

**A CHARACTERIZATION OF MINIMAL EXTENDED AFFINE
ROOT SYSTEMS
(RELATIONS TO ELLIPTIC LIE ALGEBRAS)**

SAEID AZAM ¹, FATEMEH PARISHANI, SHAOBIN TAN ²

ABSTRACT. Extended affine root systems appear as the root systems of extended affine Lie algebras. A subclass of extended affine root systems, whose elements are called “minimal” turns out to be of special interest mostly because of the geometric properties of their Weyl groups; they possess the so called “the presentation by conjugation”. In this work we give a characterization of minimal extended affine root systems in terms of “minimal reflectable bases” which resembles the concept of the “base” for finite and affine root systems. As an application, we construct elliptic Lie algebras by means of a Serre’s type generators and relations.

0. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by applications in “the construction of a flat structure for the base space of the universal deformation of a simple elliptic singularity” K. Saito in [Sa] introduced the concept of an extended affine root system. Considering [Sl], he predicted the “existence of Lie algebras corresponding to them which would describe the universal deformation of the simple elliptic singularity”. The prediction was partially answered in [H-KT, P]. Later in 1997, in a systematic study, a class of algebras associated with extended affine root systems was introduced via a set of axioms [AABGP]. Each element of this class is called an “extended affine Lie algebra”. Since then, the theory of extended affine root systems (Lie algebras) (see Definitions 1.1.1 and 6.1.1) and related topics have been under intensive investigation. It turns out that despite the striking similarities with the finite and affine Lie theory, there are enough interesting differences to make the study of extended affine Lie theory a demanding subject. In what follows, we explain the motivation of the present work on two levels; the level of the root system and the Weyl group, and the level of Lie algebra.

Level of the root system and Weyl group: One of the astonishing privileges of finite and affine Weyl groups is that they are Coxeter groups with respect to certain appropriate generating sets. In the finite types, these generating sets consist of reflections based on elements of every fundamental system of the corresponding root system [C, Hu2, MP]; thus by [B, Chapter IV, §8, Corollary 3], these generating sets are “minimal”. In contrast, it is known that the Weyl group of an extended

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B67 20F55 17B22 17B65.

Key words and phrases. Extended affine root systems, extended affine Weyl groups, reflectable bases, elliptic Lie algebras, presentations of Lie algebras.

¹This work is based upon research funded by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) under project No. 4001480. The research was in part carried out in the IPM-Isfahan Branch.

²This research is partially supported by NSF of China (Nos. 12131018, 12161141001).

affine root system R is not in general a Coxeter group. In fact, one knows that an extended affine Weyl group is a Coxeter group if and only if the corresponding root system is either finite or affine [Ho, Theorem 3.6]. Therefore, finding a suitable presentation for an extended affine Weyl group has always been a demanding problem, see for example [Kr1, Kr2, A1, A2, SaT]. An approach to achieve such a presentation is as follows. In the theory of root datum, it is known that the Coxeter presentation implies the so-called “presentation by conjugation” [MP, Chapter 5,§3], see Definition 2.2.4. Therefore it is natural to ask if extended affine Weyl groups possess the presentation by conjugation. This question was raised first by Y. Krylyuk and was affirmatively answered in [Kr2] for simply laced extended affine Weyl groups of rank > 1 . Later in a series of works the question was considered for general types, [A2, Ho, AS3, AS4]. In brief, it is revealed that only a subclass of extended affine Weyl groups enjoys the presentation by conjugation, whose root systems are called “minimal” (see Definition 5.1.1). For general extended affine Weyl groups, one gives a weaker presentation, called “generalized presentation by conjugation” [A2], which coincides with the presentation by conjugation if the corresponding root system is minimal.

In this work, we consider a characterization of minimal extended affine root systems of reduced types in terms of minimal reflectable bases. To explain this we introduce some terminologies here. Let R be a reduced extended affine root system with the set of non-isotropic roots R^\times , and Weyl group \mathcal{W} . For a subset \mathcal{P} of R^\times we denote the subgroup of \mathcal{W} generated by reflections based on \mathcal{P} by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$. The set \mathcal{P} is called a *reflectable base* for R , if $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{W}$ and no proper subset of \mathcal{P} has this property. The class of all such sets is denoted by \mathcal{M}_r . The class of all sets $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$ which are minimal with respect to the property that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{W}$ is denoted by \mathcal{M}_m , and the class of all sets $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$ which have minimal cardinality with respect to the property $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{W}$ is denoted by \mathcal{M}_c . Let $\mathcal{M}_{rm} = \mathcal{M}_r \cap \mathcal{M}_m$. In the finite theory one concludes that $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}_c$, see Proposition 3.2.2. To achieve our characterization of minimal root systems, we need to investigate interrelations between these classes for general extended affine root systems.

Level of Lie algebra: K. Saito conjectured in [Sa] that starting from any extended affine root system R there should be a Lie algebra with root system R . This led to many interesting investigations concerning the construction and classification of such Lie algebras, see for example [H-KT, AABGP, Neh]. When the nullity of the root system is 2 (see Definition 1.1.1), an extended affine root system is called an “elliptic root system” and the corresponding Lie algebra is called an “elliptic Lie algebra”. In [SaY, Ya], the authors construct certain elliptic Lie algebras of rank > 1 by means of a Serre’s type generators and relations, using a concept of “base” which resembles the usual notion of base for finite and affine root systems. The bases used by [SaY, Ya] are not in general reflectable bases; they involve more roots than reflectable bases. Therefore, one of our motivations for this work is to use the concept of a reflectable base to construct elliptic Lie algebras by a Serre’s type presentation. This will be examined in Sections 6, in particular, we construct elliptic Lie algebras of rank 1, the missing case in the works of [SaY, Ya]. We now explain the core materials appearing in each section.

In Section 1, we recall from [AABGP, Definition II.2.1] the definition of an extended affine root system and its internal structure in terms of a finite root system and certain subsets of the radical of the form called semilattices, where

for our purposes in this work, we have modified the approach given in [AABGP, Chapter II, §2], see Proposition 1.3.2. In Section 2, the Weyl groups associated with extended affine root systems, called extended affine Weyl groups, are considered and a presentation for them called “the generalized presentation by conjugation” is explained. Some immediate related results are derived, see Corollary 2.2.6 and Lemma 2.2.7.

In Section 3, we introduce the classes \mathcal{M}_c , \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{M}_m . For each type X , a reflectable base $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of minimal cardinality is given in Table 1 and it is shown that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is an element of \mathcal{M}_m if and only if the extended affine root system is minimal, see Theorem 5.1.3. The main result of this section states that if the set of reflections based on a set \mathcal{P} of roots generates the Weyl group, then \mathcal{P} is a connected generating set for the root lattice, Theorem 3.1.5. Proposition 3.1.6, provides a method of assigning an extended affine root subsystem to each set of non-isotropic roots and investigates the relation of its type, rank, and Weyl group to the ground root system.

In Section 4, the characterization theorem of reflectable bases for reduced extended affine root systems is recorded from [AYY, Section 3] and [ASTY1]. Then the cardinality of elements of \mathcal{M}_c , \mathcal{M}_r and \mathcal{M}_m are investigated. First of all, any such cardinality is finite. Secondly, if the type is A_1 or one of the non-simply laced types, then all reflectable bases (the elements of \mathcal{M}_r) have the same cardinality, which can be described precisely in terms of the rank, nullity, twist number, and the index of the involved semilattices. For simply laced types of rank > 1 , reflectable bases associated with a root system might have different cardinality, see example 4.4.1. In this case we have $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{rm}$, Theorem 4.4.2.

In Section 5, the concept of a minimal extended affine root system is recalled. In this section we consider a reflectable base \mathcal{P} for R , and a presented group \widehat{W} defined by generators \widehat{w}_α , $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, and conjugation relations (see Definition 2.2.4). Then it is shown $\{\widehat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a minimal generating set for \widehat{W} , Theorem 5.2.1. This then leads to one of the main results of the paper, namely a reduced extended affine root system R is minimal if and only if $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{rm}$; if and only if the corresponding Weyl group has the presentation by conjugation, Theorem 5.2.2.

In the concluding Section 6, we examine certain applications of reflectable bases for extended affine Lie algebras. We start by showing that the core \mathcal{L}_c of an extended affine Lie algebra \mathcal{L} is finitely generated namely, it is generated as a Lie algebra by the one-dimensional root spaces $\mathcal{L}_{\pm\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, \mathcal{P} being a reflectable base for the ground root system R . Moreover if R has index zero (see **1.3.5(7)**), then \mathcal{P} has a minimality condition with respect to this property, Lemma 6.2.4. The rest of the section deals with the construction of elliptic Lie algebras by means of a Serre’s type presentation based on reflectable bases, see Proposition 6.4.2. As a byproduct of this construction, we provide an elliptic Lie algebra of rank one whose non-isotropic root spaces are one-dimensional, the missing case in [SaY, Ya].

We conclude the introduction by mentioning that in a recent work, see [AFI], reflectable bases have been used effectively to equip the core of an extended affine Lie algebra of rank > 1 with an integral structure which would lead to a modular theory for extended affine Lie algebras.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we provide some preliminaries on extended affine root systems, in particular, we describe the structure of a reduced extended affine root system in terms of a finite root system and certain subsets called “semilattices”.

All vector spaces are finite-dimensional and considered over the field \mathbb{R} . For a vector space \mathcal{U} , we denote the dual space of \mathcal{U} by \mathcal{U}^* . For a subset S of \mathcal{U} , we denote by $\langle S \rangle$, the additive subgroup of \mathcal{U} generated by S . The symbol \uplus denotes the disjoint union of sets and $|\mathcal{P}|$ shows the cardinality of a set \mathcal{P} .

For a vector space \mathcal{U} equipped with a symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) , we denote the radical of the form by \mathcal{U}^0 . For a subset R of \mathcal{U} , we set $R^0 := R \cap \mathcal{U}^0$ and $R^\times := R \setminus R^0$. A subset \mathcal{P} of \mathcal{U}^\times is called *connected* (with respect to the form), if \mathcal{P} can not be decomposed as $\mathcal{P}_1 \uplus \mathcal{P}_2$, where \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are non-empty subsets of \mathcal{P} satisfying $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2) = \{0\}$.

1.1. Extended affine root systems.

Definition 1.1.1. Assume that \mathcal{V} is a finite-dimensional real vector space equipped with a positive semidefinite symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) . Suppose R is a subset of \mathcal{V} . Following [AABGP, Definition 2.1], we say R is an *extended affine root system* if

- (1) $0 \in R$.
- (2) $R = -R$.
- (3) R spans \mathcal{V} .
- (4) If $\alpha \in R^\times$, then $2\alpha \notin R$.
- (5) R is discrete in \mathcal{V} , with respect to the natural topology of \mathcal{V} when identified with \mathbb{R}^n , $n = \dim \mathcal{V}$.
- (6) If $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $\beta \in R$, then there exist non-negative integers d, u satisfying $\{\beta + n\alpha \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cap R = \{\beta - d\alpha, \dots, \beta + u\alpha\}$ and $d - u = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$.
- (7) R^\times is connected.
- (8) If $\sigma \in R^0$, then there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $\alpha + \sigma \in R$.

Elements of R^\times are called *non-isotropic roots* and elements of R^0 are called *isotropic roots*. The dimension ν of \mathcal{V}^0 is called the *nullity* of R . Since the form is positive semidefinite, one can easily check that

$$R^0 = \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R^\times = \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0\}.$$

As it is announced in [AF], the definition of an extended affine root system is equivalent to the following.

Definition 1.1.2. Let \mathcal{V} be a finite-dimensional real vector space equipped with a positive semidefinite symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) , and R a subset of \mathcal{V} . Then R is called an *extended affine root system* if the following axioms hold:

- (R1) $\langle R \rangle$ is a full lattice in \mathcal{V} .
- (R2) $(\beta, \alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times$.
- (R3) $w_\alpha(\beta) \in R$ for $\alpha \in R^\times$, $\beta \in R$.
- (R4) $R^0 = \mathcal{V}^0 \cap (R^\times - R^\times)$.
- (R5) $\alpha \in R^\times \Rightarrow 2\alpha \notin R$.
- (R6) R^\times is connected.

Remark 1.1.3. (i) The axiom (R1) means that the natural map $\langle R \rangle \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a vector space isomorphism. In other words $\langle R \rangle$ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of an \mathbb{R} -basis of \mathcal{V} .

(i) From (R4), we conclude that $R^\times \neq \emptyset$.

Let R be an extended affine root system in a vector space \mathcal{V} and let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{V}} := \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}^0$ be the canonical map. It is known that \bar{R} , the image of R under the map $\bar{\cdot}$ is an irreducible finite root system in $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$, see [AABGP, Proposition II.2.9(d)].

Definition 1.1.4. The *type* and the *rank* of extended affine root system R is defined to be the type and the rank of \bar{R} . In this work, we always assume that R is of *reduced type*, that is \bar{R} has one of the types $A, B, C, D, E_{6,7,8}, F_4$ or G_2 .

Definition 1.1.5. (i) For a real vector space \mathcal{U} equipped with a symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) , and $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}$ with $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$, the *reflection based on α* is defined by $\beta \mapsto \beta - \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha$, $\beta \in \mathcal{U}$. By convention, if $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$, we interpret w_α as the identity map.

(ii) For a subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$, we denote by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$ the subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{U})$ generated by w_α , $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$.

1.2. Semilattices. Let R be an extended affine root system in \mathcal{V} with corresponding from (\cdot, \cdot) . As before we denote the radical of (\cdot, \cdot) by \mathcal{V}^0 . Certain subsets of \mathcal{V}^0 called “semilattices”, play an important role in the description of the internal structure of extended affine root systems.

Definition 1.2.1. A *semilattice* in \mathcal{V}^0 is a spanning subset S of \mathcal{V}^0 which is discrete, contains 0 and satisfies $S \pm 2S \subseteq S$.

Here we record some facts about semilattices which will be needed in the sequel.

1.2.2. Let S be a semilattice in \mathcal{V}^0 and set $\Lambda := \langle S \rangle$.

(i) Λ is a lattice in \mathcal{V}^0 ; that is Λ is the \mathbb{Z} -span of a basis of \mathcal{V}^0 .

(ii) $2\Lambda + S \subseteq S \subseteq \Lambda$ and so $S = \bigcup_{i=0}^m (\tau_i + 2\Lambda)$, for some $\tau_i \in S$, with $\tau_0 = 0$.

