$

To determine these exponents precisely, we use the concept of blow-up: given an N -dimensional variety Y , the *blow-up* at a point $p \in Y$ is a variety X and a birational map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $E := \pi^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{P}^{N-1}$, and $\pi : X \setminus E \rightarrow Y \setminus \{p\}$ is biregular. We write $X = \text{Bl}_p(Y)$. The hypersurface $E \subset X$ is called the *exceptional divisor* of the blow-up. In particular, if $Y = \mathbb{P}^N$ then π is the famous *sigma-process*, cf. [57], conveniently written in homogeneous coordinates $[x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_N]$ as follows. Suppose (without loss of generality) that p lies in the affine space $\{x_0 \neq 0\}$, so that $p = [1 : p_1 : \dots : p_N]$. Then, in one of the affine coordinate patches on $\text{Bl}_p(\mathbb{P}^N)$ around E , with coordinates (u_1, \dots, u_N) , the projection π is given by

$$\pi : x_0 = 1, \quad x_1 = p_1 + u_1, \quad x_2 = p_2 + u_1 u_2, \quad \dots \quad x_N = p_N + u_1 u_N. \quad (4.5)$$

The exceptional divisor E in $\text{Bl}_p(\mathbb{P}^N)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^{N-1} given in affine coordinates by $\{u_1 = 0\}$. For any hypersurface H in Y , the preimage $\pi^{-1}(H)$ is called the *total transform* of H in the blow-up variety X , while $\pi^{-1}(H \setminus \{p\})$ is called the *proper transform* of H .

Blow-ups can be used to *resolve* singularities of birational maps. Generically, if f contracts $\{K = 0\}$ to a point p , then the lift of f to $\text{Bl}_p(\mathbb{P}^N)$ maps (the proper transform of) $\{K = 0\}$ to E birationally. In such a case, we say that the singularity p of f^{-1} is *simple*. In more complicated cases, the lift of f to $\text{Bl}_p(\mathbb{P}^N)$ could still contract $\{K = 0\}$ to a subvariety of E of codimension > 1 . Then a further blow-up of this image is necessary. The expressions for a blow-up of a subvariety are similar to (4.5), see [57], and can always be arranged to be polynomial in suitable affine coordinates. The successive blow-ups are performed until the lift of f maps $\{K = 0\}$ birationally to a subvariety of codimension 1, in which case we say that the singularity $p \in \mathcal{I}(f^{-1})$ has been resolved.

Assuming that the process of successive blow-ups terminates, we obtain, for each irreducible component $\{K = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted to a point p , a top level blow-up at p , whose exceptional divisor is a birational image of $\{K_i = 0\}$. Assume without loss of generality that p lies in the affine space $x_0 \neq 0$. Then the above mentioned top level blow-up at p can be described by a local change of coordinate

$$\phi : (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N) \rightarrow [1 : x_1 : \dots : x_N],$$

in which the exceptional divisor E is given by $\{u_1 = 0\}$. We may assume that all components $x_k(u_1, \dots, u_N)$ are polynomials such that $[1 : x_1 : \dots : x_N]|_{u_1=0} = p$.

Moreover ϕ is birational, so the functions $u_i = u_i(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ are rational. They can (and should) be naturally considered also as rational functions in homogeneous coordinates, $u_i = u_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N)$. Since f establishes a birational map of $\{K = 0\}$ to E , we can assume that

$$f^*u_1 = K \cdot \tilde{u}_1, \quad f^*u_2 = \tilde{u}_2, \quad \dots \quad f^*u_N = \tilde{u}_N,$$

where neither of the rational functions \tilde{u}_i is constant on $\{K = 0\}$ (in particular, neither of \tilde{u}_i vanishes identically on $\{K = 0\}$).

Definition 4.3. The *local index* $\nu_\phi(P)$ of a homogeneous polynomial $P = P(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N)$ with respect to the blow-up map ϕ is defined by

$$P \circ \phi = P(1, x_1(u), \dots, x_N(u)) = u_1^{\nu_\phi(P)} \bar{P}(u_1, \dots, u_N), \quad (4.6)$$

where \bar{P} is a polynomial such that $\bar{P}(0, u_2, \dots, u_N) \neq 0$.

Thus, $\nu_\phi(P)$ is the highest degree of u_1 which divides the polynomial $P \circ \phi$.

Remark 4.4. If p is a simple singularity of f^{-1} , and ϕ is the standard sigma-process (4.5), then $\nu_\phi(P)$ coincides with the multiplicity of point p on the hypersurface $\{P = 0\}$.

This follows directly from formula (4.5).

Theorem 4.5. For a birational map f of \mathbb{P}^N , let $\{K = 0\}$ be a component of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ mapped by f to a point p . Let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ be a blow-up map over p such that the lift of f to X maps the proper image of $\{K = 0\}$ in X birationally to the exceptional divisor $\{u_1 = 0\}$. Then, for any homogeneous polynomial P , the pull-back f^*P is divisible by $K^{\nu_\phi(P)}$, but not by any higher power of K .

Proof. We have:

$$f^*P(x) = (f^*u_1)^{\nu_\phi(P)} \bar{P}(f^*u_1, f^*u_2, \dots, f^*u_N) = K^{\nu_\phi(P)} \tilde{u}_1^{\nu_\phi(P)} \bar{P}(K\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots, \tilde{u}_N).$$

This function, by definition, is a polynomial on x_0, \dots, x_N . The last formula shows that this polynomial is divisible by $K^{\nu_\phi(P)}$ and by no higher degree of K , since $\bar{P}(0, u_2, \dots, u_N) \neq 0$. \square

This theorem will be illustrated by concrete computations of the involved objects and changes of variables in the examples below, compare Propositions 10.2, 11.2.

5 Propagation of local indices

Now that we have control over the possible factorizations of polynomials under the pull-back, we apply this to the problem of the exact computation of degrees of iterates of f .

Definition 5.1. Given a homogeneous polynomial $P = P_0$, we define inductively the polynomials P_n for $n \geq 1$ by

$$f^* P_n = K_1^{\nu_1(n)} \cdots K_r^{\nu_r(n)} P_{n+1}, \quad (5.1)$$

where K_1, \dots, K_r are the minimal defining polynomials of the components of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted by f to points, and P_{n+1} is not divisible by any of K_1, \dots, K_r , so that P_{n+1} is the proper pull-back of P_n under f .

Proposition 5.2. *For a generic hyperplane $P = P_0$, we have $\deg(f^n) = \deg(P_n)$.*

Proof. Recall that $\deg(f^n)$ can be determined as $\deg(f^{[n]})$, where $f^{[n]}$ is defined by the relation $(\tilde{f})^n = K \cdot f^{[n]}$, where \tilde{f} is the minimal lift of the map f , and K is the common factor appearing in all $N + 1$ components of $(\tilde{f})^n$. The $N + 1$ components are nothing else but the n -th pullback polynomials of the coordinate functions x_i , $i = 0, \dots, N$. Clearly, K can be also defined as the maximal factor that splits off the n -th iterated pullback $(f^*)^n P_0$ for a generic linear form $P_0 = P_0(x_0, \dots, x_N)$. This proves the statement. \square

Thus, to determine $\deg(f^n)$, we have to compute, for a generic linear polynomial P_0 , the precise sequences of exponents $\nu_i(n)$ for all components $\{K_i = 0\} \subset \mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted by f to points. In the present section, we relate the sequence of exponents $\nu(n)$ for an irreducible component $\{K = 0\} \subset \mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted by f to a point p , to certain local indices defined at the points of the orbit $f^m(p)$. We show how the local indices can be propagated along such an orbit. We do not provide a complete treatment, but rather discuss several representative cases which allow us to give a full account in our examples.

- **Case 1:** If $f^{m-1}(p) \notin \mathcal{C}(f)$, the map f is biregular in a neighborhood of $f^{m-1}(p)$, we can transfer the tower of blow-ups from $f^{m-1}(p)$ to $f^m(p)$. This is done as follows: let ϕ_{m-1} be the blow-up at $f^{m-1}(p)$; it is a composition of elementary blow-ups along subvarieties, and the exceptional divisor E_{m-1} of the top-level elementary blow-up is a birational image of the (proper transform of) $\{K = 0\}$ under (the lift of) f^{m-1} . The lift of f still contracts E_{m-1} to the point $f^m(p)$. To resolve this singularity, we perform the algorithm described in the previous section. Namely, we perform the

sequence of blow ups at $f^m(p)$ until we arrive at an exceptional divisor E_m of the top-level elementary blow-up which is a birational image of E_{m-1} . Actually, due to the biregular nature of f at the neighborhood of $f^{m-1}(p)$, the structure of the sequence of blow-ups at $f^m(p)$ (i.e., the number of elementary blow ups and the dimensions of the subvarieties we blow up) is isomorphic to the analogous structure at $f^{m-1}(p)$. The composition of the so constructed elementary blow-ups will be ϕ_m , the blow-up at $f^m(p)$. Since all $K_i \neq 0$ at $f^{m-1}(p)$, we derive from (5.1):

$$\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(P_{n+1}) = \nu_{\phi_m}(P_n). \quad (5.2)$$

- **Case 2:** Now consider the case $f^{m-1}(p) \in \mathcal{C}(f) \setminus \mathcal{I}(f)$. As in case 1, let ϕ_{m-1} be the blow-up at $f^{m-1}(p)$ such that the exceptional divisor E_{m-1} of the top-level elementary blow-up is a birational image of the (proper transform of) $\{K_i = 0\}$ under (the lift of) f^{m-1} . As in case 1, the lift of f still contracts E_{m-1} to the point $f^m(p)$, and we resolve this singularity by blowing up at the point $f^m(p)$ until E_{m-1} is birationally mapped to the top level exceptional divisor E_m at $f^m(p)$. However, this time the exact structure of the sequence of blow-ups (the number of elementary blow ups and the dimensions of the subvarieties we blow up) at $f^m(p)$ depends on the map f and is not isomorphic to the analogous structure at $f^{m-1}(p)$. In Section 10 we consider an example where the point $p_1 \in \mathcal{C}(f) \setminus \mathcal{I}(f)$ falls into this case, and where we need two blow-ups at p_1 and three blow-ups at $p_2 = f(p_1)$.

To determine the index of P_{n+1} at E_{m-1} , we have to consider the relation (5.1) upon the blow-up at the point $f^{m-1}(p)$,

$$\phi_{m-1} : (u_1, \dots, u_N) \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_N], \quad (5.3)$$

in which the exceptional divisor E_{m-1} is given by $\{u_1 = 0\}$. We have also a blow up chart

$$\phi_m : (\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_N) \mapsto [x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_N],$$

in which the exceptional divisor E_m is given by $\{\tilde{u}_1 = 0\}$. The map between $\{u_1 = 0\}$ and $\{\tilde{u}_1 = 0\}$ established by f is birational. Since $f^{m-1}(p) \notin \mathcal{I}(f)$, the expression $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1}$ does not vanish identically at $u_1 = 0$, and it is convenient to consider the map $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1}$ as an affine chart for the blow-up at $f^m(p)$ in which E_m is parametrized as $\{u_1 = 0\}$. Thus, we can assume that

$$\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1} = \phi_m. \quad (5.4)$$

This allows us to evaluate the left-hand side of (5.1) at ϕ_{m-1} :

$$f^*(P_n) \circ \phi_{m-1} = P_n(\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1}) = P_n(\phi_m).$$

Further, some components $\{K_i = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ pass through the point $f^{m-1}(p)$. For such components, we have

$$K_i \circ \phi_{m-1} \sim u_1^{\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(K_i)}. \quad (5.5)$$

Plugging all this into (5.1), we find:

$$\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(P_{n+1}) = \nu_{\phi_m}(P_n) - \nu_1(n)\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(K_1) - \dots - \nu_r(n)\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(K_r). \quad (5.6)$$

This formula comes to replace (5.2) in the present case.

- **Case 3:** Finally, we consider the case $f^{m-1}(p) \in \mathcal{I}(f)$. We can have different possibilities concerning the fate of the top-level exceptional divisor E_{m-1} .

- (a) It can happen that E_{m-1} is mapped birationally to some irreducible component of $\mathcal{C}(f^{-1})$. This is related to the notion of “singularity confinement”, cf. [19]. To determine the index of P_{n+1} at E_{m-1} , we have to consider the relation (5.1) upon the blow-up (5.3) at the point $f^{m-1}(p)$, in which the exceptional divisor E_{m-1} is given by $\{u_1 = 0\}$. Since $f^{m-1}(p) \in \mathcal{I}(f)$, all $N + 1$ components of $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1}$ vanish at $u_1 = 0$, therefore, every component is divisible by some power of u_1 . Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be the the power of the greatest common divisor of the form u_1^s of the $N + 1$ components of $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1}$. Then $u_1^{-s}(\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1})|_{u_1=0}$ is a parametrization of the corresponding component of $\mathcal{C}(f^{-1})$. Since generically the polynomial P_n does not vanish identically on this component of $\mathcal{C}(f^{-1})$, we have:

$$(f^*P_n) \circ \phi_{m-1} \sim u_1^{s \deg(P_n)}.$$

Further, some components $\{K_i = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ pass through the point $f^{m-1}(p)$. For such components, we have formula (5.5). Plugging all this into (5.1), we find:

$$\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(P_{n+1}) = s \deg(P_n) - \nu_1(n)\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(K_1) - \dots - \nu_r(n)\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(K_r). \quad (5.7)$$

- (b) If E_{m-1} is not mapped birationally to some component of $\mathcal{C}(f^{-1})$, the fate of the exceptional divisor E_{m-1} under f could be much more complicated. Instead of trying to classify all possible situations, we shall consider one particular case which is illustrated by the example of Section 11. In this case, E_{m-1} is mapped birationally to a top-level exceptional divisor of a blow-up at some point from $\mathcal{I}(f)$. This point can be denoted by $f^m(p) \in \mathcal{I}(f)$ (which is of course an abuse of notation), and the image by f of E_{m-1} can be denoted by E_m . To determine the index of P_{n+1} at E_{m-1} , we have to consider the relation (5.1) upon the

blow-up (5.3) at the point $f^{m-1}(p)$, in which the exceptional divisor E_{m-1} is given by $\{u_1 = 0\}$. Since $f^{m-1}(p) \in \mathcal{I}(f)$, all $N + 1$ components of $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1}$ vanish at $u_1 = 0$, therefore, every component is divisible by some power of u_1 . Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be the the power of the greatest common divisor of the form u_1^s of the $N + 1$ components of $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1}$. Then we can use the map

$$\phi_m := u_1^{-s}(\tilde{f} \circ \phi_{m-1})$$

as an affine chart for the blow-up at $f^m(p)$ in which E_m is parametrized as $\{u_1 = 0\}$. We have:

$$(f^* P_n) \circ \phi_{m-1} \sim u_1^{s \deg(P_n)} u_1^{\nu_{\phi_m}(P_n)}.$$

Further, some components $\{K_i = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ pass through the point $f^{m-1}(p)$. For such components, we have (5.5), as before. Plugging all this into (5.1), we find:

$$\nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(P_{n+1}) = s \deg(P_n) + \nu_{\phi_m}(P_n) - \nu_1(n) \nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(K_1) - \dots - \nu_r(n) \nu_{\phi_{m-1}}(K_r). \quad (5.8)$$

This formula can be considered as a mixture of (5.6) and (5.7).

