

# On semi-classical weight functions on the unit circle

Cleonice F. Bracciali<sup>a,\*</sup>, Karina S. Rampazzi<sup>a,†</sup>, Luana L. Silva Ribeiro<sup>a,b,‡</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Matemática, IBILCE, UNESP - Universidade Estadual Paulista,  
15054-000, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil.

<sup>b</sup>Departamento de Matemática, UnB - Universidade de Brasília,  
70910-900, Brasília, DF, Brazil.

March 29, 2023

## Abstract

We consider orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle associated with certain semi-classical weight functions. This means that the Pearson-type differential equations satisfied by these weight functions involve two polynomials of degree at most 2. We determine all such semi-classical weight functions and this also includes an extension of the Jacobi weight function on the unit circle. General structure relations for the orthogonal polynomials and non-linear difference equations for the associated complex Verblunsky coefficients are established. As application, we present several new structure relations and non-linear difference equations associated with some of these semi-classical weight functions.

Keywords: Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. Semi-classical weight functions. Difference equations. Structure relations.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C05, 33C47

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . The associated sequence of orthonormal polynomials on the unit circle,  $\{\Psi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ , satisfies

$$\langle \Psi_n, \Psi_m \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Psi_n(z) \overline{\Psi_m(z)} d\mu(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} \Psi_n(e^{i\theta}) \overline{\Psi_m(e^{i\theta})} d\mu(e^{i\theta}) = \delta_{n,m},$$

where  $\Psi_n(z) = \kappa_n z^n + \dots$ , with  $\kappa_n > 0$ . The monic orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle (MOPUC, in short) are denoted by  $\Phi_n(z)$ , i.e.,  $\Phi_n(z) = \Psi_n(z)/\kappa_n$ . Hence  $\|\Phi_n\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\Phi_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = \kappa_n^{-2}$ . For recent basic literature on this subject see, for example, [6] and [13].

These polynomials satisfy the relation

$$\Phi_n(z) = z\Phi_{n-1}(z) - \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Phi_0(z) = 1$ ,  $\Phi_n^*(z) = z^n \overline{\Phi_n(1/\bar{z})}$  is the reciprocal polynomial and  $\alpha_{n-1} = -\overline{\Phi_n(0)}$  are known as Verblunsky coefficients. From relation (1.1), it is known that  $\kappa_n^2/\kappa_{n+1}^2 = 1 - |\alpha_n|^2$ .

\*cleonice.bracciali@unesp.br (corresponding author)

†karina.rampazzi@unesp.br.

‡luana.m22@gmail.com.

We denote the MOPUC as

$$\Phi_n(z) = z^n + \gamma_n z^{n-1} + \cdots + \beta_n z - \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (1.2)$$

with  $\alpha_{-1} = -1$ . Using relation (1.1) it is easy to show that the coefficients  $\gamma_n$  in (1.2) are given in terms of the Verblunsky coefficients as

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{\alpha}_j \alpha_{j-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_0 = 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Notice that  $\gamma_n = \gamma_{n-1} + \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \alpha_{n-2}$ . Taking the derivative of (1.1) and evaluating in  $z = 0$ , one can see that the coefficients  $\beta_n = \Phi'_n(0)$  satisfy

$$\beta_n = -(\bar{\alpha}_{n-2} + \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \bar{\gamma}_{n-1}) = -[(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) \bar{\alpha}_{n-2} + \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \bar{\gamma}_n], \quad n \geq 1. \quad (1.4)$$

The latter right hand side equality comes from (1.3).

Since  $\Phi_n(z) = z^n + \gamma_n z^{n-1} + O(z^{n-2})$ , hence  $\langle \Phi_{n-1}, \Phi_n \rangle = 0$  yields

$$\langle \Phi_{n-1}, z^n \rangle = -\bar{\gamma}_n \langle \Phi_{n-1}, \Phi_{n-1} \rangle. \quad (1.5)$$

In this work, we consider  $\mu$  to be absolutely continuous and we set  $d\mu(e^{i\theta}) = \nu(e^{i\theta})d\theta$ . Therefore, we can write

$$\langle f(z), z^n \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} \nu(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) z^{-n} \nu(z) \frac{dz}{iz}.$$

For simplicity, we denote  $w(\theta) = \nu(e^{i\theta})$ . The moments of the weight function  $w$  are given by  $\mu_n = \langle 1, z^n \rangle = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-in\theta} w(\theta) d\theta$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

In this paper we analyse the properties of MOPUC associated with semi-classical weight function on the unit circle. A weight function on the unit circle  $w$  is called semi-classical if

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left[ A(e^{i\theta}) w(\theta) \right] = B(e^{i\theta}) w(\theta). \quad (1.6)$$

where the functions  $A$  and  $B$  are Laurent polynomials and  $A(e^{i\theta}) = 0$  at the singular points of  $1/w$ . This definition is due to Magnus [8]. The equation (1.6) will be called a Pearson-type differential equation.

The relation (1.6) can also be written as

$$\frac{dw(\theta)/d\theta}{w(\theta)} = \frac{w'(\theta)}{w(\theta)} = \frac{B(e^{i\theta}) - ie^{i\theta} \frac{dA(e^{i\theta})}{de^{i\theta}}}{A(e^{i\theta})}. \quad (1.7)$$

In the theory of orthogonal polynomials on the real line, it is well known that if the weight function  $\omega$ , defined on an interval  $(a, b)$ , satisfies the Pearson equation  $[\sigma(x)\omega(x)]' = \rho(x)\omega(x)$ , where  $\sigma$  is polynomial of degree at most 2 and  $\rho$  is a polynomial of degree 1, then the weight function is classical, and the associated orthogonal polynomials are the classical orthogonal polynomials. If  $\sigma$  is polynomial of degree greater than 2 or  $\rho$  is a polynomial of degree different from 1, the weight function is known as semi-classical. If  $\sigma\omega$  vanishes at the extreme points of the orthogonality interval, the orthogonal polynomials associated with classical or semi-classical weight function on the real line satisfy differential-recurrence relations (also known as structure relations). See, for example, [1, 2, 9, 10, 16].

The recurrence coefficients of orthogonal polynomials on the real line associated with certain semi-classical weight function (for example, the Freud weight function) satisfy difference equations and are related to some Painlevé equations. See [5, 7, 16].

A known example of semi-classical weight function on the unit circle is  $w(\theta) = e^{t \cos(\theta)}$ , with  $t > 0$ , see [6]. The associated MOPUC satisfy the structure relation

$$\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_{n-1}(z) + \frac{t\kappa_{n-1}^2}{2\kappa_n^2}\Phi_{n-2}(z), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (1.8)$$

The Verblunsky coefficients are real and they depend on the parameter  $t$ . From the structure relation for the MOPUC, it is possible to show that the Verblunsky coefficients satisfy the non-linear difference equation

$$\alpha_n(t) + \alpha_{n-2}(t) = -\frac{2n}{t} \frac{\alpha_{n-1}(t)}{1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2(t)}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (1.9)$$

This well known equation appeared in Periwal and Shevitz [12]. It corresponds to the Painlevé discrete equation  $\text{dP}_{\text{II}}$ , see [16] and the references therein. Studies about semi-classical weight functions on the unit circle can be found also in [3, 4, 8, 15].

The objective of this paper is to investigate semi-classical weight function on the unit circle,  $w$ , whose MOPUC have complex Verblunsky coefficients. We restrict this investigation to semi-classical weight functions for which  $A$  and  $B$  in (1.6) are polynomials of degree at most 2.

One goal here is to determine all semi-classical weight functions on the unit circle that belong to this class. Other aim is to present structure relations for the associated MOPUC and non-linear difference equations for the associated complex Verblunsky coefficients, for this class of semi-classical weight functions on the unit circle.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we use the definition of semi-classical weight function on the unit circle (1.6) to set the form of the structure relation for the associated MOPUC, see Theorem 2.1. In Theorem 2.4 we present two non-linear difference equations for the associated complex Verblunsky coefficients, which is one main result.

In Section 3 we determine all semi-classical weight functions on the unit circle,  $w$ , for which  $A$  and  $B$  in (1.6) are polynomials of degree at most 2. The method used is to consider all possible zeros of polynomial  $A$  such that  $w$  is positive. Many known semi-classical weight functions were determined and we also found the semi-classical weight function

$$w(\theta) = \tau(\lambda, \beta, \eta) e^{-\eta\theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta,$$

where  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$ ,  $\beta > -1/2$  and  $\tau(\lambda, \beta, \eta)$  is a constant, see (3.21). Particular cases of this weight function cover most of the semi-classical weight functions known in the literature, see Remark 3.6. However, the case  $\lambda\beta\eta \neq 0$  has never been considered before.

As application of these results, in Section 4, we present structure relations for the MOPUC and non-linear difference equations for the Verblunsky coefficients, using the semi-classical weight functions described in Section 3. In particular, in Subsection 4.1 we present several structure relations and several non-linear difference equations for the complex Verblunsky coefficients associated with  $w(\theta) = e^{-\theta\eta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$ . Subsection 4.2 is dedicated to the weight function  $w(\theta) = e^{2|u| \sin(\theta + \arg u)}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ , where we present the non-linear difference equation (4.21), that can be seen as a complex extension of the Painlevé discrete equation  $\text{dP}_{\text{II}}$ .