(iii) Λ admits a \mathbb{Z} -basis $B = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\nu\}$ consisting of elements of S .

Definition 1.2.3. Assume that $\langle S \rangle$ is a semilattice with $\Lambda = \langle S \rangle$.

(i) The *index* of S , denoted $\text{ind}(S)$, is by definition the least positive integer m such that **1.2.2(ii)** holds.

(ii) Assume that $\text{ind}(S) = m$, and τ_i 's and B are as in **1.2.2(iii)**. For $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^\nu n_i \sigma_i \in \Lambda$, we define $\text{supp}(\sigma) := \{i \mid n_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1\}$. Then $\sigma = \sum_{i \in \text{supp}(\sigma)} \sigma_i \pmod{2\Lambda}$. The collection $\text{supp}(S) = \{\text{supp}(\tau_i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq m\}$, is called the *supporting class* of S with respect to the basis B .

The supporting class determines S uniquely. In fact we have

$$S = \bigsqcup_{J \in \text{supp}(S)} (\tau_J + 2\Lambda), \quad (1)$$

where $\tau_J := \sum_{r \in J} \sigma_r$.

1.3. Internal structure of extended affine root systems. In [AABGP, Chapter II.§2], the integral structure of an extended affine root system in terms of a finite root system and certain semilattices is studied. Based on our purposes in this work, we need to make some modifications to [AABGP]'s approach. As before we assume that R is a reduced extended affine root system in \mathcal{V} equipped with the positive semi-definite form (\cdot, \cdot) .

We start with recording the following lemma whose proof for simply laced types is given in [ASTY1, Proposition 2.8], and for non-simply laced types concludes from [AYY, Propositions 2.6, 2.9 and Remark 2.15].

Lemma 1.3.1. *Let Φ be a reduced irreducible finite root system in a vector space \mathcal{U} , and \mathcal{P} be a minimal subset (with respect to inclusion) of Φ^\times such that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P} = \Phi^\times$. Then $|\mathcal{P}| = \text{rank } \Phi$.*

Proposition 1.3.2. *Let R be an extended affine root system and \mathcal{P} a subset of R^\times satisfying $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P} = R^\times$. Then \mathcal{P} contains a subset $\dot{\Pi}$ such that the following hold.*

- (i) $\dot{R} := (\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Pi}}\dot{\Pi}) \cup \{0\}$ is a finite root system in $\dot{\mathcal{V}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\dot{R}$,
- (ii) \dot{R} is isomorphic to \bar{R} ,
- (iii) $\mathcal{V} = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0$,
- (iv) For a fixed $\alpha \in R^\times$, there exists a finite root system $\dot{R}_\alpha \subseteq R$ such that $\alpha \in \dot{R}_\alpha$ and (ii) and (iii) hold with \dot{R}_α and $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\dot{R}_\alpha$ in place of \dot{R} and $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$.

Proof. Since $R^\times = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P}$, we have $\bar{R}^\times = \mathcal{W}_{\bar{\mathcal{P}}}\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. Let $\bar{\Pi}$ be a subset of $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ such that $\bar{R}^\times = \mathcal{W}_{\bar{\Pi}}\bar{\Pi}$ and no proper subset of $\bar{\Pi}$ satisfies this property. From Lemma 1.3.1, we have $|\bar{\Pi}| = \text{rank } \bar{R}$ and so $\bar{\Pi}$ is a basis of the vector space $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$. Let $\bar{\Pi} = \{\bar{\alpha}_1, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_\ell\}$. We fix a preimage $\dot{\alpha}_i \in \mathcal{P}$ for $\bar{\alpha}_i$. Set $\dot{\Pi} := \{\dot{\alpha}_1, \dots, \dot{\alpha}_\ell\}$, $\dot{\mathcal{V}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\dot{\Pi}$, and $\dot{R} := (\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Pi}}\dot{\Pi}) \cup \{0\}$. Then the epimorphism $\bar{\cdot} : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ induces an isometry $\dot{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{V}}$ which maps \dot{R} isometrically onto \bar{R} . It follows that $\mathcal{V} = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \bar{\mathcal{V}}$. It completes the proof of (i)-(iii).

Next, let $\alpha \in R^\times$. Then $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{R} = \mathcal{W}_{\bar{\Pi}}\bar{\Pi}$, and so $\bar{\alpha} = w_{\bar{\alpha}_{i_1}} \cdots w_{\bar{\alpha}_{i_k}}(\bar{\alpha}_{i_{k+1}})$ for some $\bar{\alpha}_{i_1}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}_{i_k}, \bar{\alpha}_{i_{k+1}} \in \bar{\Pi}$. Set

$$\bar{\Pi}_\alpha := w_{\bar{\alpha}_{i_1}} \cdots w_{\bar{\alpha}_{i_k}}(\bar{\Pi}).$$

Now $\bar{\Pi}_\alpha$ is a basis of $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ such that $\bar{\alpha} \in \bar{\Pi}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\bar{\Pi}_\alpha}\bar{\Pi}_\alpha = \bar{R}^\times$. Therefore, in the proof of part (i), we may work with $\bar{\Pi}_\alpha$ in place of $\bar{\Pi}$. Then as above we fix a preimage $\dot{\Pi}_\alpha$ of $\bar{\Pi}_\alpha$ where we pick α as the preimage of $\bar{\alpha}$. Finally, we construct \dot{R}_α in the same manner as \dot{R} . Then \dot{R}_α and $\dot{\mathcal{V}}_\alpha := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\dot{R}_\alpha$ satisfy (ii)-(iii) in place of \dot{R} and $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$, and the proof of (iv) is completed. \square

Let \dot{R} and $\dot{\mathcal{V}}$ be as in Proposition 1.3.2. We write $\dot{R}^\times = \dot{R}_{sh} \cup \dot{R}_{lg}$ where \dot{R}_{sh} and \dot{R}_{lg} are the sets of short and long roots of \dot{R} . By convention, we assume that all non-zero roots of \dot{R} are short if there is only one root length in \dot{R} .

1.3.3. It is shown in [AABGP, Chapter II] that using the finite root system \dot{R} , one can obtain a description of R in the form

$$\begin{aligned} R &= (S + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{sh} + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{lg} + L), \text{ where} \\ S &= \{\sigma \in \mathcal{V}^0 \mid \sigma + \alpha \in R \text{ for some } \alpha \in \dot{R}_{sh}\}, \\ L &= \{\sigma \in \mathcal{V}^0 \mid \sigma + \alpha \in R \text{ for some } \alpha \in \dot{R}_{lg}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where S and L are *semilattices* in \mathcal{V}^0 . If $\dot{R}_{lg} = \emptyset$, we interpret the terms L and $\dot{R}_{lg} + L$ as empty sets. Semilattices S and L satisfy some further properties which we record here.

1.3.4. If R is simply laced of rank > 1 , or is of type C_ℓ , $\ell \geq 3$, F_4 or G_2 , then S is a lattice. If R is of type B_ℓ , $\ell \geq 3$, F_4 or G_2 , then L is a lattice. Furthermore, for non-simply laced types we have

$$L + S = S \quad \text{and} \quad kS + L = L, \tag{3}$$

with

$$k = \begin{cases} 3 & X = G_2, \\ 2 & \text{other types.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Now (3) implies that

$$k\langle S \rangle \subseteq \langle L \rangle \subseteq S; \quad (5)$$

and so $\langle S \rangle / \langle L \rangle$ is a finite-dimensional vector space over the finite field \mathbb{Z}_k . The integer $0 \leq t \leq \nu$ satisfying $|\langle S \rangle / \langle L \rangle| = k^t$ is called the *twist number* of R . We make the convention that if R is of simply laced type, then $t = 0$ and $k = 1$.

We proceed with a fixed description of R in the form (2). We assume that R is of type X , has rank ℓ , nullity ν and twist number t .

1.3.5. From [AABGP, II. §4(b)], we see that there exist subspaces \mathcal{V}_1^0 and \mathcal{V}_2^0 of vector space \mathcal{V}^0 of dimensions t and $\nu - t$ respectively such that $\mathcal{V}^0 = \mathcal{V}_1^0 \oplus \mathcal{V}_2^0$, and there are semilattices S_1 and S_2 in \mathcal{V}_1^0 and \mathcal{V}_2^0 , respectively such that

$$S = S_1 \oplus \langle S_2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad L = k\langle S_1 \rangle \oplus S_2. \quad (6)$$

Following [A1, Section 4], we define the *index* of R , denoted $\text{ind}(R)$, by

$$\text{ind}(R) := \begin{cases} 0 & X = A_\ell (\ell \geq 2), D_\ell (\ell \geq 4), E_{6,7,8}, F_4, G_2, \\ \text{ind}(S) - \nu & X = A_1, \\ \text{ind}(S_1) + \text{ind}(S_2) - \nu & X = B_2, \\ \text{ind}(S_1) - t & X = B_\ell (\ell \geq 3), \\ \text{ind}(S_2) - (\nu - t) & X = C_\ell (\ell \geq 3). \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

We write S_1 and S_2 in the form **1.2.2**(ii), namely

$$S_1 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\text{ind}(S_1)} (\gamma_i + 2\langle S_1 \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\text{ind}(S_2)} (\eta_i + 2\langle S_2 \rangle). \quad (8)$$

1.3.6. We fix a fundamental system $\dot{\Pi} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ for \dot{R} . By **1.2.2**(iii), $\Lambda = \langle S \rangle$ admits a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\nu\} \subseteq S$. Let θ be any root in \dot{R} if \dot{R} is of simply laced type, and θ_s and θ_l be any short and any long root in \dot{R} , respectively, if \dot{R} is of non-simply laced type. For later use, for each type X , we introduce a subset $\mathcal{P}(X)$ of R^\times as follows:

TABLE 1. The sets $\mathcal{P}(X)$

Type	$\mathcal{P}(X)$
A_1	$\{\alpha_1, \tau_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, \tau_\rho - \alpha_1\}$, ($\rho = \text{ind}(S)$)
$A_\ell (\ell > 1)$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \theta, \dots, \sigma_\nu - \theta\}$
D_ℓ	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \theta, \dots, \sigma_\nu - \theta\}$
$E_{6,7,8}$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \theta, \dots, \sigma_\nu - \theta\}$ ($\ell = 6, 7, 8$)
F_4	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \sigma_1 - \theta_s, \dots, \sigma_t - \theta_s, \sigma_{t+1} - \theta_l, \dots, \sigma_\nu - \theta_l\}$
G_2	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \theta_s, \dots, \sigma_t - \theta_s, \sigma_{t+1} - \theta_l, \dots, \sigma_\nu - \theta_l\}$
B_2	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \gamma_1 - \theta_s, \dots, \gamma_{\rho_1} - \theta_s, \eta_1 - \theta_l, \dots, \eta_{\rho_2} - \theta_l\}$, ($\rho_i = \text{ind}(S_i)$, $i = 1, 2$)
$B_\ell (\ell > 2)$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \gamma_1 - \theta_s, \dots, \gamma_{\rho_1} - \theta_s, \sigma_{t+1} - \theta_l, \dots, \sigma_\nu - \theta_l\}$, ($\rho_1 = \text{ind}(S_1)$)
$C_\ell (\ell > 2)$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \theta_s, \dots, \sigma_t - \theta_s, \eta_1 - \theta_l, \dots, \eta_{\rho_1} - \theta_l\}$, ($\rho_2 = \text{ind}(S_2)$)

2. EXTENDED AFFINE WEYL GROUPS

We proceed with the same notation as in Section 1, in particular, we assume that R is a reduced extended affine root system in \mathcal{V} of type X , rank ℓ and nullity ν . As before \mathcal{V}^0 is the radical of the form.

2.1. The setting.

2.1.1. We fix a description of R in the form $R = (S + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{sh} + S) \cup (\dot{R}_{lg} + L)$ as in **1.3.3**. We recall that $\dot{\mathcal{V}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{R}$ and $\mathcal{V} = \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0$. We consider the $\ell + 2\nu$ -dimensional real vector space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} := \dot{\mathcal{V}} \oplus \mathcal{V}^0 \oplus (\mathcal{V}^0)^*$. We normalize the form on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ such that

$$(\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\alpha}) := 2 \text{ for } \dot{\alpha} \in \dot{R}_{sh}.$$

We extend the form (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathcal{V} to a symmetric form on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, denoted again by (\cdot, \cdot) , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\dot{\mathcal{V}}, (\mathcal{V}^0)^*) &= ((\mathcal{V}^0)^*, (\mathcal{V}^0)^*) := \{0\}, \\ (\sigma, \lambda) &= \lambda(\sigma), \text{ for } \sigma \in \mathcal{V}^0, \lambda \in (\mathcal{V}^0)^*. \end{aligned}$$

This forces the form on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ to be non-degenerate. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}$ with $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$ set $\alpha^\vee := 2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha)$.

Definition 2.1.2. The *extended affine Weyl group* \mathcal{W} of R (or the Weyl group of extended affine root system R) is defined to be the subgroup of $GL(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ generated by reflections $w_\alpha : \beta \mapsto \beta - (\beta, \alpha^\vee)\alpha$, $\alpha \in R^\times$. Since $0 \in L \subseteq S$, we have $\dot{R} \subseteq R$, and so we may identify $\dot{\mathcal{W}}$, the Weyl group of \dot{R} , as a subgroup of \mathcal{W} . We denote by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{W})$, the center of \mathcal{W} .

2.2. Presentation by conjugation. It is known that an extended affine Weyl group \mathcal{W} associated to R is not in general a Coxeter group. In fact it is proved that \mathcal{W} is a Coxeter group if and only if R has nullity ≤ 1 (see [Ho, Theorem 3.6]). Nevertheless, extended affine Weyl groups enjoy another interesting presentation called ‘‘generalized presentation by conjugation’’. When R has some ‘‘minimality condition’’, then \mathcal{W} admits even a more delightful presentation, called the ‘‘presentation by conjugation’’. We record these definitions here, as they play a crucial role in the sequel.

