

# MULTIPLICITY OF NON-ACYCLIC $SL_2$ -REPRESENTATIONS AND $L$ -FUNCTIONS OF THE TWISTED WHITEHEAD LINKS

BÉNARD LÉO, RYOTO TANGE, ANH T. TRAN, AND JUN UEKI

ABSTRACT. We consider a natural divisor on  $SL_2\mathbb{C}$ -character varieties of knots and links, given by the so-called *acyclic Reidemeister torsion*. We provide a geometric interpretation of this divisor. We focus on the particular family of odd twisted Whitehead links, where we show that this divisor has multiplicity two. Moreover, we apply these results to the study of the  $L$ -functions of the universal deformations of representations over finite fields of twisted Whitehead links.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Organization of the paper	5
Acknowledgments	5
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Character varieties	5
2.2. Twisted homology	6
2.3. Reidemeister torsion	6
2.4. Acyclicity	7
2.5. Conventions for surgeries	7
2.6. Surgery and non-acyclic representations	8
2.7. Definition of multiplicity	8
3. Reidemeister torsion of the Whitehead link	9
3.1. The character variety	9
3.2. The Reidemeister torsion	10
3.3. The manifold $M_{(-3,-3)}$	10
3.4. Twist knots	11
4. Twisted Whitehead links	12
4.1. Smoothness	13
4.2. Vanishing multiplicity	16
4.3. Double twist knots $J(2m, 2n)$	19
5. $L$ -functions of universal deformations	19
5.1. Multiplicity two	20
5.2. Universal deformations	20
5.3. $L$ -functions	20
References	21

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57K10, 11R23; Secondary 57K31, 11S05 .

*Key words and phrases*. knot, link, 3-manifold, non-acyclic representation, character variety, Reidemeister torsion, universal deformation,  $L$ -function, arithmetic topology.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we will study the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -character varieties of some families of 3-manifolds. The  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -character variety of a manifold  $M$  is the space of representations  $\{\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}\}$ , up to conjugation.

Given a representation  $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ , one can construct a cellular homological complex  $C_*(M, \rho)$  which only depends on the conjugacy class of the representation  $\rho$ . For 3-manifolds  $M$ , this complex is often *acyclic*: its homology is trivial. More precisely, if  $M$  is a hyperbolic 3-manifold or a Seifert fibered space, there is a non-empty Zariski open subset of the character variety of  $M$  consisting of acyclic representations.

For  $\rho$  an acyclic representation, despite the homology of the complex  $C_*(M, \rho)$  does not contain any interesting information on the topology of  $M$ , one can construct a numerical invariant, the *Reidemeister torsion* (or just torsion for short), out from this complex. This invariant extends to a rational function on the character variety, whose zero locus – its *divisor* – is precisely the set of non-acyclic representations. In [2], the first author studied the torsion on the character variety of knots complement, and exhibited a criterion for the torsion to define a non-constant regular function on this algebraic set. In particular, he gave a sufficient condition so that the zero locus of the torsion is not void.

In [23], the three last authors studied the infinite family of *twist knots* from this perspective. Any twist knot has a one-dimensional character variety. The Reidemeister torsion defines a function on this curve, which vanishes at a finite number of points. Interestingly, in [23] they proved that all these zeros appear with vanishing multiplicity exactly two. Since all these data (character varieties, Reidemeister torsion...) are topological invariants, it is natural to try to understand why this phenomenon occurs. This is what we call the *multiplicity two phenomenon*.

Our first purpose is to generalize these notions and results. It turns out that twists knots can all be obtained by surgery on a boundary component of a two-component link: the Whitehead link (see Fig. 1).

We prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *The divisor of the torsion function on the character variety of the Whitehead link  $W$  is  $2L$ , where  $L$  is a complex line corresponding to the character variety of the closed manifold  $W(-3, -3)$ . This manifold is the connected sum of two lens spaces  $L(3, 1) \# L(3, 1)$ , obtained after  $(-3, -3)$  surgery on the Whitehead link.*

We stress two notable facts out of this statement: first, the divisor consists of one line of *multiplicity two*.

Second, the vanishing locus of the torsion has a geometric interpretation, since it can be described as the character variety of  $W(-3, -3)$ . We deduce the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.2.** *The character variety  $X(W(-3, -3))$  is a complex line, with no acyclic representations.*

The last corollary was guided by the fact ([23]) that every non-acyclic representation of a twist knot group sends a specific element of the fundamental group on an elliptic of order 3 in  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ . In fact, we show that these representations factor through the  $-3$  surgery on one boundary component of the Whitehead link, and that along this surgery this specific curve has order 3.

Furthermore, we provide a geometric interpretation of this theorem. Let us denote by  $W(-3)$  the manifold obtained by  $-3$  surgery on *one* component of the Whitehead link. This is a Seifert fibered manifold with boundary (see also [16, Table 1], and we show that its fundamental group is a central extension of  $\mathbb{Z}/3 * \mathbb{Z}/3$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, this Seifert

manifold is a circle bundle on a 2-dimensional orbifold, a sphere with three singularities – two conical points of order 3, and one cusp – obtained by gluing along their edges two hyperbolic triangles with angles  $(\pi/3, \pi/3, \infty)$ .

We prove

**Proposition 1.3.** *Let  $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}$  an irreducible representation. Assume that  $\rho$  factors through the fundamental group of a Seifert fibered manifold  $N$  obtained by surgery on  $M$  but is not trivial on this boundary component. If  $\rho$  sends the generic fiber on the identity, then  $\rho$  is non-acyclic.*

One can see the divisor of the torsion on the character variety of the Whitehead link, described in [Theorem 1.1](#), as a special case of this proposition. The non-acyclic representations in this divisor factor through the  $-3$  surgery, and then the second  $-3$  surgery has the effect of killing the homotopy class of the fiber. Then any irreducible representation which factors through the  $(-3, -3)$  surgery satisfies the hypotheses of the latter proposition.

We also recover and extend some of the results proved in [\[23\]](#) for twist knots directly from the description of the Whitehead link. The acyclicity property for representations behaves particularly well under surgery operation, see [Section 2.4](#). For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the manifold  $W(-1/n)$  obtained by  $-1/n$  surgery on one of the boundary components of the exterior of the Whitehead link  $W$  is the exterior of the twist knot  $J(2, 2n)$ . Our [Theorem 1.1](#), together with [Lemma 2.4](#) has then the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.4.** *The torsion function vanishes with multiplicity at least 2 on the character variety of  $X(W(-1/n))$ .*

Note that a stronger result has already been obtained by the last three authors in [\[23\]](#). In fact, they proved that the vanishing multiplicity is exactly two. This may be seen as a transversality statement in  $X(W)$  between the line  $L$  and the character varieties of the twist knots.

We refine the properties of the representations of twist knots groups where the torsion vanishes which had been highlighted in [\[23\]](#):

**Theorem 1.5.** *The zeros of the torsion are representations that factor through the fundamental group of the manifold  $W(-1/n, -2)$  obtained by  $-3$  surgery on  $W(-1/n)$ . Each of those representations factor through to the triangle group  $\Gamma(3, 3, 3n - 1)$  if  $n \geq 1$ , and through  $\Gamma(3, 3, -3n + 1)$  if  $n \leq 1$ . These representations are  $\mathrm{SU}(2)$  representations which lie on a “diagonal”  $D$ , see after [Proposition 3.6](#).*

For any surgery on one component of the Whitehead link (with slope different from  $-3$ ), the divisor of the torsion will have multiplicity at least two, as follows from [Lemma 2.4](#). In particular for integral surgeries, one gets the family of once-punctured torus bundles with tunnel number one which has been deeply studied in [\[1\]](#).

**Corollary 1.6.** *The torsion function vanishes on the character variety of once-punctured torus bundles with tunnel number one with multiplicity at least two.*

It turns out that the phenomenon observed for the Whitehead link (and for the manifolds obtained by surgery on it) is not an exception: we consider further examples of odd twisted Whitehead links  $W_{2n-1}$  (see [Fig. 2](#)). These are hyperbolic links with the linking number zero, whose character varieties and Reidemeister torsion have been computed by Nguyen and the third author in [\[19\]](#). In particular, for each twisted Whitehead link, there is exactly one geometric component in the character variety, which contains the lifts of the holonomy representation.

First, we prove the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.7.** *Each component of the character variety of the odd twisted Whitehead link  $W_{2n-1}$  is a smooth surface in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .*

Then we study the vanishing multiplicity of the divisor of the torsion on these character varieties. We prove the following:

**Theorem 1.8.** *The divisor of the torsion has multiplicity two on the geometric component of the character variety of any twisted Whitehead link  $W_{2n-1}$ . On the other components, either it has multiplicity one, or the torsion vanishes identically.*

Considering surgeries with slope  $-1/m$  on twisted Whitehead links  $W_{2n-1}$ , we obtain the double twist knots  $J(2m, 2n)$ . We deduce the following corollary from the fact that the representations in  $X(W_{2n-1})$  which factor to representations of the fundamental group of double twist knots lie in the geometric component:

**Corollary 1.9.** *The torsion vanishes with multiplicity at least two on the character variety of double twist knots  $J(2m, 2n)$ .*

The multiplicity of the divisor of the torsion is also related to the singularities of the  $\mathrm{SL}_3$ -character variety, as we explain now. The  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -character variety of a knot exterior always contains a line of *reducible* representation. This line may intersect the other components, in which irreducible representations are dense. It has been known since de Rham ([8]) that the intersection points between the component of reducible representations and the other component correspond to roots of the Alexander polynomial of the knot. This has been generalized by Heusener and Porti ([12]). They proved the following: if an  $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -representation is an intersection point between a component of reducible representations and another one, then a certain *twisted* Alexander polynomial vanishes at some special value. Moreover, if this value is a simple root of the twisted Alexander polynomial, then the intersection is as mild as possible: a transverse intersection between two algebraic sets. In our setting, the torsion of a representation  $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  is the value at  $t = 1$  of the  $\rho$ -twisted Alexander polynomial  $\Delta_\rho(t)$ . Heusener–Porti’s result suggests that the curve of non-acyclic representations of the twisted Whitehead links yield  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -representations of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \rho & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  which are highly singular intersection points in their  $\mathrm{SL}_3$ -character variety. Note that this is the case for one of the only  $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ -character varieties explicitly computed: the figure-eight knot, see [11].

