

On the derivatives of rational Bézier curves

Mao Shi¹

School of Mathematics and Statistics of Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an China

Abstract

We first point out the defects of the existing derivative formula on the rational Bézier curve, then propose a new recursive derivative formula, and discuss the expression of derivative formula at the endpoints.

Keywords: Derivative formulas, Endpoints, Rational Bézier curves

1. Introduction

Rational Bézier curves are essential mathematical tools in CAGD [1]. They share the same properties as Bézier curves, such as evaluation, subdivision, the convex hull property, and degree elevation, and also exhibit non-uniform convergence and divergence as the weights ω_i tend to positive infinity [2][3]. Finding the derivative of a rational Bézier curve is a challenging problem that differs from the derivative of a Bézier curve[4][5]. Although the first and second-order derivatives of rational Bézier curves have been extensively studied, there is less research on their high-order derivative formula [6–10]. On the other hand, the complexity of the expression for the derivative of a rational Bézier curve leads to more attention on the case where the degree n of rational Bézier curves exceeds the order k of the derivative than on the case where $n < k$ [11, 12]. For example, for the second order derivatives of the rational curve (1) at the endpoints, neither Wang’s formula [11] nor Lin’s formula [13] can lead to the following conclusion 1).

Email address: shimao@snnu.edu.cn (Mao Shi)

1) When $n = 1$, we have

$$\mathbf{r}''(0) = \frac{2\omega_1(\omega_0 - \omega_1)(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0)}{\omega_0^2},$$

and

$$\mathbf{r}''(1) = \frac{2\omega_0(\omega_0 - \omega_1)(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0)}{\omega_1^2}.$$

2) When $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbf{r}''(0) = -\frac{n(\omega_0(-\omega_2n - 2\omega_1 + \omega_2) + 2n\omega_1^2)}{\omega_0^2}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0) - \frac{n\omega_2(1 - n)}{\omega_0}(\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}''(1) &= \frac{n\omega_{n-2}(1 - n)}{\omega_n}(\mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \mathbf{r}_{n-2}) \\ &\quad - \frac{n(\omega_n(\omega_{n-2}n - \omega_{n-2} + 2\omega_{n-1}) - 2n\omega_{n-1}^2)}{\omega_n^2}(\mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{r}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we propose a new method to derive the formula for any order of derivative of a rational curve. Our method also simplifies the computation of high order derivatives at the endpoints.

20 The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition of rational Bézier curves and some preliminary results that will be needed later. In Section 3, we present our main results. As a example, we show the third-order derivative formula often used in engineering in Section 4. Finally, concludes the paper.

25 2. Preliminary

A rational Bézier curve of degree n can be defined as

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^n \omega_i \mathbf{r}_i B_i^n(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^n \omega_i B_i^n(t)}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (1)$$

where $B_i^n(t) = \binom{n}{i} t^i (1-t)^{n-i}$ are Bernstein basis functions of degree n , $\mathbf{r}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are control points and ω_i are the corresponding positive weights.

When all weights are equal and nonzero, the rational Bézier curve is reduced to an integer Bézier curve

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{p}_i B_i^n(t). \quad (2)$$

The k th derivative of a Bézier curve can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{p}^{(k)}(t) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \Delta^k \mathbf{p}_j B_j^{n-k}(t), \quad (3)$$

where Δ^k is the k th difference.

Let $\mathbf{q}(t)$ be another Bézier curve of degree m with control points $\{\mathbf{q}_i\}_{i=0}^m$,
 30 then the product of $\mathbf{q}(t)$ and $\mathbf{p}(t)$ can be written as [14]

$$\mathbf{p}(t)\mathbf{q}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \sum_{j=\max(0, k-n)}^{\min(m, k)} \frac{\binom{m}{j} \binom{n}{k-j}}{\binom{m+n}{k}} \mathbf{p}_{k-j} \mathbf{q}_j B_k^{m+n}(t). \quad (4)$$

In addition, we denote Pi notation as

$$\prod_{k=l}^m x_k = \begin{cases} x_l x_{l+1} \cdots x_m & l \leq m, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