## 2 Structure relations and non-linear difference equations

Since we assume  $A$  and  $B$  to be complex polynomials of degree at most 2, we set

$$A(z) = a_2 z^2 + a_1 z + a_0 \quad \text{and} \quad B(z) = b_2 z^2 + b_1 z + b_0.$$

Thus the Pearson-type equation (1.7) becomes

$$\frac{dw(\theta)/d\theta}{w(\theta)} = \frac{(b_2 - 2ia_2)z^2 + (b_1 - ia_1)z + b_0}{a_2z^2 + a_1z + a_0}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \quad (2.1)$$

Equivalently, we can write

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{(b_2 - 2ia_2)z^2 + (b_1 - ia_1)z + b_0}{z(a_2z^2 + a_1z + a_0)}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \quad (2.2)$$

Before introducing our results we need some results from Magnus [8]. For example, if  $w$  satisfies (1.7) with  $A$  and  $B$  being polynomials of degree at most  $d$ , with  $d \geq 1$ , and  $w(0) = w(2\pi)$ , then  $\langle A\Phi'_n, z^k \rangle = \langle \Phi_n[iB(z) + (k+1)A(z)], z^{k+1} \rangle$ ,  $n \geq 2$  and  $\langle A\Phi'_n, z^k \rangle = 0$ , for  $k = d-1, d, \dots, n-2$ .

Indeed, using integration by parts and the property (1.7), one can see that

$$\langle A\Phi'_n, z^k \rangle = \langle \Phi_n(z)[iB(z) + (k+1)A(z)], z^{k+1} \rangle - i\Phi_n(1)A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)], \quad (2.3)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . However, for  $k = d-1, d, \dots, n-2$ , the polynomial  $[iB(z) + (k+1)A(z)]z^{-k-1} \in \text{Span}\{z^{-(n-1)}, z^{-(n-2)}, \dots, z^{-1}\}$ , hence  $\langle \Phi_n[iB(z) + (k+1)A(z)], z^{k+1} \rangle = 0$ .

In this work, we consider  $d = 2$  and the condition  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , hence

$$\langle A\Phi'_n, z^k \rangle = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2. \quad (2.4)$$

Magnus in [8] has shown that if  $A$  and  $B$  are polynomials of degree  $\leq d$ , then  $A\Phi'_n$  is a linear combination of  $\Phi_{n+d-1}, \Phi_{n+d-2}, \dots, \Phi_{n-1}$  and  $\Phi_{n+d-1}^*, \Phi_{n+d-2}^*, \dots, \Phi_{n+1}^*$ .

The next result presents structure relations of the MOPUC in terms of the polynomials  $\Phi_{n+1}, \Phi_n, \Phi_{n-1}$ , and  $\Phi_n^*$  (instead of  $\Phi_{n+1}^*$ ) when the associated weight function satisfying (1.7) with  $A$  and  $B$  polynomials of degree at most 2 and  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ . All the coefficients are given explicitly.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Consider a weight function that satisfies (1.7), where  $A$  and  $B$  are polynomials of degree at most 2 and  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ . If  $A(z) = a_2z^2 + a_1z + a_0$  and  $B(z) = b_2z^2 + b_1z + b_0$ , then the associated MOPUC satisfy the structure relation given by*

$$A(z)\Phi'_n(z) = na_2\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n}\Phi_n(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z) + \mathfrak{t}_n\Phi_n^*(z), \quad n \geq 2, \quad (2.5)$$

with the coefficients

$$\mathfrak{t}_n = (ib_2 + a_2)\bar{\alpha}_n, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} = (ib_0 + na_0)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2), \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = na_1 - a_2\gamma_n + [ib_2 - (n-1)a_2]\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}, \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\alpha_n$  are the Verblunsky coefficients and  $\gamma_n$  is given in (1.3). The coefficient  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$  can also be written as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = ib_1 + (n+1)a_1 - a_0\bar{\gamma}_n - [ib_0 + (n+1)a_0]\alpha_n\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}. \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof.* Observe that  $A(z)\Phi'_n(z) - na_2\Phi_{n+1}(z) - \mathfrak{t}_n\Phi_n^*(z)$  is polynomial of degree at most  $n$ . Hence, one can write

$$A(z)\Phi'_n(z) - na_2\Phi_{n+1}(z) - \mathfrak{t}_n\Phi_n^*(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mathfrak{s}_{n,j}\Phi_j(z).$$

First, we consider  $\langle A\Phi'_n, z^0 \rangle - na_2 \langle \Phi_{n+1}, z^0 \rangle - \mathfrak{t}_n \langle \Phi_n^*, z^0 \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^n \mathfrak{s}_{n,j} \langle \Phi_j, z^0 \rangle$ , and using the orthogonality properties, we obtain

$$\langle A\Phi'_n, z^0 \rangle - \mathfrak{t}_n \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle = \mathfrak{s}_{n,0} \langle \Phi_0, z^0 \rangle.$$

Setting  $\mathfrak{t}_n = \langle A\Phi'_n, z^0 \rangle / \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle$ , we get  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,0} = 0$ . From (2.3) with  $k = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A\Phi'_n, z^0 \rangle &= \langle \Phi_n [(ib_2 + a_2)z^2 + (ib_1 + a_1)z + (ib_0 + a_0)], z \rangle \\ &= (ib_2 + a_2) \langle z\Phi_n, z^0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From (1.1) it follows that  $\langle z\Phi_n, z^0 \rangle = \bar{\alpha}_n \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle$ , and thus (2.6) holds.

By successively doing  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ , we have

$$\langle A\Phi'_n, z^k \rangle - na_2 \langle \Phi_{n+1}, z^k \rangle - \mathfrak{t}_n \langle \Phi_n^*, z^k \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{s}_{n,j} \langle \Phi_j, z^k \rangle$$

and, from the orthogonality properties and (2.4),  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,k} = 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ . Hence,

$$A(z)\Phi'_n(z) - na_2\Phi_{n+1}(z) - \mathfrak{t}_n\Phi_n^*(z) = \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n}\Phi_n(z),$$

and (2.5) holds.

To find the explicit value of  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}$ , we take the inner product of both sides of equation (2.5) with  $z^{n-1}$ , and we obtain  $\langle A\Phi'_n, z^{n-1} \rangle = \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} \langle \Phi_{n-1}, z^{n-1} \rangle$ . From (2.3) with  $k = n-1$ , we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A\Phi'_n, z^{n-1} \rangle &= \langle \Phi_n [(ib_2 + na_2)z^2 + (ib_1 + na_1)z + (ib_0 + na_0)], z^n \rangle \\ &= (ib_0 + na_0) \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we proved (2.7), i.e.,  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} = (ib_0 + na_0)\kappa_{n-1}^2 / \kappa_n^2 = (ib_0 + na_0)[1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2]$ .

For the explicit value of  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$ , first we take the inner product of both sides of equation (2.5) with  $\Phi_n$ , to find

$$\langle A\Phi'_n, \Phi_n \rangle = \mathfrak{s}_{n,n} \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle + \mathfrak{t}_n \langle \Phi_n^*, \Phi_n \rangle. \quad (2.10)$$

On the other hand, from the orthogonality properties we know that

$$\langle A\Phi'_n, \Phi_n \rangle = na_2 \langle z^{n+1}, \Phi_n \rangle + [\gamma_n(n-1)a_2 + na_1] \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle,$$

and from (1.5) we get  $\langle A(z)\Phi'_n(z), \Phi_n \rangle = -\gamma_{n+1}na_2 \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle + [\gamma_n(n-1)a_2 + na_1] \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle$ . Substituting these relations in (2.10), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = -\gamma_{n+1}na_2 + \gamma_n(n-1)a_2 + na_1 + \mathfrak{t}_n\alpha_{n-1},$$

using (1.3) and (2.6), the equation (2.8) holds.

Finally, we use (2.5) to get  $\langle A\Phi'_n, z^n \rangle = \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} \langle \Phi_{n-1}, z^n \rangle + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n} \langle \Phi_n, z^n \rangle$ . Moreover, since  $\langle \Phi_n, z^n \rangle = \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle$  and (1.5), it follows that

$$\langle A\Phi'_n, z^n \rangle = -\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\bar{\gamma}_n \langle \Phi_{n-1}, \Phi_{n-1} \rangle + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n} \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle. \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.3) with  $k = n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A\Phi'_n, z^n \rangle &= \langle \Phi_n [(ib_2 + (n+1)a_2)z^2 + (ib_1 + (n+1)a_1)z + (ib_0 + (n+1)a_0)], z^{n+1} \rangle \\ &= (ib_1 + (n+1)a_1) \langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle + (ib_0 + (n+1)a_0) \langle \Phi_n, z^{n+1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and using (1.5),  $\langle A\Phi'_n, z^n \rangle = (ib_1 + (n+1)a_1)\langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle - (ib_0 + (n+1)a_0)\bar{\gamma}_{n+1}\langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle$ . Substituting the latter relation in (2.11), we get

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\bar{\gamma}_n \frac{\langle \Phi_{n-1}, \Phi_{n-1} \rangle}{\langle \Phi_n, \Phi_n \rangle} + (ib_1 + (n+1)a_1) - (ib_0 + (n+1)a_0)\bar{\gamma}_{n+1}.$$

Thus, with  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}$  given in (2.7), the fact that  $\kappa_{n-1}^2/\kappa_n^2 = 1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2$ , and relation (1.3) for  $\gamma_{n+1}$ , we get (2.9).  $\square$

Since the reciprocal polynomials satisfy  $\Phi_{n+1}^*(z) = \Phi_n^*(z) - \alpha_n z \Phi_n(z)$ , then  $\Phi_{n+1}^*(z) + \alpha_n \Phi_{n+1}(z) = (1 - |\alpha_n|^2)\Phi_n^*(z)$ . Hence, we obtain a structure relation for the associated MOPUC, involving the polynomials  $\Phi_{n+1}$ ,  $\Phi_n$ ,  $\Phi_{n-1}$  and  $\Phi_{n+1}^*$ . In the next corollary we recover the result of Magnus [8] for  $d = 2$  and provide explicit formulas for the coefficients.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, the associated MOPUC satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} A(z)\Phi'_n(z) &= \mathfrak{s}_{n,n}\Phi_n(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z) + \frac{na_2 + [ib_2 - (n-1)a_2]|\alpha_n|^2}{1 - |\alpha_n|^2}\Phi_{n+1}(z) \\ &\quad + \frac{(ib_2 + a_2)\bar{\alpha}_n}{1 - |\alpha_n|^2}\Phi_{n+1}^*(z), \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned}$$

with coefficients  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}$  given as in Theorem 2.1.