In the final section of this article, we pursue the study of the  $L$ -functions of universal deformations, raised in a viewpoint of number theory ([17], [18], [14], [23]), to interpret the “multiplicity two” phenomenon into the property of the  $L$ -functions of the odd twisted Whitehead links  $W_{2n-1}$ .

Given a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$  with characteristic  $p > 2$  (or an algebraic closure of such a field), and a representation  $\bar{\rho}: \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$ , there is a natural Complete Discrete Valuation Ring (CDVR)  $O$  whose residual field  $O/\mathfrak{m}_O = \mathbb{F}$  (a typical example is  $O = \mathbb{Z}_p$  for  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_p$ ). The *universal deformation*  $\rho$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  over  $O$  is a lift of  $\bar{\rho}$  with a certain universal property, to a representation over a complete local  $O$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}}$ . The representation  $\rho$  is unique up to strict equivalence (fixing the residual representation  $\bar{\rho}$ ). Considering the homology of the complex of  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}}$ -modules given by  $\rho$ , the analogy between knots and prime numbers designed as *arithmetic topology* suggests that the order  $L_\rho$  of the first homology group of this complex should be of interest. It is called the  $L$ -function of  $(\bar{\rho}, O)$ , and has been studied by many authors (see references above).

Since the multiplicity of the zeros of the  $L$ -function  $L_\rho$  are intimately related to the vanishing of the Reidemeister torsion, we deduce from our study of the Reidemeister torsion of the twisted Whitehead links the following result. Note that since the character variety is defined over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , one can reduce its defining equation  $f_n$  modulo a prime  $p$ , and see new solutions of  $\bar{f}_n = 0$  as the character variety over the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $\bar{\rho} : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$  be an absolutely irreducible representation of the group of the twisted Whitehead link  $W_{2n-1}$  at  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  in  $\mathbb{F}^3$  on the geometric component  $f_n = 0$  of the character variety and suppose that  $\bar{\rho}$  is non-acyclic. Let  $(a, b)$  a lift of  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$  in  $O^2$ . Suppose that  $\partial_z f_n \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  at  $\bar{\rho}$ , and let  $z_{f_n}(x)$  denotes the implicit function given by Hensel's lemma. Then, the  $L$ -function  $L_\rho$  of the universal deformation is given by*

$$L_\rho \doteq \tau(x, y, z_{f_n}(x))$$

in  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}} = O[[x - a, y - b]]$ . In general, under the weaker hypothesis that  $\bar{\rho}$  corresponds to a regular point of  $\bar{f} = 0$ , then  $L_\rho$  is given in a similar way. In any of these cases, the multiplicities of the zeros of  $L_\rho$  are at least two.

**Organization of the paper.** In [Section 2](#) we introduce character varieties, Reidemeister torsion, the notion of multiplicity of a divisor and we prove some preparatory lemmas. In [Section 3](#) we treat the case of the Whitehead link, proving [Theorem 1.1](#), [Theorem 1.5](#) and their corollaries. In [Section 4](#) we study the family of odd twisted Whitehead links. We prove [Proposition 1.7](#) and [Theorem 1.8](#). Then in [Section 5](#) we prove [Theorem 1.10](#) on the  $L$ -functions.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Marco Maculan for very enlightening conversations on the notion of the multiplicity of a divisor. We also thank Raphaël Alexandre, Elisha Falbel, Antonin Guilloux, Michael Heusener, Tomoki Mihara, and Gauthier Ponsinet for useful discussions and references.

L.B. is partially funded by the Research Training Group 2491 “Fourier Analysis and Spectral Theory”, University of Göttingen. A.T. has been supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#708778). J.U. has been partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K14538 respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains preliminary facts on character varieties ([Section 2.1](#)), twisted homology ([Section 2.2](#)), and Reidemeister torsion ([Section 2.3](#)). We prove some technical lemmas on acyclicity and surgeries in [Section 2.4](#). Then we prove [Proposition 1.3](#) in [Section 2.6](#), and we introduce the notion of multiplicity of a divisor in [Section 2.7](#)

**2.1. Character varieties.** Given a finitely generated group  $\Gamma$ , its representation variety  $R(\Gamma)$  is the set of group homomorphisms  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ . The group  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  acts by conjugation on  $R(\Gamma)$ , and the *character variety* of  $\Gamma$  is the algebraic quotient

$$X(\Gamma) = R(\Gamma) // \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}.$$

Two representations  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  are identified in the quotient precisely if  $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\gamma) = \mathrm{Tr} \rho'(\gamma)$  for all  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . In general  $X(\Gamma)$  is an algebraic set: it is defined as the zero locus of a family of polynomials in some  $\mathbb{C}^N$ .

In the following we will be interested mainly in *irreducible* representations  $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ . A representation is irreducible if its image in  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  does not stabilize any proper subset of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The following lemma ensures that this notion is well-defined on the character variety  $X(\Gamma)$ :

**Lemma 2.1.** [7, Lemma 1.2.1] *A representation  $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  is reducible if and only if  $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\gamma \delta \gamma^{-1} \delta^{-1}) = 2$  for all  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ .*

This lemma says a bit more: the set of irreducible characters is Zariski open in  $X(\Gamma)$ . Let us write the character variety  $X(\Gamma) = X^{\mathrm{red}}(\Gamma) \cup X^{\mathrm{irr}}(\Gamma)$ . In the following, we will be only interested in the closure  $\overline{X^{\mathrm{irr}}(\Gamma)}$ . We will abuse notation and say *the* character variety for this subset. Note that characters of irreducible representations now form a Zariski dense open subset.

Given a manifold  $M$  with finitely generated fundamental group  $\pi_1(M)$ , the character variety  $X(\pi_1(M))$  will be simply denoted by  $X(M)$ .

**2.2. Twisted homology.** Given a compact 3-manifold  $M$  with a CW decomposition  $K$  and a representation  $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ , we defined the complex of twisted homology

$$C_*(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(M)]} C_*(\widetilde{M})$$

with right-action on  $\mathbb{C}^2$  through  $\rho$ , and left action on lifts in  $C_*(\widetilde{M})$  of the cells in  $K$  by deck transformation. If one numbers the cells  $\{c_i^j\}_{i=0, \dots, 3, j=1, \dots, n_i}$  with a choice of lifts  $\tilde{c}_i^j$ , and one picks any basis  $v_1, v_2$  of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , then a basis of the vector space  $C_i(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$  is

$$\{v_1 \otimes \tilde{c}_i^1, \dots, v_2 \otimes \tilde{c}_i^{n_i}\}.$$

The boundary map acts by  $\partial(v \otimes \tilde{c}) = v \otimes \partial \tilde{c}$ .

The homology of this complex does not depend on the cell decomposition  $K$ , nor on the choice of lifts. A representation  $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  is said *acyclic* if  $H_*(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) = \{0\}$ .

**2.3. Reidemeister torsion.** In general, given an acyclic complex  $C_*$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces with a basis  $\mathbf{c}_* = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_n\}$  (i.e., the set  $\mathbf{c}_i$  is a basis for the vector space  $C_i$ ), then the Reidemeister torsion is defined as follows.

Consider the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow Z_i \rightarrow C_i \xrightarrow{\partial_i} B_{i-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

One can pick arbitrary bases  $\mathbf{b}_i$  of the vector spaces  $B_i \simeq Z_i$  for each  $i$ , and arbitrary lifts  $\bar{\mathbf{b}}_i$  to  $C_{i+1}$ : it yields new bases  $\mathbf{b}_i \sqcup \bar{\mathbf{b}}_{i-1}$  of  $C_i$ . Now denoting by  $[\mathbf{b}_i \sqcup \bar{\mathbf{b}}_{i-1} : \mathbf{c}_i]$  the determinant of the change of basis matrix, the Reidemeister torsion is defined by the alternating product

$$\mathrm{tor}(C_*, \mathbf{c}_*) = \prod_{\mathbf{i}} [\mathbf{b}_i \sqcup \bar{\mathbf{b}}_{i-1} : \mathbf{c}_i]^{(-1)^i} \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

It does not depend on the choices  $\mathbf{b}_*$ , nor on the lifts  $\bar{\mathbf{b}}_i$ . Note that there is a sign indeterminacy since we did not choose *ordered* bases. We won't be concerned by this indeterminacy in this paper, because we will be interested only in the vanishing of the Reidemeister torsion.

Given a 3-manifold  $M$  with an acyclic representation  $\rho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ , the Reidemeister torsion  $\mathrm{tor}_M(\rho)$  is the Reidemeister torsion of the complex  $C_*(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$  for some cellular decomposition of  $M$ . A deep theorem ([5, 6]) ensures that this does not depend on the choice of a cellular decomposition. It depends only on the conjugacy class of  $\rho$ , and hence yields a function on the character variety  $X(M)$ . For a non-acyclic representation  $\rho$ , we just define  $\mathrm{tor}_M(\rho) = 0$ . Since the torsion of an acyclic complex is a non-zero complex number, these representations are exactly the zeros of the torsion function.