2.2.1. We begin by recalling some notation from [A2, Sections 1, 2]. We fix the \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\nu\} \subseteq S$ of $\Lambda = \langle S \rangle$ and the integer k given in **1.2.2**(iii) and **1.3.4**. For $1 \leq i \leq \nu$ and $\alpha \in \langle R \rangle$ define the linear map $t_\alpha^{(i)} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ by

$$t_\alpha^{(i)}(\lambda) := \lambda - (\lambda, \frac{1}{k}\sigma_i)\alpha + ((\lambda, \alpha) - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha, \alpha)(\lambda, \frac{1}{k}\sigma_i))\frac{1}{k}\sigma_i.$$

The map $t_\alpha^{(i)}$ is invertible with the inverse $t_{-\alpha}^{(i)}$, so $t_\alpha^{(i)} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq \nu$ set

$$c_{ij} := t_{-\sigma_j}^{(i)}.$$

One checks that $(t_\alpha^{(i)}, t_\beta^{(j)}) = c_{ij}^{\frac{1}{k}(\alpha, \beta)}$, for $\alpha, \beta \in R$, where $(x, y) := xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ denotes the group commutator.

For $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^\nu m_i \sigma_i \in R^0$ with $\alpha + \sigma \in R$, we set

$$c_{(\alpha, \sigma)} := (w_{\alpha+\sigma} w_\alpha)(w_\alpha w_{\alpha+\sigma_1})^{m_1} \dots (w_\alpha w_{\alpha+\sigma_\nu})^{m_\nu}. \quad (1)$$

By [A2, (2.4)], we have

$$c_{(\alpha, \sigma)} = \prod_{i < j} c_{ij}^{k(\alpha)m_i m_j}, \quad (2)$$

where the integers $k(\alpha)$ are defined as follows.

$$k(\alpha) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg}, \\ k & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh}, \end{cases}$$

(If R is simply laced, then $k(\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in R^\times$).

2.2.2. Assume that θ_s and θ_l are the highest short and highest long roots of \dot{R} respectively (for simply laced cases $\theta := \theta_s = \theta_l$), and for $1 \leq p \leq \nu$ consider a triple

$$(\varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, \eta_p = \sum_{i=1}^m m_{ip} \sigma_i) \in (\{\pm 1\} \times \{\theta_s\} \times \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \mathbb{Z} \sigma_i) \cup (\{\pm 1\} \times \{\theta_l\} \times \sum_{i=t+1}^{\nu} \mathbb{Z} \sigma_i).$$

The collection $\{(\varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, \eta_p)\}_{p=1}^m$ is called a *reduced collection* if

$$\sum_{p=1}^m k(\alpha_p) \varepsilon_p m_{ip} m_{jp} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq \nu.$$

If $\{(\varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, \eta_p)\}_{p=1}^m$ is a reduced collection, then $c_{(\alpha_p, \eta_p)}$ is an element of \mathcal{W} for all $1 \leq p \leq m$ (see [A2, Section 1]).

From [A2, Theorem 2.7], [Kr1, Theorem 1.18], [AS3, Theorem 5.19(ii)] and [AS4, Corollaries 2.34, 2.36(b)], we have the following result.

Theorem 2.2.3. *Let R be an extended affine root system with Weyl group \mathcal{W} and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ be the group defined by generators \widehat{w}_α , $\alpha \in R^\times$, subject to the relations:*

$$\widehat{w}_\alpha^2 = 1 \text{ for every } \alpha \in R^\times, \quad (3)$$

$$\widehat{w}_\alpha \widehat{w}_\beta \widehat{w}_\alpha = \widehat{w}_{w_\alpha(\beta)} \text{ for every } \alpha, \beta \in R^\times, \quad (4)$$

$$\prod_{p=1}^m \widehat{c}_{(\alpha_p, \eta_p)}^{\varepsilon_p} = 1 \text{ for any reduced collection } \{(\varepsilon_p, \alpha_p, \eta_p)\}_{p=1}^m, \quad (5)$$

where $\widehat{c}_{(\alpha_p, \eta_p)}$ is the element in $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ corresponding to $c_{(\alpha_p, \eta_p)}$, under the assignment $w_\alpha \mapsto \widehat{w}_\alpha$.

(i) *The extended affine Weyl group \mathcal{W} is isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$.*

(ii) *If R is simply laced of rank > 1 , or is of type F_4 or G_2 then relations of the form (5) are consequences of relations of the forms (3) and (4); in the sense of Definition 2.2.4 below, \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation.*

(iii) *If $\nu \leq 2$, then \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation (Definition 2.2.4).*

Definition 2.2.4. The presentation given in Theorem 2.2.3 is called the *generalized presentation by conjugation*. If \mathcal{W} is isomorphic to the presented group defined by generators \widehat{w}_α , $\alpha \in R^\times$ and relations (3) and (4), then \mathcal{W} is said to have the *presentation by conjugation*.

2.2.5. As a consequence of [AS3, Theorems 4.23, 5.16] and [AS4, Theorem 2.33], the extended affine Weyl group \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation if and only if the epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \widehat{\mathcal{W}} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{W} \\ \widehat{w}_\alpha &\mapsto w_\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

is an isomorphism.

For $\alpha \in R^\times$, we denote the orbit of α under the action of \mathcal{W} on R^\times by $\mathcal{W}\alpha$, namely $\mathcal{W}\alpha = \{w\alpha \mid w \in \mathcal{W}\}$.

Corollary 2.2.6. *Assume that \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation and fix $\beta \in R^\times$. Then the assignments*

$$w_\alpha \xrightarrow{\Phi_\beta} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{W}\beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\beta, \end{cases} \quad w_\alpha \xrightarrow{\Psi} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg}, \\ 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh}. \end{cases}$$

extend to epimorphisms Φ_β and Ψ from \mathcal{W} onto \mathbb{Z}_2 , respectively.

Proof. First, we consider Φ_β . Since for $\alpha, \gamma \in R^\times$, we have $\gamma \in \mathcal{W}\beta$ if and only if $w_\alpha(\gamma) \in \mathcal{W}\beta$, it easily follows that the defining relations of the form $w_\alpha w_\gamma w_\alpha w_{w_\alpha(\gamma)}$ are mapped to zero under the assignment Φ_β . The argument for defining relations of the form $w_\alpha w_\alpha$ is obvious.

For the assignment Ψ , clearly, the defining relations are mapped to zero under Ψ , as reflections preserve the length. \square

Lemma 2.2.7. *Let R be of non-simply laced type. Let $\{w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ be a set of generators for \mathcal{W} . Then $\mathcal{P} \cap R_{sh} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{P} \cap R_{lg} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume first that \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation and consider the epimorphism $\Psi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ of Corollary 2.2.6. Now if $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R_{lg}$, then for $\alpha \in R_{sh}$, we have $w_\alpha = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_m}$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in R_{lg}$. Then $1 = \Psi(w_\alpha) = \Psi(w_{\alpha_1}) + \cdots + \Psi(w_{\alpha_m}) = 0$ which is absurd. An analogous argument works for the case $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R_{sh}$, replacing the roles of short and long roots. Thus the result holds if \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation.

For the general case, we consider the epimorphism $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\bar{R}}$, $w_\alpha \mapsto w_{\bar{\alpha}}$, where $\mathcal{W}_{\bar{R}}$ denotes the Weyl group of the finite root system \bar{R} . Now since $\{w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ generates \mathcal{W} , the set $\{w_{\bar{\alpha}} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ generates $\mathcal{W}_{\bar{R}}$. Since $\mathcal{W}_{\bar{R}}$ has the presentation by conjugation (see [MP, Proposition 5.3.3]), we get from the first part of the proof that $\bar{R}_{sh} \cap \bar{\mathcal{P}} \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{R}_{lg} \cap \bar{\mathcal{P}} \neq \emptyset$. Since for $\alpha \in R$, α , and $\bar{\alpha}$ have the same length, we are done. \square

We return to the discussion about the existence of presentation by conjugation for extended affine Weyl groups in future sections.

3. THE CLASSES \mathcal{M}_r , \mathcal{M}_c AND \mathcal{M}_m

We proceed by assuming that R is a reduced extended affine root system in \mathcal{V} of rank ℓ and nullity $\nu \geq 0$. Let \mathcal{W} be the Weyl group of R . We begin with introducing some notation. For a subset \mathcal{P} of R^\times , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{sh} &:= \mathcal{P} \cap R_{sh}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{lg} &:= \mathcal{P} \cap R_{lg}, \\ S_{\mathcal{P}} &= \{w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}, \\ \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} &:= \langle S_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle = \langle w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3.1. Inter relations between \mathcal{M}_r , \mathcal{M}_c and \mathcal{M}_m . As we will in the sequel (Theorem 4.2.1), the extended affine Weyl group \mathcal{W} is finitely generated.

Definition 3.1.1. Let c denote the least number of reflections generating \mathcal{W} . Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$; we say \mathcal{P} is a c -minimal set in R^\times if, $|\mathcal{P}| = c$ and $S_{\mathcal{P}}$ generates \mathcal{W} .

Definition 3.1.2. ([AYY, Definition 1.19]) A subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$ is called a *reflectable set* if $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P} = R^\times$. A subset $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$ is called a *reflectable base* if

- (i) \mathcal{P} is a reflectable set; $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}\mathcal{P} = R^\times$,
- (ii) no proper subset of \mathcal{P} is a reflectable set.

Definition 3.1.3. Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$; \mathcal{P} is called a *S-minimal set* (or simply a *minimal set*) in R^\times if,

- (i) $S_{\mathcal{P}}$ generates \mathcal{W} ,
- (ii) no proper subset of $S_{\mathcal{P}}$ generates \mathcal{W} .

3.1.4. We denote the class of reflectable bases, *c*-minimal sets, and *S*-minimal sets of R^\times by \mathcal{M}_r , \mathcal{M}_c and \mathcal{M}_m , respectively. We also set

$$\mathcal{M}_{rc} := \mathcal{M}_r \cap \mathcal{M}_c \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_{rm} := \mathcal{M}_r \cap \mathcal{M}_m.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{M}_c \subseteq \mathcal{M}_m \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{M}_{rc} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{rm}. \quad (1)$$

Note that if $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_r \cup \mathcal{M}_m$, then as $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, we have $\mathcal{V} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}$, and then

$$\dim(\mathcal{V}) = \ell + \nu \leq c \leq |\mathcal{P}|. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 3.1.5. *If $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$ satisfies $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, then $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$ and \mathcal{P} is connected. In particular, elements of \mathcal{M}_c , \mathcal{M}_m and \mathcal{M}_r satisfy $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$ and are connected.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in R^\times$, we have $w_\alpha = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_n}$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{P}$. If there exists $\beta \in R^\times$ with $(\beta, \alpha^\vee) = -1$, then

$$\alpha + \beta = w_\alpha(\beta) = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_n}(\beta) = \beta + k_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + k_n \alpha_n$$

for some $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\alpha \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ and so $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$. In particular this holds for the types $X \neq A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell$. Assume now that R is of type A_1 . Then

$$-\alpha = w_\alpha(\alpha) = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_n}(\alpha) = \alpha + 2k_1 \alpha_1 + \cdots + 2k_n \alpha_n,$$

for some $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, which again give $\alpha \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$, as required.

Next assume that R is of type B_ℓ . If $\alpha \in R_{lg}$, then there exists $\beta \in R_{sh}$, such that $(\beta, \alpha^\vee) = -1$, and so we have $\alpha \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ by the preceding paragraph. Thus $\langle R_{lg} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. It remains to show that $R_{sh} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. By 1.3.4(6), $R_{sh} = \dot{R}_{sh} + S_1 \oplus \langle S_2 \rangle$. Since $\langle S_2 \rangle \subseteq \langle R_{lg} \rangle$, it only remains to show that $\dot{R}_{sh} + S_1 \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. But as $\langle S_1 \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbb{Z} \sigma_i$, we are done if we show that $\dot{R}_{sh} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ and $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$.

By Lemma 2.2.7, \mathcal{P} contains a short root α . By Proposition 1.3.2(iv), we may assume that $\alpha \in \dot{R}_{sh}$. Since $\dot{R}_{lg} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$, this implies that $\dot{R}_{sh} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ and so $\dot{R} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$. To conclude the proof for type B_ℓ , it remains to show that $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$.

For this, we fix $1 \leq i \leq t$ and for $\alpha \in R^\times$ we consider the assignment

$$w_\alpha \xrightarrow{\psi_i} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \dot{R}_{sh} + \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We claim that this assignment can be lifted to an epimorphism $\mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. By Theorem 2.2.3, we need to show that the defining relations of the generalized presentation by conjugation vanish in \mathbb{Z}_2 under this assignment. The relations of the form $w_\alpha w_\alpha$ are clear. To check that $\psi_i(w_\alpha) \psi_i(w_\beta) \psi_i(w_\alpha) \psi_i(w_{w_\alpha(\beta)}) = 0$, we only need to verify that $\beta \in \dot{R}_{sh} + \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle$ if and only if $w_\alpha(\beta) \in \dot{R}_{sh} + \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle$. So suppose $\beta = \dot{\beta} + \sigma_i + \lambda$ for some $\dot{\beta} \in \dot{R}_{sh}$ and $\lambda \in \langle L \rangle$. Then

$$w_\alpha(\beta) = w_\alpha(\dot{\beta}) + \sigma_i + \lambda \in \begin{cases} \pm \dot{\beta} + 2\lambda + \sigma_i + \lambda \subseteq \dot{R}_{sh} + \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh}, \\ \dot{R}_{sh} + L + \sigma_i + \lambda \subseteq \dot{R}_{sh} + \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg}, \end{cases}$$

as required.