Replacing  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$  given by (2.8) in the structure relation (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(z)\Phi'_n(z) &= na_2\Phi_{n+1}(z) + [na_1 - a_2\gamma_n - na_2\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}]\Phi_n(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z) \\ &\quad + (ib_2 + a_2)\bar{\alpha}_n[\Phi_n^*(z) + \alpha_{n-1}\Phi_n(z)]. \end{aligned}$$

In the next result we provide a structure relation for the MOPUC, involving the reciprocal polynomial  $\Phi_{n-1}^*(z)$ .

**Corollary 2.3.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, the associated MOPUC satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} A(z)\Phi'_n(z) &= na_2\Phi_{n+1}(z) + [na_1 - a_2\gamma_n - na_2\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}]\Phi_n(z) + [(ib_0 + na_0)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)]\Phi_{n-1}(z) \\ &\quad + (ib_2 + a_2)\bar{\alpha}_n(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\Phi_{n-1}^*(z), \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the results of Theorem 2.1 we show in the following result that the Verblunsky coefficients satisfy some non-linear difference equations.

**Theorem 2.4.** *If the weight function satisfies (1.7) with  $A(z)$  and  $B(z)$  polynomials of degree at most 2 as in Theorem 2.1, then the Verblunsky coefficients satisfy the non-linear difference equations*

$$[(n-1)\bar{a}_2 + i\bar{b}_2]\alpha_n + [(n-1)\bar{a}_0 - i\bar{b}_0]\alpha_{n-2} = -(n\bar{a}_1 - \gamma_n\bar{a}_0 - \bar{\gamma}_n\bar{a}_2)\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 2 \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [(n-1)\bar{a}_2 + i\bar{b}_2]\frac{\alpha_n}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2} + [(n-1)\bar{a}_0 - i\bar{b}_0]\alpha_{n-2} \\ = -\{[i\bar{b}_0 - (n+1)\bar{a}_0]\alpha_{n-1}\bar{\alpha}_n - i\bar{b}_1 + (n+1)\bar{a}_1 - 2\gamma_n\bar{a}_0\}\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\alpha_n$  are the Verblunsky coefficients and  $\gamma_n$  is given in (1.3).

*Proof.* Substituting  $z = 0$  in (2.5), we get

$$a_0\Phi'_n(0) = -s_{n,n-1}\bar{\alpha}_{n-2} - s_{n,n}\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} + [ib_2 - (n-1)a_2]\bar{\alpha}_n. \quad (2.14)$$

From (1.4), we know that  $\Phi'_n(0) = -(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\bar{\alpha}_{n-2} - \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\bar{\gamma}_n$ . Using this information, (2.7) and (2.8) in equation (2.14), we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} -a_0[(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\bar{\alpha}_{n-2} + \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\bar{\gamma}_n] &= [ib_2 - (n-1)a_2]\bar{\alpha}_n - (ib_0 + na_0)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\bar{\alpha}_{n-2} \\ &\quad - \{[ib_2 - (n-1)a_2]\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} - a_2\gamma_n + na_1\}\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and after some simplifications we get (2.12).

If we use (2.9) instead of (2.8) in equation (2.14), we get the non-linear difference equation (2.13).  $\square$

### 3 Determination of semi-classical weight functions

The aim in this section is to determine all semi-classical weight functions,  $w$ , on the unit circle that satisfy (1.7), for which  $A$  and  $B$  are polynomials of degree at most 2.

Some semi-classical weight functions on the unit circle are already known, for example, the Lebesgue weight function  $w(\theta) = 1/(2\pi)$  is semi-classical. In Ismail's book [6] some examples are presented. In [6, p. 229] we find information about the circular Jacobi polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to

$$w(\theta) = \tau(\lambda)|1 - e^{i\theta}|^{2\lambda} = \tilde{\tau}(\lambda)[\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\lambda > -1/2$ ,  $\tau(\lambda)$  and  $\tilde{\tau}(\lambda)$  are constants such that  $\mu_0 = 1$ . In [6, p. 236] there is information about the modified Bessel polynomials that are orthogonal with respect to the semi-classical weight function

$$w(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi I_0(t)} e^{t \cos(\theta)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where  $I_\alpha$  is the modified Bessel function.

The orthogonal polynomials with respect to the semi-classical weight function

$$w(\theta) = [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta, \quad (3.3)$$

with  $\lambda > -1/2$  and  $\beta > -1/2$ , are called Jacobi polynomials on the unit circle, see Magnus [8].

In [11], in the context of coherent pairs of weight functions of the second kind on the unit circle, the authors studied the following semi-classical weight functions. For  $u \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\tau(u)$  is a constant such that  $\mu_0 = 1$ ,

$$w(\theta) = \tau(u) e^{2|u| \sin(\theta + \arg(u))}. \quad (3.4)$$

For  $u, r \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|r| \neq 1$ , and  $\tau(u, r)$  is a constant such that  $\mu_0 = 1$ ,

$$w(\theta) = \tau(u, r) e^{2 \operatorname{Re}(u/\bar{r}) \arg(1 - re^{-i\theta})} |e^{i\theta} - r|^{-2 \operatorname{Im}(u/\bar{r})}. \quad (3.5)$$

For  $\lambda > -1/2$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b = \lambda + i\eta$ , and  $\tau(b)$  is a constant such that  $\mu_0 = 1$ ,

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b) e^{-\eta\theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda. \quad (3.6)$$

The weight function (3.6) was studied in Sri Ranga [14].

Later we present the Pearson-type equation of the form (1.6) for each of these weight functions.

We continue using the notation  $B(z) = b_2 z^2 + b_1 z + b_0$  and since the coefficients  $b_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2$  are complex numbers, we denote  $b_k = \operatorname{Re}(b_k) + i \operatorname{Im}(b_k)$ , where  $\operatorname{Re}(c)$  means real part of  $c$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(c)$  means imaginary part of  $c$ . Without loss of generality we consider  $A$  a monic polynomial. The analysis for the determination of semi-classical weight functions is divided into three cases, according to the zeros of polynomial  $A$ .

### 3.1 $A(z)$ is a polynomial of degree 0, $A(z) = 1$

With  $A(z) = 1$ , from (2.1) we know that  $w$  should satisfy

$$\frac{dw(\theta)/d\theta}{w(\theta)} = b_2 z^2 + b_1 z + b_0, \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \quad (3.7)$$

In order to the weight function  $w$  be positive in (3.7), it is necessary that  $\text{Im}(b_2 e^{2i\theta} + b_1 e^{i\theta} + b_0) = 0$ , which is equivalent to

$$\text{Im}(b_2) \cos(2\theta) + \text{Re}(b_2) \sin(2\theta) + \text{Re}(b_1) \sin(\theta) + \text{Im}(b_1) \cos(\theta) + \text{Im}(b_0) = 0,$$

for  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . Since the set  $\{\cos(2\theta), \sin(2\theta), \cos(\theta), \sin(\theta), 1\}$  is linearly independent, then  $b_2 = 0$ ,  $b_1 = 0$  and  $\text{Im}(b_0) = 0$ . Hence, using the representation (2.2), we get

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{\text{Re}(b_0)}{z}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \quad (3.8)$$

Integrating (3.8) with respect to  $z$ , it follows that

$$\ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = \text{Re}(b_0) [\theta - \theta_0],$$

where  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$  with  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , such that  $w(\theta_0) > 0$ .

Therefore,  $w(\theta) = w(\theta_0) e^{\text{Re}(b_0) [\theta - \theta_0]}$ . The additional condition to get the structure relation is  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ . We impose that  $w(0) = w(2\pi)$ , and hence  $\text{Re}(b_0) = 0$  and  $w(\theta) = w(\theta_0)$ . Since  $w(\theta_0)$  is a constant, we can choose this constant such that  $\int_0^{2\pi} w(\theta) d\theta = 1$ . We conclude that the only semi-classical weight function on the unit circle with  $A(z) = 1$  is the Lebesgue weight function, namely,  $w(\theta) = 1/(2\pi)$ . Here the polynomial  $B$  in the Pearson-type equation (1.6) is simply  $B(z) = 0$ .