3. Main results

Theorem 1 *The k th derivative of a rational Bézier curve can be represented as*

$$\mathbf{r}^{(k)}(t) = \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2^j n) \sum_{i=0}^{2^k n} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_i^{[k]} B_i^{2^k n}(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^{2^k n} \omega_i^{[k]} B_i^{2^k n}(t)}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\omega_i^{[0]} = \omega_i, \quad \mathbf{P}_i^{[0]} = \hat{\mathbf{P}}_i^{[0]} = \omega_i \mathbf{r}_i, \quad (7)$$

$$\omega_i^{[k]} = \sum_{j=\max(0, i-2^{k-1}n)}^{\min(i, 2^{k-1}n)} \frac{\binom{2^{k-1}n}{j} \binom{2^{k-1}n}{i-j}}{\binom{2^k n}{i}} \omega_j^{[k-1]} \omega_{i-j}^{[k-1]}, \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}_i^{[k]} = \sum_{j=\max(0, i-1)}^{\min(2^k n-1, i)} \frac{\binom{2^k n-1}{j} \binom{1}{i-j}}{\binom{2^k n}{i}} \mathbf{P}_j^{[k]} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}_j^{[k]} = \sum_{h=\max(0, j-2^{k-1}n)}^{\min(2^{k-1}n-1, j)} \frac{\binom{2^{k-1}n-1}{h} \binom{2^{k-1}n}{j-h}}{\binom{2^k n-1}{j}} \left(\Delta \hat{\mathbf{P}}_h^{[k-1]} \omega_{j-h}^{[k-1]} - \Delta \omega_h^{[k-1]} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{j-h}^{[k-1]} \right). \quad (10)$$

Proof The proof is by induction on k . It is clearly true for $k = 0$ and $k = 1$ based on equations(3), (4), (5), (7), (8), (9) and (10). Assumed that it is valid for $k > 1$, then letting

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{[k]}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k n} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_i^{[k]} B_i^{2^k n}(t),$$

and

$$\omega^{[k]}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^k n} \omega_i^{[k]} B_i^{2^k n}(t),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{r}^{(k+1)}(t) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2^j n) \left[\left(\hat{\mathbf{P}}^{[k]}(t) \right)' \omega^{[k]}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{P}}^{[k]}(t) \left(\omega^{[k]}(t) \right)' \right]}{\left(\omega^{[k]}(t) \right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=0}^k (2^j n) \left[\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^k n-1} \Delta \hat{\mathbf{P}}_i^{[k]} B_i^{2^k n-1}(t) \right) \omega^{[k]}(t) - \hat{\mathbf{P}}^{[k]}(t) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^k n-1} \Delta \omega_i^{[k]} B_i^{2^k n-1}(t) \right) \right]}{\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^k n} \omega_i^{[k]} B_i^{2^k n}(t) \right)^2} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=0}^k (2^j n) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k+1}n} \left[\sum_{j=\max(0, i-2^k n)}^{\min(2^k n-1, i)} \frac{\binom{2^k n-1}{j} \binom{2^k n}{i-j}}{\binom{2^{k+1}n-1}{i}} \left(\Delta \hat{\mathbf{P}}_j^{[k]} \omega_{i-j}^{[k]} - \Delta \omega_j^{[k]} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{i-j}^{[k]} \right) \right] B_i^{2^{k+1}n}(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^{2^{k+1}n} \left(\sum_{j=\max(0, i-2^k n)}^{\min(i, 2^k n)} \frac{\binom{2^k n}{j} \binom{2^k n}{i-j}}{\binom{2^{k+1}n}{i}} \omega_i^{[k]} \omega_{i-j}^{[k]} \right) B_i^{2^{k+1}n}(t)} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=0}^k (2^j n) \sum_{i=0}^{2^{k+1}n} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_i^{[k+1]} B_i^{2^{k+1}n}(t)}{\sum_{i=0}^{2^{k+1}n} \omega_i^{[k+1]} B_i^{2^{k+1}n}(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

showing that (6) holds for $k + 1$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 2 *The derivatives of the rational Bézier curve (1) at $t = 0$ and $t = 1$ are*

$$\mathbf{r}^{(k)}(0) = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}n^k}{(\omega_0)^{2k}}\mathbf{P}_0^{[k]} \quad (k \geq 0) \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}n^k}{(\omega_0)^{2k}} \left(\hat{\mathbf{P}}_1^{[k-1]} \omega_0^{[k-1]} - \omega_1^{[k-1]} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0^{[k-1]} \right) \quad (k \geq 1) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\mathbf{r}^{(k)}(1) = \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}n^k}{(\omega_n)^{2k}}\mathbf{P}_{2^{k-1}n}^{[k]} \quad (k \geq 0) \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}n^k}{(\omega_n)^{2k}} \left(\Delta \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{2^{k-1}n-1}^{[k-1]} \omega_{2^{k-1}n}^{[k-1]} - \Delta \omega_{2^{k-1}n-1}^{[k-1]} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{2^{k-1}n}^{[k-1]} \right) \quad (k \geq 1) \quad (14)$$