Finally, we consider relations of the form **2.2.2(5)**. For this we must show that for

$$(\alpha, \sigma = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} m_j \sigma_j) \in (\{\theta_s\} \times \Lambda) \cup (\{\theta_\ell\} \times \sum_{i=t+1}^{\nu} \mathbb{Z}\sigma_i),$$

we have

$$(\psi_i(w_{\alpha+\sigma})\psi_i(w_\alpha))(\psi_i(w_{\alpha+\sigma_1})\psi_i(w_\alpha))^{m_1} \cdots (\psi_i(w_{\alpha+\sigma_\nu})\psi_i(w_\alpha))^{m_\nu} = 0.$$

Clearly this holds if $\alpha = \theta_\ell$. Suppose now that $\alpha = \theta_s$. Since $\psi_i(w_{\theta_s}) = 0$ and $\psi_i(w_{\theta_s+\sigma_j}) = 0$ for all $j \neq i$, we must show $\psi_i(w_{\theta_s+\sigma}) + m_i \psi_i(w_{\alpha+\sigma_i}) = 0$. Now if $\sigma \in \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle$, then as $1 \leq i \leq t$, we have $m_i \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1$. Then $\psi_i(w_{\theta_s+\sigma}) = 1$ and $m_i \psi_i(\theta_s + \sigma_i) = 1$ and we are done. Finally we consider the case $\sigma \notin \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle$. This forces $m_i \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $\psi_i(w_{\theta_s+\sigma}) = 0$ and $m_i \psi_i(\theta_s + \sigma_i) = 0$ which again gives the result. Thus as was claimed, the assignment ψ_i induces an epimorphism $\psi_i : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Now let $\alpha \in \dot{R}_{sh}$ and fix $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then $\alpha + \sigma_i \in R$ and so $w_{\alpha+\sigma_i} = w_{\gamma_1} \cdots w_{\gamma_n}$ for some $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $\psi_i(w_{\alpha+\sigma_i}) = \psi_i(w_{\gamma_1} \cdots w_{\gamma_n})$, and so

$$1 = \psi_i(w_{\alpha+\sigma_i}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \psi_i(w_{\gamma_{i_j}}),$$

where $\gamma_{i_j} \in \mathcal{P} \cap R_{sh}$. Thus at least for one j , we get $\psi(w_{\gamma_{i_j}}) = 1$, implying that $\gamma_{i_j} \in (\dot{R}_{sh} + \sigma_i + \langle L \rangle) \cap \mathcal{P}$. Since $\langle L \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$, this implies $\dot{\gamma} + \sigma_i \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ for some $\dot{\gamma} \in \dot{R}_{sh}$. Since $\dot{R}_{sh} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$, we get $\sigma_i \in \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$ and the proof for type B_ℓ is completed. The proof for type C_ℓ is analogous, replacing the roles of short and long roots.

Finally, we show that \mathcal{P} is connected. If not, then $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \uplus \mathcal{P}_2$ where \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are nonempty sets and $(\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2) = \{0\}$. Now let $\alpha \in R^\times$. Since \mathcal{P} spans \mathcal{V} , there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$ with $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$. Assume without loss of generality that $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_1$. We have $w_\alpha = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_m}$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{P}$. Since \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 are orthogonal, it follows that $\beta - (\beta, \alpha^\vee)\alpha = w_\alpha(\beta) = w_{\alpha_{j_1}} \cdots w_{\alpha_{j_k}}(\beta)$ where $\alpha_{j_1}, \dots, \alpha_{j_k}$ are in \mathcal{P}_1 . Since $(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$, this forces $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_1$. This argument gives $R^\times \subseteq (R^\times \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}_1) \uplus (R^\times \cap \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}_2)$ which is absurd as R is connected. \square

To each subset \mathcal{P} of R^\times , we associate two subsets of R as follows.

$$R_{\mathcal{P}}^n := \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P} \quad \text{and} \quad R_{\mathcal{P}}^i := (R_{\mathcal{P}}^n - R_{\mathcal{P}}^n) \cap R^0. \quad (3)$$

Proposition 3.1.6. *Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$ be connected.*

(i) *The set $R_{\mathcal{P}} = R_{\mathcal{P}}^n \cup R_{\mathcal{P}}^i$ is an extended affine root system in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} R_{\mathcal{P}}$ satisfying $R_{\mathcal{P}}^\times = R_{\mathcal{P}}^n$, $R_{\mathcal{P}}^0 = R_{\mathcal{P}}^i$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{W}_{R_{\mathcal{P}}}$.*

(ii) *If $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, then $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ has the same rank, nullity and type of R . In particular, if \mathcal{P} belongs to either of the classes \mathcal{M}_c or \mathcal{M}_m , then $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ has the same rank, nullity and type of R .*

(iii) *If \mathcal{P} is a reflectable set, then $R_{\mathcal{P}} = R$.*

Proof. First, we note from the way $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ is defined that $R_{\mathcal{P}}^0 = R_{\mathcal{P}}^i$ and $R_{\mathcal{P}}^\times = R_{\mathcal{P}}^n$.

(i) From definition of $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ it is clear that axioms (R1)-(R3) and (R5) of an extended affine root system (Definition 1.1.2) hold for $R_{\mathcal{P}}$. Since \mathcal{P} is connected it follows easily that $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ is connected, so (R6) also holds. For (R4), since $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}^0 = \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}} \cap \mathcal{V}^0$,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mathcal{P}}^0 = R_{\mathcal{P}}^i &= (R_{\mathcal{P}}^n - R_{\mathcal{P}}^n) \cap R^0 \\ &= (R_{\mathcal{P}}^{\times} - R_{\mathcal{P}}^{\times}) \cap ((R^{\times} - R^{\times}) \cap \mathcal{V}^0) \\ &= (R_{\mathcal{P}}^{\times} - R_{\mathcal{P}}^{\times}) \cap \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}^0. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, then $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{V}$, so $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} R_{\mathcal{P}}^{\times} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{V}$ and $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} R_{\mathcal{P}}^0 = \mathcal{V}^0$. Thus $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ has the same nullity as R . This gives $\text{rank } R_{\mathcal{P}} = \dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}} - \dim \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{P}}^0 = \dim \mathcal{V} - \dim \mathcal{V}^0 = \text{rank } R$. Also by Lemma 2.2.7, R and $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ have the same number of root lengths. Thus both \bar{R} and $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{P}}$ have the same rank and the same number of root lengths, with $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \bar{R}$. Now consulting the root data of finite root systems (see [Hu1, Table 12.2.1]), we conclude that $\bar{R}_{\mathcal{P}} = \bar{R}$.

(iii) Since $R_{\mathcal{P}}^{\times} = R_{\mathcal{P}}^n = R^{\times}$ and $R_{\mathcal{P}}^0 = (R_{\mathcal{P}}^n - R_{\mathcal{P}}^n) \cap R^0 = (R^{\times} - R^{\times}) \cap \mathcal{V}^0 = R^0$, we are done. \square

3.2. The finite case. We show that $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_m$ if R is finite.

Lemma 3.2.1. *Let R be a reduced irreducible finite root system of rank ℓ ; then $c = \ell$.*

Proof. Since the rank of a finite root system is equal to the minimal number of generators for the corresponding Weyl group, we get $\ell = c$.

Proposition 3.2.2. *Let R be a reduced irreducible finite root system, then $\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}_r$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a reflectable base for R . By Lemma 1.3.1, $|\mathcal{P}| = \text{rank}(R)$. Thus by Lemma 3.2.1, $\mathcal{M}_r \subseteq \mathcal{M}_c$. Now let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_c$; since $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, we get from Proposition 3.1.6 that $R_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a finite subsystem of R of the same type and rank of R . Thus $R = R_{\mathcal{P}}$, and so \mathcal{P} is a reflectable set for R . This proves that $\mathcal{M}_c \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r$, and so $\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_r$.

Next let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_m$. As we saw in the previous paragraph, \mathcal{P} is a reflectable set. If $|\mathcal{P}| > \text{rank } R = c$, then \mathcal{P} contains a proper subset \mathcal{P}' which is a reflectable base, and so $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}'} = \mathcal{W}$, contradicting that $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_m$. Thus

$$\mathcal{M}_c \subseteq \mathcal{M}_m \subseteq \mathcal{M}_r \subseteq \mathcal{M}_c,$$

completing the proof that $\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}_r$. \square

The following example shows that Proposition 3.2.2 may fail if the extended affine root system R is not finite.

Example 3.2.3. Consider the extended affine root system $R = \Lambda \cup (\pm\epsilon + \Lambda)$ of type A_1 , where $\{0, \pm\epsilon\}$ is a finite root system of type A_1 and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_3$. Set

$$\mathcal{P} := \{\epsilon, \sigma_1 - \epsilon, \sigma_2 - \epsilon, \sigma_3 - \epsilon, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \epsilon, \sigma_1 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon, \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon\}.$$

By Theorem 4.2.1(i), \mathcal{P} is a reflectable base for R , so $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_r$. A simple computation shows that

$$w_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon} = w_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon} w_{\sigma_1 - \epsilon} w_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon} w_{\epsilon} w_{\sigma_3 - \epsilon} w_{\epsilon} w_{\sigma_2 - \epsilon} w_{\sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon} w_{\epsilon},$$

so $w_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon} \in \langle w_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{w_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3 - \epsilon}\} \rangle$; thus $\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{M}_m$ and then by (1), $\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{M}_c$. That is $\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{M}_{rc}$.

4. REFLECTABLE BASES, THE CLASS \mathcal{M}_r

In this section, we consider various aspects of reflectable bases, in particular, we investigate the existences and the cardinality of them.

4.1. Characterization theorem for reflectable bases. We recall two recognition theorems for reflectable bases associated to reduced extended affine root systems given in [AYY, Theorems 3.1, 3.14, 3.24, 3.26, 3.27] and [ASTY1, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 4.1.1. (*Recognition Theorem for simply laced types*) *Let R be simply laced of type X and $\Pi \subseteq R^\times$ satisfy $\langle \Pi \rangle = \langle R \rangle$. Then Π is a reflectable base for R if and only if*

- (i) $R^\times = \uplus_{\alpha \in \Pi} ((\alpha + 2\langle R \rangle) \cap R)$, if $X = A_1$,
- (ii) Π is a minimal generating set for the free abelian group $\langle R \rangle$, if X is simply laced of rank > 1 .

Theorem 4.1.2. (*Recognition Theorem for non-simply laced types*) *Let R be non-simply laced of type X and $\Pi \subseteq R^\times$ satisfy $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$. Then \mathcal{P} is a reflectable base for R if and only if*

- (i) $R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{sh}} ((\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh})$, and $R_{lg} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{lg}} ((\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle) \cap R_{lg})$, if $X = B_2$,
- (ii) $R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{sh}} ((\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh})$, and Π_{lg} is a minimal set with respect to the property that $\{\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle \mid \alpha \in \Pi_{lg}\}$ is a basis for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $\langle R_{lg} \rangle / 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle$, if $X = B_\ell$, $\ell \geq 3$,
- (iii) $R_{lg} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_{lg}} ((\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle) \cap R_{lg})$, and Π_{sh} is minimal with respect to the property that the set $\{\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle \mid \alpha \in \Pi_{sh}\}$ is a basis for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $\langle R_{sh} \rangle / \langle R_{lg} \rangle$, if $X = C_\ell$, $\ell \geq 3$,
- (iv) Π_{sh} is minimal with respect to the property that the set $\{\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle \mid \alpha \in \Pi_{sh}\}$ is a basis for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $\langle R_{sh} \rangle / \langle R_{lg} \rangle$, and Π_{lg} is minimal with respect to the property that the set $\{\alpha + k\langle R_{sh} \rangle \mid \alpha \in \Pi_{lg}\}$ is a basis for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $\langle R_{lg} \rangle / k\langle R_{sh} \rangle$, if $X = F_4, G_2$, where k is as in **1.3.4(4)**.

Remark 4.1.3. If in Theorems 4.1.1 and Theorem 4.1.2, we drop the terms ‘‘minimal’’ and ‘‘basis’’ and change the symbol ‘‘ \uplus ’’ to ‘‘ \cup ’’, then the conditions there characterize the reflectable sets in R .

4.2. Concrete family of reflectable bases. We show that set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ given in Table 1 is a reflectable base for the extended affine root system of type X .

Theorem 4.2.1. *Let R be a reduced extended affine root system of type X , nullity ν and twist number t . Then the following hold.*

- (i) *the set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ given in Table 1 is a reflectable base for R with $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = \text{ind}(R) + \ell + \nu$.*
- (ii) *If $\text{ind}(R) = 0$, then $\mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{M}_c$.*

Proof. We follow the same notations as in **1.3.4**.

(i) Suppose first that R is simply laced of rank > 1 , or is of type F_4 or G_2 . Since $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = \ell + \nu$, we get from Theorem 4.1.1(ii) and Theorem 4.1.2(iv) that $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a reflectable base.

Next suppose $X = B_\ell$ with $\ell \geq 3$. We have

$$\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(B_\ell) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \gamma_1 - \theta_s, \dots, \gamma_{\rho_1} - \theta_s, \delta_{t+1} - \theta_l, \dots, \delta_\nu - \theta_l\},$$

where $\rho_1 = \text{ind}(S_1)$. We assume that the only short root of $\dot{\Pi}$ is α_ℓ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{sh} &= \{\alpha_\ell, \gamma_1 - \theta_s, \dots, \gamma_{\rho_1} - \theta_s\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_{lg} &= \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}, \delta_{t+1} - \theta_l, \dots, \delta_\nu - \theta_l\}.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.2.1, \mathcal{P} is a reflectable set for R and so by Remark 4.1.3,

- (1) $R_{sh} = \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{sh}} (\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh}$,
- (2) $\{\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{lg}\}$ spans the \mathbb{Z}_2 -vector space $\mathcal{U} = \langle R_{lg} \rangle / 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle$.

Thus to show that \mathcal{P} is a reflectable base, it is enough to show (by Theorem 4.1.2(ii)) that the union in (1) is disjoint and that the set in (2) is a basis for \mathcal{U} . We start with proving that the union in (1) is disjoint.

If $\alpha_\ell \in \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{sh} \setminus \{\alpha_\ell\}} (\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh}$, then there is an $1 \leq i \leq \text{ind}(S_1)$ such that $\alpha_\ell \in \gamma_i - \theta_s + \langle R_{lg} \rangle$ and this implies that $\gamma_i \in \langle L \rangle$, which contradicts **1.3.4(6)**. Next suppose there exists an $1 \leq i \leq \text{ind}(S_1)$ such that $\gamma_i - \theta_s \in \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{sh} \setminus \{\gamma_i - \theta_s\}} (\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh}$. Now if $\gamma_i - \theta_s \in \alpha_\ell + \langle R_{lg} \rangle$, then $\gamma_i \in \langle L \rangle$ which again contradicts **1.3.4(6)**. If $\gamma_i - \theta_s \in \gamma_j - \theta_s + \langle R_{lg} \rangle$ for some $1 \leq j \neq i \leq \text{ind}(S_1)$, we get $\gamma_i - \gamma_j \in 2\langle S_1 \rangle$, which contradicts **1.3.4(8)**. This completes the proof for (1).

Next, we consider (2). As the set $\{\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{lg}\}$ spans \mathcal{U} and $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathcal{U} = (\ell - 1) + (\nu - t) = |\mathcal{P}_{lg}| = (\ell - 1) + (\nu - t)$, this set is a basis for \mathcal{U} . Thus \mathcal{P} is a reflectable base for R and $|\mathcal{P}| = \text{ind}(R) + \ell + \nu$.