6 Algorithm for computing $\deg(f^n)$

Based on the results of two previous sections, we now propose an algorithm for computing the degrees of the iterates of a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ satisfying Assumption 3.3:

- **Step 1:** For all irreducible components $\{K_i = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted by f to points p_i , perform the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^N so that the lift of f to the blow-up variety maps the proper transform of $\{K_i = 0\}$ birationally to the corresponding exceptional divisor of a (local) blow-up map ϕ_i , as described in Section 4.
- **Step 2:** Propagate the blow-ups along the orbits of the points p_i , as described in Section 5.
- **Step 3:** For a generic homogeneous polynomial P_0 , define its iterated proper pull-backs P_n by Definition 5.1. Compute the indices of P_n along the orbits of p_i according to formulas for the propagation of indices, see (5.2), (5.6), (5.7), and (5.8). Compute the degrees of P_n according to the obvious consequence of (5.1):

$$\deg(P_{n+1}) = d_+ \deg(P_n) - \nu_1(n) \deg(K_1) - \dots - \nu_r(n) \deg(K_r). \quad (6.1)$$

Here, according to Theorem 4.5, the numbers $\nu_i(n)$ are local indices of the polynomial P_n at the blow ups resolving the contraction of components $\{K_i = 0\}$ to corresponding points.

This algorithm gives a system of recurrence relations for the desired degrees $\deg(f^n) = \deg(P_n)$. However, in general this algorithm does not terminate, so that the system of recurrent relations is infinite. There are two scenarios leading to a finite (closed) system of recurrent relations.

- The orbit $\{f^m(p)\}$ does not land at $\mathcal{I}(f)$, so that the component $\{K = 0\} \subset \mathcal{C}(f)$ is not degree lowering. In this situation we are in the case 1) for all $m \geq 1$. For a generic polynomial P_0 , the varieties $\{P_n = 0\}$ for the proper pull-backs P_n will avoid the sequence of points $\{f^m(p)\}$, and the recurrent formula (5.2) implies $\nu_{\phi_m}(P_n) = 0$.
- The orbit of point p lands after a finite number of iterates with the case 3 (a), so that the singularity $p \in \mathcal{I}(f^{-1})$ is confined in the sense of [19]. The right-hand side of the corresponding recurrent formula (5.7) does not contain $\nu_{\phi_m}(P_n)$, which terminates the corresponding part of the recurrent system.

If all points $p_i = f(\{K_i = 0\})$ fall into one of these two cases, we consider the variety X obtained upon blowing up all orbits of p_i corresponding to degree lowering hypersurfaces. Denote by f_X the lift of f to X . Then f_X has the following fundamental property.

Definition 6.1. A rational map $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$ of an algebraic variety X is called *algebraically stable* if it does not possess degree lowering hypersurfaces.

Summarizing, we developed an algorithm for computing degrees of iterates for birational maps which admit algebraically stable modifications. Moreover, there exist examples when our algorithm of blowing up does *not* terminate but still allows us to compute the full sequence of degrees $\deg(P_n)$, see the example in Section 11.

7 Alternative method for computing $\deg(f^n)$: Picard group

In the dimension $N = 2$, Diller and Favre [32] proved the following result:

Theorem 7.1. *Let f be a birational map on \mathbb{P}^2 , then there exists a proper modification $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, i.e. a composition of finitely many sigma-processes, such that f is lifted to an algebraically stable map f_X on X .*

The following algorithm for finding an algebraically stable modification for a birational map f on \mathbb{P}^2 was proposed in [32]; a proof that it terminates after a finite number of steps was given in [20]. To formulate the algorithm, one needs the notion of a minimal destabilizing orbit.

Definition 7.2. An orbit $p, f(p), \dots, f^n(p)$ is called *destablizing* if $f^{-1}(p)$ is a curve and $f^n(p) \in \mathcal{I}(f)$. An orbit is called *minimal destablizing* if, in addition, the points $p_j = f^j(p)$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ are distinct, and we have $p_j \notin \mathcal{I}(f^{-1})$ for $0 < j \leq n$ and $p_j \notin \mathcal{I}(f)$ for $0 \leq j < n$.

Note that $f^{-1}(p)$ in this definition is exactly a degree lowering curve in the sense of Definition 2.2. The following simple algorithm produces an algebraically stable map:

1. Set $m = 0$, $X_0 = \mathbb{P}^2$ and $f_0 = f : X_0 \dashrightarrow X_0$.
2. Induction in m : if $f_m : X_m \dashrightarrow X_m$ is not algebraically stable, pick a minimal destabilizing orbit p_0, \dots, p_n (such an orbit always exists), and blow up each of the points p_j to produce the surface X_{m+1} ; denote by $f_{m+1} : X_{m+1} \dashrightarrow X_{m+1}$ the lift of f_m to X_{m+1} . Repeat until the resulting map is algebraically stable.

We note that the blow-ups in this algorithm are performed in the different order from blow-ups in our algorithm in Section 6.

In the case when a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ can be lifted to an algebraically stable map $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$, there exists another method for computing the degrees, which relates the degrees $\deg(f^n)$ to the induced linear action f_X^* on the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ of the variety X . In particular, this method is always applicable in dimension $N = 2$ (according to Theorem 7.1). Applications of this method in dimension $N = 2$ are already abundant in the literature, see [9, 10, 13, 15, 16, 32, 49, 59, 60]. For applications in dimension $N > 2$, we refer to [12, 17, 25].

Recall that for a variety X one can define its Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ as the free abelian group generated by the divisor classes on X . For a variety obtained from blowing ups on \mathbb{P}^N , one has an explicit description of $\text{Pic}(X)$. If X is obtained from \mathbb{P}^N by a sequence of n point blow-ups, and $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$ are the total transforms of the centers of the blow-ups in X , then $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}_n$, where H is the divisor class of the proper transform of a generic hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^N . The divisor \mathcal{E}_i is called the exceptional divisor associated with the i -th blow-up.

An important equivalent condition of algebraic stability is given in terms of $\text{Pic}(X)$. Recall that every birational map f_X on X induces a linear action f_X^* on $\text{Pic}(X)$ via pull-back.

Proposition 7.3. *A rational map $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$ is algebraically stable if and only if $(f_X^n)^* = (f_X^*)^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

We refer to [32] for a proof in the case of dimension $N = 2$, where the arguments can be easily generalized to arbitrary dimensions.

Given a birational map f on \mathbb{P}^N , lifted to an algebraically stable map f_X on a blow-up variety X , its degree $\deg(f)$ equals the coefficient of H in the expansion of the divisor class f_X^*H in the basis $H, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$, or, in other words, the (H, H) entry of the matrix corresponding to the action f_X^* in this basis. According to Proposition 7.3, we have:

Proposition 7.4. *For an algebraically stable rational map $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$ which is a lift of a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ to a blow-up variety X , the degree $\deg(f^n)$ equals the (H, H) entry of the matrix $(f_X^*)^n$ relative to the basis $H, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$.*

We now give a computational interpretation of this result. For a homogeneous polynomial P on \mathbb{C}^{N+1} , denote by $[P]$ the proper transform of the divisor $\{P = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ with respect to the modification $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$. In the above mentioned basis of $\text{Pic}(X)$, we have an expansion for the divisor class of $[P]$:

$$[P] = \deg(P)H - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i(P)\mathcal{E}_i \quad (7.1)$$

(this can be considered as the definition of the coefficients $\mu_i(P)$).

Proposition 7.5. *Suppose that an algebraically stable modification $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$ of a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$ is an automorphism. Then for the proper pull-back \tilde{P} of a homogeneous polynomial P (see (4.3)), we have:*

$$[\tilde{P}] = f_X^*[P]. \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. After lifting f to an automorphism f_X , formula (4.3) could be interpreted as a correspondence between divisors under the pull back of f_X :

$$[f^*P] = [\tilde{P}] + \sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i[K_i] + \text{linear combination of exceptional divisors.}$$

By linearity of f_X^* , it suffices to consider the case when P is an irreducible polynomial. In this case the proper transform $[P]$ is an irreducible divisor in X , whose pull back under f_X^* must be an irreducible divisor as well, since f_X is an automorphism. Thus, $f_X^*[P]$ must be an irreducible component of the right-hand side depending on P . The only such component is $[\tilde{P}]$. \square

Corollary 7.6. *For the sequence of iterated proper pull-backs of a homogeneous polynomial P_0 , we have:*

$$[P_{n+1}] = f_X^*[P_n].$$

As a consequence, the matrix of the linear system which expresses the coefficients $\deg(P_{n+1})$, $\mu_i(P_{n+1})$ through the coefficients $\deg(P_n)$, $\mu_i(P_n)$ is nothing but the matrix of the map $f_X^ : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ relative to the above mentioned basis, conjugated by $\text{diag}(1, -1, \dots, -1)$.*

Remark 7.7. *In dimension $N = 2$, the Picard group carries an additional structure of a non-degenerate scalar product of the signature $(1, n)$, the so called intersection number, defined by*

$$H \cdot H = 1, \quad H \cdot \mathcal{E}_i = 0, \quad \mathcal{E}_i \cdot \mathcal{E}_j = -\delta_{ij}.$$

In terms of the intersection product of divisors, the definition of the coefficients in (7.1) can be read as follows:

$$\deg(P) = [P] \cdot H, \quad \mu_i(P) = [P] \cdot \mathcal{E}_i.$$

If f_X is an automorphism (this is equivalent to the absence of contracted curves), then f_X^ preserves the intersection product, i.e., is Minkowski-orthogonal, and the matrix of f_X^* , conjugated by $\text{diag}(1, -1, \dots, -1)$, is nothing but the transposed matrix of $(f_X)_*$ relative to the basis $H, \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n$.*

Remark 7.8. *The statement (and the proof) of Proposition 7.5 remain valid, if f can be lifted to a pseudo-automorphism (recall that a birational map $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$ is called a pseudo-automorphism if neither f_X nor f_X^{-1} contract varieties of codimension 1).*

8 Comparison of two methods for computing $\deg(f^n)$

Of course, the two methods for computing $\deg(f^n)$ presented in the previous two sections are intimately related, though not always quite directly. To clarify the relation, we give an interpretation of the coefficients $\mu_i(P)$ as indices related to elementary blow-ups. It will be convenient to change the enumeration of the exceptional divisors \mathcal{E}_i in the following way.

For a component $\{K = 0\} \subset \mathcal{C}(f)$ contracted to a point p , the resolution ϕ of this singularity can be obtained as a superposition of elementary blow-ups $\pi(k) : X^{(k)} \rightarrow X^{(k-1)}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$, with $X^{(0)} = \mathbb{P}^N$ (in the case $N = 2$ they all are sigma-processes, i.e., point blow-ups):

$$\phi = \pi^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \pi^{(m)}.$$

Set

$\mathcal{E}^{(k)}$ = total transform of the center of the elementary blow-up $\pi^{(k)}$, $k = 1, \dots, m$.

The union of $\{\mathcal{E}^{(k)}\}_{k=1, \dots, m}$ over all components of the critical set contracted to points, coincides with the set $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$.

Let the blow-ups $\pi^{(k)}$ be given by affine charts

$$\begin{aligned} [1 : x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_N] &= \pi^{(1)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_N^{(1)}) \text{ (provided } x_0 \neq 0 \text{ at } p), \\ (u_1^{(k-1)}, \dots, u_N^{(k-1)}) &= \pi^{(k)}(u_1^{(k)}, \dots, u_N^{(k)}), \quad k = 2, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

with the (local) exceptional divisor defined by $\{u_1^{(k)} = 0\}$. Define the indices $\mu_k(P)$ by

$$P(\pi^{(1)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_N^{(1)})) = (u_1^{(1)})^{\mu_1(P)} P^{(1)}(u_1^{(1)}, \dots, u_N^{(1)}), \quad (8.1)$$

$$P^{(k-1)}(\pi^{(k)}(u_1^{(k)}, \dots, u_N^{(k)})) = (u_1^{(k)})^{\mu_k(P)} P^{(k)}(u_1^{(k)}, \dots, u_N^{(k)}), \quad k = 2, \dots, m, \quad (8.2)$$

where we always assume that $P^{(k)}(0, u_2^{(k)}, \dots, u_N^{(k)}) \neq 0$.

Proposition 8.1. *The indices $\mu_1(P), \dots, \mu_m(P)$ defined above coincide with the coefficients by $\mathcal{E}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{E}^{(m)}$ in the expansion (7.1).*

Proof. We interpret the formulas (8.1), (8.2) as expressing the total transform (with respect to $\pi^{(k)}$) of the divisor $\{P^{(k-1)} = 0\} \subset X^{(k-1)}$ through the proper transform described as $\{P^{(k)} = 0\} \subset X^{(k)}$ and the exceptional divisor associated to the blow-up $\pi^{(k)}$. For the equivalence classes of these divisors we find:

$$\begin{aligned} &[\text{proper transform of } \{P = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^N \text{ w.r.t. } \pi^{(1)}] \\ &= [\text{total transform of } \{P = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^N \text{ w.r.t. } \pi^{(1)}] - \mu_1(P)\mathcal{E}_1, \\ &[\text{proper transform of } \{P^{(k-1)} = 0\} \subset X^{(k-1)} \text{ w.r.t. } \pi^{(k)}] \\ &= [\text{total transform of } \{P^{(k-1)} = 0\} \subset X^{(k-1)} \text{ w.r.t. } \pi^{(k)}] - \mu_k(P)\mathcal{E}_k \\ &= \dots \text{ (induction)} \\ &= [\text{total transform of } \{P = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^N \text{ w.r.t. } \pi^{(1)} \circ \dots \circ \pi^{(k)}] - \mu_k(P)\mathcal{E}_k - \dots - \mu_1(P)\mathcal{E}_1 \\ &= \deg(P)H - \mu_k(P)\mathcal{E}_k - \dots - \mu_1(P)\mathcal{E}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for example \mathcal{E}_1 in the first equation stands for the exceptional divisor of the blow $\pi^{(1)}$, and in the further equations, by an abuse of notation, for the total transforms of this subvariety with respect to all further $\pi^{(k)}$. \square

For a simple singularity (with $m = 1$) the index $\mu_1(P)$ coincides with the previously defined $\nu_\phi(P)$ (and with the multiplicity of the point p on the divisor $\{P = 0\}$). In more complicated situations, the index $\nu_\phi(P)$ is an integer linear combination of $\mu_1(P), \dots, \mu_m(P)$ whose coefficients depend on the details of the blow-up structure. See, for instance, Proposition 10.6 for the Example 2 below.