Proof We only prove $\mathbf{r}^{(k)}(0)$. Similar methods can also prove $\mathbf{r}^{(k)}(1)$.

Obviously

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2^j n) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}k(k-1)}n^k. \quad (15)$$

By (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_0^{[k]} &= \sum_{j=\max(0,0-2^{k-1}n)}^{\min(0,2^{k-1}n)} \frac{\binom{2^{k-1}n}{j} \binom{2^{k-1}n}{0-j}}{\binom{2^k n}{0}} \omega_j^{[k-1]} \omega_{0-j}^{[k-1]} \\ &= \omega_0^{[k-1]} \omega_{0-0}^{[k-1]} = \left(\omega_0^{[k-1]} \right)^2 = \left(\omega_0^{[k-2]} \right)^{2^2} \\ &= \dots \dots \\ &= (\omega_0)^{2^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Based on (9) and (10), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0^{[k]} &= \mathbf{P}_0^{[k]} \\ &= \Delta \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0^{[k-1]} \omega_{0-0}^{[k-1]} - \Delta \omega_0^{[k-1]} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_{0-0}^{[k-1]} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{P}}_1^{[k-1]} \omega_0^{[k-1]} - \omega_1^{[k-1]} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_0^{[k-1]}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Substituting (15), (16) and (17) into $\mathbf{r}^{(k)}(0)$, we can obtain (11) and (12).

Finally, using equations (4), (6) and the following inequality [15]

$$\frac{\sum a_k c_k}{\sum b_k c_k} \leq \max_k \frac{a_k}{b_k},$$

40 where $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_k > 0$, $c_k > 0$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, we obtain an upper bound of the k th derivative of the rational Bézier curve.

Theorem 3

$$\left\| \mathbf{r}^{(k)}(t) \right\|_{l^p} \leq \max_i \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (2^j n) \left\| \sum_{j=\max(0, i-e)}^{\min(2^k n, i)} \binom{2^k n}{j} \binom{e}{i-j} \hat{\mathbf{P}}_j^{[k]} \right\|_{l^p}}{\sum_{j=\max(0, i-e)}^{\min(2^k n, i)} \binom{2^k n}{j} \binom{e}{i-j} \omega_j^{[k]}}, \quad (i = 0, \dots, 2^k n + e),$$

where e is the number of degree elevation and l^p is the vector norm.

4. Example

The following example shows the derivatives formulas of third of the n th
45 rational Bézier curve at the endpoints. The derivative formula of other orders can also be found by applying Theorem 2.

1) When $n = 1$, we have

$$\mathbf{r}'''(0) = \frac{6\omega_1(\omega_0 - \omega_1)^2 (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0)}{\omega_0^3},$$

and

$$\mathbf{r}'''(1) = \frac{6\omega_0(-\omega_1 + \omega_0)^2 (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0)}{\omega_1^3}.$$

2) When $n = 2$, we have

$$\mathbf{r}'''(0) = \frac{12(\omega_0 - 2\omega_1)((\omega_1 + \omega_2)\omega_0 - 2\omega_1^2)}{\omega_0^3} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0) - \frac{12\omega_2(\omega_1 - \omega_0)}{\omega_0^2} (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1),$$

and

$$\mathbf{r}'''(1) = \frac{12\omega_0(\omega_2 - \omega_1)}{\omega_2^2} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0) - \frac{12((\omega_0 + \omega_1)\omega_2 - 2\omega_1^2)(2\omega_1 - \omega_2)}{\omega_2^3} (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1).$$