The proof for type C_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$) is analogous to type B_ℓ , replacing the roles of short and long roots in the proof, and using the characterization of reflectable bases of type C_ℓ given in Theorem 4.1.2(iii). The proofs for types A_1 and B_2 can also be carried out in a similar manner, using Recognition Theorems 4.1.1(i) and 4.1.2(i).

(ii) Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)$. If $\text{ind}(R) = 0$, then $|\mathcal{P}| = \ell + \nu$ and as $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, we have $|\mathcal{P}| = \ell + \nu = \dim(\mathcal{V}) = \dim(\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P})$; thus \mathcal{P} is a basis for \mathcal{V} . Since $\dim(\mathcal{V}) \leq c \leq |\mathcal{P}|$, we have $c = |\mathcal{P}| = \ell + \nu$, that is, $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_c$. \square

For the proof of the following corollary, we recall this elementary fact from group theory that if a group G is finitely generated, then any generating set for G contains a finite generating set.

Corollary 4.2.2. *Let \mathcal{P} be in either of \mathcal{M}_c , \mathcal{M}_m or \mathcal{M}_r . Then \mathcal{P} is finite.*

Proof. By **3.1.4(1)**, we only need to consider $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_m$ or $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_r$. By Theorem 4.2.1, \mathcal{W} is generated by reflections based on the finite set $\mathcal{P}(X)$, and so is finitely generated. Thus any generating set for \mathcal{W} contains a finite generating set. In particular, if $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_m$ then \mathcal{P} contains a finite subset \mathcal{P}' such that $S_{\mathcal{P}'}$ generates \mathcal{W} . But the minimality of \mathcal{P} gives, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'$, and we are done.

Next, assume $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_r$. We have $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, and so as explained above, \mathcal{W} is generated by reflections based on a finite subset \mathcal{P}' of \mathcal{P} . Again let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the reflectable base given in Theorem 4.2.1. Then $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq R^\times = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}'} \mathcal{P}$. As $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is finite, we have $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}'} \mathcal{P}''$ for some finite subset \mathcal{P}'' of \mathcal{P} . Now setting $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} := \mathcal{P}' \cup \mathcal{P}''$, we get

$$R^\times = \mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}(X) = \mathcal{W}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}'}\mathcal{P}'' \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}}\widehat{\mathcal{P}}.$$

Now the minimality of \mathcal{P} gives $\widehat{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P}$, and we are done. \square

4.3. On cardinality of reflectable bases; types $A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell, F_4, G_2$. In Corollary 4.2.2, we just saw that reflectable bases have finite cardinality. If any two reflectable bases for an extended affine root system have the same cardinality? As

we will see in the sequel the answer is not positive in general, but we will discuss situations in which the response is affirmative.

Theorem 4.3.1. *Let R be an extended affine root system of rank ℓ , nullity ν and twist number t , and let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be two reflectable bases for R . Then for the types given in Table 2 below, $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'|$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_r$, then $|\mathcal{P}|$, $|\mathcal{P}_{sh}|$ and $|\mathcal{P}_{lg}|$ are given by Table 2, where S , S_1 and S_2 are as in 1.3.4(6).*

TABLE 2. Cardinality of reflectable bases

Type	$ \mathcal{P} $	$ \mathcal{P}_{sh} $	$ \mathcal{P}_{lg} $
A_1	$1 + \text{ind}(S)$	—	—
B_2	$2 + \text{ind}(S_1) + \text{ind}(S_2)$	$1 + \text{ind}(S_1)$	$1 + \text{ind}(S_2)$
B_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$)	$\ell + \text{ind}(S_1) + (\nu - t)$	$1 + \text{ind}(S_1)$	$(\ell - 1) + (\nu - t)$
C_ℓ $\ell \geq 3$	$\ell + t + \text{ind}(S_2)$	$(\ell - 1) + t$	$1 + \text{ind}(S_2)$
F_4	$4 + \nu$	$2 + t$	$2 + (\nu - t)$
G_2	$2 + \nu$	$1 + t$	$1 + (\nu - t)$

Proof. If R is of type A_1 , then we have $|\mathcal{P}| = 1 + \text{ind}(S)$, by [AP, Lemma 1.7], and we are done in this case.

Next suppose R is of type B_2 and \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are two reflectable bases for R . By Theorem 4.1.2(i), we have the following coset description of R_{sh} and R_{lg} :

$$R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{sh}} (\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}'_{sh}} (\alpha' + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh}$$

and

$$R_{lg} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{lg}} (\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle) \cap R_{lg} = \uplus_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}'_{lg}} (\alpha' + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle) \cap R_{lg}.$$

It follows that $|\mathcal{P}_{sh}| = |\mathcal{P}'_{sh}|$ and $|\mathcal{P}_{lg}| = |\mathcal{P}'_{lg}|$. Thus $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}'|$.

Assume next that R is of type B_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$) or C_ℓ ($\ell \geq 3$). We give the proof for type B_ℓ ; the proof for type C_ℓ is analogous, replacing the roles of short and long roots. Assume \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are two reflectable bases for R . According to Theorem 4.1.2(ii), we have

$$R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{sh}} (\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha' \in \mathcal{P}'_{sh}} (\alpha' + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{P}_{lg}| = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\langle R_{lg} \rangle / 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle) = |\mathcal{P}'_{lg}|.$$

Thus $|\mathcal{P}_{sh}| = |\mathcal{P}'_{sh}|$ and $|\mathcal{P}_{lg}| = |\mathcal{P}'_{lg}|$, as required.

Next assume R is of type F_4 or G_2 . By Theorem 4.1.2(iv), if \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are two reflectable bases for R , then

$$|\mathcal{P}_{lg}| = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\langle R_{lg} \rangle / 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle) = |\mathcal{P}'_{lg}| \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{P}_{sh}| = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}_2} (\langle R_{sh} \rangle / \langle R_{lg} \rangle) = |\mathcal{P}'_{sh}|.$$

Finally, we consider the last assertion in the statement. Let \mathcal{P} be a reflectable base of type $X = A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell, F_4$ or G_2 . Since now we know that any two reflectable bases for R have the same cardinality, it is enough to assume that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)$, where $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the reflectable base given in Theorem 4.2.1. The result now can be seen from the information given in Table 1. \square

Corollary 4.3.2. *The cardinality of a reflectable base is an isomorphism invariant of an extended affine root system of types $X = A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell, F_4, G_2$.*

Proof. One notes that the index of semilattices S , S_1 and S_2 appearing in the structure of an extended affine root system, as well as the nullity, the rank and the twist number are isomorphism invariants of an extended affine root system, see [AABGP, Chapter II]. Now the result is immediate from Theorem 4.3.1. \square

Lemma 4.3.3. *Suppose \mathcal{P} is a reflectable base for R such that $|\mathcal{P}| = \ell + \nu$, then $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$ (that is \mathcal{P} is a minimal reflectable base).*

Proof. Since \mathcal{P} is a reflectable base, the reflections based on \mathcal{P} generate \mathcal{W} , so it is enough to show that no proper subset of \mathcal{P} has his property. But this is clear as $|\mathcal{P}| = \dim \mathcal{V}$. \square

4.4. On cardinality of reflectable bases; simply laced types of rank > 1 . Let R be a simply laced extended affine root system of rank $\ell > 1$ and nullity $\nu > 1$. We start with the following example which shows that Theorem 4.3.1 does not hold in general for R , namely reflectable bases for R might have different cardinality; even when $\nu = 1$ which is the affine case. The example also shows that $c = \ell + \nu$ and that \mathcal{M}_{r_c} is a proper subclass of \mathcal{M}_{r_m} . We then show that $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$. The subsection is concluded with a technical result which shows that each reflectable base for R contains a subset of cardinality $\ell + \nu$ which is a reflectable base for a subsystem of R of the same type, rank and nullity as R .

Example 4.4.1. Let R be a simply laced extended affine root system of type X and rank > 1 . Then $R = \Lambda \cup (\dot{R} + \Lambda)$, where \dot{R} is a finite root system of type X and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_\nu$, see **1.3.3** and **1.3.4**. Let $\dot{\Pi} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ be a fundamental system for \dot{R} . Set

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \alpha_1 + m_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2 + m_2\sigma_1, \alpha_2 + \sigma_2, \dots, \alpha_2 + \sigma_\nu\},$$

where $m_1, m_2 > 1$ are relatively prime integers. We claim that Π is a reflectable base for R . Since $(m_1, m_2) = 1$, we have $\langle \Pi \rangle = \langle \dot{R} \rangle \oplus \Lambda = \langle R \rangle$, and so we get from Theorem 4.1.1(ii) and Remark 4.1.3, that Π is a reflectable set for R . Therefore, using again Theorem 4.1.1(ii), it is enough to show that for each $\alpha \in \Pi$, $\Pi'_\alpha := \Pi \setminus \{\alpha\}$ does not generate $\langle R \rangle$. Clearly, we only need to check this for $\alpha \in \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + m_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2 + m_2\sigma_1\}$. Since $m_1, m_2 > 1$, we have $\sigma_1 \notin \langle \Pi'_{\alpha_1 + m_1\sigma_1} \rangle$ and similarly $\sigma_1 \notin \langle \Pi'_{\alpha_2 + m_2\sigma_1} \rangle$. If $\sigma_1 \in \Pi'_{\alpha_1}$, then $\sigma_1 = k\alpha_2 + k'(\alpha_1 + m_1\sigma_1) + k''(\alpha_2 + m_2\sigma_1)$ for some $k, k', k'' \in \mathbb{Z}$. This gives $k' = 0$ and so $k''m_2 = 1$ which is impossible. The same reasoning gives $\sigma_1 \notin \langle \Pi'_{\alpha_2} \rangle$. Thus Π is a reflectable base. Note that $|\Pi| = \ell + \nu + 1 > |\mathcal{P}(X)| = \ell + \nu$, where $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the reflectable base given in Table 1. We conclude that \mathcal{M}_{r_c} is a proper subclass of \mathcal{M}_{r_m} .

Theorem 4.4.2. *Let R be a simply laced extended affine root system of rank > 1 . Then $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$.*

Proof. We must show $\mathcal{M}_r \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$. Assume that \mathcal{P} is a reflectable base for R ; by Theorem 4.1.1(ii), \mathcal{P} is minimal with respect to the property that $\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$. If $\mathcal{P} \notin \mathcal{M}_m$, then there is at least one $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $w_{\alpha_0} \in \langle w_\gamma | \gamma \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\alpha_0\} \rangle$. But then Lemma 3.1.5, gives $\langle \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\alpha_0\} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$, which contradicts the minimality of \mathcal{P} . \square

Proposition 4.4.3. *Let R be a simply laced extended affine root system of rank $\ell > 1$ and nullity ν . Let Π be a reflectable base for R . Then Π contains a subset Π' of cardinality $\ell + \nu$ such that Π' is a reflectable base for a subroot system R' of R , where R' has the same type, rank and nullity as R .*

Proof. Let Π be a reflectable base for R , then $|\Pi| \geq \ell + \nu$. If $|\Pi| = \ell + \nu$, there is nothing to prove. Now assume that $|\Pi| > \ell + \nu$. Recall from Subsection 1.1 that $\bar{\cdot}$ is the canonical map from \mathcal{V} onto $\bar{\mathcal{V}} := \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}^0$ and \bar{R} is the image of R under $\bar{\cdot}$. Since $\mathcal{W}_{\Pi}\Pi = R^\times$, we get from Proposition 1.3.2 that there exists a subset $\dot{\Pi}$ of Π , such that $\dot{R} = (\mathcal{W}_{\dot{\Pi}}\dot{\Pi}) \cup \{0\} \subseteq R$ is an irreducible finite root system isomorphic to \bar{R} , and we get the description $R = \Lambda \cup (\dot{R} + \Lambda)$ for R where Λ is a lattice, see **1.3.3**. We fix a reflectable base $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subseteq \dot{\Pi}$ of \dot{R} , see **3.2**. Then $\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{R}$. We extend $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\}$ to a basis $\Pi' = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \alpha_{\ell+1}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell+\nu}\}$ of \mathcal{V} , such that $\Pi' \subseteq \Pi$. Since Π' spans \mathcal{V} , it is connected.

We now consider the extended affine root system $R' := R_{\Pi'}$ defined in Proposition 3.1.6. By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.1.6(ii), R' has the same type, rank and nullity as R . Since $|\Pi'| = \ell + \nu = \dim \mathcal{V}$, it is a reflectable base for R' . \square

5. A CHARACTERIZATION OF MINIMAL ROOT SYSTEMS

As in the previous sections, we let R be an extended affine root system of reduced type X with corresponding Weyl group \mathcal{W} . It is known that \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation, see Definition 2.2.4, if and only if R is "minimal" in the sense of Definition 5.1.1 below; therefore minimality condition reflects the geometric aspects of extended affine root systems. In this section, we characterize minimal root systems in terms of minimal reflectable bases.

5.1. Minimal extended affine root systems.

Definition 5.1.1. Following [Ho], we say the extended affine root system R is *minimal*, if for each $\alpha \in R^\times$, $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} \subsetneq \mathcal{W}$, where $\mathcal{P} = R^\times \setminus W\alpha$.

Lemma 5.1.2. *Let $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$.*

(i) $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$ if and only if $S_{\mathcal{P}} = S_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{P} = -\mathcal{P}$.

(ii) *The extended affine root system R is minimal if and only if whenever $\mathcal{P} \subseteq R^\times$ with $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$, then $\mathcal{P} = R^\times$.*

Proof. (i) The "if" part is clear. Now assume that $S_{\mathcal{P}} = S_{\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{P} = -\mathcal{P}$. Then for $w' \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $w_{w'(\alpha)} \in S_{\mathcal{P}}$; thus there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $w_{w'(\alpha)} = w_\beta$ and then we have $w'(\alpha) = \pm\beta$. Now as $\mathcal{P} = -\mathcal{P}$, we have $w'(\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$ and therefore $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$.

(ii) Assume first that R is minimal and \mathcal{P} is a subset of R^\times such that $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$. We must show $\mathcal{P} = R^\times$. If not, we pick $\alpha \in R^\times \setminus \mathcal{P}$. Since $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$, we get $\mathcal{P} \subset R^\times \setminus W\alpha$. But now the assumption $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$ contradicts minimality of R .