### 3.2 $A(z)$ is a polynomial of degree 1, $A(z) = z - r$ , with $r \in \mathbb{C}$

From (2.1) with  $A(z) = z - r$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{C}$ , we get

$$\frac{dw(\theta)/d\theta}{w(\theta)} = \frac{b_2 z^2 + (b_1 - i)z + b_0}{z - r}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \quad (3.9)$$

In order to  $w$  being positive, it is necessary that the right hand side of (3.9) is real. Observe that

$$\frac{dw(\theta)/d\theta}{w(\theta)} = \frac{-\bar{r}b_2 z^2 + [b_2 - \bar{r}(b_1 - i)]z - \bar{r}b_0 + b_1 - i + b_0 z^{-1}}{|z - r|^2}$$

is real if and only if, the numerator of the right hand side is real. Hence, we assume that

$$\text{Im}(-\bar{r}b_2 z^2 + [b_2 - \bar{r}(b_1 - i)]z - \bar{r}b_0 + b_1 - i + b_0 z^{-1}) = 0.$$

We use a process similar to the one adopted in Subsection 3.1 to find the values of  $b_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , that satisfy the latter assumption. Then, we get five equations that can be written as a system of linear equations of order  $5 \times 6$ ,

$$\mathbf{F}_1 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}_1. \quad (3.10)$$

The solution of this linear system is  $\mathbf{x} = (\text{Re}(b_2), \text{Im}(b_2), \text{Re}(b_1), \text{Im}(b_1), \text{Re}(b_0), \text{Im}(b_0))^T$ , the matrix  $\mathbf{F}_1$  and vector  $\mathbf{g}_1$  are

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\text{Re}(r) & -\text{Im}(r) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \text{Im}(r) & -\text{Re}(r) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \text{Im}(r) & -\text{Re}(r) \\ 1 & 0 & -\text{Re}(r) & -\text{Im}(r) & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \text{Im}(r) & -\text{Re}(r) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{g}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -\text{Im}(r) \\ -\text{Re}(r) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we consider separately the cases when  $r = 0$  and when  $r \neq 0$ .



### 3.2.1 $A(z) = z$

When  $r = 0$ , the solution of the linear system (3.10) yields  $b_2 = \bar{b}_0$  and  $\text{Im}(b_1) = 1$ . Therefore, using the representation (2.2) we have

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{b_2 z^2 + \text{Re}(b_1)z + \bar{b}_2}{z^2}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}.$$

Similarly, integrating with respect to  $z$  and considering  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ ,  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , it follows that

$$i \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = b_2(z - z_0) - \bar{b}_2 \overline{(z - z_0)} + i \text{Re}(b_1)(\theta - \theta_0).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} b_2(z - z_0) - \bar{b}_2 \overline{(z - z_0)} &= [e^{i(\theta - \theta_0)/2} - e^{-i(\theta - \theta_0)/2}] [b_2 e^{i(\theta + \theta_0)/2} + \bar{b}_2 e^{-i(\theta + \theta_0)/2}] \\ &= 2i|b_2|[\sin(\theta + \arg(b_2)) - \sin(\theta_0 + \arg(b_2))]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = 2|b_2|[\sin(\theta + \arg(b_2)) - \sin(\theta_0 + \arg(b_2))] + \text{Re}(b_1)(\theta - \theta_0)$$

and  $w(\theta) = w(\theta_0) e^{2|b_2|[\sin(\theta + \arg(b_2)) - \sin(\theta_0 + \arg(b_2))]} e^{\text{Re}(b_1)(\theta - \theta_0)}$ .

Assuming that  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , we have  $\text{Re}(b_1) = 0$ . Hence, the weight function can be written as

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_2) e^{2|b_2| \sin(\theta + \arg(b_2))}. \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\tau(b_2) = w(\theta_0) e^{-2|b_2|[\sin(\theta_0 + \arg(b_2))]}$ . This weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.6) with  $A(z) = z$  and  $B(z) = b_2 z^2 + iz + \bar{b}_2$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *The weight function (3.11) was studied in [11] in the context of coherent pairs of weight functions of the second kind on the unit circle, using  $b_2 = u \in \mathbb{C}$ , see (3.4).*

**Remark 3.2.** *If we choose  $b_2 = it/2$  with  $t > 0$  and  $\tau(b_2) = 1/[2\pi I_0(t)]$  in (3.11), then  $\arg(b_2) = \pi/2$  and the weight function is given by  $w(\theta) = \tau(b_2) e^{t \cos(\theta)}$ , see (3.2). This is the weight function related to the modified Bessel polynomials [6].*

### 3.2.2 $A(z) = z - r$ , with $r \neq 0$

The solution of system (3.10) shows that  $\text{Re}(b_2) = \text{Im}(b_2) = 0$ , or  $b_2 = 0$ .

Now we analyse the solution of system (3.10) when  $|r| = 1$  and when  $|r| \neq 1$ .

1. If  $|r| = 1$ , the solution of the system (3.10) yields  $b_1 = -r\bar{b}_0 + i$ , where  $b_0$  is an arbitrary complex number. Then, the representation (2.2) for this case becomes

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{-r\bar{b}_0 z + b_0}{z(z - r)}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}. \quad (3.12)$$

Again, integrating with respect to  $z$  and considering  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ ,  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , it follows that

$$i \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = -ib_0 \bar{r}(\theta - \theta_0) + \ln \left( \frac{z - r}{z_0 - r} \right) 2 \text{Im}(b_0 \bar{r})i.$$

Since  $|r| = 1$ , we denote  $r = e^{i\varphi}$  and write

$$\frac{z - r}{z_0 - r} = e^{i(\theta - \theta_0)/2} \frac{\sin(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)}{\sin(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence,

$$\ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = -\operatorname{Re}(b_0\bar{r})(\theta - \theta_0) + \ln \left[ \frac{\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)}{\sin^2(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2)} \right]^{\operatorname{Im}(b_0\bar{r})}.$$

Therefore, the weight function can be written as

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0)e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0r)\theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{\operatorname{Im}(b_0\bar{r})},$$

with  $\tau(b_0) = w(\theta_0)e^{\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0r)\theta_0} [\sin^2(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2)]^{-\operatorname{Im}(b_0\bar{r})}$ .

Imposing that  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , we obtain two possible weight functions

i) If  $\sin(\varphi/2) \neq 0$  (i.e.,  $r \neq 1$ ), it is necessary that  $\operatorname{Re}(b_0\bar{r}) = 0$ , thus

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0) [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{\operatorname{Im}(b_0\bar{r})}, \quad \operatorname{Im}(b_0\bar{r}) > -1/2.$$

Here  $B(z) = (-r\bar{b}_0 + i)z + b_0$ , where  $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  when  $\operatorname{Re}(r) = 0$  and  $b_0 = ir \operatorname{Im}(b_0)/\operatorname{Re}(r)$  when  $\operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0$ .

ii) If  $\sin(\varphi/2) = 0$  (i.e.,  $r = 1$ ), the weight function becomes

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0)e^{-\operatorname{Re}(b_0)\theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^{\operatorname{Im}(b_0)}, \quad \operatorname{Im}(b_0) > -1/2. \quad (3.14)$$

In this case,  $B(z) = (-\bar{b}_0 + i)z + b_0$ .

**Remark 3.3.** *The weight function (3.14) is the same as (3.6), studied in [14], considering the parameter  $b_0 = i\bar{b}$ , where  $b = \lambda + i\eta$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . This weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation with  $A(z) = z - 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(b + 1)z + \bar{b}]$ .*

2. If  $|r| \neq 1$ , from the solution of the system (3.10), the coefficients  $b_1$  and  $b_0$  satisfy

$$b_1 = \begin{cases} -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_0)}{\operatorname{Re}(r)} + i, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0, \\ -\frac{\operatorname{Im}(b_0)}{\operatorname{Im}(r)} + i, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_0)r}{\operatorname{Re}(r)}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0, \\ i \operatorname{Im}(b_0), & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\operatorname{Re}(b_0)$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Im}(b_0)$ ) is an arbitrary real number if  $\operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0$  (resp.  $\operatorname{Re}(r) = 0$ ).

Hence, for  $|r| \neq 1$ , the representation (2.2) may be summarised as

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{s(r)}{z}, \quad \text{where} \quad s(r) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\operatorname{Im}(b_0)}{\operatorname{Im}(r)}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) = 0, \\ -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_0)}{\operatorname{Re}(r)}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Similar to what was done to get the equation (3.8), the weight function here satisfies  $w(\theta) = w(\theta_0)e^{s(r)[\theta - \theta_0]}$ , and imposing that  $w(0) = w(2\pi)$ , we again get the Lebesgue weight function. Here, the polynomials  $A$  and  $B$  in (1.6) are  $A(z) = z - r$ , with  $|r| \neq 1$  and  $B(z) = iz$ .