**2.4. Acyclicity.** We prove two technical lemmas.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $N$  be a compact 3-manifold with a knot  $\gamma$  in  $N$ . We denote also by  $\gamma$  its homotopy class in  $\pi_1(N)$ . Let  $\rho: \pi_1(N) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  be a representation such that  $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\gamma) \neq 2$ . Denote by  $M$  the exterior of  $\gamma$  in  $N$ . The representation  $\rho$  extends naturally to a representation  $\varrho: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varrho$  is acyclic if and only if  $\rho$  is acyclic.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $V(\gamma)$  a tubular neighborhood of  $\gamma$  homeomorphic to a solid torus  $D^2 \times S^1$ , and by  $T_\gamma$  the boundary  $\partial V(\gamma)$ . Note that  $\pi_1(V(\gamma))$  is freely generated by  $\gamma$ , and let  $c_\gamma \in \pi_1(T_\gamma)$  any element such that  $\{\gamma, c_\gamma\}$  generates  $\pi_1(T_\gamma)$ . Then the complex  $C_*(V(\gamma), \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$  is

$$C_1(V(\gamma), \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{I - \rho(\gamma)} \mathbb{C}^2 \simeq C_0(V(\gamma), \mathbb{C}_\rho^2),$$

in particular  $H_*(V(\gamma), \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) = \{0\}$  since  $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(\gamma)) \neq 2$ . Now the complex of the boundary torus  $T_\gamma$  looks as follows:

$$C_2(T_\gamma, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_1(T_\gamma, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) \simeq \mathbb{C}^4 \xrightarrow{d_0} C_0(T_\gamma, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$$

where

$$d_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I - \rho(\gamma) \\ I - \rho(c_\gamma) \end{pmatrix}, \quad d_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(c_\gamma) - I & \rho(\gamma) - I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again because  $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\gamma) \neq 2$ , one sees that  $d_1$  is injective and  $d_0$  is onto. Since  $\chi(T_\gamma) = 0$ , one deduces that  $H_*(T_\gamma, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) = \{0\}$  as well.

Now we use the Mayer–Vietoris sequence for the decomposition

$$N = M \cup_{T_\gamma} V(\gamma)$$

and it comes that  $H_*(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) \simeq H_*(N, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$ . The lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a 3 manifold, and  $X(M)$  be the closure of the subset of irreducible characters in its character variety. Then being acyclic is an open property on  $X(M)$*

*Proof.* If  $\chi(M) \neq 0$ , then there are no acyclic representations, and hence one can assume that  $\chi(M) = 0$ . Since irreducible representations form an open dense subset of  $X(M)$ , we shall prove that for  $\varrho$  irreducible acyclic, a neighborhood of  $\varrho$  is acyclic as well. Let  $\rho$  be a representation close enough to  $\varrho$ . Since it is irreducible, by [Lemma 2.1](#) there exists a curve  $\gamma_0$  in  $\pi_1(M)$  such that  $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\gamma_0) \neq 2$ . It is well-known (see [\[3\]](#)) that the space  $H^0(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$  is isomorphic to the space of invariant vectors  $\{v \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \forall \gamma, \rho(\gamma)^{-1}v = v\}$ , which is trivial because  $\rho(\gamma_0)$  has no fixed non-zero vector. By the universal coefficients theorem, the space  $H_0(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$  is then trivial as well. Since  $\chi(M) = 0$ , it follows from Poincaré duality that the representation  $\rho$  is acyclic if and only if  $H_1(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) = \{0\}$ . We claim that the latter is an open condition on  $X(M)$ . To see this, note that the dimension of the kernel of the map  $d_0$  is constant, since  $H_0(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) = \{0\}$ . Hence  $\dim H_1(M, \mathbb{C}_\rho^2)$  is determined by the rank of the matrix  $d_1$ . This matrix varies polynomially with the entries of the representation  $\rho$ , and the rank of this matrix is a lower-semicontinuous function. Hence it cannot decrease around  $\varrho$ , and it proves the last claim.  $\square$

**2.5. Conventions for surgeries.** Let  $M$  be the exterior of a link  $L = K_1 \cup \dots \cup K_s$  in a homology sphere. For each boundary component (homeomorphic to a 2-torus)  $T_i = \partial V(K_i)$ , there is a natural choice of peripheral curves  $m_i, l_i$  which generate  $\pi_1(T_i)$ , such that  $m_i$  is a generator of  $H_1(S^3 \setminus K_i) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  which is trivial in  $H_1(V(K_i))$ , and  $l_i$  is a generator  $H_1(V(K_i))$  trivial in  $H_1(S^3 \setminus K_i)$ . The intersection  $m_i \cdot l_i$  equals one in  $H_1(T_i)$ . This choice is unique up to simultaneous inversion  $(m_i, l_i) \mapsto (-m_i, -l_i)$ .

Fix a components  $K_i$  of  $L$ , and  $p/q$  in  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\}$ , then the  $p/q$  surgery along  $K_i$  is the 3-manifold obtained by gluing a solid torus  $S^1 \times D^2$  to  $M$  by an orientation reversing homeomorphism of  $\partial(S^1 \times D^2) \simeq T_i$  such that the curve  $c = \{*\} \times \partial D^2$  is identified with the curve  $pm + ql$  in  $H_1(T_i)$ .

**2.6. Surgery and non-acyclic representations.** In this subsection, we prove [Proposition 1.3](#).

Take a 3-manifold  $M$ , and an irreducible representation  $\rho: M \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that  $\rho$  factors through  $\pi_1(N)$ , where  $N$  is a Seifert fibered manifold obtained by surgery on  $M$  along a curve  $\gamma$  in a boundary component  $T \subset \partial M$ , such that  $\rho$  is trivial on the generic fiber and non-trivial  $\gamma$ . We prove that  $\rho$  is non-acyclic, that is  $H_*(M, \rho)$  is non-trivial.

To see this, first consider the complex  $C_*(N, \rho)$ , where  $N$  is Seifert fibered. A classical computation of twisted homology (see [13] for instance) shows that  $C_*(N, \rho)$  is acyclic if and only if the image of the fiber is non-trivial. Since  $\rho$  factors through  $\pi_1(N)$  but is not the identity on  $\gamma$ , applying [Lemma 2.2](#) we deduce that  $\rho$  is non-acyclic.

**2.7. Definition of multiplicity.** Here we follow [21, Chapter 3]. Let  $X$  be an irreducible algebraic variety. In this first paragraph, we assume that  $X$  is non-singular in codimension one. Let  $P \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  a regular function on  $X$ . The multiplicity of (the divisor of)  $P$  is defined as follows. The set  $\{P = 0\}$  defines a union of codimension one irreducible subvarieties  $C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_n$ . For each  $i$ , take an affine open subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $C_i \cap U$  is locally defined by the equation  $f_i = 0$ , for some  $f_i \in \mathbb{C}[U]$ . Then the local ring  $O_i$  of  $C_i \cap U$  has  $(f_i)$  as a unique maximal ideal, and Nakayama lemma implies that there exists an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $P \in (f_i^k)$  but  $P \notin (f_i^{k+1})$ .

We define this integer  $k$  to be the *multiplicity* of  $\{P = 0\}$  along the component  $C_i$  in  $X$ . Note that if  $X$  is smooth (which will be the case in this paper, see [Proposition 1.7](#)), then any irreducible divisor in  $X$  corresponds to a discrete valuation on its local ring, and the multiplicity of  $P$  is just its valuation.

It is not obvious from this definition that this does only depend on the local geometry of the divisor of  $P$ , and not on the ambient manifold  $X$  itself. To see this, we refer to [10, Appendix 1] where an alternative definition of the multiplicity of a divisor is given by Serre's intersection formula: if  $X$  and  $Y$  intersect properly (meaning that every irreducible component of  $X \cap Y$  has the right codimension), then for  $W$  an irreducible component of  $X \cap Y$ , we have that the intersection multiplicity of  $X$  and  $Y$  along  $W$  is

$$i(X, Y; W) = \sum_i (-1)^i \mathrm{length}(\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(A/\mathfrak{a}, A/\mathfrak{b})).$$

In the setting we described above,  $W$  is the underlying manifold given locally by  $\{f_i = 0\}$ ,  $A$  is the local ring  $O_i$ , and  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  are the ideals defining  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $A$ .

Note that [10, Appendix 1, Theorem 1.1] shows that the multiplicity is additive, and is equal to one if the varieties  $X$  and  $Y$  intersect transversally. Moreover, in the case we will consider in this paper, we will be in the situation where the Tor part vanishes, hence the intersection multiplicity is just the length of the ideal defining  $X \cap Y$  locally.

We prove that the multiplicity behaves well under restriction to codimension one subvarieties:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $D$  be a divisor in a variety  $X$  (which we assume to have one single underlying irreducible component), with multiplicity  $m_X(D)$ . Let  $Y$  be a hypersurface in  $X$ , such that  $Y$  and  $D$  intersect properly (namely they have no common component). Then*

$$m_Y(D \cap Y) \geq m_X(D).$$



*Proof.* Let  $k = m_X(D)$ , then locally the divisor  $D$  is defined by a polynomial  $P$  in  $(f^k)$ , for some regular function  $f$  in  $\mathbb{C}[U]$  (see the notations above). Assume that  $Y = \{g = 0\}$  in  $U$ , then  $D \cap Y$  is defined by  $\bar{P}$  in  $\mathbb{C}[U]/(g)$ . Since  $P = f^k Q$ , we have  $\bar{P} = \bar{f}^k \bar{Q}$ , and if  $\bar{P} \neq 0$ , this proves that  $m_Y(D \cap Y) \geq k$ . Otherwise,  $\bar{P} = 0$ , and it contradicts the fact that  $Y$  and  $D$  intersect properly.  $\square$

### 3. REIDEMEISTER TORSION OF THE WHITEHEAD LINK

In this section, we describe the character variety of the Whitehead link exterior and its Reidemeister function (Section 3.1 and Section 3.2). We prove there the first part of Theorem 1.1. Then we consider surgeries on the Whitehead link and relate their representation spaces with the vanishing locus of the torsion. In Section 3.3 we prove the second part of Theorem 1.1 and deduce Corollary 1.2. Then in Section 3.4 we prove Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.5.