This relation between indices $\mu_i(P)$ and $\nu_\phi(P)$ gives an insight into the relation between the two methods for computing $\deg(f^n)$. If the algebraically stable modification f_X is an automorphism, then the first method gives, as a rule, a smaller system of recurrent equations for $d(n)$ and $\nu_\phi(P)$, since it only works with the “top level” indices ν_ϕ , while the second method works with all indices μ_i for the elementary blow-ups. One can consider the smaller system as a consequence of the bigger one, naturally producing the same sequences $d(n)$. However, if f_X is not an automorphism, the relation is more delicate. Our method requires to blow up all critical components, also non-degree-lowering ones. Under certain circumstances, this could mean even infinitely many blow-ups, compare Example 3 in Section 11. It can be expected that the resulting system of recurrent relations, being finite due to algebraic stability, can be reduced to the system from the second method, but we will not show this in general.

9 Example 1: an integrable quadratic family

The goal of the example of this section is to illustrate the simplest situation of a birational map of \mathbb{P}^2 which can be modified to a surface automorphism, where the modification only requires to resolve simple singularities, so that all involved blow-ups are just sigma-processes at distinct (not infinitesimally near) points.

9.1 Definition of the map

A fundamental birational planar map is the *quadratic involution* $\sigma : \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ given in homogeneous coordinates by

$$\sigma : [y_1 : y_2 : y_3] \mapsto [1/y_1 : 1/y_2 : 1/y_3] = [y_2y_3 : y_1y_3 : y_1y_2]. \quad (9.1)$$

We have:

$$\mathcal{I}(\sigma) = \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \quad \mathcal{C}(\sigma) = \ell_1 \cup \ell_2 \cup \ell_3, \quad (9.2)$$

where

$$q_1 = [1 : 0 : 0], \quad q_2 = [0 : 1 : 0], \quad q_3 = [0 : 0 : 1], \quad (9.3)$$

and

$$\ell_1 = \{y_1 = 0\} = (q_2q_3), \quad \ell_2 = \{y_2 = 0\} = (q_1q_3), \quad \ell_3 = \{y_3 = 0\} = (q_1q_2). \quad (9.4)$$

Moreover, σ blows up the points q_i to the lines ℓ_i , and blows down the lines ℓ_i to the points q_i . If one performs the standard sigma-processes at the points q_i with exceptional divisors E_i , then the lift of σ to the resulting blow-up surface X is an automorphism exchanging the proper images of ℓ_i with E_i .

While the involution σ is dynamically trivial, the following family contains highly non-trivial dynamical systems:

$$f = L_1 \circ \sigma \circ L_2^{-1}, \quad (9.5)$$

where L_1, L_2 are linear projective automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^2 . According to [28], formula (9.5) represents a generic planar birational map of degree 2. See also [12, 23, 31] for general studies of maps of this class and their higher dimensional generalizations.

Clearly, for such a map

$$\mathcal{I}(f) = \{L_2q_1, L_2q_2, L_2q_3\}, \quad \mathcal{C}(f) = L_2\ell_1 \cup L_2\ell_2 \cup L_2\ell_3, \quad (9.6)$$

while

$$\mathcal{I}(f^{-1}) = \{L_1q_1, L_1q_2, L_1q_3\}, \quad \mathcal{C}(f^{-1}) = L_1\ell_1 \cup L_1\ell_2 \cup L_1\ell_3. \quad (9.7)$$

Moreover, f blows up the points L_2q_i to the lines $L_1\ell_i$, and blows down the lines $L_2\ell_i$ to the points L_1q_i . If we denote $A_i := L_1q_i$ and $C_i := L_2q_i$, then we have: $L_1\ell_i = (A_jA_k)$ and $L_2\ell_i = (C_jC_k)$, where $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

We consider here the dynamics of maps of the class (9.5) characterized by the following condition: *all three critical lines $L_2\ell_i = (C_jC_k)$ are degree lowering curves whose images hit the corresponding $C_i = L_2q_i \in \mathcal{I}(f)$ after three iterations each:*

$$f : (C_jC_k) \rightarrow A_i \rightarrow B_i \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow (A_jA_k), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad \{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}. \quad (9.8)$$

In the terminology of [31], the orbit type of such a map is given by the triple of orbit lengths $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (3, 3, 3)$ and the permutation $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3) = (1, 2, 3)$. So, the condition is

$$(L_1\sigma L_2^{-1})(L_1\sigma L_2^{-1})L_1q_i = L_2q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

This condition is equivalent to

$$(L_2^{-1}L_1)\sigma(L_2^{-1}L_1)\sigma(L_2^{-1}L_1) = \text{id}.$$

A geometric construction of a family of maps satisfying this condition, based on Manin involutions for pencils of cubic curves, was proposed in [53]. In this construction, the six points A_i and C_i lie on a conic, while the three points B_i are defined by

$$B_1 = (A_2C_3) \cap (A_3C_2), \quad B_2 = (A_3C_1) \cap (A_1C_3), \quad B_3 = (A_1C_2) \cap (A_2C_1),$$

and are collinear by the Pascal theorem. Presumably, all maps (9.5) with the singularity confinement patterns as in (9.8) are covered by this geometric construction. An early example of such maps was found in [50]:

$$f : \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_0(x_0 + ax_1 + a^{-1}x_2) \\ x_1(x_1 + ax_2 + a^{-1}x_0) \\ x_2(x_2 + ax_0 + a^{-1}x_1) \end{bmatrix}.$$

One can easily check that this map can be represented in the form (9.5) with

$$L_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -a \\ -a & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & a \\ a & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

9.2 Degree computation based on local indices

Let us blow up \mathbb{P}^2 at the nine points $A_i, B_i, C_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, via standard sigma-processes and denote these sigma-processes by ϕ_i, ϕ_{i+3} and ϕ_{i+6} , and the corresponding exceptional divisors by $\mathcal{E}_i, \mathcal{E}_{i+3}$ and \mathcal{E}_{i+6} , respectively. We obtain a surface X , such that the map f is lifted to an automorphism f_X on X . Define, according to (5.1),

$$f^*P_n = K_1^{\nu_1(n)} K_2^{\nu_2(n)} K_3^{\nu_3(n)} P_{n+1}, \quad (9.9)$$

where K_i is the (linear) defining polynomial of the line $L_2\ell_i = (C_jC_k)$. Here, $\nu_i(n)$ are the indices of the polynomial P_n with respect to the blow-up $\phi_i, i = 1, 2, 3$. We adopt the same definition for $\nu_i(n)$ with $i = 4, \dots, 9$, and denote also

$$d(n) = \deg(P_n).$$

Theorem 9.1. *The degrees $d(n)$ and indices $\nu_i(n)$ satisfy the following recurrent relations:*

$$d(n+1) = 2d(n) - \nu_1(n) - \nu_2(n) - \nu_3(n), \quad (9.10)$$

$$\nu_1(n+1) = \nu_4(n), \quad (9.11)$$

$$\nu_2(n+1) = \nu_5(n), \quad (9.12)$$

$$\nu_3(n+1) = \nu_6(n), \quad (9.13)$$

$$\nu_4(n+1) = \nu_7(n), \quad (9.14)$$

$$\nu_5(n+1) = \nu_8(n), \quad (9.15)$$

$$\nu_6(n+1) = \nu_9(n), \quad (9.16)$$

$$\nu_7(n+1) = d(n) - \nu_2(n) - \nu_3(n), \quad (9.17)$$

$$\nu_8(n+1) = d(n) - \nu_1(n) - \nu_3(n), \quad (9.18)$$

$$\nu_9(n+1) = d(n) - \nu_1(n) - \nu_2(n). \quad (9.19)$$

If P_0 is a generic linear polynomial, then

$$d(n) = \deg(f^n) = \frac{3}{4}n^2 + \frac{9 + (-1)^{n+1}}{8}. \quad (9.20)$$

Proof. Equation (9.10) follows directly from (9.9), and (9.11)–(9.16) are clear, because f acts biregularly at the points A_i and B_i . It remains to prove (9.17)–(9.19). Since all three relations are proved in the same way, we restrict ourselves to the first one of them. We have to determine the behavior of the polynomial

$$P_{n+1}(x, y, z) = K_1^{-\nu_1(n)} K_2^{-\nu_2(n)} K_3^{-\nu_3(n)} P_n(f(x, y, z)) \quad (9.21)$$

upon the blow-up ϕ_7 at $C_1 = L_2q_1$, as $u_7 \rightarrow 0$. We can take

$$\phi_7(u_7, v_7) = L_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_7 \\ u_7 v_7 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \tilde{f} \circ \phi_7 = L_1 \begin{bmatrix} u_7^2 v_7 \\ u_7 v_7 \\ u_7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, three components of $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_7$ are simultaneously divisible by u_7 (and by no higher degree of u_7). Therefore,

$$P_n(\tilde{f} \circ \phi_7) \sim u_7^{\deg(P_n)}.$$

Here and below, the symbol \sim relates two quantities differing by a non-vanishing factor (in this case, as $u_7 \rightarrow 0$). The line $(C_2C_3) = \{K_1 = 0\}$ does not pass through C_1 , while the lines $(C_1C_3) = \{K_2 = 0\}$ and $(C_1C_2) = \{K_3 = 0\}$ do pass through C_1 , therefore

$$K_1 \circ \phi_7 \sim 1, \quad K_2 \circ \phi_7 \sim u_7, \quad K_3 \circ \phi_7 \sim u_7.$$

Collecting all the results, we arrive at

$$P_{n+1} \circ \phi_7 \sim u_7^{\deg(P_n) - \nu_2(n) - \nu_3(n)}.$$

This proves (9.17). Taking P_0 to be a defining polynomial of a generic line, i.e., $d(0) = 1$ and $\nu_i(0) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 9$, we obtain (9.20), which can be shown by induction. \square

Remark 9.2. *In system (9.10)–(9.19), one can eliminate all local indices, and obtain the following recurrence relation for the degrees of P_n :*

$$d(n+1) - 2d(n) + 2d(n-2) - d(n-3) = 0.$$

9.3 Degree computation based on Picard group

The map f becomes algebraically stable, and, moreover, an automorphism, under the lift to the surface X . Denoting by H the divisor class of (the proper transform of) a generic line, the singularity confinement patterns could be rewritten in terms of divisor classes as:

$$\begin{aligned} H - \mathcal{E}_8 - \mathcal{E}_9 &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_7 \rightarrow H - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3, \\ H - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_9 &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_8 \rightarrow H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3, \\ H - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_6 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_9 \rightarrow H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{9.22}$$

This follows from (9.8), if one takes into account that the divisor class of the proper transform of the line $(C_j C_k)$ to X is $H - \mathcal{E}_{j+6} - \mathcal{E}_{k+6}$, while the divisor class of the proper transform of the line $(A_j A_k)$ to X is $H - \mathcal{E}_j - \mathcal{E}_k$. From (9.22), one can compute the action $(f_X)_*$ of the lifted map f_X on the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$:

$$(f_X)_* : \begin{pmatrix} H \\ \mathcal{E}_1 \\ \mathcal{E}_2 \\ \mathcal{E}_3 \\ \mathcal{E}_4 \\ \mathcal{E}_5 \\ \mathcal{E}_6 \\ \mathcal{E}_7 \\ \mathcal{E}_8 \\ \mathcal{E}_9 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 \\ \mathcal{E}_4 \\ \mathcal{E}_5 \\ \mathcal{E}_6 \\ \mathcal{E}_7 \\ \mathcal{E}_8 \\ \mathcal{E}_9 \\ H - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 \\ H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3 \\ H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9.23}$$

According to Remark 7.7, the matrix of the linear system (9.10)–(9.19) is transposed to the matrix of $(f_X)_*$ (recall that for simple singularities we have $\nu_i(P) = \mu_i(P)$). In other words, the system (9.10)–(9.19) can be directly read off the formulas (9.23) for $(f_X)_*$.

9.4 Finding an integral of motion

We can find the integral of motion of the map f by considering the eigensystem of the matrix $(f_X)_*$ acting on the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$. To find (a candidate for) an integral

of motion, we look for a divisor class K which is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 1, and the linear system $|K|$ has dimension 1. The eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 is three-dimensional and is spanned by $H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7$, $H - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_8$ and $H - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_9$. It remains to find a linear combination of these divisor classes with a non-trivial linear system. One can see that this is satisfied for the divisor class $3H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 - \mathcal{E}_9$ (the anticanonical divisor class $-K_X$ of the surface X). Its linear system corresponds to the pencil of cubic curves in \mathbb{P}^2 with nine base points A_i, B_i, C_i . The quotient of any two cubic polynomials of the pencil is easily checked to be an integral of f .

10 Example 2: Invariant plane at infinity for dPI

The goal of the example of this section is to illustrate a more complicated situation of a birational map of \mathbb{P}^2 which can be modified to a surface automorphism, where the modification requires to resolve a number of infinitely near singularities.