Next assume that the "only if" part holds, and that R is not minimal. So there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ such that $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{W}$ for $\mathcal{P} := R^\times \setminus W\alpha$. Now since $\mathcal{W}\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}$, we get by assumption that $R^\times = \mathcal{P}$, which is absurd. \square

Theorem 5.1.3. *Let R be a reduced extended affine root system of type X and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be as in Table 1. Then $\mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{M}_m$ if and only if R is a minimal root system.*

Proof. First, suppose that R is of type A_1 or one of the non-simply laced types; then by [AS3, Theorem 5.16] and [AS4, Theorem 2.33], $\mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{M}_m$ if and only if R is a minimal root system. Next, assume that R is simply laced of rank > 1 and

$\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_m$. If R is not minimal then by [Ho, Theorem 4.5], \mathcal{W} does not have the presentation by conjugation which contradicts Theorem 2.2.3(iii).

Conversely assume that R is a minimal root system. As $|\mathcal{P}(X)| = \ell + \nu = \dim(\mathcal{V})$, we have $\mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{M}_c \subseteq \mathcal{M}_m$. \square

5.2. Minimality and presentation by conjugation. Let R be a reduced extended affine root system with Weyl group \mathcal{W} . Let $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ be the group defined by generators \widehat{w}_α , $\alpha \in R^\times$ and relations

- $\widehat{w}^2 = 1$, $\alpha \in R^\times$,
- $\widehat{w}_\alpha \widehat{w}_\beta \widehat{w}_\alpha = \widehat{w}_{w_\alpha \beta}$, $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times$.

We recall from Definition 2.2.4 that if $\mathcal{W} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$, then \mathcal{W} is said to have the presentation by conjugation. We refer to this group as the *conjugation presented group*, associated to R .

Theorem 5.2.1. *Given any reflectable base \mathcal{P} for R , the set $\{\widehat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a minimal generating set for the conjugation presented group $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ associated to R .*

Proof. If $\nu = 0$ then R is a finite root system and so $\mathcal{W} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$. Then we are done by Theorem 3.2.2.

Assume next that $\nu \geq 1$. If R is simply laced of rank > 1 or has one of types F_4 or G_2 , then by Lemma 4.3.3, Theorems 4.3.1 and 4.4.2, $\{w_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a minimal generating set for \mathcal{W} . But by Theorem 2.2.3, for the types under consideration $\mathcal{W} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ and so we are done.

Next, suppose that R is of type A_1 ; then by Theorem 4.1.1(i), we have

$$R^\times = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} (\alpha + 2\langle R \rangle) \cap R^\times. \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, since $R^\times = \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{W}\alpha$, and for $\alpha \in R^\times$ we have $\mathcal{W}\alpha \subseteq \alpha + 2\langle R \rangle$, we get from (1),

$$R^\times = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{W}\alpha. \quad (2)$$

If $\{\widehat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is not a minimal generating set for $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$; then there is at least one $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\widehat{w}_\beta = \widehat{w}_{\gamma_1} \cdots \widehat{w}_{\gamma_n}$ for some $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\beta\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. By Corollary 2.2.6, the assignment

$$w_\alpha \xrightarrow{\Phi_\beta} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \alpha \in \mathcal{W}\beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\beta, \end{cases}$$

induces an epimorphism $\Phi_\beta : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$. Now, by (2), $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{\beta\} \subseteq R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\beta$, and so we get $\Phi_\beta(\widehat{w}_\beta) = 1$ and $\Phi_\beta(\widehat{w}_{\gamma_1} \cdots \widehat{w}_{\gamma_n}) = 0$ which is a contradiction.

Next we consider type B_2 . In this case it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{W}\alpha \subseteq \begin{cases} \alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{sh}, \\ \alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle & \text{if } \alpha \in R_{lg}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

By Theorem 4.1.1(iii), we have

$$R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{sh}} ((\alpha + \langle R_{lg} \rangle) \cap R_{sh}) \quad \text{and} \quad R_{lg} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{lg}} ((\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle) \cap R_{lg}).$$

Using these equalities together with (3), we get that the union $R^\times = \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{W}\alpha$ is disjoint. Then using the same argument as in type A_1 , we achieve the desired result.

Finally, suppose that R is of type B_ℓ , ($\ell > 2$), and assume to the contrary that $\{\widehat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is not a minimal generating set for $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$; then there is at least one $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$

such that $\widehat{w}_\beta \in \langle \widehat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\beta\} \rangle$. We proceed with the proof by considering the following two cases.

$\beta \in R_{sh}$: Similar to the case B_2 , using Theorem 4.1.1(iv), we see that $R_{sh} = \uplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{sh}} \mathcal{W}\alpha$, then $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{\beta\} \subseteq R^\times \setminus \mathcal{W}\beta$. Therefore repeating the same argument as in type B_2 leads to a contradiction.

$\beta \in R_{lg}$: We have $\widehat{w}_\beta = \widehat{w}_{\gamma_1} \cdots \widehat{w}_{\gamma_n}$ for some $\gamma_i \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\beta\}$. Applying the epimorphism ψ given in 2.2.5(6)), we get $w_\beta = w_{\gamma_1} \cdots w_{\gamma_n}$. Since $\ell \geq 3$, there exists $\alpha \in R_{lg}$ such that $(\alpha, \beta^\vee) = -1$. If $\{\gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_m}\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\} \cap R_{lg}$ then we have

$$\alpha + \beta = w_\beta(\alpha) = w_{\gamma_1} \cdots w_{\gamma_n}(\alpha) \in w_{\gamma_{i_1}} \cdots w_{\gamma_{i_m}}(\alpha) + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle;$$

thus

$$\beta \in \langle \gamma_{i_1}, \dots, \gamma_{i_m} \rangle + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle, \quad \gamma_{i_j} \in \mathcal{P}_{lg} \setminus \{\beta\}, \quad (4)$$

which is a contradiction as by Theorem 4.1.1(iv), \mathcal{P}_{lg} is minimal with respect to the property that the set $\{\alpha + 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{lg}\}$ is a basis for the \mathbb{Z}_2 vector space $\langle R_{lg} \rangle / 2\langle R_{sh} \rangle$.

As usual the argument for the case C_ℓ is analogous to B_ℓ , replacing the roles of short and long roots. \square

Theorem 5.2.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) R is minimal,
- (ii) \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation,
- (iii) $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{rm}$.

Proof. Conditions (i) and (ii) are equivalent by [Ho, Theorem 5.8]. Next assume (ii) holds, namely $\mathcal{W} \cong \widehat{\mathcal{W}}$ under the isomorphism induced by the assignment $\psi : \widehat{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$, $\widehat{w}_\alpha \mapsto w_\alpha$, $\alpha \in R^\times$. Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{M}_r$. By Theorem 5.2.1, the set $\{\widehat{w}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$ is a minimal generating set for $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$, and so we are done. This gives (iii).

Finally, assume that (iii) holds. Consider the set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ given in Table 1. By Theorem 4.2.1, $\mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{M}_r$ and so $\mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{M}_m$. Then R is minimal by Theorem 5.1.3. This gives (i) and the proof is completed. \square

Corollary 5.2.3. *Let R be a reduced extended affine root system of type X , rank ℓ and nullity ν . Then under the either of the following two conditions $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{rm}$:*

- (i) $\nu \leq 2$.
- (ii) $X = A_\ell$, ($\ell > 1$), D_ℓ , $E_{6,7,8}$, F_4 or G_2 .

Moreover, if $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ and $X = A_1$, B_ℓ , ($\ell \geq 2$) or C_ℓ , ($\ell \geq 3$), then $\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{rc} = \mathcal{M}_{rm}$.

Proof. For the first part of the statement, we only need to show by Theorem 5.2.2 that under either of the conditions (i) and (ii), \mathcal{W} has the presentation by conjugation. But this is immediate from Theorem 2.2.3(ii)-(iii).

Next, we assume $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ where $X = A_1$, B_ℓ , C_ℓ . By 3.1.4(1), it is enough to show that $\mathcal{M}_r \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{rc}$. Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_r$. By Theorem 4.3.1, we have $|\mathcal{P}| = \ell + \nu$. Now as $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$, we get $\mathcal{V} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}$, and since by 3.1.4(2), $\dim(\mathcal{V}) \leq c \leq |\mathcal{P}|$, we get $c = \ell + \nu$. Thus $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{rc}$. \square

5.3. Gallery of results. We summarize the results obtained throughout this work in the following table. Here R is an irreducible reduced extended affine root system of type X , rank ℓ and nullity ν .

TABLE 3. Gallery of results on the level of root system and Weyl group

$R =$ extended affine root system	Theorem $\xrightarrow{4.2.1}$	$\mathcal{P}(X)$ is reflectable base for R
$\mathcal{P}(X) \in \mathcal{M}_m$	Theorem $\xrightarrow{5.1.3}$	R is minimal
$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{W}$	Theorem $\xrightarrow{3.1.5}$	$\langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$, \mathcal{P} is connected
R finite	Proposition $\xrightarrow{3.2.2}$	$\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_m = \mathcal{M}_r$, $c = \text{rank}(R)$
$\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_c, \mathcal{M}_m$ or \mathcal{M}_r	Corollary $\xrightarrow{4.2.2}$	\mathcal{P} is finite
$X = A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell, F_4, G_2$	Theorem $\xrightarrow{4.3.1}$	all elements of \mathcal{M}_r have the same cardinality
$X =$ simply laced of rank > 1	Theorem $\xrightarrow{4.4.2}$ Example $\xrightarrow{4.4.1}$	$\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{r_c} \subsetneq \mathcal{M}_r$
$\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{M}_r$	Theorem $\xrightarrow{5.2.1}$	\mathcal{P} is a minimal generating set for the conjugation presented group $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}$
$\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$	Theorem $\xrightarrow{5.2.2}$	R is minimal
$\nu \leq 2$, or $X =$ simply laced of rank > 1 , $X = F_4, G_2$, $X = A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell$, and $\text{ind}(R) = 0$	Corollary $\xrightarrow{5.2.3}$	$\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$
$X = F_4, G_2$	Corollary $\xrightarrow{5.2.3}$	$\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{r_c} = \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$
$\text{ind}(R) = 0$, $X = A_1, B_\ell, C_\ell$,	Corollary $\xrightarrow{5.2.3}$	$\mathcal{M}_r = \mathcal{M}_{r_c} = \mathcal{M}_{r_m}$

6. RELATIONS TO EXTENDED AFFINE LIE ALGEBRAS

We begin with a brief introduction to the definition of an extended affine Lie algebra and some of its basic properties. For more details on the subject and further terminologies We refer the reader to [AABGP, Chapter I].

6.1. Extended affine Lie algebras.

Definition 6.1.1. A triple $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H})$ in which \mathcal{L} is complex Lie algebra, \mathcal{H} is a subalgebra and (\cdot, \cdot) is a bilinear form on \mathcal{L} is called an *extended affine Lie algebra* if the following 5 axioms hold:

- (E1) The form (\cdot, \cdot) is symmetric, invariant and non-degenerate.
- (E2) \mathcal{H} is non-trivial, finite-dimensional and self-centralizing such that

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

and

$$R = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^* \mid \mathcal{L}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}.$$

R is called the *root system* of \mathcal{L} with respect to \mathcal{H} . The form on \mathcal{L} restricted to \mathcal{H} is non-degenerate so the form can be transferred to \mathcal{H}^* in the natural way. We denote by t_α the unique element in \mathcal{H} which represents $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*$ via the form, namely

$$\alpha(h) = (t_\alpha, h), \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}).$$

Set

$$R^0 := \{\alpha \in R \mid (\alpha, \alpha) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad R^\times := R \setminus R^0.$$

- (E4) $\text{ad}(x)$ is locally nilpotent for $x \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in R^\times$.

(E5) R is irreducible, meaning that R^\times is connected, and for $\sigma \in R^0$, there exists $\alpha \in R^\times$ with $\alpha + \sigma \in R$.

6.1.2. We assume from now on that $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H})$ is an extended affine Lie algebra with root system R . We assume that R is reduced. One knows that R is an extended affine root system, all non-isotropic root spaces are 1-dimensional, and that for $\alpha \in R^\times$, one may choose $e_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm\alpha}$ such that $(e_\alpha, h_\alpha := [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}], e_{-\alpha})$ is an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple.

For $\alpha \in R^\times$ and $x_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm\alpha}$, we define $\Phi_\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ by

$$\Phi_\alpha := \exp(\text{ad}x_\alpha) \exp(-\text{ad}x_{-\alpha}) \exp(\text{ad}x_\alpha).$$

Then Φ_α restricted to \mathcal{H} coincides with the reflection w_α , under the identification of the Weyl group $\mathcal{W}_\mathcal{L}$ of \mathcal{L} and the extended affine Weyl group \mathcal{W} of R . We recall that the core \mathcal{L}_c of \mathcal{L} is the subalgebra of \mathcal{L} generated by the non-isotropic root spaces \mathcal{L}_α , $\alpha \in R^\times$.

6.2. Reflectable bases and the core. We begin with a lemma which shows, using the concept of a reflectable set, that the root spaces associated to a reflectable set (up to plus minus sign) generate the core, implying that the core of an extended affine Lie algebra is finitely generated (compare with [Neh, Section 6.12] and [A4, Corollary 2.14]). We start with a terminology.

Definition 6.2.1. We call a subset \mathcal{P} of R^\times , a *root-generating set* for \mathcal{L} if the root spaces $\mathcal{L}_{\pm\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ generate \mathcal{L}_c . We call a root-generating set *minimal* if no proper subset of \mathcal{P} is a root-generating set.