We follow the computations of [19] for the Whitehead link ( $W = W_1$  in the notations therein).

**3.1. The character variety.** The fundamental group of the exterior  $W$  of the Whitehead link has the presentation

$$\pi_1(S^3 \setminus W) = \langle m, \mu \mid mw = w\mu \rangle$$

where  $w = \mu m \mu^{-1} m^{-1} \mu^{-1} m \mu$ , with  $m$  and  $\mu$  standard meridians of each component (see Fig. 1).




FIGURE 1. A diagram of the Whitehead link, with meridians  $m$  and  $\mu$ .

We rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W) &= \langle m, \mu \mid m\mu m\mu^{-1}m^{-1}\mu^{-1}m\mu = \mu m\mu^{-1}m^{-1}\mu^{-1}m\mu m \rangle \\ (1) \quad &= \langle m, \mu \mid [\mu, m][\mu^{-1}, m][\mu^{-1}, m^{-1}][\mu, m^{-1}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using the coordinate functions  $x = \text{Tr } m, y = \text{Tr } \mu, z = \text{Tr } m\mu$ , the character variety has the equation

$$(2) \quad X(W) = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid (xyz^2 - z(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + xy + 2z)(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 4) = 0\}$$

Both defining polynomials in Eq. (2) are irreducible. The zero locus of the second polynomial is the set of reducible characters. Denoting by  $f = xyz^2 - z(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + xy + 2z$ , the set of irreducible characters is

$$\{f = 0\} \setminus \{\{x = \pm 2, z = \pm y\} \cup \{y = \pm 2, z = \pm x\}\}.$$

**3.2. The Reidemeister torsion.** Evaluating the result of [19, Theorem 1] at  $t_1 = t_2 = 1$ , the Reidemeister torsion is the following regular function

$$(3) \quad \tau(x, y, z) = 2(2 + z - x - y)$$

We compute the zero locus of the torsion in the component  $X = \{x, y, z \mid f(x, y, z) = 0\}$  of  $X(W)$  containing irreducible characters. It proves the first part of Theorem 1.1.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The Reidemeister torsion vanishes with multiplicity two on the line*

$$(4) \quad L = \{x + y - 1 = 0, z = -1\} \subset X.$$

*It describes every irreducible non-acyclic character in  $X(W)$ .*

*Proof.* From Eq. (3) we substitute  $z = x + y - 2$  in the polynomial  $f$ . Then  $f = 0$  becomes

$$(5) \quad (x + y - 1)^2(x - 2)(y - 2) = 0.$$

For if  $x = 2$ ,  $\tau = 0$  becomes  $z = y$  in  $X$ , and similarly,  $y = 2$  implies  $z = x$  in  $X$ , the last two factor consists of reducible characters in  $X \cap \{\tau(x, y, z) = 0\}$ . Hence the set of irreducible, non-acyclic representations on the surface  $X$  is the line  $\{x + y - 1 = 0, z = -1\}$ . The corresponding prime ideal is  $P = (x + y - 1, z + 1)$ , and the local ring  $(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(f, \tau))_P$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/((x + y - 1)^2, z + 1))_P$ .

Now the multiplicity of the torsion is twice the multiplicity of  $P$ , which is one since it is a transverse intersection of two hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .  $\square$

**3.3. The manifold  $M_{(-3, -3)}$ .** First observe that the equation  $z = -1$  for the line  $L$  of non-acyclic representation can be interpreted as follows: since  $z = \text{Tr } m\mu$ , it means that any (class of) representation  $\rho$  in  $L$  satisfies  $\rho(m\mu)^3 = \text{Id}$ . Similarly, using the  $\text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ -trace relation  $\text{Tr } uv + \text{Tr } u^{-1}v = \text{Tr } u \text{Tr } v$  one sees easily that  $-x - y = \text{Tr}(m^2\mu) = -1$  on the line  $L$ , hence the curve  $m^2\mu$  has order 3 as well. In fact,  $(a, b) = (m\mu, m^2\mu)$  is a new generating system for the group  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus W)$ , and we further prove the second part of the statement of Theorem 1.1:

**Proposition 3.2.** *The line  $L$  is realized as the embedding in  $X(W)$  of the character variety  $X(W(-3, -3))$  of the closed manifold  $W(-3, -3)$  obtained by  $(-3, -3)$ -surgery on  $W$ . The fundamental group  $\pi_1(W(-3, -3))$  is isomorphic to the triangle group  $\Gamma(3, 3, \infty) = \langle a, b \mid a^3 = b^3 = 1 \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/3 * \mathbb{Z}/3$ .*

*Proof.* We use the new presentation

$$(6) \quad \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W) = \langle a, b \mid ba^{-3}bab^{-2}a^3b^{-1}a^{-1}b = 1 \rangle$$

obtained by replacing  $(m, \mu)$  by  $(ba^{-1}, ab^{-1}a)$  in the relation of Eq. (1) (compare with [9, (4)]), and as in [9, Remark 1] we have the following peripheral basis for  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus W)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mu' &= a^{-2}b (= \mu^{-1}m^{-1}\mu^{-1}m\mu), & \lambda' &= a^{-2}bab^{-2}ab, \\ m' &= b^{-1}a (= \mu^{-1}m^{-1}\mu), & \ell' &= b^{-1}ab^{-1}aba^{-3}ba. \end{aligned}$$

We start by performing the  $-3$  surgery on the second boundary component: we denote the resulting manifolds by  $W(-3)$ . For this purpose, we add the relation  $m'^3 = \ell'$  to the presentation Eq. (6). It yields

$$\pi_1(W(-3)) = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W) / \langle\langle m'^3 \ell'^{-1} \rangle\rangle = \langle a, b \mid a^3 = b^3 \rangle.$$

Note that the former relator from Eq. (6) becomes trivial there since  $a^3 = b^3$  becomes central. Now adding the relation  $\mu'^3 = \lambda'$  gives

$$\pi_1(W(-3))/\langle\langle\mu'^3\lambda'^{-1}\rangle\rangle \simeq \pi_1(W(-3, -3)) = \langle a, b \mid a^3 = b^3 = 1 \rangle.$$

The character variety  $X(\Gamma(3, 3, \infty))$  is a complex line: it is known that the character variety of the free group  $\langle a, b \rangle$  on two generators is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , generated by the traces  $\text{Tr } a, \text{Tr } b$  and  $\text{Tr } ab$ . In  $X(\Gamma(3, 3, \infty))$  the traces of  $a$  and  $b$  are fixed to  $-1$ , and the trace of  $ab$  varies freely.

The discussion before Proposition 3.2 shows that the traces of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $L$  are precisely  $-1$ , and it proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *The irreducible, non-acyclic representations of the Whitehead link are exactly the irreducible representations that factor through  $\Gamma(3, 3, \infty)$ .*

**Remark 3.4.** The character variety of the manifold  $W(-3)$  has two components containing irreducible representations. Indeed, any irreducible representation of  $\pi_1(W(-3))$  maps the central element  $a^3 = b^3$  to a central element  $\pm I_2$  of  $\text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ . The first component is the line  $L$ , which corresponds to representations factorizing through  $\Gamma(3, 3, \infty)$ . The second component is described by the relations  $\text{Tr } a = \text{Tr } b = 1$ , it yields

$$\{z = 1, x - y - 1 = 0\} \subset X.$$

Finally we prove Corollary 1.2:

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* We already proved that  $X(W(-3, -3))$  is a complex line. Assume that there is an acyclic irreducible representation  $\rho: \pi_1(W(-3, -3)) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ . In other words, the twisted homology  $H_*(W(-3, -3), \mathbb{C}_\rho^2) = \{0\}$ . Now  $\rho$  can be seen as a representation in  $L$ , still denoted by  $\rho: \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ , such that  $\rho(m^3 \ell'^{-1}) = \rho(\mu'^3 \lambda'^{-1}) = \text{Id}$ . Moreover, for all but a finite number of points in  $L$ , the traces of  $\rho(m')$  and  $\rho(\mu')$  (which are respectively equal to  $x$  and  $y$ ) are different from 2. Using Lemma 2.2 twice, one sees that any such representation  $\rho$  induces an acyclic representation  $\varrho: \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2 \mathbb{C}$ . But such a representation shall lie in  $L$ , a contradiction.