10.1 Definition of the map

The example comes from [34], in which the discrete Painlevé I (dPI) equation is transformed into a three-dimensional autonomous discrete map that has an invariant plane. The restriction of this map to the invariant plane is the following planar birational map:

$$F : (x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{y}{y-1}, \frac{x}{(y-1)^2} \right).$$

We rewrite this map in homogeneous coordinates:

$$f[x : y : z] = [y(y-z) : xz : (y-z)^2]. \quad (10.1)$$

The inverse map is given by

$$f^{-1}[x : y : z] = [yz : x(x-z) : (x-z)^2].$$

The singularities of the forward map f and the inverse map are

$$\mathcal{I}(f) = \{p_3 = [0 : 1 : 1], p_4 = [1 : 0 : 0]\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(f^{-1}) = \{p_1 = [0 : 1 : 0], p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]\}.$$

The respective critical sets are:

$$\mathcal{C}(f) = \{z = 0\} \cup \{y - z = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(f^{-1}) = \{z = 0\} \cup \{x - z = 0\}.$$

Both components of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ are degree lowering curves:

$$f : \{z = 0\} \rightarrow p_2 \rightarrow p_3 \in \mathcal{I}(f). \quad (10.2)$$

and

$$f : \{y - z = 0\} \rightarrow p_1 \rightarrow p_2 \rightarrow p_3 \in \mathcal{I}(f). \quad (10.3)$$

10.2 Lifting f to a surface automorphism

Similarly as in the previous example, we can resolve the singularities of f by successive blowing ups, and lift f to a surface automorphism. However, unlike the previous example, where all the singularities are simple, some singularities of f are deeper (sometimes called “*infinitely near singularities*” in the literature), and more complicated blow-ups are needed to resolve them. So we will work out the details for the blow-ups.

Our goal is to lift f to an automorphism on some surface X obtained from \mathbb{P}^2 by a sequence of blow-ups. To achieve this, we need to consider the two orbits (10.2) and (10.3), and to blow up a point whenever a curve is contracted to this point. It turns out we can achieve this by ten blow-ups:

Action of f on the critical line $\{y - z = 0\}$. This line is contracted by f to the point $p_1 = [0 : 1 : 0]$. In order to resolve this, we blow up this point. We use the following change to coordinates (u_1, v_1) in the affine chart $y = 1$:

$$\pi_1 : \begin{cases} x = u_1, \\ y = 1, \\ z = u_1 v_1. \end{cases} \quad (10.4)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_1 is given by $E_1 = \{u_1 = 0\}$. In coordinates (10.4) for the image $[\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z}]$, we compute:

$$\tilde{u}_1 = \frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}} = \frac{y(y-z)}{xz}, \quad \tilde{v}_1 = \frac{\tilde{z}}{\tilde{x}} = \frac{y-z}{y}.$$

It follows that the image of (the proper transform of) the line $\{y-z=0\}$ in the exceptional divisor E_1 is the point $(0, \tilde{v}_1) = (0, 0)$, and a further blow-up is necessary. For this second blow-up we use the following change to coordinates (u_2, v_2) :

$$\pi_2 : \begin{cases} u_1 = u_2, \\ v_1 = u_2 v_2 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \phi_2 = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 : \begin{cases} x = u_2, \\ y = 1, \\ z = u_2^2 v_2. \end{cases} \quad (10.5)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_2 is given by $E_2 = \{u_2 = 0\}$. In coordinates (10.5) for the image $[\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z}]$, we compute:

$$\tilde{u}_2 = \frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{y}} = \frac{y(y-z)}{xz}, \quad \tilde{v}_2 = \frac{\tilde{z}\tilde{y}}{\tilde{x}^2} = \frac{xz}{y^2}.$$

As soon as $y-z=0$, we have $\tilde{u}_2 = 0$ and $\tilde{v}_2 = x/y$. Thus, (the proper transform of) the line $\{y-z=0\}$ is biregularly mapped to the exceptional divisor E_2 :

$$f|_{\{y-z=0\}} : [x : y : y] \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_2) = (0, x/y) \in E_2.$$

Action of f on the critical line $\{z=0\}$. This line is contracted by f to the point $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$. In order to resolve this, we blow up this point. We use the following change to coordinates (u_3, v_3) in the affine chart $x=1$:

$$\phi_3 = \pi_3 : \begin{cases} x = 1, \\ y = u_3 v_3, \\ z = 1 - u_3. \end{cases} \quad (10.6)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_3 is given by $E_3 = \{u_3 = 0\}$. In coordinates (10.6) for the image $[\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z}]$, we compute:

$$\tilde{u}_3 = 1 - \frac{\tilde{z}}{\tilde{x}} = 1 - \frac{y-z}{y} = \frac{z}{y}, \quad \tilde{v}_3 = \frac{\tilde{y}}{\tilde{x} - \tilde{z}} = \frac{xz}{z(y-z)} = \frac{x}{y-z}.$$

As soon as $z=0$, we have $\tilde{u}_3 = 0$ and $\tilde{v}_3 = x/y$. Thus, (the proper transform of) the line $\{z=0\}$ is biregularly mapped to the exceptional divisor E_3 :

$$f|_{\{z=0\}} : [x : y : 0] \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_3) = (0, x/y) \in E_3.$$

Action of f on E_2 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_2 by (10.5). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} u_2 \\ 1 \\ u_2^2 v_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - u_2^2 v_2 \\ u_2^3 v_2 \\ (1 - u_2^2 v_2)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{u_2^3 v_2}{1 - u_2^2 v_2} \\ 1 - u_2^2 v_2 \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, f contracts $E_2 = \{u_2 = 0\}$ to the point $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$. In order to resolve this, we blow-up this point as in (10.6). We compute:

$$\tilde{u}_3 \tilde{v}_3 = \frac{u_2^3 v_2}{1 - u_2^2 v_2}, \quad 1 - \tilde{u}_3 = 1 - u_2^2 v_2,$$

so that

$$\tilde{u}_3 = u_2^2 v_2, \quad \tilde{v}_3 = \frac{u_2}{1 - u_2^2 v_2}.$$

Thus, f contracts the exceptional divisor $E_2 = \{u_2 = 0\}$ to a single point $(u_3, v_3) = (0, 0) \in E_3$. In order to resolve this, we blow up this point using the following change to coordinates (u_4, v_4) :

$$\pi_4 : \begin{cases} u_3 = u_4 v_4, \\ v_3 = u_4, \end{cases} \Rightarrow \pi_3 \circ \pi_4 : \begin{cases} x = 1, \\ y = u_4^2 v_4, \\ z = 1 - u_4 v_4. \end{cases} \quad (10.7)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_4 is given by $E_4 = \{u_4 = 0\}$. In coordinates (10.7) for the expressions on the right-hand side, we compute:

$$\tilde{u}_4 \tilde{v}_4 = \frac{u_4^3 v_4}{1 - u_4^2 v_4}, \quad 1 - \tilde{u}_4 \tilde{v}_4 = 1 - u_4^2 v_4,$$

so that

$$\tilde{u}_4 = \frac{u_4}{1 - u_4^2 v_4}, \quad \tilde{v}_4 = u_4 v_4 (1 - u_4^2 v_4).$$

Thus, f contracts the exceptional divisor $E_2 = \{u_2 = 0\}$ to a single point $(u_4, v_4) = (0, 0) \in E_4$. In order to resolve this, a further blow-up is necessary, this time using the following change to coordinates (u_5, v_5) :

$$\pi_5 : \begin{cases} u_4 = u_5, \\ v_4 = u_5 v_5, \end{cases} \Rightarrow \phi_5 = \pi_3 \circ \pi_4 \circ \pi_5 : \begin{cases} x = 1, \\ y = u_5^3 v_5, \\ z = 1 - u_5^2 v_5. \end{cases} \quad (10.8)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_5 is given by $E_5 = \{u_5 = 0\}$. In coordinates (10.8), we compute:

$$\tilde{u}_5^3 \tilde{v}_5 = \frac{u_2^3 v_2}{1 - u_2^2 v_2}, \quad 1 - \tilde{u}_5^2 \tilde{v}_5 = 1 - u_2^2 v_2,$$

so that

$$\tilde{u}_5 = \frac{u_2}{1 - u_2^2 v_2}, \quad \tilde{v}_5 = v_2(1 - u_2^2 v_2)^2.$$

This gives a regular map of a neighborhood of the exceptional divisor $E_2 = \{u_2 = 0\}$ to a neighborhood of the exceptional divisor $E_5 = \{u_5 = 0\}$, and in the limit $u_2 \rightarrow 0$ a biregular map of E_2 to E_5 given by

$$f|_{E_2} : (0, v_2) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_5) = (0, v_2) \in E_5.$$

Action of f on E_3 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_3 according to (10.6). In these coordinates,

$$f \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_3 v_3 \\ 1 - u_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_3 v_3 (1 - u_3 - u_3 v_3) \\ 1 - u_3 \\ (1 - u_3 - u_3 v_3)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{u_3 v_3}{1 - u_3 - u_3 v_3} \\ \frac{1 - u_3}{(1 - u_3 - u_3 v_3)^2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We see that f contracts E_3 to the point $p_3 = [0 : 1 : 1]$. In order to resolve this, we blow up this point. We use the following change to coordinates (u_6, v_6) in the affine chart $z = 1$:

$$\phi_6 = \pi_6 : \begin{cases} x = u_6 v_6, \\ y = 1 + u_6, \\ z = 1. \end{cases} \quad (10.9)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_6 is given by $E_6 = \{u_6 = 0\}$. We have:

$$1 + \tilde{u}_6 = \frac{1 - u_3}{(1 - u_3 - u_3 v_3)^2}, \quad \tilde{u}_6 \tilde{v}_6 = -\frac{u_3 v_3}{1 - u_3 - u_3 v_3}.$$

This gives a regular map of a neighborhood of $E_3 = \{u_3 = 0\}$ to a neighborhood of $E_6 = \{u_6 = 0\}$, and, in the limit $u_3 \rightarrow 0$, a regular map of E_3 to E_6 , given by

$$f|_{E_3} : (0, v_3) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_6) = (0, -v_3/(1 + 2v_3)) \in E_6.$$

Action of f on E_5 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_5 by (10.8). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_5^3 v_5 \\ 1 - u_5^2 v_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_5^3 v_5 (1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5) \\ 1 - u_5^2 v_5 \\ (1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_5^3 v_5}{1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5} \\ \frac{1 - u_5^2 v_5}{(1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5)^2} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, f contracts $E_5 = \{u_5 = 0\}$ to a single point $p_3 = [0 : 1 : 1]$. To resolve this, we blow up this point as in (10.9). Then we find:

$$\tilde{u}_6 \tilde{v}_6 = \frac{u_5^3 v_5}{1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5}, \quad 1 + \tilde{u}_6 = \frac{1 - u_5^2 v_5}{(1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5)^2},$$

and, upon a simple computation:

$$\tilde{u}_6 = u_5^2 v_5 + O(u_5^3), \quad \tilde{v}_6 = u_5 + O(u_5^2).$$

Therefore, f contracts $E_5 = \{u_5 = 0\}$ to a single point $(u_6, v_6) = (0, 0) \in E_6$. To resolve this, we blow up this point via the following change to coordinates (u_7, v_7) :

$$\pi_7 : \begin{cases} u_6 = u_7 v_7, \\ v_6 = u_7, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi_6 \circ \pi_7 : \begin{cases} x = u_7^2 v_7, \\ y = 1 + u_7 v_7, \\ z = 1. \end{cases} \quad (10.10)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_7 is given by $E_7 = \{u_7 = 0\}$. We find:

$$\tilde{u}_7^2 \tilde{v}_7 = \frac{u_5^3 v_5}{1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5}, \quad 1 + \tilde{u}_7 \tilde{v}_7 = \frac{1 - u_5^2 v_5}{(1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5)^2}.$$

A simple computation gives:

$$\tilde{u}_7 = u_5 + O(u_5^2), \quad \tilde{v}_7 = u_5 v_5 + O(u_5^2).$$

Therefore, f contracts $E_5 = \{u_5 = 0\}$ to a single point $(u_7, v_7) = (0, 0) \in E_7$, and we have to iterate the blow-up procedure, via

$$\pi_8 : \begin{cases} u_7 = u_8, \\ v_7 = u_8 v_8, \end{cases} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \phi_8 = \pi_6 \circ \pi_7 \circ \pi_8 : \begin{cases} x = u_8^3 v_8, \\ y = 1 + u_8^2 v_8, \\ z = 1. \end{cases} \quad (10.11)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_8 is given by $E_8 = \{u_8 = 0\}$. We find:

$$\tilde{u}_8^3 \tilde{v}_8 = \frac{u_5^3 v_5}{1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5}, \quad 1 + \tilde{u}_8^2 \tilde{v}_8 = \frac{1 - u_5^2 v_5}{(1 - u_5^2 v_5 - u_5^3 v_5)^2}.$$

And, upon a simple computation:

$$\tilde{u}_8 = u_5 + O(u_5^2), \quad \tilde{v}_8 = v_5 + O(u_5).$$

Thus, $f|_{E_5}$ is biregular:

$$f|_{E_5} : (0, v_5) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_8) = (0, v_5) \in E_8.$$

Action of f on E_6 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_8 by (10.9). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} u_6 v_6 \\ 1 + u_6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_6(1 + u_6) \\ u_6 v_6 \\ u_6^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + u_6 \\ v_6 \\ u_6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This gives a regular map of a neighborhood of $E_6 = \{u_6 = 0\}$ to a neighborhood of the line $\{z = 0\}$, and, in the limit $u_6 \rightarrow 0$, a regular map of E_6 to this line, given by

$$f|_{E_6} : (0, v_6) \mapsto [1 : v_6 : 0] \in \{z = 0\}.$$

Action of f on E_8 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_8 by (10.11). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} u_8^3 v_8 \\ 1 + u_8^2 v_8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_8^2 v_8 (1 + u_8^2 v_8) \\ u_8^3 v_8 \\ u_8^4 v_8^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_8 / (1 + u_8^2 v_8) \\ u_8^2 v_8 / (1 + u_8^2 v_8) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, f contracts $E_8 = \{u_8 = 0\}$ to a single point $p_4 = [1 : 0 : 0]$. To regularize this, we blow-up this point. We use the following change to coordinates (u_9, v_9) in the affine chart $x = 1$:

$$\pi_9 : \begin{cases} x = 1, \\ y = u_9, \\ z = u_9 v_9. \end{cases} \quad (10.12)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_9 is given by $E_9 = \{u_9 = 0\}$. Then we find:

$$\tilde{u}_9 = \frac{u_8}{1 + u_8^2 v_8}, \quad \tilde{v}_9 = \frac{u_8 v_8}{1 + u_8^2 v_8}.$$

Thus, f contracts $E_8 = \{u_8 = 0\}$ to a single point $(u_9, v_9) = (0, 0) \in E_9$, so that we have to iterate the blow-up procedure, via

$$\pi_{10} : \begin{cases} u_9 = u_{10}, \\ v_9 = u_{10}v_{10} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \phi_{10} = \pi_9 \circ \pi_{10} : \begin{cases} x = 1, \\ y = u_{10}, \\ z = u_{10}^2v_{10}. \end{cases} \quad (10.13)$$

The exceptional divisor of π_{10} is given by $E_{10} = \{u_{10} = 0\}$. In coordinates (10.13), f acts as follows:

$$\tilde{u}_{10} = \frac{u_8}{1 + u_8^2v_8}, \quad \tilde{v}_{10} = v_8(1 + u_8^2v_8).$$

Thus, f maps $E_8 = \{u_8 = 0\}$ biregularly to $E_{10} = \{u_{10} = 0\}$,

$$f|_{E_8} : (0, v_8) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_{10}) = (0, v_8).$$

Action of f on E_{10} . We parametrize a neighborhood of E_{10} by (10.13), and compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_{10} \\ u_{10}^2v_{10} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{10}^2(1 - u_{10}v_{10}) \\ u_{10}^2v_{10} \\ u_{10}^2(1 - u_{10}v_{10})^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - u_{10}v_{10} \\ v_{10} \\ (1 - u_{10}v_{10})^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, f maps the exceptional divisor $E_{10} = \{u_{10} = 0\}$ biregularly to the line $\{x - z = 0\}$, with

$$f|_{E_{10}} : (0, v_{10}) \mapsto [1 : v_{10} : 1] \in \{x - z = 0\}.$$

The remaining four simple arguments are not relevant for the algorithm for the computation of $\deg(f^n)$, as they treat the action of f on exceptional divisors that are “lover than top level”, but they are essential for the determination of the induced map on $\text{Pic}(X)$.