### 3.3 $A(z)$ is a polynomial of degree 2, $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$ with $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{C}$

Taking into account that  $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$ , the expression (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{dw(\theta)/d\theta}{w(\theta)} = \frac{[(b_2 - 2i)z^2 + [b_1 + i(r_1 + r_2)]z + b_0][(\bar{z} - \bar{r}_1)(\bar{z} - \bar{r}_2)]}{|z - r_1|^2|z - r_2|^2}.$$

Again, the weight function  $w$  is positive if

$$\operatorname{Im} \{ [(b_2 - 2i)z^2 + (b_1 + i(r_1 + r_2))z + b_0][(\bar{z} - \bar{r}_1)(\bar{z} - \bar{r}_2)] \} = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

for  $z = e^{i\theta}$ . Similar to what was done in Section 3.2, to find the values of  $b_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2$ , that satisfy (3.15) is equivalent to solve the system of linear equations of order  $5 \times 6$ ,

$$\mathbf{F}_2 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}_2, \quad (3.16)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (\text{Im}(b_0), \text{Re}(b_0), \text{Im}(b_1), \text{Re}(b_1), \text{Im}(b_2), \text{Re}(b_2))^T$ ,

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \text{Re}(r_1 r_2) & -\text{Im}(r_1 r_2) \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \text{Im}(r_1 r_2) & \text{Re}(r_1 r_2) \\ \text{Re}(r_1 r_2) & -\text{Im}(r_1 r_2) & -\text{Re}(r_1 + r_2) & \text{Im}(r_1 + r_2) & 1 & 0 \\ -\text{Re}(r_1 + r_2) & \text{Im}(r_1 + r_2) & \text{Re}(r_1 r_2) + 1 & -\text{Im}(r_1 r_2) & -\text{Re}(r_1 + r_2) & \text{Im}(r_1 + r_2) \\ \text{Im}(r_1 + r_2) & \text{Re}(r_1 + r_2) & \text{Im}(r_1 r_2) & \text{Re}(r_1 r_2) - 1 & -\text{Im}(r_1 + r_2) & -\text{Re}(r_1 + r_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{g}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \text{Re}(r_1 r_2) \\ 2 \text{Im}(r_1 r_2) \\ 2 + |r_1 + r_2|^2 \\ -3 \text{Re}(r_1 + r_2) - |r_1|^2 \text{Re}(r_2) - |r_2|^2 \text{Re}(r_1) \\ -3 \text{Im}(r_1 + r_2) - |r_1|^2 \text{Im}(r_2) - |r_2|^2 \text{Im}(r_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easier to determine the solution of the linear system (3.16) if we consider cases according with the zeros  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ .

### 3.3.1 $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$ with $|r_1| = 1$ and $|r_2| = 1$

We split the analysis into two cases  $r_1 = r_2 = r$  or  $r_1 \neq r_2$ .

1. For  $A(z) = (z - r)^2$ , with  $|r| = 1$ , the solution of the linear system (3.16) is

$$b_2 = r^2 \bar{b}_0 + 2i, \quad b_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\text{Im}(r)} + \text{Im}(b_1)i, & \text{if } \text{Re}(r) = 0, \\ \frac{\text{Re}(b_1)r - 2i}{\text{Re}(r)}, & \text{if } \text{Re}(r) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $b_0$  is an arbitrary complex number and  $\text{Re}(b_1)$  (resp.  $\text{Im}(b_1)$ ) is an arbitrary real number if  $\text{Re}(r) \neq 0$  (resp.  $\text{Re}(r) = 0$ ).

Hence, the Pearson-type equation (2.2) becomes

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{r^2 \bar{b}_0 z^2 + (b_1 + 2ri)z + b_0}{z(z - r)^2}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}.$$

Integrating with respect to  $z = e^{i\theta}$  and considering  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$  with  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , we obtain

$$i \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = [2 \text{Re}(r^2 \bar{b}_0) + (b_1 + 2ri)\bar{r}] \frac{r(z - z_0)}{(z - r)(z_0 - r)} + ib_0 \bar{r}^2 (\theta - \theta_0) + 2i \text{Im}(r^2 \bar{b}_0) \ln \left( \frac{z - r}{z_0 - r} \right). \quad (3.17)$$

Observe that  $(b_1 + 2ri)\bar{r} \in \mathbb{R}$  and

$$(b_1 + 2ri)\bar{r} = \begin{cases} \text{Im}(b_1) \text{Im}(r), & \text{se } \text{Re}(r) = 0, \\ \frac{\text{Re}(b_1) - 2 \text{Im}(r)}{\text{Re}(r)}, & \text{se } \text{Re}(r) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|r| = 1$  we denote  $r = e^{i\varphi}$ , with  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$ . Thus, from

$$\frac{r(z - z_0)}{(z - r)(z_0 - r)} = \frac{\sin(\theta/2 - \theta_0/2)}{2i \sin(\theta/2 - \varphi/2) \sin(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2)} = \frac{\cot(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2) - \cot(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)}{2i}$$

and (3.13), the equation (3.17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = & - \left[ \operatorname{Re}(r^2 \bar{b}_0) + \frac{(b_1 + 2ri)\bar{r}}{2} \right] [\cot(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2) - \cot(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)] \\ & + \operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r^2)(\theta - \theta_0) + \ln \left( \frac{\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)}{\sin^2(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2)} \right)^{\operatorname{Im}(r^2 \bar{b}_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding weight function is

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0; b_1) [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{2 \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r^2)} e^{\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r^2)\theta} e^{[\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r^2) + \frac{(b_1 + 2ri)\bar{r}}{2}][\cot(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]},$$

where  $\tau(b_0; b_1)$  is a constant.

Assuming that  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , we obtain two possible weight functions

- i) If  $\sin(\varphi/2) \neq 0$ , it is necessary that  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r^2) = 0$  and  $(b_1 + 2ri)\bar{r} = 0$ , then the weight function is

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0; b_1) [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r^2)}, \quad \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r^2) > -1/2.$$

In this case,  $B(z) = (r^2 \bar{b}_0 + 2i)z^2 - (2ir)z + b_0$ , where  $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  when  $\operatorname{Re}(r^2) = 0$  and  $b_0 = ir^2 \operatorname{Im}(b_0) / \operatorname{Re}(r^2)$  when  $\operatorname{Re}(r^2) \neq 0$ .

- ii) If  $\sin(\varphi/2) = 0$ , it is necessary that  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0) + (b_1 + 2i)/2 = 0$ , and

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0; b_1) e^{\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0)\theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^{\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0)}, \quad \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0) > -1/2, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0) \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.18)$$

Here,  $B(z) = (\bar{b}_0 + 2i)z^2 + [-(b_0 + \bar{b}_0) - 2i]z + b_0$ .

**Remark 3.4.** As in Remark 3.3, we conclude that the weight function (3.18) is the weight function (3.6) considering the parameter  $b_0 = -i\bar{b}$ , where  $b = \lambda + i\eta$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this case, the polynomials in (1.6) are  $A(z) = (z - 1)^2$  and  $B(z) = i[(b + 2)z^2 + (\bar{b} - b - 2)z - \bar{b}]$ .

2. For  $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$ ,  $|r_1| = |r_2| = 1$  and  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , to find the solution of the linear system (3.16), we need to divide the choices for the values of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  into two cases,  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1 + r_2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1 + r_2) \neq 0$ . When  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1 + r_2) = 0$ , we get more two possibilities  $r_2 = -r_1$  or  $r_2 = -\bar{r}_1$ . For the case  $r_2 = -r_1$ , we also get different solutions of the system if  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1)^2 = 1$  or  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1)^2 \neq 1$ . In summary, we have

$$b_2 = 2i + r_1 r_2 \bar{b}_0,$$

$$b_1 = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Im}(b_1)i, & \text{if } r_2 = -r_1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(r_1)^2 = 1, \\ -\frac{r_1 \operatorname{Re}(b_1)i}{\operatorname{Im}(r_1)}, & \text{if } r_2 = -r_1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(r_1)^2 \neq 1, \\ 2 \operatorname{Im}(r_1) + \operatorname{Im}(b_1)i, & \text{if } r_2 = -\bar{r}_1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(r_1) \operatorname{Im}(r_1) \neq 0, \\ \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_1)(r_1 + r_2) - |r_1 + r_2|^2 i}{\operatorname{Re}(r_1 + r_2)}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r_1 + r_2) \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $b_0$  is an arbitrary complex number. In addition, either  $\text{Re}(b_1)$  or  $\text{Im}(b_1)$  is arbitrary depending on which subcase are  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ . Hence, the representation (2.2) becomes

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{r_1 r_2 \bar{b}_0 z^2 + [b_1 + i(r_1 + r_2)]z + b_0}{z(z - r_1)(z - r_2)}.$$

Using similar integration as before, with  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ ,  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , we get

$$i \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = ib_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 (\theta - \theta_0) + H_1 \ln \left( \frac{z - r_1}{z_0 - r_1} \right) + H_2 \ln \left( \frac{z - r_2}{z_0 - r_2} \right), \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} [r_1^2 r_2 \bar{b}_0 + b_1 + i(r_1 + r_2) + \bar{r}_1 b_0],$$

$$H_2 = \frac{1}{r_2 - r_1} [r_2^2 r_1 \bar{b}_0 + b_1 + i(r_1 + r_2) + \bar{r}_2 b_0].$$

Notice that  $H_1 + H_2 = 2i \text{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2)$  and thus we can rewrite (3.19) as

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) &= b_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 (\theta - \theta_0) + 2 \text{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2) \ln \left( \frac{z - r_2}{z_0 - r_2} \right) \\ &\quad + iH_1 \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z - r_2}{z_0 - r_2} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{z - r_1}{z_0 - r_1} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

also  $H_1$  can be written as

$$H_1 = -\frac{1}{|r_2 - r_1|^2} [2i \text{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 (r_1 - r_2)) - 2 \text{Im}(r_1 \bar{r}_2) + b_1 (\bar{r}_2 - \bar{r}_1)].$$