Since being acyclic is an open condition (Lemma 2.3), this extends to all representations of  $X(W(-3, -3))$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Twist knots.** Exterior of the twist knots  $J(2, 2n)$  are obtained by surgery  $-1/n$  on one boundary component in the exterior of the Whitehead knot, and are denoted by  $W(-1/n)$ . The resulting character variety is a curve  $X_n$  embedded in the character variety of the Whitehead.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Non-acyclic representations on  $X_n$  are exactly intersection points  $X_n \cap L$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 2.2 implies that irreducible, non-acyclic representations of  $W(-1/n)$  are exactly irreducible, non-acyclic representations of  $W$  which factor through the  $-1/n$ -surgery, ie whose character lies in  $X_n$ , and the lemma follows.  $\square$

Denote by  $v = m^{-1} \mu m$  a different meridian of the second component of the Whitehead link, and  $\lambda = v^{-1} \mu v \mu^{-1}$  the surgered longitude, such that

$$\pi_1(M_{-1/n}) = \langle y, v \mid \lambda^n y = v \lambda^n \rangle$$

is a presentation of the group of the twist knot  $J(2, 2n)$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** *The torsion function vanishes with multiplicity at least 2 on the character variety of  $X(W(-1/n))$ . The zeros correspond to representations which factor through the manifold  $W(-1/n, -3)$  obtained by  $-3$  surgery on  $W(-1/n)$ . Each of those representations factor through to the triangle group  $\Gamma(3, 3, 3n - 1)$  if  $n \geq 1$ , and through  $\Gamma(3, 3, -3n + 1)$  if  $n \leq 1$ . These representations are  $SU(2)$  representations, and they satisfy  $\text{Tr } \rho(\mu) = \text{Tr } \rho(\mu\nu)$ .*

Note that in the coordinates  $\alpha = \text{Tr } \mu = \text{Tr } \nu$ ,  $\beta = \text{Tr } \mu\nu$ , these points lie on the diagonal

$$D = \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid \alpha = \beta\}.$$

*Proof.* The first part of the proposition comes combining [Proposition 3.1](#) and [Lemma 3.5](#): the vanishing multiplicity might be bigger than two if the intersection  $X_n \cap L$  were not transverse. For the second statement, a non-acyclic representation of  $\pi_1(W(-1/n))$  satisfies

$$\rho(a^3) = \rho(b^3) = \text{Id}, \quad \rho(\mu') = \rho(\lambda')^n$$

hence using  $\rho(a^{-2}) = \rho(a)$ ,  $\rho(b^{-2}) = \rho(b)$ , the second relation becomes

$$\rho(ab) = \rho(ab)^{3n}.$$

Such a representation takes indeed value in  $SU(2)$ , since its image is generated by two elliptic elements with elliptic product.

Finally,  $\text{Tr } \rho(y) = \text{Tr } \rho(y\nu)$  implies  $D = xyz - y^2 - z^2 - x + 2 = 0$ . Using `elimination_ideal` in SageMath, we can eliminate the variable  $z$  in the intersection  $P \cap D$ , it yields

$$(X + Y - 1)(X - Y - 1)(X - 2)X.$$

In particular, the line  $L = 0$  corresponds to the first factor. It proves that representations of the line  $L$  factorizing through twist knots lie satisfy  $\text{Tr } \rho(\mu) = \text{Tr } \rho(\mu\nu)$ , and the proposition is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. TWISTED WHITEHEAD LINKS

We now consider the family of odd twisted Whitehead links. We prove that the character variety is smooth in [Section 4.1](#). In [Section 4.2](#) we compute the vanishing multiplicity of the Reidemeister torsion on the character variety of twisted Whitehead link, and prove [Theorem 1.8](#). Then in [Section 4.3](#) we prove [Corollary 1.9](#).

Denote by  $W_k$  the  $k$ -twisted Whitehead link, and by  $M_{W_k}$  its exterior in the 3-sphere. These links, their character varieties, and Reidemeister torsion were studied in detail in [\[19\]](#).

A presentation of the fundamental group  $M_{W_k}$  is

$$\pi_1(M_{W_k}) = \langle m, \mu \mid m\omega = \omega m \rangle$$

where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} (\mu m \mu^{-1} m^{-1})^n m (m^{-1} \mu^{-1} m \mu)^n & \text{if } k = 2n - 1 \\ (\mu m \mu^{-1} m^{-1})^n \mu m \mu (m^{-1} \mu^{-1} m \mu)^n & \text{if } k = 2n \end{cases}$$

and  $m, \mu$  are meridians of the two components of  $W_k$ , see [Fig. 2](#).

Define the Chebychev polynomials of the second kind by

$$(7) \quad S_0(v) = 1, \quad S_1(v) = v, \quad S_{k+2}(v) = vS_{k+1}(v) - S_k(v), \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.$$

We start with a simple lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Write  $v = w + w^{-1}$ , then  $S_k(v) = \frac{w^{k+1} - w^{-k-1}}{w - w^{-1}}$ . In particular, the roots of  $S_k$  are  $v = 2 \cos(l\pi/(k+1))$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$*




FIGURE 2. A diagram of twisted Whitehead links, with meridians  $m$  and  $\mu$ . For  $k = 0$  it is the torus link  $T(2, 4)$ , for  $k = 1$  it is the Whitehead link.

In the sequel, we assume  $n \geq 2$ , since the case  $n = 1$  is the Whitehead link, which we already studied.

Let  $v = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2$ . By [19], the geometric component of the twisted Whitehead link  $W_{2n-1}$  is defined by the polynomial

$$f_n = xyS_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S_{n-2}(v) - zS_n(v).$$

The  $n - 1$  other components are given by  $S_{n-1}(v) = 0$ .

**4.1. Smoothness.** In this subsection we prove **Proposition 1.7**: that the character variety of the odd twisted Whitehead link is smooth.

**4.1.1. The geometric component.** We consider the system

$$\begin{cases} f = xyS_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S_{n-2}(v) - zS_n(v) = 0 \\ f_x = (xyS'_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2x - yz) + y(S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0 \\ f_y = (xyS'_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2y - xz) + x(S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0 \\ f_z = (xyS'_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2z - xy) - (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $f = f_n$  is the defining polynomial of the geometric component of the character variety,  $f_x, f_y, f_z$  stand for the partial derivatives of  $f$ , and  $S'_k(v)$  is the derivative of  $S_k$  in the variable  $v$ .

We show that this system has no solution. The proof splits into a disjunction of several cases.

First remark the following:

$$(8) \quad S_n(v) = S_{n-1}(v) = S_{n-2}(v)$$

cannot occur. Otherwise, inserting this in **Eq. (7)** yields

$$(2 - v)S_{n-1}(v) = 0.$$

One easily sees that  $S_k(2) = k + 1$ , hence  $v = 2$  contradicts **Eq. (8)**. So  $S_n(v) = S_{n-1}(v) = S_{n-2}(v) = 0$ . **Lemma 4.1** implies that this is impossible: there is no root of unity of simultaneous order  $n, n - 1$  and  $n - 2$ .

**Case 1:**  $x^2 - y^2 \neq 0$ . Then from  $xf_x = yf_y = 0$ , one obtains

$$xyS'_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v) = 0 \text{ and } S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = 0,$$

since  $x(2x - yz) - y(2y - xz) = 2(x^2 - y^2) \neq 0$ . Now inserting the first equality, one can simplify  $f_z = 0$  into  $(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0$ , together with the second equality  $S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = 0$  we obtain (8), which is impossible.

**Case 2:**  $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ . The system becomes

$$\begin{cases} z(S_{n-2}(v) - S_n(v)) = 0 \\ x = 0 \\ y = 0 \\ 2z^2(S'_{n-2}(v) - S'_n(v)) - (S_{n-2}(v) - S_n(v)) = 0 \end{cases}$$

If  $z = 0$ , then  $v = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 = -2$ . Note that  $S_n(-2) = (-1)^n(n+1)$  for any  $n$ , hence  $S_n(-2) - S_{n-2}(-2) \neq 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $S_{n-2}(v) - S_n(v)$  which then implies  $S'_{n-2}(v) - S'_n(v) = 0$ . This is prohibited by the fact that the polynomial  $S_n - S_{n-2}$  is separable, see Lemma 4.2.

**Case 3:**  $x = y \neq 0$ . From  $f_x = 0$  we deduce

$$(x^2S'_{n-1}(v) - (x^2 - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2 - z) + (S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0.$$

From  $f = 0$  we have  $S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2$ . Hence

$$(x^2S'_{n-1}(v) - (x^2 - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2 - z) + z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2 = 0.$$

From  $f_z = 0$  we have  $(x^2S'_{n-1}(v) - (x^2 - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2z - x^2) - (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0$ . This implies that

$$(x^2S'_{n-1}(v) - (x^2 - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))((2 - z) + (2z - x^2)z/x^2) = 0.$$

If  $x^2S'_{n-1}(v) - (x^2 - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v) = 0$ , then  $S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = 0$ . This implies that  $S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2 = 0$ . But the equations  $S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = 0$  and  $S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = 0$  cannot occur simultaneously.

Hence we have  $(2 - z) + (2z - x^2)z/x^2 = 0$  (i.e.  $z^2 - x^2z + x^2 = 0$ ), and then

$$\begin{aligned} (x^2S'_{n-1}(v) - (x^2 - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2z - x^2) - (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) &= 0, \\ S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) &= z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $v = 2x^2 + z^2 - x^2z - 2 = x^2 - 2$ . Moreover  $S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v) \neq 0$ . (Otherwise,  $S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2 = 0$ , same contradiction again.)

Since  $x \neq 0$ , we have  $v \neq -2$ . If  $v = 2$ , then from  $S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2$  we have  $z = x^2/2 = 2$ . This implies that  $(x^2S'_{n-1}(v) - (x^2 - z)S'_{n-2}(v) - zS'_n(v))(2z - x^2) - (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0 - 2 \neq 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $v \neq \pm 2$ .

Write  $x = a + a^{-1}$ . Then  $v = x^2 - 2 = a^2 + a^{-2}$ . Note that  $a^2 \neq \pm 1$ . Since  $S_k(v) = \frac{a^{2k+2} - a^{-2k-2}}{a^2 - a^{-2}}$ , we have

$$z = x^2 \frac{S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)}{S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)} = x^2 \frac{a^{4n} + a^2}{(a^2 + 1)(a^{4n} + 1)} = x \frac{a^{4n-1} + a}{a^{4n} + 1}.$$



Then

$$\begin{aligned}
z^2 - x^2z + x^2 &= x^2 \left( \frac{(a^{4n-1} + a)^2}{(a^{4n} + 1)^2} - (a + a^{-1}) \frac{a^{4n-1} + a}{a^{4n} + 1} + 1 \right) \\
&= x^2 \left( \frac{a^{4n-1} + a}{a^{4n} + 1} - a^{-1} \right) \left( \frac{a^{4n-1} + a}{a^{4n} + 1} - a \right) \\
&= -x^2 \frac{a - a^{-1}}{a^{4n} + 1} \frac{(a - a^{-1})a^{4n}}{a^{4n} + 1} \\
&\neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.