Lemma 6.2.2. *Any reflectable set for R is a root-generating set for \mathcal{L} . In particular, \mathcal{L}_c is finitely generated.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{P} be a reflectable set and $\alpha \in R^\times$. Then $\alpha = w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_t}(\alpha_{t+1})$, for some $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore,

$$\Phi_{\alpha_1} \cdots \Phi_{\alpha_t}(\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_{t+1}}) = \mathcal{L}_{w_{\alpha_1} \cdots w_{\alpha_t}(\alpha_{t+1})} = \mathcal{L}_\alpha.$$

Thus $\mathcal{L}_\alpha \subseteq \langle \mathcal{L}_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{P} \rangle$. The second statement now follows from Corollary 4.2.2. \square

Lemma 6.2.3. *Let R be a simply laced extended affine root system of type X and rank > 1 . Let \mathcal{P} be a reflectable base for R . Then \mathcal{P} is a minimal root-generating set for \mathcal{L} . In particular the set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ given in Table 1 is a minimal root-generating set for \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that \mathcal{P} contains a proper root-generating set \mathcal{P}' . If $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, then \mathcal{L}_α is generated by some root spaces $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \mathcal{L}_{\alpha_t}$ for some $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \in \mathcal{P}'$. Thus $\alpha \in \langle \mathcal{P}' \rangle$, and so $\langle \mathcal{P}' \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle = \langle R \rangle$. Then by Theorem 3.2 of [ASTY1], \mathcal{P}' is a reflectable set for R . But this contradicts the minimality of \mathcal{P} as a reflectable base. The second assertion in the statement follows from Theorem 4.2.1. \square

Lemma 6.2.4. *If $\text{ind}(R) = 0$, then any reflectable base \mathcal{P} is a minimal root-generating set for \mathcal{L}_c . In particular if R is simply laced of rank > 1 , or is of type F_4 or G_2 , then any reflectable base is a minimal root-generating set for \mathcal{L} .* \square

Proof. If R is simply laced of rank > 1 , then we are done by Lemma 6.2.3. For the remaining types, we have from Theorem 4.3.1 that all reflectable bases have the same cardinality. Now if $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ then we see from 1.3.5 that $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{P}(X)| = \ell + \nu$ which is rank of the free abelian group $\langle R \rangle$. Since the roots corresponding to any generating set of root vectors for \mathcal{L}_c must generate $\langle R \rangle$, the first assertion in

the statement holds. The second assertion is now clear as $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ for the given types. \square

The following example shows that if $\text{ind}(R) \neq 0$ then Lemma 6.2.4 may fail. Also, it shows that an extended affine Lie algebra might have minimal root-generating sets with different cardinalities

Example 6.2.5. Suppose \mathcal{L} is an extended affine Lie algebra with root system R .

(i) Let R be of type A_1 and nullity 2 with $\text{ind}(R) > 0$. We know that (see **1.3.5**) up to isomorphism $R = \Lambda + (\pm\alpha + \Lambda)$ where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2$. We consider the reflectable base $\mathcal{P}(X) = \{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$ for R , see Table 1. From [A5, Remark 1.5(ii)], we know that

$$[[\mathcal{L}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, \mathcal{L}_\alpha], \mathcal{L}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] \neq \{0\}.$$

Thus the 1-dimensional space $\mathcal{L}_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha}$ is generated by root spaces corresponding to roots $\{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$. Therefore by Lemma 6.2.2, \mathcal{L}_c is generated by root spaces $\mathcal{L}_{\pm\beta}$, $\beta \in \{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is not a minimal root-generating set for \mathcal{L}_c .

(ii) Let R be of type A_2 . Then up to isomorphism $R = \Lambda \cup (\dot{R} + \Lambda)$ where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2$ and \dot{R} is a finite root system of type A_2 with a base $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \sigma_1, \alpha_2 + \sigma_2\}$ and $\mathcal{P}' = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + 2\sigma_1, \alpha_2 + 3\sigma_2, \alpha_2 + \sigma_2\}$ are reflectable bases for R , see Example 4.4.1. By Lemma 6.2.4 both \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are minimal root-generating sets with $|\mathcal{P}| \neq |\mathcal{P}'|$ for the corresponding Lie algebra \mathcal{L} .

6.3. Elliptic Lie algebras versus 2-extended affine Lie algebras. We begin with a definition. By a *2-extended affine Lie algebra (root system)* we mean an extended affine Lie algebra (root system) of nullity 2. Throughout this section R is a 2-extended affine root system.

Definition 6.3.1. (i) In the literature, a 2-extended affine root system is referred to as an *elliptic root system*.

(ii) Let \mathcal{L} be a Lie algebra containing a subalgebra \mathcal{H} with a decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathcal{L} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

The set $R := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^* \mid \mathcal{L}_\alpha \neq \{0\}\}$ is called the *root system* of \mathcal{L} with respect to \mathcal{H} . We call $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H})$, or simply \mathcal{L} an *elliptic Lie algebra* if R is an elliptic root system, with respect to a symmetric positive semi-definite form on the real span of R .

Corollary 6.3.2. *Let \mathcal{L} be a 2-extended affine Lie algebra of type X , rank ℓ and twist number t . Let S be as in **1.3.3**. Suppose either of the following holds:*

- X is simply laced of rank > 1 ,
- $X = A_1$ and $\text{ind}(S) = 2$,
- $X = F_4, G_2$,
- $X = B_2$ with $t = 1$; or $t = 0, 2$ and $\text{ind}(S) = 2$,
- $X = B_\ell$ ($\ell > 2$) with $t = 0, 1$; or $t = 2$ and $\text{ind}(S) = 2$,
- $X = C_\ell$ with $t = 1, 2$; or $t = 0$ and $\text{ind}(S) = 2$.

Then any reflectable base is a minimal root-generating set for \mathcal{L}_c .

Proof. For all the types given in the statement we have $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ and so by Lemma 6.2.4 we are done. \square

TABLE 4. A reflectable base for an elliptic root system

Type	$\mathcal{P}(X)$
A_1	$\{\alpha_1, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ $\{\alpha_1, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $\text{ind}(R) = 1$
$A_\ell, (\ell > 1)$ D_ℓ $E_{6,7,8}$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$
F_4	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 0$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \sigma_1 - \alpha_4, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 1$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \sigma_1 - \alpha_4, \sigma_2 - \alpha_4\}$ if $t = 2$
G_2	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 0$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_2, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 1$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_2, \sigma_2 - \alpha_2\}$ if $t = 2$
B_2	$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 1$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_2\}$ if $t = 1$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_2, \sigma_2 - \alpha_2\}$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 0,$ $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \sigma_1 - \alpha_2, \sigma_2 - \alpha_2, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_2\}$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 1$
$B_\ell, (\ell > 2)$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 0$ $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell\}$ if $t = 1$ $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell\}$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 0,$ $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell\}$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 1$
$C_\ell, (\ell > 2)$	$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$ if $t = 2$ $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell\}$ if $t = 1$ $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell\}$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 0,$ $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell\}$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 1$

We may consider $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathcal{V}^*$ by requiring $\lambda_1(\dot{\mathcal{V}}) = \lambda_2(\dot{\mathcal{V}}) = \{0\}$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in R^\times$, we define

$$n_{\alpha, \beta} := \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid n\alpha + \beta \notin R\}.$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ be the Lie algebra defined by generators

$$\widehat{X}_\alpha, \widehat{H}_\alpha, \widehat{d}_1, \widehat{d}_2, \quad (\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm),$$

subject to the following relations

- (I) $\sum_{i=1}^k \widehat{H}_{\beta_i} = \widehat{H}_{\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i}$ if $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k, \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \in \mathcal{P}$,
- (II) $[\widehat{H}_\alpha, \widehat{H}_\beta] = 0, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}^\pm,$
- (III) $[\widehat{H}_\alpha, \widehat{X}_\beta] = (\beta, \alpha^\vee) \widehat{X}_\beta, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}^\pm,$
- (IV) $[\widehat{X}_\alpha, \widehat{X}_{-\alpha}] = \widehat{H}_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm,$
- (V) $\text{ad} \widehat{X}_\alpha^{n_{\alpha, \beta}}(\widehat{X}_\beta) = 0, \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{P}^\pm,$
- (VI) $[\widehat{d}_i, \widehat{X}_\alpha] = \lambda_i(\alpha) \widehat{X}_\alpha, [\widehat{d}_i, \widehat{d}_j] = [\widehat{d}_i, \widehat{H}_\alpha] = 0, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2.$

To proceed, we recall that in [AABGP, Chapter III], associated to each elliptic root system R an extended affine Lie algebra $(\mathcal{L}, (\cdot, \cdot), \mathcal{H})$ with root system R is constructed such that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_2$, where d_1, d_2 act as derivations on \mathcal{L}_c , and $[d_1, d_2] = 0$. We fix this Lie algebra \mathcal{L} for the set of the section. We set $\mathcal{H}_c := \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{L}_c$.

For each $\alpha \in R^\times$, we fix an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple $(e_\alpha, h_\alpha, e_{-\alpha})$, where $e_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathcal{L}_{\pm\alpha}$, $[e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = h_\alpha$ and $[h_\alpha, e_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2h_\alpha$, see **6.1.2**.

Since relations (6) hold in \mathcal{L} for $e_\alpha, h_\alpha, d_1, d_2, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm$, in place of $\widehat{X}_\alpha, \widehat{H}_\alpha, \widehat{d}_1, \widehat{d}_2$, and since by Lemma 6.2.2 the elements $e_\alpha, h_\alpha, d_1, d_2, \alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm$ generate \mathcal{L} , we get an epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \widehat{\mathcal{L}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}, \\ \widehat{X}_\alpha &\mapsto e_\alpha, \quad \widehat{H}_\alpha \mapsto h_\alpha, \quad (\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm), \quad \widehat{d}_i \mapsto d_i. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For $\alpha \in R$, we set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{H}} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\widehat{H}_\alpha, \widehat{d}_1, \widehat{d}_2 \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm\} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\widehat{H}_\alpha, \widehat{d}_1, \widehat{d}_2 \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

We note that the second equality holds here, since $\widehat{H}_{-\alpha} = -\widehat{H}_\alpha$, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$, by relations of the form (IV) in (6).

Lemma 6.4.1. *Ψ restricts to an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. In particular, $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ is an abelian subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ with $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{H} = \ell + 4$.*

Proof. Consider the epimorphism $\Psi : \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ given by (7). From [AABGP, Chapter III], we know that $\dim \mathcal{H} = \ell + 4$ and $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{C}h_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{C}d_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}d_2$. So if $|\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(X)| = \ell + 2$, then $\{h_\alpha, d_1, d_2 \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ is a linearly independent set and so its preimage $\{\widehat{H}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{P}\}$ under Ψ is also a linearly independent set; therefore we are done in this case.

Assume next that $|\mathcal{P}| > \ell + 2$. By Table 4, we are in one of the cases $X = A_1$, $X = B_\ell$, C_ℓ , with $\text{ind}(R) = 1$. For type A_1 , we have $\mathcal{P}(A_1) = \{\alpha_1, \sigma_1 - \alpha_1, \sigma_2 - \alpha_1, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_1\}$. Now the elements \widehat{H}_{α_1} , $\widehat{H}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha_1}$ and $\widehat{H}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha_1}$ are linearly independent in $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ as their images are so in \mathcal{H} . Also from relations of the form (I) in (6), we have $\widehat{H}_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_1} = \widehat{H}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha_1} + \widehat{H}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha_1} + \widehat{H}_{\alpha_1}$. Thus $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{H}$.

Assume next that $X = B_\ell$ ($\ell > 2$). From Table 4, we see that the only reflectable base \mathcal{P} with $|\mathcal{P}| > \ell + 2$ is the one given in the forth row, namely

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell\}.$$

We know that $\widehat{H}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \widehat{H}_{\alpha_\ell}, \widehat{H}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha_\ell}, \widehat{H}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell}$ are linearly independent, as their images are so in \mathcal{H} . Also $\widehat{H}_{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell} = \widehat{H}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha_\ell} + \widehat{H}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha_\ell} + \widehat{H}_{\alpha_\ell}$. Thus $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{H}} = \dim \mathcal{H}$. The remaining types can be treated in a similar manner. \square

Using Lemma 6.4.1, we identify $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$ with \mathcal{H} . For $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*$, we set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha := \{\widehat{X} \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \mid [h, \widehat{X}] = \alpha(h)\widehat{X} \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

By repeated use of Jacobi identity and relations (6), we see that

$$[\widehat{H}_\beta, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \widehat{X}_{\alpha_n}]] = (\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n)(\widehat{H}_\beta)[\widehat{X}_{\alpha_1}, \dots, \widehat{X}_{\alpha_n}].$$

It follows that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^*} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha \text{ with } \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_0 = \mathcal{H}.$$

Since $\Psi(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in R$ and $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$, we get

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}} = \sum_{\alpha \in R} \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\alpha.$$

Thus we have the following:

Proposition 6.4.2. *The Lie algebra $(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ is an elliptic Lie algebra with root system R .*

6.5. Type A_1 . We specialize the presented Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ to the case $X = A_1$ as an example. Since $\nu = 2$, we have up to isomorphism two elliptic root systems of type A_1 :

$$R = \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2) \cup (\pm\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 + 2\mathbb{Z}\sigma_2) \cup (\pm\alpha + 2\mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 + \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2) & \text{if } \text{ind}(R) = 0, \\ (\mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2) \cup (\pm\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 + \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2) & \text{if } \text{ind}(R) = 1. \end{cases}$$

We fix the reflectable base

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{cases} \{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\} & \text{if } \text{ind}(R) = 0, \\ \{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha, \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \alpha\} & \text{if } \text{ind}(R) = 1, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

for R .