Now using the corresponding values of  $b_1$  for each solution of the system, we summarise the values of  $H_1$  as

$$\begin{cases} [\text{Im}(b_0) + \frac{\text{Im}(b_1)r_1}{2}]i, & \text{if } r_2 = -r_1 \text{ and } \text{Re}(r_1)^2 = 1, \\ -\left[2 \text{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1^2) + \frac{\text{Re}(b_1)}{\text{Im}(r_1)}\right] \frac{i}{2}, & \text{if } r_2 = -r_1 \text{ and } \text{Re}(r_1)^2 \neq 1, \\ \frac{[2 \text{Im}(\bar{r}_1 b_0) + \text{Im}(b_1)]i}{2 \text{Re}(r_1)}, & \text{if } r_2 = -\bar{r}_1 \text{ and } \text{Re}(r_1) \text{Im}(r_1) \neq 0, \\ \{-\text{Im}[\bar{b}_0 r_1 (r_1 - r_2)] + \frac{\text{Im}(\bar{r}_1 r_2)}{\text{Re}(r_1 + r_2)} [\text{Re}(b_1) - \text{Im}(r_1 + r_2)]\} \frac{2i}{|r_2 - r_1|^2}, & \text{if } \text{Re}(r_1 + r_2) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|r_1| = |r_2| = 1$  and  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , from the above values for  $H_1$  one can see that  $\text{Re}(H_1) = 0$ . Thus, equation (3.20) can be written as

$$\ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = b_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 (\theta - \theta_0) + 2 \text{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2) \ln \left( \frac{z - r_2}{z_0 - r_2} \right) + \text{Im}(H_1) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z - r_1}{z_0 - r_1} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{z - r_2}{z_0 - r_2} \right) \right].$$

Denoting  $r_1 = e^{i\varphi}$  and  $r_2 = e^{i\phi}$  as in (3.13), we have

$$\frac{z - r_1}{z_0 - r_1} = e^{i(\theta - \theta_0)/2} \frac{\sin[(\theta - \varphi)/2]}{\sin[(\theta_0 - \varphi)/2]} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z - r_2}{z_0 - r_2} = e^{i(\theta - \theta_0)/2} \frac{\sin[(\theta - \phi)/2]}{\sin[(\theta_0 - \phi)/2]}.$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) &= \text{Re}(b_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2) (\theta - \theta_0) + \ln \left| \frac{\sin[(\theta - \phi)/2]}{\sin[(\theta_0 - \phi)/2]} \right|^{-2 \text{Im}(b_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2)} \\ &\quad + \ln \left| \frac{\sin[(\theta - \varphi)/2]}{\sin[(\theta_0 - \varphi)/2]} \right|^{\text{Im}(H_1)} + \ln \left| \frac{\sin[(\theta - \phi)/2]}{\sin[(\theta_0 - \phi)/2]} \right|^{-\text{Im}(H_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the weight function becomes

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0; b_1) e^{\operatorname{Re}(b_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2) \theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \phi/2)]^{-[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1) - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2)]} [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1)},$$

$$\text{with } \tau(b_0; b_1) = e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2) \theta_0} [\sin^2(\theta_0/2 - \phi/2)]^{[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1) - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2)]} [\sin^2(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2)]^{-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1)}.$$

Assuming that  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , we obtain two possible weight functions

- i) If  $\sin(\varphi/2) \sin(\phi/2) \neq 0$ , (i.e.,  $r_1 \neq 1, r_2 \neq 1$ ), it is necessary that  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2) = 0$  and the weight function becomes

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0; b_1) [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \phi/2)]^{-[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1) - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2)]} [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1)}.$$

Here,  $B(z) = (\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2 + 2i)z^2 + b_1 z + b_0$ , where  $b_0$  satisfies  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2) = 0$ .

- ii) Consider  $\sin(\varphi/2) \sin(\phi/2) = 0$ . (i.e.,  $(r_1 - 1)(r_2 - 1) = 0$ ). First we suppose  $r_2 = 1$ , hence  $\phi = 0$  and

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0, b_1) e^{\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r_1) \theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^{-[\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1) - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1)]} [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(H_1)},$$

with  $\operatorname{Im}(H_1)/2 - \operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_0 r_1) < 1/2$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(H_1)/2 > -1/2$ .

Now choosing  $r_1 = -1$ , the weight function becomes

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_1, b_0) e^{-\operatorname{Re}(b_0) \theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(b_0) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Im}(b_1)} [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im}(b_0) - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Im}(b_1)},$$

where  $\operatorname{Im}(b_0)/2 + \operatorname{Im}(b_1)/4 > -1/2$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(b_0)/2 - \operatorname{Im}(b_1)/4 > -1/2$ . In this case  $B(z) = (-\bar{b}_0 + 2i)z^2 + i \operatorname{Im}(b_1)z + b_0$ .

**Remark 3.5.** Denoting  $\eta = \operatorname{Re}(b_0) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda = \operatorname{Im}(b_0)/2 + \operatorname{Im}(b_1)/4$  and  $\beta = \operatorname{Im}(b_0)/2 - \operatorname{Im}(b_1)/4$ , hence  $b_0 = \eta + i(\lambda + \beta)$  and  $\operatorname{Im}(b_1) = 2(\lambda - \beta)$ , then we get a new semi-classical weight function on the unit circle

$$w(\theta) = \tau(\lambda, \beta, \eta) e^{-\eta \theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $\tau(\lambda, \beta, \eta)$  is a constant,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$ ,  $\beta > -1/2$ , and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ . This weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.6) with  $A(z) = z^2 - 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(\lambda + \beta + i\eta + 2)z^2 + 2(\lambda - \beta)z + \lambda + \beta - i\eta]$ .

**Remark 3.6.** We observe that the semi-classical weight function (3.21) is an extension of other semi-classical weight functions, since

- when  $\eta = 0$  this weight function is (3.3), known as the Jacobi weight function on the unit circle, see [8].

- when  $\eta = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$  this weight function is (3.1), and the associated orthogonal polynomials are known as circular Jacobi polynomials, see [6].

- when  $\beta = 0$  this weight function is (3.6), studied in [14].

### Special case

Considering the weight function (3.21) as

$$\tilde{w}(t) = \tau(\beta, \eta) e^{-\eta t} [\sin^2(t/2)]^\beta, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi],$$

setting  $\theta = t - \pi$  and the rotation  $w(\theta) = \tilde{w}(\theta + \pi)$ , we obtain

$$w(\theta) = \tau(\beta, \eta) e^{-\eta \theta} [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \quad (3.22)$$

that vanishes for  $\theta = \pm\pi$ . Hence the inner product becomes

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \tau(\beta, \eta) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{g(e^{i\theta})} e^{-\theta \eta} [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta d\theta.$$

This weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.6) with  $A(z) = z^2 - 1$ .

**3.3.2**  $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$  **with**  $|r_1| \neq 1$  **and**  $|r_2| = 1$

We split the analysis into two cases  $r_1 \neq 0$  and  $r_1 = 0$ .

1. For  $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$  with  $|r_1| \neq 1$ ,  $r_1 \neq 0$ , and  $|r_2| = 1$ , the solution of the linear system (3.16) is

$$b_2 = \frac{\bar{b}_0 r_2}{\bar{r}_1} + 2i \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = -\frac{1}{|r_1|^2} (r_1^2 r_2 \bar{b}_0 + \bar{r}_1 b_0) - (r_1 + r_2)i$$

with  $b_0$  an arbitrary complex number.

Substituting this solution in (2.2) we obtain

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2 z - b_0 \bar{r}_1}{|r_1|^2 z(z - r_2)}.$$

Similar to what was done in (3.12), considering  $r_2 = e^{i\phi}$ , we obtain the weight function

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0) e^{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\bar{b}_0 r_2}{\bar{r}_1}\right)\theta} \left[ \sin^2(\theta/2 - \phi/2) \right]^{\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\bar{b}_0 r_2}{\bar{r}_1}\right)},$$

where  $\tau(b_0)$  is a constant.

Imposing that  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , we obtain two possible weight functions

- i) If  $\sin(\phi/2) \neq 0$  (i.e.,  $r_2 \neq 1$ ), it is necessary that  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2) = 0$  and the weight function becomes

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0) \left[ \sin^2(\theta/2 - \phi/2) \right]^{\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\bar{b}_0 r_2}{\bar{r}_1}\right)}.$$

In this case  $B(z) = \left(\frac{\bar{b}_0 r_2}{\bar{r}_1} + 2i\right) z^2 - \left(\frac{\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2}{\bar{r}_1} + \frac{b_0}{r_1} + (r_1 + r_2)i\right) z + b_0$ . We observe that the condition  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2) = 0$  is equivalent to  $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1 r_2) = 0$  or  $b_0 = i \operatorname{Im}(b_0) r_1 r_2 / \operatorname{Re}(r_1 r_2)$  if  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1 r_2) \neq 0$ .

- ii) If  $\sin(\phi/2) = 0$  (i.e.,  $r_2 = 1$ ), then

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0) e^{\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{b_0}{r_1}\right)\theta} \left[ \sin^2(\theta/2) \right]^{-\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{b_0}{r_1}\right)}, \quad (3.23)$$

with  $\operatorname{Im}(b_0/r_1) < 1/2$ .

**Remark 3.7.** As in Remarks 3.3 and 3.4, we see that the weight function (3.23) is the weight function (3.6) considering  $r = r_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b_0 = -ir\bar{b}$ ,  $b = \lambda + i\eta$ ,  $\lambda = -\operatorname{Im}(b_0/r)$ , and  $\eta = -\operatorname{Re}(b_0/r)$ . This weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.6) with polynomials  $A(z) = (z-1)(z-r)$  and  $B(z) = i\{(b+2)z^2 + [\bar{b}-1-r(b+1)]z - r\bar{b}\}$ .

2. If  $r_1 = 0$  and  $|r_2| = 1$ , setting  $r = r_2$  we can write  $A(z) = z(z - r)$  with  $|r| = 1$ , and the solution of the linear system (3.16) is  $b_2 = -\bar{b}_1 r + 3i$  and  $b_0 = 0$  with  $b_1$  an arbitrary complex number.