**Case 4:**  $x = -y \neq 0$ . From  $f_x = 0$  we have

$$(-x^2 S'_{n-1}(v) + (x^2 + z) S'_{n-2}(v) - z S'_n(v))(2 + z) - (S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0.$$

From  $f = 0$  we have  $S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = -z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2$ . Hence

$$(-x^2 S'_{n-1}(v) + (x^2 + z) S'_{n-2}(v) - z S'_n(v))(2 + z) + z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2 = 0.$$

From  $f_z = 0$  we have  $(-x^2 S'_{n-1}(v) + (x^2 + z) S'_{n-2}(v) - z S'_n(v))(2z + x^2) - (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) = 0$ . This implies that

$$(-x^2 S'_{n-1}(v) + (x^2 + z) S'_{n-2}(v) - z S'_n(v)) ((2 + z) + (2z + x^2)z/x^2) = 0.$$

By the same argument as in Case 3, we have  $-x^2 S'_{n-1}(v) + (x^2 + z) S'_{n-2}(v) - z S'_n(v) \neq 0$ . Then  $(2 + z) + (2z + x^2)z/x^2 = 0$ , i.e.  $z^2 + x^2z + x^2 = 0$ .

We have  $v = 2x^2 + z^2 + x^2z - 2 = x^2 - 2$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
(-x^2 S'_{n-1}(v) + (x^2 + z) S'_{n-2}(v) - z S'_n(v))(2z + x^2) - (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) &= 0, \\
S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) &= -z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v))/x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in Case 3,  $v \neq \pm 2$ . Write  $x = a + a^{-1}$ . Then  $v = a^2 + a^{-2}$  and  $z = -x^2 \frac{S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)}{S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)} = -x \frac{a^{4n-1} + a}{a^{4n} + 1}$ . This implies that

$$z^2 + x^2z + x^2 = x^2 \left( \frac{(a^{4n-1} + a)^2}{(a^{4n} + 1)^2} - (a + a^{-1}) \frac{a^{4n-1} + a}{a^{4n} + 1} + 1 \right) \neq 0.$$

Hence the system  $f = f_x = f_y = f_z = 0$  has no solutions.

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $n \geq 2$ , the polynomial  $S_n - S_{n-2}$  has  $n$  distinct roots.*

*Proof.* When  $n \geq 2$ , by Lemma 4.1,  $S_n - S_{n-2}$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $v$ .

Assume  $v = 2 \cos \frac{(2l+1)\pi}{2n}$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq n-1$ , then since

$$S_k(v) = \frac{\sin \frac{(k+1)(2l+1)\pi}{2n}}{\sin \frac{(2l+1)\pi}{2n}}$$

we have

$$S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = \frac{\sin \frac{(n+1)(2l+1)\pi}{2n} - \sin \frac{(n-1)(2l+1)\pi}{2n}}{\sin \frac{(2l+1)\pi}{2n}} = 0.$$

Hence the polynomial

$$S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v) = \prod_{l=0}^{n-1} \left( v - 2 \cos \frac{(2l+1)\pi}{2n} \right)$$

is separable. □

4.1.2. *The other components.* There are  $n - 1$  other components, given by  $S_{n-1}(v) = 0$ . By [Lemma 4.1](#) this is equivalent to  $v = 2 \cos(l\pi/(n))$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq n - 1$ .

Hence we consider the system

$$\begin{cases} v = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 = \cos(l\pi/(n)) \\ 2x - yz = 0 \\ 2y - xz = 0 \\ 2z - xy = 0 \end{cases}$$

A straightforward computation shows that it has no solutions.

4.2. **Vanishing multiplicity.** Now we compute the vanishing multiplicity of the torsion. Let  $P_k(v) = (S_{k+1}(v) - S_k(v) - 1)/(v - 2)$ . The Reidemeister torsion is

$$\tau_n = (2 - x - y + z)S_{n-1}(v) + (4 - 2x - 2y + xy)P_{n-2}(v)$$

(see [\[19, Corollary 2\]](#)). The divisor of the Reidemeister torsion  $\tau_n$  on the character variety of odd twisted Whitehead links is given by the ideal

$$(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - v, \quad f_n S_{n-1}(v), \quad \tau_n),$$

where  $f_n$  and  $\tau_n$  are regarded as elements of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, v]$ .

4.2.1. *The case  $S_{n-1}(v) = 0$ .* In this subsection we first consider the ideal

$$(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - v, \quad S_{n-1}(v), \quad \tau_n).$$

Using [Lemma 4.1](#) we rewrite

$$S_{n-1}(v) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (v - 2 \cos(k\pi/n))$$

It corresponds to non-geometric components of the character variety of twisted Whitehead links  $W_{2n-1}$ . We prove that the torsion vanishes there with multiplicity one.

The non-geometric components of the character variety  $X(M_{W_{2n-1}})$  are indexed by integers  $1 \leq l \leq |n| - 1$ . For each such  $l$ , there is an algebraic surface of characters corresponding to representations that send the commutator  $[m, \mu]$  on a non-trivial elliptic element of order dividing  $2n$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *The torsion vanishes on the whole component when  $k$  is even, and on a line in this component when  $k$  is odd. The vanishing multiplicity is one.*

Since  $P_{n-2}(v) = (S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) - 1)/(v - 2)$  and

$$S_{n-2}(v) = \frac{\sin \frac{k(n-1)\pi}{n}}{\sin \frac{k\pi}{n}} = (-1)^{k-1},$$

we have  $P_{n-2}(v) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2-v}$ . Note that, after localization if needed,  $(2 - v)$  is invertible since we exclude the component of reducible representations.

*Proof of Proposition 4.3.* The proof divides into two cases depending on whether  $k$  is even or odd.

**If  $k$  is even,** then  $\tau_n$  becomes trivial and the ideal is presented by  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - v$  and  $v - 2 \cos(k\pi/n)$ . Hence any representation on the component  $v = 2 \cos(k\pi/n)$ , where  $k$  is even, is non-acyclic.

If  $k$  is odd, then  $P_{n-2}(v) \neq 0$  and so  $\tau_n$  is equivalent to  $(2-x)(2-y)$ . If  $x = 2$ , then the equation  $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - v = 0$  becomes  $(y-z)^2 = v-2 = -4\sin^2(\frac{k\pi}{2n})$ , which is equivalent to  $y-z = \pm 2\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2n})\sqrt{-1}$ .

Hence a representation on the component  $v = 2\cos(k\pi/n)$ , where  $k$  is odd, is non-acyclic iff it belongs to one of the lines

$$\{x = 2, y - z = \pm 2\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2n})\sqrt{-1}, v = 2\cos(k\pi/n)\},$$

$$\{y = 2, x - z = \pm 2\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2n})\sqrt{-1}, v = 2\cos(k\pi/n)\}.$$

Any non-acyclic representation on the component  $v = 2\cos(k\pi/n)$ , where  $k$  is even, has multiplicity 1.  $\square$

4.2.2. *The case  $S_{n-1}(v) \neq 0$ : the geometric component.* We now consider the case

$$f_n = 0$$

(the geometric component) and  $S_{n-1}(v) \neq 0$ . We prove that the torsion vanishes with multiplicity 2 on this component. We consider the ideal generated by the polynomials

$$x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - v,$$

$$f_n = xyS_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S_{n-2}(v) - zS_n(v),$$

$$\tau_n = (2 - x - y + z)S_{n-1}(v) + (4 - 2x - 2y + xy)P_{n-2}(v)$$

in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, v]$ .

For this, we work in the localization of  $\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, v]$  along any prime ideal underlying the primary decomposition of this ideal. In particular, one can check that the polynomials  $S_{n-1}(v)$ ,  $v - 2$ ,  $T_n(v) - 2$ ,  $2T_n(v) - v + 2$  appearing in the following computation are invertible.

Let  $\doteq$  denote the equality up to multiplication by units in the localized ring.

First, we have

$$\tau_n \doteq (x + y - 2) \left( 1 + \frac{2P_{n-2}(v)}{S_{n-1}(v)} \right) - xy \frac{P_{n-2}(v)}{S_{n-1}(v)} - z.$$

Hence, modulo  $\tau_n(x, y, z, v)$  in the local ring, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= xy(S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) - z(S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) \\ &= xy(S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) - \left( (x + y - 2) \left( 1 + \frac{2P_{n-2}(v)}{S_{n-1}(v)} \right) - xy \frac{P_{n-2}(v)}{S_{n-1}(v)} \right) (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) \\ &= xy \left( S_{n-1}(v) - S_{n-2}(v) + \frac{P_{n-2}(v)}{S_{n-1}(v)} (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)) \right) \\ &\quad - (x + y - 2) \left( 1 + \frac{2P_{n-2}(v)}{S_{n-1}(v)} \right) (S_n(v) - S_{n-2}(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Write  $v = a + a^{-1}$ . Then  $S_k(v) = (a^{k+1} - a^{-k-1})/(a - a^{-1})$ . By a direct calculation, we further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= (2a^n + 2a^{-n} - a - a^{-1} + 2)xy - (a^n + a^{-n})(2 + a + a^{-1})(x + y - 2) \\ &\doteq xy - \frac{(a^n + a^{-n})(2 + a + a^{-1})}{2a^n + 2a^{-n} - a - a^{-1} + 2}(x + y - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, modulo this element, we have

$$\tau_n(x, y, z, v) \doteq \frac{a^n + a^{-n} + a^{n-1} + a^{1-n}}{2a^n + 2a^{-n} - a - a^{-1} + 2}(x + y - 2).$$

Therefore, modulo these elements, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - v \\ &= (x + y)^2 + z^2 - 2 - v - xy(z + 2) \\ &= x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2 - v \\ &= (x + y)^2 + \left( \frac{a^n + a^{-n} + a^{n-1} + a^{1-n}}{2a^n + 2a^{-n} - a - a^{-1} + 2} \right)^2 (x + y - 2)^2 - 2 - (a + a^{-1}) \\ &= -\frac{(a^n + a^{-n})(2 + a + a^{-1})}{2a^n + 2a^{-n} - a - a^{-1} + 2}(x + y - 2) \left( \frac{a^n + a^{-n} + a^{n-1} + a^{1-n}}{2a^n + 2a^{-n} - a - a^{-1} + 2}(x + y - 2) + 2 \right) \\ &= (a + a^{-1} - 2) \left( x + y - \frac{a + a^{-1} + 2}{a^n + a^{-n} + 2} \right)^2 \\ &= (v - 2) \left( x + y - \frac{v + 2}{T_n(v) + 2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $T_k(v)$ 's are the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind defined by  $T_0(v) = 2$ ,  $T_1(v) = v$  and  $T_k(v) = vT_{k-1}(v) - T_{k-2}(v)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Note that  $T_k(a + a^{-1}) = a^k + a^{-k}$ .