Action of f on E_1 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_1 by (10.4). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ 1 \\ u_1v_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - u_1v_1 \\ u_1^2v_1 \\ (1 - u_1v_1)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_1^2v_1/(1 - u_1v_1) \\ 1 - u_1v_1 \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We identify:

$$\tilde{u}_4\tilde{v}_4 = \frac{u_1^2v_1}{1 - u_1v_1}, \quad 1 - \tilde{u}_4\tilde{v}_4 = 1 - u_1v_1,$$

so that

$$\tilde{u}_4 = \frac{u_1}{1 - u_1 v_1}, \quad \tilde{v}_4 = v_1(1 - u_1 v_1).$$

This gives a regular map of a neighborhood of the exceptional divisor $E_1 = \{u_1 = 0\}$ to a neighborhood of the exceptional divisor $E_4 = \{u_4 = 0\}$, and in the limit $u_1 \rightarrow 0$ a regular map of E_1 to E_4 given by

$$f|_{E_1} : (0, v_1) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_4) = (0, v_1) \in E_4.$$

Action of f on E_4 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_4 by (10.7). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_4^2 v_4 \\ 1 - u_4 v_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_4^2 v_4 (1 - u_4 v_4 - u_4^2 v_4) \\ 1 - u_4 v_4 \\ (1 - u_4 v_4 - u_4^2 v_4)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{u_4^2 v_4}{1 - u_4 v_4 - u_4^2 v_4} \\ \frac{1 - u_4 v_4}{1 - u_4 v_4} \\ \frac{(1 - u_4 v_4 - u_4^2 v_4)^2}{1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We find:

$$\tilde{u}_7^2 \tilde{v}_7 = \frac{u_4^2 v_4}{1 - u_4 v_4 - u_4^2 v_4}, \quad 1 + \tilde{u}_7 \tilde{v}_7 = \frac{1 - u_4 v_4}{(1 - u_4 v_4 - u_4^2 v_4)^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\tilde{u}_7 = u_4 + O(u_4^2), \quad \tilde{v}_7 = v_4 + O(u_4).$$

Thus, f maps $E_4 = \{u_4 = 0\}$ regularly to $E_7 = \{u_7 = 0\}$,

$$f|_{E_4} : (0, v_4) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_7) = (0, v_4) \in E_7.$$

Action of f on E_7 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_7 by (10.10). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} u_7^2 v_7 \\ 1 + u_7 v_7 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_7 v_7 (1 + u_7 v_7) \\ u_7^2 v_7 \\ u_7^2 v_7^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_7 / (1 + u_7 v_7) \\ u_7 v_7 / (1 + u_7 v_7) \end{bmatrix}.$$

We compute:

$$\tilde{u}_9 = \frac{u_7}{1 + u_7 v_7}, \quad \tilde{v}_9 = v_7.$$

Thus, f maps $E_7 = \{u_7 = 0\}$ regularly to $E_9 = \{u_9 = 0\}$,

$$f|_{E_7} : (0, v_7) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_9) = (0, v_7) \in E_9.$$

Action of f on E_9 . We parametrize a neighborhood of E_9 by (10.12), and compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_9 \\ u_9 v_9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_9^2(1-v_9) \\ u_9 v_9 \\ u_9^2(1-v_9)^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} u_9(1-v_9)/v_9 \\ 1 \\ u_9(1-v_9)^2/v_9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is a regular map, if on the right-hand side we use the coordinates (10.4). In these coordinates, f acts as follows:

$$\tilde{u}_1 = u_9(1-v_9)/v_9, \quad \tilde{v}_1 = 1-v_9.$$

Thus, f maps $E_9 \setminus \{v_9 = 0\}$ regularly to $E_1 \setminus \{v_1 = 1\}$,

$$f|_{E_9} : (0, v_9) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_1) = (0, 1-v_9).$$

Exceptional divisors on the blow-up surface X are shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: Divisors appearing in the blowing ups at the four points p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 (from left to right). The total transforms of the blown-up points are: $\mathcal{E}_1 = E_1 + E_2$, $\mathcal{E}_2 = E_2$, $\mathcal{E}_3 = E_3 + E_4 + E_5$, $\mathcal{E}_4 = E_4 + E_5$, $\mathcal{E}_5 = E_5$, $\mathcal{E}_6 = E_6 + E_7 + E_8$, $\mathcal{E}_7 = E_7 + E_8$, $\mathcal{E}_8 = E_8$, $\mathcal{E}_9 = E_9 + E_{10}$, $\mathcal{E}_{10} = E_{10}$.

10.3 Degree computation with local indices

According to our general algorithm, we start with defining local indices with respect to the blow-ups ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 , given in (10.5) and (10.6), which regularize the map f contracting the critical lines $\{y-z=0\}$ and $\{z=0\}$ to the points p_1 and p_2 , respectively. The concrete realization of Definition 4.3 reads as follows.

Definition 10.1. Let $P(x, y, z)$ be a homogeneous polynomial. The indices of P with respect to the blow-up maps ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 , denoted by $\nu_2(P)$ and $\nu_3(P)$, are defined by the relations

$$P \circ \phi_2 = P(u_2, 1, u_2^2 v_2) = u_2^{\nu_2(P)} \tilde{P}(u_2, v_2), \quad \tilde{P}(0, v_2) \neq 0, \quad (10.14)$$

respectively

$$P \circ \phi_3 = P(1, u_3 v_3, 1 - u_3) = u_3^{\nu_3(P)} \tilde{P}(u_3, v_3), \quad \tilde{P}(0, v_3) \neq 0. \quad (10.15)$$

The blow-up maps ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 are given in (10.5), resp. (10.6).

Similarly to ν_2, ν_3 , we define the indices $\nu_5, \nu_6, \nu_8, \nu_{10}$, based on formulas (10.8), (10.9), (10.11), (10.13) for the blow-ups maps $\phi_5, \phi_6, \phi_8, \phi_{10}$.

A concrete realization of Theorem 4.5 in the present case reads as follows.

Proposition 10.2. *For any homogeneous polynomial P , the pull-back $f^*P = P \circ \tilde{f}$ is divisible by $(y - z)^{\nu_2(P)}$ (and by no higher degree of $y - z$) and by $z^{\nu_3(P)}$ (and by no higher degree of z).*

Proof. 1) In the chart (10.5), we compute:

$$P(x, 1, z) = u_2^{\nu_2} \tilde{P}(u_2, v_2) = x^{\nu_2} \tilde{P}\left(x, \frac{z}{x^2}\right).$$

In the homogeneous coordinates,

$$P(x, y, z) = y^d P\left(\frac{x}{y}, 1, \frac{z}{y}\right) = x^{\nu_2} y^{d-\nu_2} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{x}{y}, \frac{yz}{x^2}\right).$$

Setting $\tilde{f}(x, y, z) = (X, Y, Z)$, we compute, according to (10.1):

$$f^*P(x, y, z) = X^{\nu_2} Y^{d-\nu_2} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{X}{Y}, \frac{YZ}{X^2}\right) = (y(y-z))^{\nu_2} (xz)^{d-\nu_2} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{y(y-z)}{xz}, \frac{xz}{y^2}\right).$$

This polynomial is manifestly divisible by $(y - z)^{\nu_2}$ (and by no higher degree of $y - z$).

2) Likewise, we compute in the chart (10.6):

$$P(1, y, z) = u_3^{\nu_3} \tilde{P}(u_3, v_3) = (1 - z)^{\nu_3} \tilde{P}\left(1 - z, \frac{y}{1 - z}\right).$$

In the homogeneous coordinates,

$$P(x, y, z) = x^d P\left(1, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x}\right) = (x - z)^{\nu_3} x^{d-\nu_3} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{x - z}{x}, \frac{y}{x - z}\right).$$

Therefore, upon a straightforward computation according to (10.1):

$$\begin{aligned} f^*P(x, y, z) &= (X - Z)^{\nu_3} X^{d-\nu_3} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{X - Z}{X}, \frac{Y}{X - Z}\right) \\ &= (z(y - z))^{\nu_3} (y(y - z))^{d-\nu_3} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{z}{y}, \frac{x}{y - z}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This polynomial is manifestly divisible by z^{ν_3} (and by no higher degree of z).

□

Next, we will study the behavior of a given polynomial $P = P_0$ under iterates of the pull-back f^* . According to Proposition 10.2, we define recursively:

$$P_{n+1} = (y - z)^{-\nu_2(n)} z^{-\nu_3(n)} f^* P_n, \quad (10.16)$$

where $\nu_2(n)$, $\nu_3(n)$ stand for $\nu_2(P_n)$, $\nu_3(P_n)$ (and similarly for other indices). More explicitly,

$$P_{n+1}(x, y, z) = (y - z)^{-\nu_2(n)} z^{-\nu_3(n)} P_n(y(y - z), xz, (y - z)^2). \quad (10.17)$$

As usual, we also set $d(n) = \deg(P_n)$.

Theorem 10.3. *The degrees $d(n)$ and the indices $\nu_i(n)$ satisfy the following recurrent relations:*

$$d(n + 1) = 2d(n) - \nu_2(n) - \nu_3(n), \quad (10.18)$$

$$\nu_2(n + 1) = \nu_5(n) - 2\nu_3(n), \quad (10.19)$$

$$\nu_3(n + 1) = \nu_6(n), \quad (10.20)$$

$$\nu_5(n + 1) = \nu_8(n), \quad (10.21)$$

$$\nu_6(n + 1) = d(n) - \nu_2(n), \quad (10.22)$$

$$\nu_8(n + 1) = 2d(n) - 2\nu_2(n) + \nu_{10}(n), \quad (10.23)$$

$$\nu_{10}(n + 1) = 2d(n) - \nu_2(n) - 2\nu_3(n). \quad (10.24)$$

Proof. Formula (10.18) follows directly from (10.16). For all other formulas, we have to study the behavior of the polynomial (10.17).

• Eqs. (10.20), (10.21) hold true, since f_X acts biregularly in the neighborhood of the exceptional divisors E_3 and E_5 over the point $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$, and the factors z and $y - z$ do not vanish at this point.

• Eq. (10.19): consider behavior of P_{n+1} under the blow-up (10.5) at the point $[0 : 1 : 0]$. This point lies on the critical line $\{z = 0\}$ but not on the critical line $\{y - z = 0\}$. In the blow-up coordinate patch (10.5) we have $z \sim u_2^2$ and $y - z \sim 1$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1} &\sim u_2^{-2\nu_3(n)} f^* P_n = u_2^{-2\nu_3(n)} P_n(1 - u_2^2 v_2, u_2^3 v_2, (1 - u_2^2 v_2)^2) \\ &\sim u_2^{-2\nu_3(n)} P_n(1, u_2^3 v_2, 1 - u_2^2 v_2) \sim u_2^{-2\nu_3(n) + \nu_5(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from the comparison of the argument of P_n with the blow-up (10.8).

• Eq. (10.22): consider behavior of P_{n+1} under the blow-up (10.9) at the point $[0 : 1 : 1]$. This point lies on the critical line $\{y - z = 0\}$ but not on the critical line $\{z = 0\}$. In the blow-up coordinate patch (10.9) we have $y - z = u_6$ and $z = 1$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1} &= u_6^{-\nu_2(n)} f^* P_n = u_6^{-\nu_4(n)} P_n(u_6(1 + u_6), u_6 v_6, u_6^2) \\ &= u_6^{-\nu_2(n) + d(n)} P_n(1 + u_6, v_6, u_6) \sim u_6^{d(n) - \nu_2(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, $P_n(1, v_6, 0) \not\equiv 0$ since, by construction, $P_n(x, y, z)$ is not divisible by z .

• Eq. (10.23): consider behavior of P_{n+1} under the blow-up (10.11) at the point $[0 : 1 : 1]$. This point lies on the critical line $\{y - z = 0\}$ but not on the critical line $\{z = 0\}$. In the blow-up coordinate patch (10.11) we have $y - z = u_8^2 v_8$ and $z = 1$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1} &\sim u_8^{-2\nu_2(n)} f^* P_n = u_8^{-2\nu_2(n)} P_n(u_8^2 v_8(1 + u_8^2 v_8), u_8^3 v_8, u_8^4 v_8^2) \\ &\sim u_8^{-2\nu_2(n)} u_8^{2d(n)} P_n(1, u_8, u_8^2 v_8) \sim u_8^{2d(n) - 2\nu_2(n)} u_8^{\nu_{10}(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last step follows from the comparison of the argument of P_n with the blow-up (10.13).