For a non-isotropic root β , we define the automorphism $\Phi_\beta \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathcal{L}})$ by

$$\Phi_\beta := \exp(\text{ad}\widehat{X}_\beta) \exp(-\text{ad}\widehat{X}_{-\beta}) \exp(\text{ad}\widehat{X}_\beta).$$

Lemma 6.5.1. $\Phi_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}(\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma_2 - 2\sigma_1 + \alpha}$ if and only if

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{ad}\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha} \text{ad}\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1} [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] = [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] \quad (9)$$

holds.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}(\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}) \\ &= \exp \text{ad}(\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha})(\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha} - [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] + \frac{1}{2}[\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]]) \\ &= \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha} - [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] - [\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}[\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]] + \frac{1}{2}[\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]]] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}[\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]]]]. \end{aligned}$$

We note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]] &= -[\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, \widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}]] - [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}]] \\ &= -[\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}, H_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}] = (\alpha, \alpha^\vee) \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha} = 2\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from this and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha} - [\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]] + \frac{1}{4}[\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]]]] \\ &= \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha} - 2\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha} + \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -[\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] &+ \frac{1}{2}[\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}]]] \\ &= -[\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] + [\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 6.5.2. *Suppose R is an elliptic root system of type A_1 and \mathcal{P} is the reflectable base given in (8) for R . Let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ be the Lie algebra defined by generators $\widehat{X}_\alpha, \widehat{H}_\alpha, \widehat{d}_1, \widehat{d}_2$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm$, and relations I-VI given in (6) plus relation (9). Then $(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ is an elliptic Lie algebra satisfying*

$$\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\beta) = \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{w_\gamma(\beta)}, \quad (\gamma \in \mathcal{P}, \beta \in R^\times).$$

Proof. We first note that (9) holds in \mathcal{L} for $e_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, e_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}$ in place of $\widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}$ and $\widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}$. Thus the epimorphism $\Psi : \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ given in (7) holds in our case. Suppose γ, β are as in the statement. Let $\widehat{X} \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\beta$. We may assume without loss of generality that $\widehat{X} = [\widehat{X}_{\beta_1}, [\widehat{X}_{\beta_2}, [\dots, [\widehat{X}_{\beta_{k-1}}, \widehat{X}_{\beta_k}]] \dots]]$ for some $\beta_i \in \mathcal{P}$ with $\beta = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k$. Then for $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$, we have

$$\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{X}) = [\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{X}_{\beta_1}), [\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{X}_{\beta_2}), [\dots, [\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{X}_{\beta_{k-1}}), \Phi_\gamma(\widehat{X}_{\beta_k})]] \dots]].$$

Now if $\{\gamma, \beta_i\} \neq \{\sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$, then the vectors $\widehat{X}_\gamma, \widehat{X}_{\beta_i}$ can be identified as root vectors of a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ which is either finite-dimensional simple, or an affine subalgebra,

implying that $\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{X}_{\beta_i}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{w_\gamma(\beta_i)}$. If $\{\gamma, \beta_i\} = \{\sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$, then since (9) holds by assumption, we get from Lemma 6.5.1 that $\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{X}_{\beta_i}) \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{w_\gamma(\beta_i)}$. Thus $\Phi_\gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\beta) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{w_\gamma(\beta)}$. This completes the proof. \square

6.6. Type A_1 , $\text{ind}(R) = 0$. We now restrict our attention to the case when R is of type A_1 and $\text{ind}(R) = 0$. We consider the corresponding reflectable base \mathcal{P} given in (8). Let $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ be the corresponding presented Lie algebra.

We recall that for any elements X_0, \dots, X_m of a Lie algebra and for $1 \leq j < m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X_0, \dots, X_m] &= [[X_0, X_1], X_2, \dots, X_m] \\ &+ [X_1, [X_0, X_2], X_3, \dots, X_m] \\ &+ \dots \\ &+ [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{j-1}, [X_0, X_j], X_{j+1}, \dots, X_m] \\ &+ [X_1, \dots, X_{j-1}, X_0, X_j, \dots, X_m]. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Lemma 6.6.1. (i) Let $\beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{P}^\pm$ with $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_m = \beta$.

(i) m is odd, and for each $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}^\pm$ with $\gamma \neq \beta$, the number of j 's such that $\alpha_j = \gamma$, is even.

(ii) If $A = [\widehat{X}_{\alpha_1}, \widehat{X}_{\alpha_2}, \dots, \widehat{X}_{\alpha_m}] \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\beta$, then A can be written as a sum of expressions of the form $[\widehat{X}_{\beta_1}, \dots, \widehat{X}_{\beta_k}]$, where all β_i 's belong to $\pm\{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha\}$ or all belong to $\pm\{\alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$.

Proof. (i) is clear as $\alpha, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ are linearly independent.

(ii) We recall that $R = R^0 \cup R^\times$ with

$$R^0 = \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2 \quad \text{and} \quad R^\times = (\pm\alpha + 2\mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2) \cup (\pm\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus 2\mathbb{Z}\sigma_2).$$

In particular, we have

$$\epsilon\alpha + n_1\sigma_1 + n_2\sigma_2 \notin R \text{ for } \epsilon \in \{0, \pm 1\}, n_1, n_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z} + 1. \quad (11)$$

This fact will be used frequently in the proof without further mentioning. We also recall that $\mathcal{P} = \{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$.

Without loss of generality we may assume $\beta = \alpha$. If all α_i 's belong to $\{\alpha, \sigma_i - \alpha\}^\pm$, $i = 1, 2$, we are done. So we may assume that

$$\alpha_i = \pm(\sigma_1 - \alpha) \text{ and } \alpha_j = \pm(\sigma_2 - \alpha) \text{ for some } i, j. \quad (12)$$

If $m = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Now (11) and (12) shows that no expression of the form A exists for length $m = 3$. For $m = 5$, the only possibility is $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_5\} = \{\alpha, \pm(\sigma_1 - \alpha), \pm(\sigma_2 - \alpha)\}$ and the only possibility for A , up to a permutation of \mathcal{P} , is $[\widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_2}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_2 - \alpha}, \widehat{X}_{\alpha - \sigma_1}, \widehat{X}_{\sigma_1 - \alpha}, \widehat{X}_\alpha]$ which reduces to the case $m = 3$, by using (10) and applying defining relations of the form (III). In general by using (10), we write A to a sum of terms of length $m - 2$, except for the last term $[\widehat{X}_1, \dots, \widehat{X}_{j-1}, \widehat{X}_0, \widehat{X}_j, \dots, \widehat{X}_m]$ which has length m . But then using (11), we choose an appropriate j such that this term becomes zero. \square

Theorem 6.6.2. Let $R = \Lambda \cup (\pm\alpha + S)$ be an elliptic root system of type A_1 where $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}\sigma_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sigma_2$ and $S = (\sigma_1 + 2\Lambda) \cup (\sigma_2 + 2\Lambda)$. Let $(\widehat{\mathcal{L}}, \widehat{\mathcal{H}})$ be the Lie algebra defined by generators and relations (6). Then $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is an elliptic Lie algebra with root system R . Moreover $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{H}} = 5$ and $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\beta = 1$ for $\beta \in R^\times$.

Proof. By Proposition 6.4.2 and Lemma 6.4.1, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is an elliptic Lie algebra with root system R satisfying $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{H}} = 5$. Recall from Proposition 3.1.6 that if $\mathcal{P} = \{\alpha, \sigma_1 - \alpha\}$ or $\mathcal{P} = \{\alpha, \sigma_2 - \alpha\}$, then the root system $R_\mathcal{P} \subseteq R$ is an affine root system of type A_1 . We now consider the Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\mathcal{P}$ defined by generators $\widehat{X}_\alpha, \widehat{H}_\alpha$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^\pm$, subject to relations (6)(I)-(V). From [Kac], we see that $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to the derived algebra of an affine Lie algebra of type A_1 . Thus identifying $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\mathcal{P}$ as a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$, and using Lemma 6.6.1, we conclude that $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\beta = 1$ for $\beta \in \mathcal{P}$. We note that by (11) and (6(V)), the both sides

of equation (9) are zero and so this relation is a consequence of relations holds in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. Thus Lemma 6.5.2 implies that $\dim \widehat{\mathcal{L}}_\beta = 1$ for all $\beta \in R^\times$. \square

Remark 6.6.3. As explained in the Introduction, in [SaY, Ya], the authors construct elliptic Lie algebras by means of a Serre’s type generators and relations. The number of these generators depends on a “root base” which they assign to the corresponding elliptic root system. This root base which we call a *Saito root base* and denote it by \mathcal{P}_S is defined by K. Saito in [Sa, Section (5.2)]. The following table compares the cardinality of Saito root bases and our reflectable bases used in the construction of elliptic Lie algebras.

TABLE 5. Cardinality of Saito bases and reflectable bases

Type X	$ \mathcal{P}_S(X) $	$ \mathcal{P}(X) $
A_1	3 if $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ 4 if $\text{ind}(R) = 1$	3 if $\text{ind}(R) = 0$ 4 if $\text{ind}(R) = 1$
$A_\ell (\ell > 1)$	$2\ell + 2$	$\ell + 2$
D_ℓ	$2\ell - 2$	$\ell + 2$
$E_{6,7,8}$	8, 9, 10	8, 9, 10
F_4	6 if $t = 0$ 6 if $t = 1$ 6 if $t = 2$	6 if $t = 0$ 6 if $t = 1$ 6 if $t = 2$
G_2	4 if $t = 0$ 4 if $t = 1$ 4 if $t = 2$	4 if $t = 0$ 4 if $t = 1$ 4 if $t = 2$
B_2	5 if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ 6 if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 1$ 4 if $t = 1$ 5 if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ 6 if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 1$	4 if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ 5 if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 1$ 4 if $t = 1$ 4 if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ 5 if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 1$
$B_\ell (\ell > 2)$	$2\ell - 1$ if $t = 0$ 2ℓ if $t = 1$ $2\ell + 1$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ $2\ell + 2$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 1$	$\ell + 2$ if $t = 0$ $\ell + 2$ if $t = 1$ $\ell + 2$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ $\ell + 3$ if $t = 2, \text{ind}(R) = 1$
$C_\ell (\ell > 2)$	$2\ell + 2$ if $t = 2$ 2ℓ if $t = 1$ $2\ell + 1$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ $2\ell + 2$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 1$	$\ell + 2$ if $t = 2$ $\ell + 2$ if $t = 1$ $\ell + 2$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 0$ $\ell + 3$ if $t = 0, \text{ind}(R) = 1$

REFERENCES

[AABGP] B. Allison, S. Azam, S. Berman, Y. Gao, A. Pianzola, *Extended affine Lie algebras and their root systems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1997), no. 603, 1–122.

[A1] S. Azam, *Extended affine Weyl groups*, J. of Algebra **214** (1999), 571–624.

[A2] S. Azam, *A presentation for reduced extended affine Weyl groups*, Commu. in Algeb. **28** (2000), 465–488.

[A3] S. Azam, *Extended affine root systems*, J. Lie Theory **12** (2002), no. 2, 515–527.

[A4] S. Azam, *Derivations of multi-loop algebras*, Forum Math. **19** (2007), no. 6, 1029–1045.

[A5] S. Azam, *Generalized reductive Lie algebras: connections with extended affine Lie algebras and Lie tori*, Canad. J. Math. **58** (2006), no. 2, 225–248.

[AF] S. Azam, A. Farahmand Parsa, *Groups of extended affine Lie type*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **55**(2019), 627–649.

[AFI] S. Azam, A. Farahmand Parsa, M. Izadi Farhadi, *Integral structures in extended affine Lie algebras*, J. Alg. **597** (2022), 116–161.

[AN] S. Azam, M. Nikouei, *Weyl groups associated with affine reflection systems of type A_1 (Coxeter type defining reflections)*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **49** (2013), no. 1, 123–153.

[AP] S. Azam, F. Parishani, *Cayley graph characterization of geometric reflections*, J. of Lie Theory **31** (2021), No. 2, 413–438.

[AS1] S. Azam, V. Shahsanaei, *Simply laced extended affine Weyl groups(A finite presentation)*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **43** (2007), 403–424.

[AS2] S. Azam, V. Shahsanaei, *Extended affine Weyl groups of type A_1* , J. Algebraic Combin. **28** (2008), no. 4, 481–493.

[AS3] S. Azam, V. Shahsanaei, *Presentation by conjugation for A_1 -type extended affine Weyl groups*, J. of Algebra **319** (2008), 1428–1449.

- [AS4] S. Azam, V. Shahsanaei, *Extended affine Weyl groups: Presentation by conjugation via integral collection*, *Commu. in Alg.* **39**(2011), 730–749.
- [ASTY1] S. Azam, M.B. Soltani, M. Tomie, Y. Yoshii, *Characterization and enumeration of reflectable bases of types $E_{6,7,8}$* , *Commu. in Algebra* (to appear).
- [ASTY2] S. Azam, M.B. Soltani, M. Tomie, Y. Yoshii, *A Graph-Theoretical Classification for Reflectable Bases*, *PRIMS*, **55** no. 4, (2019), 689–736.
- [AYY] S. Azam, H. Yamane, M. Yousofzadeh, *Reflectable bases for affine reflection systems*, *J. of Algebra* **371** (2012), 63–93.
- [B] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras, chapter 4-6*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [C] R. W. Carter, *Lie algebra of finite and affine type*, Camb. Uni. Press, New York, 2005.
- [Ho] G. W. Hofmann, *Weyl groups with Coxeter presentation and presentation by conjugation*, *J. Lie Theory* **17** (2007), no. 2, 337–355.
- [H-KT] R. Høegh-Krohn and B. Torresani, *Classification and construction of quasi-simple Lie algebras*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **89**, 106136, 1990.
- [Hu1] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1980.
- [Hu2] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*, Camb. Uni. Press, 1990.
- [Kac] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [Kr1] Y. Krylyuk, *On automorphisms and isomorphisms of quasi-simple Lie algebras*, *J. Math. Sci.* **100** (2000), no. 1, 1944–2002.
- [Kr2] Y. Krylyuk, *On the structures of quasi-simple Lie algebras and their automorphism groups*, Ph.D. Theses, University of Saskatchewan, 1995.
- [MP] R. V. Moody, A. Pianzola, *Lie Algebras With Triangular Decompositions*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1995.
- [Neh] E. Neher, *Extended affine Lie algebras and other generalizations of affine Lie algebras—a survey in Development and trends in infinite-dimensional Lie theory*, *Progr. Math.*, **288**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2011, 53–126.
- [P] U. Pollmann, *Realisation der biaffinen Wurzelsysteme von Saito in Lie-Algebren*, *Hamburger Beiträge zur Mathematik aus dem Mathematischen Seminar*, Heft 29 (1994).
- [Sl] P. Slodowy, *Another new class of Lie algebras*, Preprint, 1982.
- [Sa] K. Saito, *Extended affine root systems. I. Coxeter transformations*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **21** (1985), no. 1, 75–179.
- [SaT] K. Saito, T. Takebayashi, *Extended affine root systems III. Elliptic Weyl groups*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **33** (1997), no. 2, 301–329.
- [SaY] K. Saito, D. Yoshii, *Extended affine root system. IV: Simply-laced elliptic Lie algebras*, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **36**, No. 3, (2000), 385–421.
- [Ya] H. Yamane, *A Serre-type theorem for the elliptic Lie algebras with rank ≥ 2* , *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **40**, No. 2, (2004), 441–469.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, P.O.BOX: 81746-73441, ISFAHAN, IRAN, AND, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR RESEARCH IN FUNDAMENTAL SCIENCES (IPM), P.O. BOX: 19395-5746.

Email address: azam@ipm.ir

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, P.O.BOX: 81746-73441, ISFAHAN, IRAN.

Email address: f.parishani93@sci.ui.ac.ir, f.parishani93@yahoo.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN, 361005, CHINA.

Email address: tans@xmu.edu.cn