Note that  $v - 2 = 0$  corresponds to reducible representations. We have shown that non-acyclic representations on the geometric component (and not on other components  $v = 2 \cos(k\pi/n)$ ) are given by an ideal generated by the following 3 polynomials in 4 variables  $x, y, z, v$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & z - \frac{T_n(v) + T_{n-1}(v)}{2T_n(v) - v + 2}(x + y - 2), \\ & xy - \frac{T_n(v)(2 + v)}{2T_n(v) - v + 2}(x + y - 2), \\ & \left( x + y - \frac{v + 2}{T_n(v) + 2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

So we have expressed the subvariety  $(f_n, \tau_n)$  of non-acyclic representations on the geometric component of  $X(M_{W_{2n-1}})$  as the intersection  $(g, h^2)$ , for two polynomials  $g, h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, v]_{(f_n, \tau_n)}$ , given by

$$g = \frac{T_n(v)(2 + v)}{2T_n(v) - v + 2}(x + y - 2) - xy, \quad h = x + y - \frac{v + 2}{T_n(v) + 2}.$$

Since the multiplicity is additive [10, Appendix A, Theorem 1.1], the multiplicity of the ideal  $(g, h^2)$  along any irreducible component is twice the multiplicity of  $(g, h)$ . Hence we are led to show that the latter is one. To this purpose, we show that the intersection  $X_g \cap X_h$  is generically transverse.

We compute the Jacobian matrix  $\mathcal{J}(g, h)$ , note that  $T'_n(v) = nS_{n-1}(v)$ . After multiplying by some polynomial invertible in the local ring  $(\mathbb{C}[x, y, v]/(g, h))_{(g, h)}$ , we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}(g, h) = \begin{pmatrix} (2T_n(v) - v + 2)y - T_n(v)(2 + v) & T_n(v) + 2 \\ (2T_n(v) - v + 2)x - T_n(v)(2 + v) & T_n(v) + 2 \\ \partial_v g & \partial_v h \end{pmatrix}$$

and the first  $2 \times 2$  minor is  $M = (T_n(v) + 2)(x - y)(2T_n(v) - v + 2)$ . One can check that this is non-zero apart on a strict subvariety of  $X_g \cap X_h$ , and this proves that the intersection  $X_g \cap X_h$  is generically transverse.

Hence the torsion vanishes on the geometric component of the twisted Whitehead link with multiplicity two.

**4.3. Double twist knots  $J(2m, 2n)$ .** In this section we consider  $(-1/m)$ -surgery on the twisted Whitehead link  $W_{2n-1}$ . The resulting knots are the genus one two-bridge knot  $J(2m, 2n)$ . We deduce from [Theorem 1.8](#) that the torsion vanishes with multiplicity at least two on their character variety, proving [Corollary 1.9](#).

Suppose  $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W_{2n-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is a nonabelian representation of the form

$$\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 & 1 \\ 0 & s_1^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} s_2 & 0 \\ u & s_2^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$(xyS_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S_{n-2}(v) - zS_n(v))S_{n-1}(v) = 0.$$

Here  $x = s_1 + s_1^{-1}$ ,  $y = s_2 + s_2^{-1}$ ,  $z = s_1s_2 + s_1^{-1}s_2^{-1} + u$  and  $v = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - xyz - 2$  are the traces of the images of  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $ab$  and  $bab^{-1}a^{-1}$  respectively.

We first claim that if  $\rho$  satisfies  $S_{n-1}(v) = 0$ , then it cannot be extended to a representation  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus J(2m, 2n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Indeed, let  $\lambda_a$  be the canonical longitude corresponding to the meridian  $a$  of  $W_{2n-1}$ . By [\[24\]](#) we have

$$\rho(\lambda_a) = \begin{bmatrix} l_a & * \\ 0 & l_a^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$(9) \quad l_a = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } S_{n-1}(v) = 0, \\ \frac{s_1y-z}{-s_1^{-1}y+z} & \text{if } xyS_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S_{n-2}(v) - zS_n(v) = 0 \text{ and } S_{n-1}(v) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(10) \quad * = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S_{n-1}(v) = 0, \\ \frac{y(xy-2z)}{xyz-y^2-z^2} & \text{if } xyS_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S_{n-2}(v) - zS_n(v) = 0 \text{ and } S_{n-1}(v) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that there is a small error in [\[24\]](#) : the canonical longitude in [\[24\]](#) is actually the inverse of the canonical longitude.

If  $S_{n-1}(v) = 0$ , then  $l_a = 1$  and  $* = 0$  by [\(9\)](#) and [\(10\)](#) respectively. This means that  $\rho(\lambda_a) = \mathrm{Id}$ . Since  $\rho(a) \neq \mathrm{Id}$ , we obtain  $\rho(a\lambda_a^{-m}) \neq \mathrm{Id}$ , which means that  $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W_{2n-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  on the components  $v = 2 \cos(k\pi/n)$  cannot be extended to a representation  $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus J(2m, 2n)) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

Since any non-acyclic representation  $\rho : \pi_1(S^3 \setminus W_{2n-1}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  satisfying  $xyS_{n-1}(v) - (xy - z)S_{n-2}(v) - zS_n(v) = 0$  and  $S_{n-1}(v) \neq 0$  has multiplicity 2, it proves the corollary.

Note that  $\mathrm{Tr} \rho(\lambda_a) \neq 2$ , since  $l_a \neq 1$ . (If  $l_a = 1$  then  $\frac{s_1y-z}{-s_1^{-1}y+z} = 1$ , which means that  $xy - 2z = 0$ . So  $* = 0$  and  $\rho(a) \neq \mathrm{Id}$ , a contradiction.)

## 5. $L$ -FUNCTIONS OF UNIVERSAL DEFORMATIONS

In this section, we pursue the study of the  $L$ -functions of universal deformations, raised in a viewpoint of number theory. The main goal is to interpret the multiplicity two phenomenon to the  $L$ -functions of the odd twisted Whitehead links  $W_{2n-1}$ .

**5.1. Multiplicity two.** First we show the following paraphrasing for “multiplicity at least two”.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ , assume that  $f$  is irreducible, and let  $(a, b, c)$  be a common zero of  $f$  and  $g$ . Assume  $\partial_z f(a, b, c) \neq 0$  and let  $z_f$  denote the implicit function of  $f = 0$  at  $(a, b, c)$ . If the point  $(a, b, c)$  is a common zero of the divisors  $(f)$  and  $(g)$  with multiplicity two, then the point  $(a, b)$  is a zero of  $g(x, y, z_f(x, y))$  with at least multiplicity two in that sense that the 2nd Taylor polynomial at  $(a, b)$  vanishes.*

*Proof.* Consider the divisor given by  $(f, g)$  in the variety  $\{f = 0\}$ . Since this divisor has multiplicity two, locally  $g$  can be written as a square mod  $f$ . In particular its second Taylor polynomial vanishes on  $\{f = g = 0\}$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Universal deformations.** Let  $\pi$  be the group of  $W_{2n-1}$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any finite field with characteristic  $p > 2$  and  $O$  a CDVR with  $O/\mathfrak{m}_O = \mathbb{F}$ . Then the conjugacy classes of absolutely irreducible  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$ -representations correspond to points of a component of the character variety  $\bar{f}_n(x, y, z) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}^3$ . (This assertion holds by the fact that the Brauer group of a finite field is trivial and [15, Proposition 3.4].)

Let  $\bar{\rho} : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$  be at a zero  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \in \mathbb{F}^3$  of  $\bar{f}_n$ , let  $(a, b) \in O^2$  be a lift of  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$ . Suppose in addition that  $\partial_z f_n(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}) \neq 0$ . Then, Hensel’s lemma for multivariable functions yields the implicit function  $z_{f_n}(x, y)$  of  $f_n(x, y, z) = 0$  around  $(a, b)$  and a natural map  $O[x, y, z] \mapsto O[[x - a, y - b]]; z \mapsto z_{f_n}(x, y)$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *There is a universal deformation  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}}$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  over  $O$  with  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}} = O[[x - a, y - b]]$ .*

*Proof.* The image  $\rho^R$  of so-called Riley’s representation via Hensel’s map is a representation over a quadratic extension of  $O[[x - a, y - b]]$  such that every deformation of  $\bar{\rho}$  over  $O$  factors through it. Since the image of  $\mathrm{tr} \rho^R$  is in  $O[[x - a, y - b]]$ , Nyssen and Carayol’s theorems ([20, Theorem 1], [4, Theorem 1]) assures that  $\rho^R$  is strictly equivalent to a representation  $\rho$  over  $O[[x - a, y - b]]$ . By [18, Corollary 3.2.3], the universal ring is  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}} = O[[x - a, y - b]]$  and this  $\rho$  is a universal deformation of  $\bar{\rho}$  over  $O$ .  $\square$

Another construction of  $\rho$  may be given in a similar way to [18], [14], or [22] using the tautological representation introduced in [2].