Using this solution the representation (2.2) becomes

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{-r(\bar{b}_1 - i\bar{r})z + (b_1 + ir)}{z(z - r)}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}.$$

Analogously to what was done in (3.12), considering  $r = e^{i\varphi}$ , it follows that

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_1) e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_1 r - i)\theta} \left[ \sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2) \right]^{-\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 r - i)},$$

with  $\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 r - i) < 1/2$  and  $\tau(b_1) = e^{\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_1 r - i)\theta_0} \left[ \sin^2(\theta_0/2 - \varphi/2) \right]^{\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 r - i)}$ .

Assuming that  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , we obtain two possible weight functions

i) If  $\sin(\varphi/2) \neq 0$ , it is necessary that  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_1 r) = 0$  and we obtain

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_1) [\sin^2(\theta/2 - \varphi/2)]^{-\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 r - i)},$$

with  $\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 r - i) < 1/2$ . Here,  $A(z) = z(z - r)$ ,  $B(z) = (3i - \bar{b}_1 r)z^2 + b_1 z$ , and  $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_1 r) = 0$  is equivalent to  $b_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  if  $\operatorname{Re}(r) = 0$  or  $b_1 = i \operatorname{Im}(b_1)r / \operatorname{Re}(r)$  if  $\operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0$ .

ii) If  $\sin(\varphi/2) = 0$ , then

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_1) e^{-\operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_1 - i)\theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^{-\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 - i)},$$

with  $\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 - i) < 1/2$ .

**Remark 3.8.** *Once more we obtain the weight function (3.6) considering  $b_1 = i(\lambda - i\eta) - i$ ,  $\lambda = -\operatorname{Im}(\bar{b}_1 - i)$ , and  $\eta = \operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_1 - i)$ . This weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.6) with  $A(z) = z(z - 1)$  and  $B(z) = i[(b + 2)z^2 + (\bar{b} - 1)z]$ , for  $b = \lambda + i\eta$ .*

### 3.3.3 $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$ with $|r_1| \neq 1$ and $|r_1 r_2| = 1$

Since we are considering  $|r_1| \neq 1$ ,  $|r_2| \neq 1$ , and  $|r_2| = 1/|r_1|$ , we find the solutions of linear system (3.16) for two possibilities  $r_2 = 1/\bar{r}_1$  and  $r_2 \neq 1/\bar{r}_1$ .

1. First we consider  $|r_1| \neq 1$  and  $r_2 = 1/\bar{r}_1$ . Denoting  $r_1 = r$  and  $r_2 = 1/\bar{r}$ , we get  $A(z) = (z - r)(z - 1/\bar{r})$ . In this case the solution of (3.16) is given by

$$b_2 = \frac{\bar{b}_0 r}{\bar{r}} + 2i \quad \text{and} \quad b_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_1)r - (|r^2|+1)i}{\operatorname{Re}(r)}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0, \\ \frac{|r^2|+1}{\operatorname{Im}(r)} + \operatorname{Im}(b_1)i, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $b_0$  arbitrary complex number, and either  $\operatorname{Re}(b_1)$  or  $\operatorname{Im}(b_1)$  is arbitrary real number depending on whether  $\operatorname{Re}(r) = 0$  or  $\operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0$ .

The representation (2.2) becomes

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{\bar{b}_0 r z^2 + [b_1 \bar{r} + i(|r|^2 + 1)]z + b_0 \bar{r}}{\bar{r} z(z - r)(z - 1/\bar{r})}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}, \quad (3.24)$$

with

$$b_1 \bar{r} + i(|r|^2 + 1) = \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_1)|r|^2 - \operatorname{Im}(r)(|r^2|+1)}{\operatorname{Re}(r)}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) \neq 0, \\ \operatorname{Im}(r) \operatorname{Im}(b_1), & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

Observe that  $b_1 \bar{r} + i(|r|^2 + 1)$  is real. Integrating (3.24) with respect to  $z = e^{i\theta}$  and considering  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ ,  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , we get

$$i \ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = \frac{b_0 \bar{r}}{r} i(\theta - \theta_0) + H \ln \left( \frac{z - r}{z_0 - r} \right) - \bar{H} \ln \left( \frac{z - 1/\bar{r}}{z_0 - 1/\bar{r}} \right).$$

with

$$H = \frac{\bar{b}_0 r^2 + b_1 \bar{r} + i(|r|^2 + 1)}{|r|^2 - 1} + \frac{b_0(\bar{r})^2}{|r^2|(|r|^2 - 1)}.$$

Since

$$\frac{z - r}{z_0 - r} = \frac{z}{z_0} \frac{1 - r\bar{z}}{1 - r\bar{z}_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{z - 1/\bar{r}}{z_0 - 1/\bar{r}} = \frac{z}{z_0} \frac{\bar{r} - \bar{z}}{\bar{r} - \bar{z}_0},$$



it follows that

$$\ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = \left( \frac{b_0 \bar{r}}{r} - \bar{H} \right) (\theta - \theta_0) + i(\bar{H} - H) \ln \left| \frac{z-r}{z_0-r} \right| + (H + \bar{H}) \arg \left( \frac{z-r}{z_0-r} \right).$$

Straightforward manipulations lead to

$$G = \frac{b_0 \bar{r}}{r} - \bar{H} = -\frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(b_0 \bar{r}/r) + b_1 \bar{r} + i(|r|^2 + 1)}{|r|^2 - 1} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence,

$$\operatorname{Im}(H) = \operatorname{Im} \left( \frac{\bar{b}_0 r}{\bar{r}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re}(H) = \frac{(|r|^2 + 1) \operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r/\bar{r}) + b_1 \bar{r} + i(|r|^2 + 1)}{|r|^2 - 1}.$$

Therefore, the weight function can be written as

$$w(\theta) = \tau(G; H) e^{[G+2 \operatorname{Re}(H)]\theta} e^{2 \operatorname{Re}(H) \arg(1-\bar{z}r)} |z-r|^{2 \operatorname{Im}(H)},$$

where  $\tau(G, H) = w(\theta_0) e^{-[G+2 \operatorname{Re}(H)]\theta_0} e^{-2 \operatorname{Re}(H) \arg(1-\bar{z}_0 r)} |z_0-r|^{-2 \operatorname{Im}(H)}$ .

To verify the condition  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , it is necessary that  $G + 2 \operatorname{Re}(H) = 0$ , which is equivalent to  $b_1 \bar{r} + i(|r|^2 + 1) = -2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{b}_0 r^2)$ . From (3.25) we obtain

$$b_1 = -\frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(b_0 \bar{r}^2) + i(|r|^2 + 1)}{\bar{r}} \quad \text{and} \quad H = -\frac{b_0 \bar{r}}{r}.$$

Finally the weight function is given by

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b_0, r) e^{-2 \operatorname{Re}(b_0 \bar{r}/r) \arg(1-\bar{z}r)} |z-r|^{-2 \operatorname{Im}(b_0 \bar{r}/r)}, \quad (3.26)$$

with  $\tau(b_0, r)$  a constant. Furthermore, this weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.6) with  $A(z) = (z-r)(z-1/\bar{r})$  and  $B(z) = (2i + \bar{b}_0 r/\bar{r}) z^2 - [2 \operatorname{Re}(b_0 \bar{r}^2) + (|r|^2 + 1)i]z/\bar{r} + b_0$ .

**Remark 3.9.** *Choosing  $b_0 = -\bar{u}/\bar{r}$  in (3.26), we recover the known semi-classical weight function (3.5), with the polynomials  $A(z) = (z-r)(z-1/\bar{r})$  and  $B(z) = (2i - u/\bar{r}) z^2 + [2 \operatorname{Re}(ur) - (|r|^2 + 1)i]z/\bar{r} - \bar{u}/\bar{r}$ . The Pearson-type equation for the weight function (3.26) with parameter  $b_0 = 2(r/\bar{r})i$ , has appeared in [4] in terms of moment functional, and  $B(z) = i[-(|r|^2 + 1)z + 2r]/\bar{r}$ .*

2. Now we consider  $|r_1| \neq 1$  and  $r_2 \neq 1/\bar{r}_1$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  be given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &= (1 + |r_1^2|) \operatorname{Im}(1/\bar{r}_1) - (1 - |r_1|^2) \operatorname{Re}(1/\bar{r}_1) b_0, \\ \mathbf{v} &= (\bar{r}_1 + \bar{r}_2)(r_1 r_2 + 1) - (|r_1|^2 + 1) \operatorname{Re}(r_2 + 1/\bar{r}_1) - (1 - |r_1|^2) \operatorname{Im}[\bar{b}_0(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if  $r_2 = -1/r_1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(r_1) = 0$ , then  $r_2 = 1/\bar{r}_1$ , this possibility was considered before. Therefore, the other solutions of the linear system (3.16) are

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= \bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2 + 2i, \\ b_1 &= \begin{cases} \frac{(r_1 + r_2) \mathbf{v}}{\operatorname{Im}[(r_1 + r_2)(\bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 + 1)]}, & \text{if } r_2 \neq -1/r_1, \\ \frac{(\bar{r}_1 - |r_1|^2 r_1) \mathbf{u} + |r_1^2 - 1|^2 i}{\operatorname{Re}(r_1)(1 - |r_1|^2)}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}(r_1) \neq 0 \text{ and } r_2 = -1/r_1, \end{cases} \\ b_0 &= \begin{cases} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_0)(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)^2}{\operatorname{Re}[(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)^2]}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}[(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)^2] \neq 0, \\ \operatorname{Im}(b_0)i, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}[(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)^2] = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these values in (2.2) we get

$$i \frac{dw(\theta)/dz}{w(\theta)} = \frac{\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2 z^2 + [b_1 + (r_1 + r_2)i]z + b_0}{z(z - r_1)(z - r_2)}, \quad z = e^{i\theta}.$$