• Eq. (10.24): consider behavior of P_{n+1} under the blow-up (10.13) at the point $[1 : 0 : 0]$. This point lies on the both critical lines $\{z = 0\}$ and $\{y - z = 0\}$. In the blow-up coordinate patch (10.13) we have $y - z \sim u_{10}$ and $z \sim u_{10}^2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1} &\sim u_{10}^{-\nu_2(n) - 2\nu_3(n)} f^* P_n \\ &= u_{10}^{-\nu_2(n) - 2\nu_3(n)} P_n(u_{10}^2(1 - u_{10} v_{10}), u_{10}^2 v_{10}, u_{10}^2(1 - u_{10} v_{10})^2) \\ &\sim u_{10}^{-\nu_2(n) - 2\nu_3(n)} u_{10}^{2d(n)} P_n(1, v_{10}, 1 - u_{10} v_{10}) \sim u_{10}^{2d(n) - \nu_2(n) - 2\nu_3(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof. □

Theorem 10.3 is a concrete realization of results of Section 5 concerning propagation of local indices. More precisely, formulas (10.20), (10.21) exemplify (5.2), formula (10.19) illustrates the case (5.6), formulas (10.22), (10.24) illustrate the case (5.7), while formula (10.22) is an instance of (5.8).

Corollary 10.4. *Degrees $d(n)$ satisfy the following recurrent relation:*

$$d(n+1) - 2d(n) + d(n-2) + d(n-3) - 2d(n-5) + d(n-6) = 0. \quad (10.25)$$

Proof. Comparing (10.18) with (10.22), we find:

$$d(n+1) = d(n) + \nu_6(n+1) - \nu_3(n) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \nu_3(n+2) - \nu_3(n) = d(n+1) - d(n).$$

Analogously, comparing (10.18) with (10.24), we find:

$$\nu_{10}(n+1) = d(n+1) - \nu_3(n) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \nu_2(n+4) = d(n+1) - \nu_3(n).$$

From the last two equations we derive:

$$\nu_2(n+4) - \nu_2(n+2) = d(n+1) - \nu_3(n) - d(n-1) + \nu_3(n-2) = d(n+1) - 2d(n-1) + d(n-2).$$

Now:

$$\begin{aligned} & d(n+1) - d(n-1) \\ &= 2(d(n) - d(n-2)) - (\nu_3(n) - \nu_3(n-2)) - (\nu_2(n) - \nu_2(n-2)) \\ &= 2(d(n) - d(n-2)) - (d(n-1) - d(n-2)) - (d(n-3) - 2d(n-5) + d(n-6)), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (10.25). □

If P_0 is a generic linear polynomial, for which $d(0) = 1$ and all $\nu_i(0) = 0$, then recurrent relations (10.18)–(10.24) easily produce the following table of degrees and indices:

n	$d(n)$	$\nu_2(n)$	$\nu_3(n)$	$\nu_6(n)$	$\nu_5(n)$	$\nu_8(n)$	$\nu_{10}(n)$
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	0	0	1	0	2	2
2	4	0	1	2	2	6	4
3	7	0	2	4	6	12	6
4	12	2	4	7	12	20	10
5	18	4	7	10	20	30	14
6	25	6	10	14	30	42	18
7	34	10	14	19	42	56	24
8	44	14	19	24	56	72	30
9	55	18	24	30	72	90	36
10	68	24	30	37	90	110	44
11	82	30	37	44	110	132	52
12	97	36	44	52	132	156	60
13	114	44	52	61	156	182	70

By induction one proves in this case:

$$d(n) = \frac{1}{9} \left(6n^2 - 2 \cos \frac{2\pi n}{3} + 11 \right). \quad (10.26)$$

This is the formula for degrees $\deg(f^n)$.

10.4 Degree computation based on the Picard group

On the surface X obtained from \mathbb{P}^2 by ten successive blow-ups, one can easily check that f is lifted to an automorphism f_X . The action of $(f_X)_*$ on the divisors obtained by regularizing the singular orbits (10.2), (10.3), can be summarized as follows:

$$\overline{\{y - z = 0\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_8 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{10} \rightarrow \overline{\{x - z = 0\}}, \quad (10.27)$$

$$\overline{\{z = 0\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 \rightarrow \overline{\{z = 0\}}, \quad (10.28)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_9 - \mathcal{E}_{10} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2. \quad (10.29)$$

The following features of Fig. 1 are essential for determining the divisor classes on the left-hand side and on the right-hand side of the first two patterns:

- Divisor E_1 intersects the (proper transform of) the line $\{z = 0\}$ at $v_1 = 0$; this is exactly the point which is blown up to E_2 ;
- Divisor E_4 intersects the (proper transform of) the divisor E_3 at $v_4 = 0$; this is exactly the point which is blown up to E_5 ;
- Divisor E_7 intersects the (proper transform of) the divisor E_6 at $v_7 = 0$; this is exactly the point which is blown up to E_8 ;
- Divisor E_9 intersects the (proper transform of) the line $\{z = 0\}$ at $v_9 = 0$; this is exactly the point which is blown up to E_{10} .

From this, we can read off that the divisor class for $\overline{\{z = 0\}}$ is $H - \mathcal{E}_9 - \mathcal{E}_{10} - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2$, and the divisor classes for $\overline{\{y - z = 0\}}$ and $\overline{\{x - z = 0\}}$ are $H - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_9$ and $H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3$, respectively.

From this, we obtain the action of the lifted map $(f_X)_*$ on $\text{Pic}(X)$:

$$(f_X)_* : \begin{pmatrix} H \\ \mathcal{E}_1 \\ \mathcal{E}_2 \\ \mathcal{E}_3 \\ \mathcal{E}_4 \\ \mathcal{E}_5 \\ \mathcal{E}_6 \\ \mathcal{E}_7 \\ \mathcal{E}_8 \\ \mathcal{E}_9 \\ \mathcal{E}_{10} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 \\ \mathcal{E}_4 \\ \mathcal{E}_5 \\ \mathcal{E}_6 \\ \mathcal{E}_7 \\ \mathcal{E}_8 \\ H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_2 \\ \mathcal{E}_9 \\ \mathcal{E}_{10} \\ H - \mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_3 \\ H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10.30)$$

As explained in Section 7, the degrees $\deg(f^n)$ are given by the (H, H) -entry of the n -th power of the matrix of this map. Alternatively, upon setting (7.1), we have:

Theorem 10.5. *The quantities $d(n) = \deg(P_n)$, $\mu_i(n) = \mu_i(P_n)$ satisfy the following recurrent relations:*

$$d(n+1) = 2d(n) - \mu_1(n) - \mu_2(n) - \mu_3(n), \quad (10.31)$$

$$\mu_1(n+1) = \mu_4(n), \quad (10.32)$$

$$\mu_2(n+1) = \mu_5(n), \quad (10.33)$$

$$\mu_3(n+1) = \mu_6(n), \quad (10.34)$$

$$\mu_4(n+1) = \mu_7(n), \quad (10.35)$$

$$\mu_5(n+1) = \mu_8(n), \quad (10.36)$$

$$\mu_6(n+1) = d(n) - \mu_1(n) - \mu_2(n), \quad (10.37)$$

$$\mu_7(n+1) = \mu_9(n), \quad (10.38)$$

$$\mu_8(n+1) = \mu_{10}(n), \quad (10.39)$$

$$\mu_9(n+1) = d(n) - \mu_2(n) - \mu_3(n), \quad (10.40)$$

$$\mu_{10}(n+1) = d(n) - \mu_1(n) - \mu_3(n). \quad (10.41)$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 7.6 and Remark 7.7, since f_X in the present case is an automorphism. Recurrent equations are directly read off the action of $(f_X)_*$ in (10.30). \square

10.5 Relation between two methods

For any homogeneous polynomial $P(x, y, z)$ we now introduce ten local indices $\mu_i(P)$, $i = 1, \dots, 10$, corresponding to ten sigma-processes used to blow up \mathbb{P}^2 to X .

At $p_1 = [0 : 1 : 0]$:

$$P(u_1, 1, u_1 v_1) = u_1^{\mu_1(P)} \bar{P}(u_1, v_1), \quad \bar{P}(0, v_1) \neq 0, \quad (10.42)$$

$$P(u_2, 1, u_2^2 v_2) = u_2^{\mu_1(P)} u_2^{\mu_2(P)} \tilde{P}(u_2, v_2), \quad \tilde{P}(0, v_2) \neq 0. \quad (10.43)$$

At $p_2 = [1 : 0 : 1]$:

$$P(1, u_3 v_3, 1 - u_3) = u_3^{\mu_3(P)} \bar{P}(u_3, v_3), \quad \bar{P}(0, v_3) \neq 0, \quad (10.44)$$

$$P(1, u_4^2 v_4, 1 - u_4 v_4) = (u_4 v_4)^{\mu_3(P)} u_4^{\mu_4(P)} \hat{P}(u_4, v_4), \quad \hat{P}(0, v_4) \neq 0, \quad (10.45)$$

$$P(1, u_5^3 v_5, 1 - u_5^2 v_5) = (u_5^2 v_5)^{\mu_3(P)} u_5^{\mu_4(P)} u_5^{\mu_5(P)} \tilde{P}(u_5, v_5), \quad \tilde{P}(0, v_5) \neq 0. \quad (10.46)$$

At $p_3 = [0 : 1 : 1]$:

$$P(u_6 v_6, 1 + u_6, 1) = u_6^{\mu_6(P)} \bar{P}(u_6, v_6), \quad \bar{P}(0, v_6) \neq 0, \quad (10.47)$$

$$P(u_7^2 v_7, 1 + u_7 v_7, 1) = (u_7 v_7)^{\mu_6(P)} u_7^{\mu_7(P)} \hat{P}(u_7, v_7), \quad \hat{P}(0, v_7) \neq 0, \quad (10.48)$$

$$P(u_8^3 v_8, 1 + u_8^2 v_8, 1) = (u_8^2 v_8)^{\mu_6(P)} u_8^{\mu_7(P)} u_8^{\mu_8(P)} \tilde{P}(u_8, v_8), \quad \tilde{P}(0, v_8) \neq 0. \quad (10.49)$$

At $p_4 = [1 : 0 : 0]$:

$$P(1, u_9, u_9 v_9) = u_9^{\mu_9(P)} \bar{P}(u_9, v_9), \quad \bar{P}(0, v_9) \neq 0, \quad (10.50)$$

$$P(1, u_{10}, u_{10}^2 v_{10}) = u_{10}^{\mu_9(P)} u_{10}^{\mu_{10}(P)} \tilde{P}(u_{10}, v_{10}), \quad \tilde{P}(0, v_{10}) \neq 0. \quad (10.51)$$

In each group of equations, the arguments of P for the next one are obtained from those from the previous one by a sigma-process, and the right-hand side gains in this transition an extra factor corresponding to the index of P with respect to this sigma-process.

We remark that relations from Theorem 10.5 can be alternatively proven by a direct analysis similar to that used for the proof of Theorem 10.3.

Proposition 10.6. *Given a homogeneous polynomial P , the indices $\nu_2(P)$, $\nu_5(P)$, $\nu_8(P)$ and $\nu_{10}(P)$ introduced in Definition 10.1, are related to the indices $\mu_i(P)$ via*

$$\nu_2(P) = \mu_1(P) + \mu_2(P), \quad (10.52)$$

$$\nu_5(P) = 2\mu_3(P) + \mu_4(P) + \mu_5(P), \quad (10.53)$$

$$\nu_8(P) = 2\mu_6(P) + \mu_7(P) + \mu_8(P), \quad (10.54)$$

$$\nu_{10}(P) = \mu_9(P) + \mu_{10}(P). \quad (10.55)$$

With these relations, and upon setting $\nu_3(P) = \mu_3(P)$ and $\nu_6(P) = \mu_6(P)$, recurrent relations of Theorem 10.3 follow from those of Theorem 10.5.

Proof. The first statement follows directly from (10.43), (10.46), (10.49), and (10.51), respectively. The second is a result of a straightforward check. \square

10.6 Finding an integral of motion

To find the integral of motion, we again compute the eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalue 1. There are three independent such vectors:

$$H - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_8 - \mathcal{E}_{10}, \quad H - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_9, \quad H - \mathcal{E}_3 - \mathcal{E}_2.$$

With a linear combination of these three vectors, we find the divisor class with the self-intersection number 0,

$$4H - 2\mathcal{E}_3 - 2\mathcal{E}_2 - \mathcal{E}_6 - \mathcal{E}_1 - \mathcal{E}_4 - \mathcal{E}_5 - \mathcal{E}_7 - \mathcal{E}_8 - \mathcal{E}_9 - \mathcal{E}_{10},$$

whose linear system has dimension 1, and is of the form

$$-hx^2y^2 + x^3z + 3x^2yz + 3xy^2z + y^3z - 3x^2z^2 - 6xyz^2 - 3y^2z^2 + 3xz^3 + 3yz^3 - z^4.$$

Back to inhomogeneous coordinates, this means we have the following candidate for a rational integral of motion:

$$h(x, y) = \frac{(x + y - 1)^3}{x^2y^2},$$

which can be now verified by direct computation.

11 Example 3: a linearizable 2D system

The example in this section illustrates the situation when the algebraically stable modification of a birational map of \mathbb{P}^2 is *not* an automorphism. A straightforward application of our algorithm would require an infinite number of blow-ups, but actually the algorithm can be made finite by a more careful analysis. This corresponds to the existence of an algebraically stable modification via a finite number of blow-ups. The system is actually linearizable, and the situation closely resembles that of [61].