**5.3. L-functions.** We start with the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $\bar{\rho} : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$  an absolutely irreducible representation in the geometric component. The universal representation  $\rho : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathcal{R}$  is rationally acyclic, that is the  $\mathcal{R}$ -modules  $H_i(\pi, \rho)$  are torsion modules.*

Note that the homology  $H_i(\pi, \rho)$  is naturally isomorphic to the cellular homology  $H_i(W_{2n-1}, \rho)$ , in this section we use the former notation.

*Proof.* Assume that there is a free factor isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}^k$  in  $H_i(\pi, \rho)$ , for some  $k > 0$ . This yields a free factor in the  $\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R}$ -vector space  $H_i(\pi, \rho \otimes \mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R})$ , where  $\rho \otimes \mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R}$  is the same representation, but with coefficients seen in  $\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R}$ .

Now consider the  $\mathbb{F}$ -character variety (precisely the geometric component). It contains the set of non-acyclic representations as a strict algebraic subset. Take any acyclic representation  $\bar{\rho}' : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$ , and consider its universal deformation  $(\rho', \mathcal{R}')$ . Note that the fraction fields  $\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R}'$  are isomorphic (both  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}'$  are power series in two variables over  $O$ ), and that  $\rho \otimes \mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R}$  and  $\rho \otimes \mathrm{Frac} \mathcal{R}'$  are then conjugate representations. Hence  $\rho'$  is non-acyclic. Tensoring by  $\mathbb{F}$  and applying the universal coefficients theorem, we deduce that  $\bar{\rho}'$  is non-acyclic, a contradiction.  $\square$



Following [14], we define the  $L$ -function  $L_\rho$  of the universal deformation  $\rho$  of  $\bar{\rho}$  over  $O$  to be the order of the 1st twisted homology group  $H_1(\pi, \rho)$ . We may easily verify that the 0th twisted homology  $H_0(\pi, \rho)$  is trivial. By the standard exact sequence of the Fox derivative, we have  $L_\rho \doteq \tau_n(x, y, z_{f_n}(x, y)) \neq 0$  in  $O[[x - a, y - b]]$ , where  $\doteq$  denotes the equality up to multiplication by units. In this case, since  $L_\rho \bmod \mathfrak{m}_{\mathcal{R}} \doteq \bar{\tau}_n$  in  $\mathbb{F}[[x - \bar{a}, y - \bar{b}]]$ , we have  $L_\rho \neq 1$  iff  $\bar{\rho}$  is non-acyclic, that is,  $\bar{\rho}$  lies in the intersection of  $\bar{\tau}_n = 0$  and  $\bar{f}_n = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}^3$ .

Note that the Taylor expansion of  $\tau_n(x, y, z_f(x, y))$  is defined by the formal derivatives, and hence the multiplicities of zeros of the  $L$ -function  $L_\rho$  as a formal series coincide with those in Lemma 5.1. Thus, Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 1.8 on the multiplicities of common zeros of the character variety  $f_n$  and the torsion function  $\tau_n$  yield the following.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\bar{\rho} : \pi \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$  be an absolutely irreducible representation of the group of the twisted Whitehead link  $W_{2n-1}$  at  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c})$  in  $\mathbb{F}^3$  on the geometric component  $f_n = 0$  of the character variety and suppose that  $\bar{\rho}$  is non-acyclic. Let  $(a, b)$  a lift of  $(\bar{a}, \bar{b})$  in  $O^2$ . Suppose that  $\partial_z f_n \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}$  at  $\bar{\rho}$ , and let  $z_{f_n}(x)$  denotes the implicit function given by Hensel's lemma. Then, the  $L$ -function  $L_\rho$  of the universal deformation is given by*

$$L_\rho \doteq \tau(x, y, z_{f_n}(x))$$

in  $\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}} = O[[x - a, y - b]]$ . In general, under the weaker hypothesis that  $\bar{\rho}$  corresponds to a regular point of  $\bar{f} = 0$ , then  $L_\rho$  is given in a similar way. In any of these cases, the multiplicities of the zeros of  $L_\rho$  are at least two.

Note that despite  $f_n$  being smooth, there is no reason why  $\bar{f}_n$  should be smooth after reduction along any prime. Nevertheless, this is true for almost any prime. The primes where the character variety  $\bar{f}_n$  is not smooth should be of particular interest.

Also note that, by the definition of multiplicity, the result is independent of the choice of coordinate.

If there is surjective homomorphism  $\pi \twoheadrightarrow \pi_K$  to a knot group and  $\bar{\rho} : \pi_K \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{F}$  is an absolutely irreducible non-acyclic representation, then the above theorem yields a similar assertion on the multiplicity of  $L_\rho$  of  $K$ , recovering the result on twist knots [23, Theorem E] to some extent. A numerical study of explicit presentations of  $L_\rho$ , such as in [23, Section 5], would be of further interest.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kenneth L. Baker and Kathleen L. Petersen, *Character varieties of once-punctured torus bundles with tunnel number one*, Int. J. Math. **24** (2013), no. 6, 57 (English), Id/No 1350048.
- [2] Leo Benard, *Torsion function on character varieties*, Osaka J. Math. **58** (2021), no. 2, 291–318. MR 4301316
- [3] Kenneth S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups.*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 87. New York-Heidelberg-Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1982, p. 306.
- [4] Henri Carayol, *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet,  $p$ -adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture* (Boston, MA, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 165, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 213–237. MR 1279611 (95i:11059)
- [5] Thomas A Chapman, *Topological invariance of whitehead torsion*, American Journal of Mathematics **96** (1974), no. 3, 488–497.
- [6] Marshall M Cohen, *A course in simple-homotopy theory*, vol. 10, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [7] Marc Culler and Peter B. Shalen, *Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 1, 109–146. MR 683804
- [8] Georges deRham, *Introduction aux polynômes d'un nœud.*, Enseign. Math. (2) **13** (1967), 187–194 (French).

- [9] Antonin Guilloux and Pierre Will, *On  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -representations of the Whitehead link group*, *Geom. Dedicata* **202** (2019), 81–101. MR 4001809
- [10] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. MR 0463157
- [11] Michael Heusener, Vicente Munoz, and Joan Porti, *The  $SL(3, \mathbb{C})$ -character variety of the figure eight knot*, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **60** (2016), no. 1, 55–98.
- [12] Michael Heusener and Joan Porti, *Representations of knot groups into  $SL_n(\mathbb{C})$  and twisted Alexander polynomials.*, *Pac. J. Math.* **277** (2015), no. 2, 313–354 (English).
- [13] Teruaki Kitano, *Reidemeister Torsion of Seifert Fibered Spaces for  $SL(2; \mathbb{C})$ -Representations*, *Tokyo J. Math.* **17** (1994), no. 1, 59–75.
- [14] Takahiro Kitayama, Masanori Morishita, Ryoto Tange, and Yuji Terashima, *On certain  $L$ -functions for deformations of knot group representations*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **370** (2018), 3171–3195.
- [15] Julien Marché, *Character varieties in  $SL_2$  and Kauffman Skein algebras*, *Topology, Geometry and Algebra of low-dimensional manifolds*, RIMS Kôkyûroku,, no. 1991, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 4 2916, pp. 27–42.
- [16] Bruno Martelli and Carlo Petronio, *Dehn filling of the “magic” 3-manifold*, *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **14** (2006), no. 5, 969–1026. MR 2287152
- [17] Barry Mazur, *The theme of  $p$ -adic variation*, *Mathematics: frontiers and perspectives*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 433–459. MR 1754790 (2001i:11064)
- [18] Masanori Morishita, Yu Takakura, Yuji Terashima, and Jun Ueki, *On the universal deformations for  $SL_2$ -representations of knot groups*, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **69** (2017), no. 1, 67–84. MR 3640015
- [19] Hoang-An Nguyen and Anh T. Tran, *Twisted Alexander polynomials of twisted Whitehead links*, *New York J. Math.* **25** (2019), 1240–1258. MR 4028833
- [20] Louise Nyssen, *Pseudo-représentations*, *Math. Ann.* **306** (1996), no. 2, 257–283. MR 1411348 (98a:20013)
- [21] Igor R. Shafarevich, *Basic algebraic geometry. 1*, third ed., Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, Varieties in projective space. MR 3100243
- [22] Ryoto Tange, *On adjoint homological selmer modules for  $sl_2$ -augmented tautological representations of knot groups*, *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics (PROMS)*, to appear (2023).
- [23] Ryoto Tange, Anh T Tran, and Jun Ueki, *Non-acyclic  $SL_2$ -representations of twist knots,  $-3$ -Dehn surgeries, and  $L$ -functions*, *International Mathematics Research Notices* **2022** (2021), no. 15, 11690–11731.
- [24] Anh T. Tran, *The  $A$ -polynomial 2-tuple of twisted Whitehead links*, *Int. J. Math.* **29** (2018), no. 2, 14 (English), Id/No 1850013.

*Email address:* leo.benard@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, GEORG–AUGUST UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN, BUNSENSTRASSE, 3-5, 37073 GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY

*Email address:* rtange.math@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SCHOOL OF EDUCATION, WASEDA UNIVERSITY, 1-104, TOTSUKA-CHO, SHINJUKU-KU, 169-8050, TOKYO, JAPAN

*Email address:* att140830@utdallas.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT DALLAS, RICHARDSON, TX 75080, USA

*Email address:* uekijun46@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OCHANOMIZU UNIVERSITY; 2-1-1 OTSUKA, BUNKYO-KU, 112-8610, TOKYO, JAPAN