3) When $n \geq 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}'''(0) &= \frac{n((n^2\omega_3 + (6\omega_2 - 3\omega_3)n + 6\omega_1 - 6\omega_2 + 2\omega_3)\omega_0^2 - 6n\omega_1(n\omega_2 + 2\omega_1 - \omega_2)\omega_0 + 6\omega_1^3 n^2)}{\omega_0^3} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_0) \\ &+ \frac{(n-1)((n\omega_3 + 6\omega_2 - 2\omega_3)\omega_0 - 3n\omega_1\omega_2)n}{\omega_0^2} (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1) + \frac{(n^2 - 3n + 2)n\omega_3}{\omega_0} (\mathbf{r}_3 - \mathbf{r}_2). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}'''(1) &= \frac{n(n-1)((n\omega_{n-3} + 6\omega_{n-2} - 2\omega_{n-3})\omega_n - 3n\omega_{n-1}\omega_{n-2})}{\omega_n^2} (\mathbf{r}_{n-1} - \mathbf{r}_{n-2}) + \frac{(n^2 - 3n + 2)n\omega_{n-3}}{\omega_n} (\mathbf{r}_{n-2} - \mathbf{r}_{n-3}) + \\ &\frac{((n^2\omega_{n-3} + (6\omega_{n-2} - 3\omega_{n-3})n + 6\omega_{n-1} - 6\omega_{n-2} + 2\omega_{n-3})\omega_n^2 - 6n\omega_{n-1}(n\omega_{n-2} + 2\omega_{n-1} - \omega_{n-2})\omega_n + 6\omega_{n-1}^3 n^2)}{\omega_n^3} (\mathbf{r}_n - \mathbf{r}_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

5. Conclusion

A complete and correct derivation method of rational Bézier curves is proposed, which can be extended to rational Bézier surfaces.

50 6. Acknowledgements

This paper is supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (No. 2013JM1004).

7. Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or
55 personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported
in this paper.

References

- [1] G. Farin, Curves and surfaces for CAGD: a practical guide, Morgan Kaufmann, 2002.
- 60 [2] M. Shi, G. Wang, B. Kang, A geometric property of rational quadratic Bézier and its application, Journal of Northwest University (Natural Science Edition) 35 (2005) 377–378.
- [3] M. Shi, A note on the convergence of NURBS curves when weights approach infinity, in: 2018 5th International Conference on Information Science and
65 Control Engineering (ICISCE), IEEE, 2018, pp. 73–74.
- [4] T. W. Sederberg, X. Wang, Rational hodographs, Computer Aided Geometric Design 4 (4) (1987) 333–335.
- [5] M. S. Floater, Derivatives of rational Bézier curves, Computer Aided Geometric Design 9 (3) (1992) 161–174.

- 70 [6] C. Deng, Improved bounds on the magnitude of the derivative of rational Bézier curves, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 218 (1) (2011) 204–206.
- [7] Y. Li, C. Deng, W. Jin, N. Zhao, On the bounds of the derivative of rational Bézier curves, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 219 (21)
75 (2013) 10425–10433.
- [8] H. Bez, N. Bez, New minimal bounds for the derivatives of rational Bézier paths and rational rectangular Bézier surfaces, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 225 (2013) 475–479.
- [9] W. Jin, C. Deng, Y. Li, J. Liu, Derivative bound estimations on rational
80 conic Bézier curves, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 248 (2014) 113–117.
- [10] W. Zhongke, L. Feng, S. H. Soon, C. K. Yun, Evaluation of difference bounds for computing rational Bézier curves and surfaces, *Computers & Graphics* 28 (4) (2004) 551–558.
- 85 [11] G.-Z. Wang, G.-J. Wang, Higher order derivatives of a rational Bézier curve, *Graphical Models and Image Processing* 57 (3) (1995) 246–253.
- [12] M. Shi, Rational Bézier curves approximated by bernstein–jacobi hybrid polynomial curves, *Computational and Applied Mathematics* 39 (2020) 1–15.
- 90 [13] H. Lin, On the derivative formula of a rational Bézier curve at a corner, *Applied mathematics and computation* 210 (1) (2009) 197–201.
- [14] R. T. Farouki, V. Rajan, Algorithms for polynomials in Bernstein form, *Computer Aided Geometric Design* 5 (1) (1988) 1–26.
- [15] J. Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Sahngdong Science and technology Press,
95 1989.