11.1 Definition of the map

The following second order difference equation was considered in [1]:

$$\xi_{n+1} = \xi_n + \frac{\xi_n - \xi_{n-1}}{1 + (\xi_n - \xi_{n-1})}.$$

It can be rewritten as a 2D discrete system

$$(\xi_{n+1}, \eta_{n+1}) = \left(\xi_n + \frac{\xi_n - \eta_n}{1 + (\xi_n - \eta_n)}, \xi_n \right),$$

and finally as a birational map in homogeneous coordinates

$$f \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x(x - y + z) + (x - y)z \\ x(x - y + z) \\ z(x - y + z) \end{bmatrix}, \quad f^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y(y - x + z) \\ y(y - x + z) + (y - x)z \\ z(y - x + z) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11.1)$$

The singularities of the map f and of the inverse map f^{-1} are

$$\mathcal{I}(f) = \{p_2 = [1 : 1 : 0], p_3 = [0 : 1 : 0]\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}(f^{-1}) = \{p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0], p_2 = [1 : 1 : 0]\}.$$

The respective critical sets are:

$$\mathcal{C}(f) = \{z = 0\} \cup \{x - y + z = 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(f^{-1}) = \{z = 0\} \cup \{y - x + z = 0\}.$$

Both components of $\mathcal{C}(f)$ are degree lowering curves:

$$f : \{z = 0\} \rightarrow p_2 \in \mathcal{I}(f). \quad (11.2)$$

and

$$f : \{x - y + z = 0\} \rightarrow p_1 \rightarrow p_2 \in \mathcal{I}(f). \quad (11.3)$$

11.2 Regularizing the map f

Action of f on the critical line $\{x - y + z = 0\}$. This line is mapped by f to the point $p_1 = [1 : 0 : 0]$. In order to resolve this, we blow up this point. We use the following change to coordinates (u_1, v_1) in the affine chart $x = 1$:

$$\phi_1 = \pi_1 : \begin{cases} x = 1, \\ y = u_1, \\ z = u_1 v_1. \end{cases} \quad (11.4)$$

One can interpret elements $(0, v_1) \in E_1$ as the germs $\{x = 1, z = v_1 y\}$ over p_1 . In coordinates (11.4) for the image $[\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z}]$, we compute:

$$\tilde{u}_1 = \frac{\tilde{y}}{\tilde{x}} = \frac{x(x - y + z)}{x(x - y + z) + (x - y)z}, \quad \tilde{v}_1 = \frac{\tilde{z}}{\tilde{y}} = \frac{z}{x}.$$

As soon as $x - y + z = 0$, we have $\tilde{u}_1 = 0$ and $\tilde{v}_1 = z/x$. Thus, (the proper transform of) the line $\{x - y + z = 0\}$ is biregularly mapped to the exceptional divisor E_1 :

$$f|_{\{x-y+z=0\}} : [x : x + z : z] \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_1) = (0, z/x) \in E_1.$$

Action of f on the critical line $\{z = 0\}$. This line is mapped by f to the point $p_2 = [1 : 1 : 0]$. In order to resolve this, we blow up this point. We use the following change to coordinates (u_2, v_2) in the affine chart $x = 1$:

$$\phi_2 = \pi_2 : \begin{cases} x = 1 + u_2 v_2, \\ y = 1, \\ z = u_2. \end{cases} \quad (11.5)$$

The exceptional divisor is given by $E_2 = \{u_2 = 0\}$. In coordinates (11.5) for the image $[\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z}]$, we compute:

$$\tilde{u}_2 = \frac{\tilde{z}}{\tilde{y}} = \frac{z(x - y + z)}{x(x - y + z)} = \frac{z}{x}, \quad \tilde{v}_2 = \frac{\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}}{\tilde{z}} = \frac{(x - y)z}{z(x - y + z)} = \frac{x - y}{x - y + z}.$$

Thus, the image by f of the line $\{z = 0\}$ is the single point $(\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2) = (0, 1)$ in E_2 . In order to resolve this, one more blow-up is necessary. For this second blow-up, we use the following change to coordinates (u_3, v_3) :

$$\pi_3 : \begin{cases} u_2 = u_3, \\ v_2 = 1 + u_3 v_3 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \phi_3 = \pi_2 \circ \pi_3 : \begin{cases} x = 1 + u_3 + u_3^2 v_3, \\ y = 1, \\ z = u_3. \end{cases} \quad (11.6)$$

One can interpret elements $(0, v_3) \in E_3$ as the germs $\{y = 1, x = 1 + z + v_3 z^2\}$ over p_2 . In coordinates (11.6) for the image $[\tilde{x} : \tilde{y} : \tilde{z}]$, we compute (at $z = 0$):

$$\tilde{u}_3 = \frac{\tilde{z}}{\tilde{y}} = \frac{z}{x}, \quad \tilde{v}_3 = \frac{(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y} - \tilde{z})\tilde{y}}{\tilde{z}^2} = -\frac{x}{x - y + z}.$$

Thus, (the proper transform of) the line $\{z = 0\}$ is biregularly mapped to the exceptional divisor E_3 :

$$f|_{\{z=0\}} : [x : y : 0] \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_3) = \left(0, -x/(x - y)\right) \in E_3.$$

Action of f on E_2 . It appears useful to consider next the action of f on E_2 , prior to considering the propagation of indices. Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_2 by (11.6). We compute (if $v_2 \neq -1$):

$$\begin{aligned} f \begin{bmatrix} 1 + u_2 v_2 \\ 1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} (1 + u_2 v_2)u_2(1 + v_2) + u_2^2 v_2 \\ (1 + u_2 v_2)u_2(1 + v_2) \\ u_2^2(1 + v_2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{u_2 v_2}{(1 + u_2 v_2)(1 + v_2)} \\ 1 \\ \frac{u_2}{1 + u_2 v_2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{v}_2 \\ 1 \\ \tilde{u}_2 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{u}_2 = \frac{u_2}{1 + u_2 v_2}, \quad \tilde{v}_2 = \frac{v_2}{1 + v_2}.$$

We see that f maps $E_2 \setminus \{(0, -1)\}$ to itself, with $\tilde{v}_2 = v_2/(1 + v_2)$. The point $(0, -1) \in E_2$ is a singular point of f ; the exceptional divisor of the further blow-up at this point is biregularly mapped to the line $\{z = 0\}$.

Action of f on E_1 . Recall that we parametrize a neighborhood of E_1 by (11.4). We compute:

$$f \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ u_1 \\ u_1 v_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - u_1 + u_1 v_1 + u_1 v_1(1 - u_1) \\ 1 - u_1 + u_1 v_1 \\ u_1 v_1(1 - u_1 + u_1 v_1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \frac{u_1 v_1(1 - u_1)}{1 - u_1 + u_1 v_1} \\ 1 \\ u_1 v_1 \end{bmatrix} =: \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x} \\ \tilde{y} \\ \tilde{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In coordinates (11.5), we have:

$$\tilde{u}_2 = u_1 v_1, \quad \tilde{v}_2 = \frac{1 - u_1}{1 - u_1 + u_1 v_1} = 1 - \frac{u_1 v_1}{1 - u_1 + u_1 v_1} = 1 - \tilde{u}_2 - \tilde{u}_2^2 \frac{1 - v_1}{v_1} + O(\tilde{u}_2^3).$$

We see that f maps E_1 to a single point $(\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2) = (0, 1) \in E_2$. According to the result above on the action of f on E_2 , we can conclude that the further iterates $f^m(E_1)$ are definite points $(0, v_2^{(m)}) \in E_2$ with $v_2^{(m+1)} = v_2^{(m)} / (1 + v_2^{(m)})$, so that $v_2^{(m)} = 1/m$. In other words, on the surface obtained from \mathbb{P}^2 by blow-ups at p_1 and at p_2 , the line $\{x - y + z = 0\}$ is not a degree lowering curve. The same is true for the line $\{z = 0\}$. The lift of f to this surface is algebraically stable.

For the algorithm for computing $\deg(f^n)$ via local indices of polynomials, we would need to propagate by f the blow-ups ϕ_1 at p_1 and ϕ_3 at p_2 . To resolve the contraction of E_1 to the point $(0, 1) \in E_2$, further blow-ups at this point would be necessary: first, according to (11.6), where we find

$$\tilde{u}_3 = u_1 v_1, \quad \tilde{v}_3 = -1 + O(\tilde{u}_3).$$

so that f maps E_1 a single point $(\tilde{u}_3, \tilde{v}_3) = (0, -1) \in E_3$. A further blow-up at this point:

$$\pi_4 : \begin{cases} u_3 = u_4, \\ v_3 = -1 + u_4 v_4 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \phi_4 = \pi_2 \circ \pi_3 \circ \pi_4 : \begin{cases} x = 1 + u_4 - u_4^2 + u_4^3 v_4, \\ y = 1, \\ z = u_4, \end{cases} \quad (11.7)$$

will give a regular map

$$f|_{E_1} : E_1 \ni (0, v_1) \mapsto (0, \tilde{v}_4) = (0, -(1 - v_1)/v_1) \in E_4.$$

The further propagation steps would lead to blow-up at the points $(0, v_2^{(2)}) = (0, 1/2) \in E_2$, $(0, v_2^{(3)}) = (0, 1/3) \in E_2$, etc. Thus, the full regularization of the orbit of the line $\{x - y + z = 0\}$ would require an infinite sequence of blow-ups. The same is true for the orbit of the line $\{z = 0\}$. Compare this situation with [61]. However, as we will see below, one does not need indices other than $\nu_1 = \nu_{\phi_1}$, $\nu_2 = \nu_{\phi_2}$ and $\nu_3 = \nu_{\phi_3}$ to obtain a finite system of recurrent relations.

11.3 Degree computation based on local indices

Definition 11.1. Let $P(x, y, z)$ be a generic homogeneous polynomial.

- We define the index $\nu_1(P) = \nu_{\phi_1}(P)$ by

$$P \circ \phi_1 = P(1, u_1, u_1 v_1) = u_1^{\nu_1(P)} \tilde{P}(u_1, v_1),$$

where $\tilde{P}(0, v_1) \not\equiv 0$.

- We define the index $\nu_2(P) = \nu_{\phi_2}(P)$ by

$$P \circ \phi_2 = P(1 + u_2 v_2, 1, u_2) = u_2^{\nu_2(P)} \tilde{P}(u_2, v_2),$$

where $\tilde{P}(0, v_2) \not\equiv 0$.

- We define the index $\nu_3(P) = \nu_{\phi_3}(P)$ by

$$P \circ \phi_3 = P(1 + u_3 + u_3^2 v_3, 1, u_3) = u_3^{\nu_3(P)} \tilde{P}(u_3, v_3),$$

where $\tilde{P}(0, v_3) \not\equiv 0$.

We have the following result (a concrete realization of Theorem 4.5 in the present case).

Proposition 11.2. *For a homogeneous polynomial $P = P(x, y, z)$, its pullback $f^*P = P \circ \tilde{f}$ is divisible by $(x - y + z)^{\nu_1(P)} z^{\nu_3(P)}$ (and by no higher degrees of $x - y + z$, resp. of z).*

Proof. 1) In the chart (11.4), we compute:

$$P(1, y, z) = u_1^{\nu_1} \tilde{P}(u_1, v_1) = y^{\nu_1} \tilde{P}\left(y, \frac{z}{y}\right).$$

In homogeneous coordinates,

$$P(x, y, z) = x^d P\left(1, \frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x}\right) = y^{\nu_1} x^{d-\nu_1} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{z}{x}\right).$$

Setting $\tilde{f}(x, y, z) = (X, Y, Z)$, we compute, according to (11.1):

$$f^*P(x, y, z) = Y^{\nu_1} X^{d-\nu_1} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{Y}{X}, \frac{Z}{Y}\right) = (x(x - y + z))^{\nu_1} X^{d-\nu_1} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{x(x - y + z)}{X}, \frac{z}{x}\right).$$

This polynomial is manifestly divisible by $(x - y + z)^{\nu_1}$ (and by no higher degree of $x - y + z$).

2) Likewise, we compute in the chart (11.6):

$$P(x, 1, z) = u_3^{\nu_3} \tilde{P}(u_3, v_3) = z^{\nu_3} \tilde{P}\left(z, \frac{x - 1 - z}{z^2}\right).$$

In homogeneous coordinates,

$$P(x, y, z) = y^d P\left(\frac{x}{y}, 1, \frac{z}{y}\right) = z^{\nu_3} y^{d-\nu_3} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{z}{y}, \frac{(x - y - z)y}{z^2}\right).$$

Therefore, upon a straightforward computation according to (11.1):

$$\begin{aligned} f^*P(x, y, z) &= Z^{\nu_3} Y^{d-\nu_3} \tilde{P}\left(\frac{Z}{Y}, \frac{(X-Y-Z)Y}{Z^2}\right) \\ &= z^{\nu_3} x^{d-\nu_3} (x-y+z)^d \tilde{P}\left(\frac{z}{x}, -\frac{x}{x-y+z}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This polynomial is manifestly divisible by z^{ν_3} (and by no higher degree of z).

□

Lemma 11.3. *The indices ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 satisfy the following relations:*

$$\nu_1(f^*P) = \nu_3(P), \quad (11.8)$$

$$\nu_2(f^*P) = \deg(P) + \nu_2(P), \quad (11.9)$$

$$\nu_3(f^*P) = \deg(P) + \nu_2(P). \quad (11.10)$$

Proof. Eq. (11.8) follows from the fact that the image by f of the blow-up (11.4) is given by (11.7) which manifestly belongs to (11.6). For (11.9), we refer to the end of Section 1: the image by f of E_2 is E_2 , and all three components of $\tilde{f} \circ \phi_2$ are divisible by u_2 . Finally, (11.10) follows in the same way from the fact that the image by f of E_3 is still in E_2 (with $\tilde{\nu}_2 = 1/2$). □

Remark 11.4. *We see that for any homogeneous polynomial P , the indices ν_2 and ν_3 for f^*P are equal. Of course, these indices must not coincide for an arbitrary polynomial. A simple counterexample: $\nu_2(x-y-z) = 1$ but $\nu_3(x-y-z) = 2$.*

For any polynomial $P = P_0$, we define iteratively

$$P_{n+1} = (x-y+z)^{-\nu_1(P)} z^{-\nu_3(P)} (f^*P_n).$$

For the degrees, there follows the relation

$$\deg(P_{n+1}) = 2 \deg(P_n) - \nu_1(P_n) - \nu_3(P_n). \quad (11.11)$$

For the indices we have:

Lemma 11.5. *The indices ν_1, ν_2, ν_3 satisfy the following relations:*

$$\nu_1(P_{n+1}) = 0, \quad (11.12)$$

$$\nu_2(P_{n+1}) = \deg(P_n) - \nu_1(P_n) + \nu_2(P_n) - \nu_3(P_n), \quad (11.13)$$

$$\nu_3(P_{n+1}) = \deg(P_n) - \nu_1(P_n) + \nu_2(P_n) - \nu_3(P_n). \quad (11.14)$$

Proof. We have:

$$\nu_1(P_{n+1}) = \nu_1(f^*P_n) - \nu_1(P_n)\nu_1(x - y + z) - \nu_3(P_n)\nu_1(z) = \nu_3(P_n) - \nu_3(P_n) = 0,$$

since $\nu_1(x - y + z) = 0$ and $\nu_1(z) = 1$.

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_2(P_{n+1}) &= \nu_2(f^*P_n) - \nu_1(P_n)\nu_2(x - y + z) - \nu_3(P_n)\nu_2(z) \\ &= \deg(P_n) + \nu_2(P_n) - \nu_1(P_n) - \nu_3(P_n). \end{aligned}$$

since $\nu_2(x - y + z) = 1$ and $\nu_2(z) = 1$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_3(P_{n+1}) &= \nu_3(f^*P_n) - \nu_1(P_n)\nu_3(x - y + z) - \nu_3(P_n)\nu_3(z) \\ &= \deg(P_n) + \nu_2(P_n) - \nu_1(P_n) - \nu_3(P_n). \end{aligned}$$

since $\nu_3(x - y + z) = 1$ and $\nu_3(z) = 1$. □

Theorem 11.6. *For any polynomial P , the degrees of the polynomials P_n are given by*

$$\deg(P_n) = n \deg(P_1) - (n - 1) \deg(P_0). \quad (11.15)$$

For a generic linear polynomial P_0 (with all $\nu_i(P_0) = 0$), we have $\deg(P_0) = 1$, $\deg(P_1) = 2$, and $\deg(P_n) = n + 1$.

Proof. From Lemma 11.5 we see that, starting with $n = 1$, we will have $\nu_1(P_n) = 0$, $\nu_2(P_n) = \nu_3(P_n)$, therefore, according to (11.13), (11.14): $\nu_2(P_{n+1}) = \nu_3(P_{n+1}) = \deg(P_n)$, and from (11.11):

$$\deg(P_{n+1}) = 2 \deg(P_n) - \deg(P_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 2.$$

Now, (11.15) follows by induction. □

11.4 Degree computation based on the Picard group

The algorithm from [20] for finding an algebraically stable modification of a given birational map, described in Section 6, terminates in the present case after just one step. The only minimal destabilizing orbit of f is $\{p_2\}$, and the lift of f to the resulting blow-up surface $X = Bl_{p_2}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ is algebraically stable (although not an automorphism, because the

proper transforms of the original critical lines are still contracted by f_X to points). We have: $\text{Pic}(X) = \mathbb{Z}H \oplus \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{E}_2$, and one computes the following action of f_X^* on $\text{Pic}(X)$:

$$f_X^* : \begin{pmatrix} H \\ \mathcal{E}_2 \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2H - \mathcal{E}_2 \\ H \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11.16)$$

Indeed, the pull-back of a generic line is a conic passing through p_2 , while the pull-back of \mathcal{E}_2 is a reducible divisor consisting of the proper transform of the line $\{z = 0\}$, whose class is $H - \mathcal{E}_2$, and of \mathcal{E}_2 itself. Observe that f_X^* does not preserve the intersection product.

Formula (11.16) agrees with the recurrent formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(P_{n+1}) &= 2 \deg(P_n) - \mu_2(P_n), \\ \mu_2(P_{n+1}) &= \deg(P_n), \end{aligned}$$

which hold for $n \geq 1$ (recall, in this case $\mu_2(P) = \nu_2(P)$). The powers of the matrix of f_X^* are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^n = \begin{pmatrix} n+1 & n \\ -n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which confirms that $\deg(f^n) = n + 1$.

12 Conclusion

We have addressed the problem of computing the degrees of iterates of a birational map $f : \mathbb{P}^N \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$. To this end, we have developed a method based on two main ingredients:

- a detailed study of factorization of a polynomial under pull-back of f , based on local indices of a polynomial associated to blow-ups used to resolve the contraction of hypersurfaces by f , and
- a detailed study of propagation of these indices along orbits of f .

For maps admitting algebraically stable modifications $f_X : X \dashrightarrow X$, where X is a variety obtained from \mathbb{P}^N by a finite number of blow-ups, this method leads to an algorithm producing a finite system of recurrent equations relating the degrees and indices of iterated pull-backs of linear polynomials. This method is related, but not equivalent, to another method described in [64, 65], based on the computation of the action of the pull-back of f_X on the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$.

We have moreover illustrated the method by three representative two-dimensional examples. Since our method is applicable in any dimension, we will provide a number of three-dimensional examples in a separate companion paper [4].

Acknowledgement. This research is supported by the DFG Collaborative Research Center TRR 109 “Discretization in Geometry and Dynamics”.

References

- [1] M. J. ABLOWITZ, R. HALBURD, AND B. HERBST, *On the extension of the Painlevé property to difference equations*, *Nonlinearity*, 13 (2000), pp. 889–905.
- [2] M. ALBERICH-CARRAMIÑANA, *Geometry of the plane Cremona maps*, vol. 1769 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [3] J. ALONSO, Y. B. SURIS, AND K. WEI, *A three-dimensional generalization of QRT maps*, arXiv:2207.06051 [nlin.SI], (2022).
- [4] —, *Dynamical degrees of birational maps from indices of polynomials with respect to blow-ups II. 3D examples*, (in preparation), (2023).
- [5] E. BEDFORD, *Excursions of a complex analyst into the realm of dynamical systems*, in *Complex analysis in several variables – Memorial Conference of Kiyoshi Oka’s Centennial Birthday*, vol. 42 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004, pp. 63–84.
- [6] —, *Dynamics of rational surface automorphisms*, in *Holomorphic dynamical systems*, vol. 1998 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer, Berlin, 2010, pp. 57–104.
- [7] —, *The dynamical degrees of a mapping*, in *Proceedings of the Workshop Future Directions in Difference Equations*, vol. 69 of *Colecc. Congr.*, Univ. Vigo, Serv. Publ., Vigo, 2011, pp. 3–13.
- [8] —, *Invertible dynamics on blow-ups of \mathbb{P}^k* , in *Complex analysis and geometry*, vol. 144 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, Springer, Tokyo, 2015, pp. 67–88.
- [9] E. BEDFORD AND J. DILLER, *Real and complex dynamics of a family of birational maps of the plane: the golden mean subshift*, *Amer. J. Math.*, 127 (2005), pp. 595–646.
- [10] —, *Dynamics of a two parameter family of plane birational maps: maximal entropy*, *J. Geom. Anal.*, 16 (2006), pp. 409–430.

- [11] E. BEDFORD, J. DILLER, AND K. KIM, *Pseudoautomorphisms with invariant curves*, in Complex geometry and dynamics, vol. 10 of Abel Symp., Springer, Cham, 2015, pp. 1–27.
- [12] E. BEDFORD AND K. KIM, *On the degree growth of birational mappings in higher dimension*, J. Geom. Anal., 14 (2004), pp. 567–596.
- [13] ———, *Periodicities in linear fractional recurrences: Degree growth of birational surface maps*, Michigan Math. J., 54 (2006), pp. 647–671.
- [14] ———, *Dynamics of rational surface automorphisms: linear fractional recurrences*, J. Geom. Anal., 19 (2009), pp. 553–583.
- [15] ———, *Continuous families of rational surface automorphisms with positive entropy*, Math. Ann., 348 (2010), pp. 667–688.
- [16] ———, *Linear fractional recurrences: periodicities and integrability*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), 20 (2011), pp. 33–56.
- [17] ———, *Dynamics of (pseudo) automorphisms of 3-space: periodicity versus positive entropy*, Publ. Mat., 58 (2014), pp. 65–119.
- [18] J. P. BELL, J. DILLER, AND M. JONSSON, *A transcendental dynamical degree*, Acta Math., 225 (2020), pp. 193–225.
- [19] M. P. BELLON AND C.-M. VIALLET, *Algebraic entropy*, Comm. Math. Phys., 204 (1999), pp. 425–437.
- [20] R. A. P. BIRKETT, *On the stabilisation of rational surface maps*, arXiv:2110.00095 [math.DS], (2021).
- [21] J. BLANC, *Dynamical degrees of (pseudo)-automorphisms fixing cubic hypersurfaces*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 62 (2013), pp. 1143–1164.
- [22] J. BLANC AND S. CANTAT, *Dynamical degrees of birational transformations of projective surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 29 (2016), pp. 415–471.
- [23] J. BLANC AND I. HEDÉN, *The group of Cremona transformations generated by linear maps and the standard involution*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 65 (2015), pp. 2641–2680.
- [24] A. S. CARSTEA AND T. TAKENAWA, *A classification of two-dimensional integrable mappings and rational elliptic surfaces*, J. Phys. A, 45 (2012). 155206, 15 pp.

- [25] ———, *Space of initial conditions and geometry of two 4-dimensional discrete Painlevé equations*, J. Phys. A, 52 (2019), p. 275201.
- [26] E. CELLEDONI, R. I. MCLACHLAN, D. I. MCLAREN, B. OWREN, AND G. R. W. QUISPÉL, *Integrability properties of Kahan’s method*, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor., 47 (2014). 365202, 20 pp.
- [27] E. CELLEDONI, R. I. MCLACHLAN, B. OWREN, AND G. R. W. QUISPÉL, *Geometric properties of Kahan’s method*, J. Phys. A, Math. Theor., 45 (2012). 025201, 12 pp.
- [28] D. CERVEAU AND J. DÉSERTE, *Transformations birationnelles de petit degré*, vol. 19 of Cours Spécialisés, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
- [29] J. DÉSERTE, *Degree growth of polynomial automorphisms and birational maps: some examples*, Eur. J. Math., 4 (2018), pp. 200–211.
- [30] J. DILLER, *Dynamics of birational maps of \mathbf{P}^2* , Indiana Univ. Math. J., 45 (1996), pp. 721–772.
- [31] ———, *Cremona transformations, surface automorphisms, and plane cubics*, Michigan Math. J., 60 (2011), pp. 409–440. With an appendix by Igor Dolgachev.
- [32] J. DILLER AND C. FAVRE, *Dynamics of bimeromorphic maps of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math., 123 (2001), pp. 1135–1169.
- [33] J. J. DUISTERMAAT, *Discrete integrable systems. QRT maps and elliptic surfaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [34] N. ERCOLANI, J. LEGA, AND B. TIPPINGS, *Dynamics of nonpolar solutions to the discrete Painlevé I equation*, SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst., 21 (2022), pp. 1322–1351.
- [35] M. FEKETE, *Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewissen algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten*, Math. Z., 17 (1923), pp. 228–249.
- [36] J. E. FORNÆSS AND N. SIBONY, *Complex dynamics in higher dimension. II*, in Modern methods in complex analysis (Princeton, NJ, 1992), vol. 137 of Ann. of Math. Stud., Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995, pp. 135–182.
- [37] ———, *Complex dynamics in higher dimension*, in Several complex variables (Berkeley, CA, 1995–1996), vol. 37 of Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999, pp. 273–296.
- [38] B. GRAMMATICOS AND A. RAMANI, *Discrete Painlevé equations: a review*, in Dis-

- crete integrable systems, vol. 644 of Lecture Notes in Phys., Springer, Berlin, 2004, pp. 245–321.
- [39] B. GRAMMATICOS, A. RAMANI, AND V. PAPAGEORGIOU, *Do integrable mappings have the Painlevé property?*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 67 (1991), pp. 1825–1828.
- [40] J. HIETARINTA AND C. VIALLET, *Singularity confinement and chaos in discrete systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 81 (1997), pp. 325–328.
- [41] J. HIETARINTA AND C. VIALLET, *Discrete Painlevé I and singularity confinement in projective space*, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 11 (2000), pp. 29–32.
- [42] R. HIROTA AND K. KIMURA, *Discretization of the Euler top*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 69 (2000), pp. 627–630.
- [43] H. P. HUDSON, *Cremona transformations in plane and space*, Cambridge University Press, 1927.
- [44] N. JOSHI, *Discrete Painlevé equations*, vol. 131, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences (CBMS), 2019.
- [45] W. KAHAN, *Unconventional Numerical Methods for Trajectory Calculations*, University of California, Berkeley, CA, Oct. 1993.
- [46] K. KAJIWARA, M. NOUMI, AND Y. YAMADA, *Geometric aspects of Painlevé equations*, J. Phys. A, 50 (2017), pp. 073001, 164.
- [47] K. KIMURA AND R. HIROTA, *Discretization of the Lagrange top*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 69 (2000), pp. 3193–3199.
- [48] T. MASE, R. WILLOX, A. RAMANI, AND B. GRAMMATICOS, *Singularity confinement as an integrability criterion*, J. Phys. A, 52 (2019), pp. 205201, 29.
- [49] C. T. MCMULLEN, *Dynamics on blowups of the projective plane*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., (2007), pp. 49–89.
- [50] R. PENROSE AND C. A. B. SMITH, *A quadratic mapping with invariant cubic curve*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 89 (1981), pp. 89–105.
- [51] M. PETRERA, A. PFADLER, AND Y. B. SURIS, *On integrability of Hirota-Kimura-type discretizations: experimental study of the discrete Clebsch system*, Experiment. Math., 18 (2009), pp. 223–247.

- [52] —, *On integrability of Hirota-Kimura type discretizations*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, 16 (2011), pp. 245–289.
- [53] M. PETRERA, Y. B. SURIS, K. WEI, AND R. ZANDER, *Manin involutions for elliptic pencils and discrete integrable systems*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom., 24 (2021). Paper No. 6, 26 pp.
- [54] G. R. W. QUISPEL, J. A. G. ROBERTS, AND C. J. THOMPSON, *Integrable mappings and soliton equations*, Phys. Lett. A, 126 (1988), pp. 419–421.
- [55] —, *Integrable mappings and soliton equations. II*, Phys. D, 34 (1989), pp. 183–192.
- [56] H. SAKAI, *Rational surfaces associated with affine root systems and geometry of the Painlevé equations*, Comm. Math. Phys., 220 (2001), pp. 165–229.
- [57] I. SHAFAREVICH AND M. REID, *Basic Algebraic Geometry 1: Varieties in Projective Space*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.
- [58] J. H. SILVERMAN, *Dynamical degree, arithmetic entropy, and canonical heights for dominant rational self-maps of projective space*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, 34 (2012), p. 647–678.
- [59] T. TAKENAWA, *Algebraic entropy and the space of initial values for discrete dynamical systems*, J. Phys. A, 34 (2001), pp. 10533–10545.
- [60] —, *A geometric approach to singularity confinement and algebraic entropy*, J. Phys. A, 34 (2001), pp. L95–L102.
- [61] T. TAKENAWA, M. EGUCHI, B. GRAMMATICOS, Y. OHTA, A. RAMANI, AND J. SATSUMA, *The space of initial conditions for linearizable mappings*, Nonlinearity, 16 (2003), pp. 457–477.
- [62] T. TSUDA, *Integrable mappings via rational elliptic surfaces*, J. Phys. A, 37 (2004), pp. 2721–2730.
- [63] C. M. VIALLET, *Algebraic dynamics and algebraic entropy*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., 5 (2008), pp. 1373–1391.
- [64] —, *On the algebraic structure of rational discrete dynamical systems*, J. Phys. A, 48 (2015), pp. 16FT01, 21.
- [65] —, *On the degree growth of iterated birational maps*, arXiv:1909.13259 [math.AG], (2019).