Notice that

$$\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2 = \begin{cases} -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(b_0)|r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1|^2}{\operatorname{Re}[(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)^2]}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}[(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)^2] \neq 0, \\ -(ir_1 r_2) \operatorname{Im}(b_0), & \text{if } \operatorname{Re}[(r_2 - 1/\bar{r}_1)^2] = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We thus can write

$$b_1 + (r_1 + r_2)i = \begin{cases} \frac{(r_1 + r_2)\{\mathbf{v} - \operatorname{Im}[(\bar{r}_1 + \bar{r}_2)(r_1 r_2 + 1)]i\}}{\operatorname{Im}[(r_1 + r_2)(\bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 + 1)]}, & \text{if } r_2 \neq -1/r_1, \\ \frac{(r_1^2 - 1)b_0}{r_1}, & \text{if } r_2 = -1/r_1 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re}(r_1) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Using integration once more, with  $z_0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ , we get

$$\ln \left( \frac{w(\theta)}{w(\theta_0)} \right) = b_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 (\theta - \theta_0) + iH \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z - r_2}{z_0 - r_2} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{z - r_1}{z_0 - r_1} \right) \right],$$

where  $H = [\bar{b}_0 r_1 r_2 (r_1 + r_2) + b_1 + (r_1 + r_2)i]/(r_1 - r_2) = 0$ . Hence, the weight function is  $w(\theta) = w(\theta_0) e^{b_0 \bar{r}_1 \bar{r}_2 (\theta - \theta_0)}$ . From the condition  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , it follows that  $b_0 = 0$ . Hence, we obtain Lebesgue weight function.

### 3.3.4 $A(z) = (z - r_1)(z - r_2)$ with $|r_1| \neq 1$ , $|r_2| \neq 1$ and $|r_1 r_2| \neq 1$

In this case the solutions of the linear system (3.16) are

$$b_2 = \operatorname{Re}(b_2) + 2i, \quad b_1 = -(r_1 + r_2)[\operatorname{Re}(b_2) + i] \quad \text{and} \quad b_0 = r_1 r_2 \operatorname{Re}(b_2),$$

with  $\operatorname{Re}(b_2)$  an arbitrary real number.

This solution includes also the cases  $r_1 = r_2 = 0$  or  $r_1 = 0$  and  $r_2 \neq 0$  with  $|r_2| \neq 1$ , i.e., when  $A(z) = z^2$  or  $A(z) = z(z - r)$ , with  $|r| \neq 1$ . The representation (2.2) becomes  $(idw(\theta)/dz)/w(\theta) = \operatorname{Re}(b_2)/z$ . Again, assuming the condition  $A(1)[w(2\pi) - w(0)] = 0$ , we obtain the Lebesgue weight function.

## 4 Applications

In this section we provide structure relations for the MOPUC (using Theorem 2.1) and non-linear difference equations for Verblunsky coefficients using Theorem 2.4 associated with examples of semi-classical weight function on the unit circle.

### 4.1 Example 1

Here we consider the semi-classical weight function (3.6), studied in [14], namely

$$w(\theta) = \tau(b) e^{-\eta\theta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda, \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$ ,  $b = \lambda + i\eta$ , and  $\tau(b) = e^{\pi\eta} 2^{b+\bar{b}} |\Gamma(b+1)|^2 / [2\pi\Gamma(b+\bar{b}+1)]$ .

The monic orthogonal polynomials,  $\Phi_n(z)$ , can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric functions as

$$\Phi_n(z) = \frac{(b + \bar{b} + 1)_n}{(b + 1)_n} {}_2F_1(-n, b + 1, b + \bar{b} + 1; 1 - z), \quad n \geq 0.$$

see [14]. The Verblunsky coefficients are given as

$$\alpha_n = -\frac{(b)_{n+1}}{(\bar{b}+1)_{n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

In Section 3 we determined the polynomials  $A$  and  $B$ , with  $A(1) = 0$ , of the Pearson-type equation (1.6), see Remarks 3.3, 3.4, 3.5, 3.7, and 3.8. The possibilities are

1. When  $A(z)$  has degree 1,  $A(z) = z - 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(b+1)z + \bar{b}]$ .
2. When  $A(z)$  has degree 2,  $A(z) = (z-1)(z-r)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $B(z) = i[(b+2)z^2 + [\bar{b}-1-r(b+1)]z - r\bar{b}]$ . For special values of  $r$ :
  - i) If  $r = -1$ , then  $A(z) = z^2 - 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(b+2)z^2 + (b+\bar{b})z + \bar{b}]$ .
  - ii) If  $r = 1$ , then  $A(z) = (z-1)^2$  and  $B(z) = i[(b+2)z^2 + (\bar{b}-b-2)z - \bar{b}]$ .
  - iii) If  $r = 0$ , then  $A(z) = z(z-1)$  and  $B(z) = i[(b+2)z^2 + (\bar{b}-1)z]$ .

We remark that this semi-classical weight function satisfies several Pearson-type equations. In the next subsections we provide the structure relations for the monic orthogonal polynomials  $\Phi_n$  and the non-linear difference equations for the Verblunsky coefficients,  $\alpha_n$ , corresponding to the different choices of polynomial  $A$ .

#### 4.1.1 Structure relations

Using Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.3, with the different choices for the polynomials  $A$  and  $B$ , we can obtain several structure relations for the MOPUC.

With  $A(z) = z - 1$ , the equations (2.8) and (2.9) for  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$  and (1.3) yield

$$\gamma_n = \bar{b} - (b+n-1)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}. \quad (4.3)$$

From Theorem 2.1 and using the relation (4.3), we present several structure relations for the MOPUC associated with the weight function (4.1) in the next result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The MOPUC associated with the semi-classical weight function (4.1) satisfy the following structure relations, for  $n \geq 2$ .*

i) For  $A(z) = z - 1$ ,

$$(z-1)\Phi'_n(z) = -(\bar{b}+n)[1-|\alpha_{n-1}|^2]\Phi_{n-1}(z) + n\Phi_n(z). \quad (4.4)$$

ii) For  $A(z) = (z-1)(z-r)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(z-1)(z-r)\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_{n+1}(z) - [\bar{b}+n(r+1)]\Phi_n(z) + r(\bar{b}+n)[1-|\alpha_{n-1}|^2]\Phi_{n-1}(z) - (b+1)\bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z),$$

• For  $A(z) = z(z-1)$ ,

$$z(z-1)\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_{n+1}(z) - (\bar{b}+n)\Phi_n(z) - (b+1)\bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z). \quad (4.5)$$

• For  $A(z) = (z-1)^2$ ,

$$(z-1)^2\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_{n+1}(z) - (\bar{b}+2n)\Phi_n(z) + (\bar{b}+n)[1-|\alpha_{n-1}|^2]\Phi_{n-1}(z) - (b+1)\bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z), \quad (4.6)$$

• For  $A(z) = z^2 - 1$ ,

$$(z^2-1)\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_{n+1}(z) - \bar{b}\Phi_n(z) - (\bar{b}+n)[1-|\alpha_{n-1}|^2]\Phi_{n-1}(z) - (b+1)\bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z). \quad (4.7)$$

We now observe the explicit value of  $\gamma_n$  in (4.3), for  $n + 1$  and using (1.3) we have  $\bar{b} = \gamma_n + (b + n + 1)\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}$ . On the other hand, from (4.3), we get  $\bar{b} = \gamma_n + (b + n - 1)\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\alpha_{n-2}$ . Hence,

$$\alpha_n\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} = \frac{(\bar{b} + n - 1)}{(\bar{b} + n + 1)}\alpha_{n-1}\bar{\alpha}_{n-2}. \quad (4.8)$$

Therefore, we can show the following results.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The coefficients  $\alpha_n$  and  $\gamma_n$  satisfy*

$$\alpha_n\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} = \frac{|b|^2}{(\bar{b} + n)(\bar{b} + n + 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_n = \frac{n\bar{b}}{b + n}. \quad (4.9)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\alpha_0 = -b/(\bar{b} + 1)$  and  $\alpha_{-1} = -1$ , using (4.8) we get the first relation in (4.9).

Second equation in (4.9) follows since  $\gamma_n + (b + n + 1)\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} = \bar{b}$ .  $\square$

#### 4.1.2 Non-linear difference equations for complex Verblunsky coefficients

Using Theorem 2.4 we find non-linear difference equations for complex Verblunsky coefficients associated with the weight function (4.1).

**Theorem 4.3.** *The Verblunsky coefficients satisfy the following non-linear difference equations*

$$(b + n + 1)\alpha_n = [n + 2 + \bar{b} - (b + n + 1)\bar{\alpha}_{n+1}\alpha_n]\frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n+1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (4.10)$$

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n = (b + n)\alpha_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.11)$$

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n + (b + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2} = \frac{2[\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_n) + n]\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.12)$$

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n - (b + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2} = -\frac{2i \operatorname{Im}(\gamma_n)\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.13)$$

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n + (b + n - 1)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\alpha_{n-2} = \left(\frac{n\bar{b}}{b + n} + b + 2n\right)\alpha_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.14)$$

and

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n - (b + n - 1)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\alpha_{n-2} = -\left(\frac{n\bar{b}}{b + n} - b\right)\alpha_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (4.15)$$

*Proof.*

- When  $A(z) = z - 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(b + 1)z + \bar{b}]$ , equation (2.12) yields

$$(b + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2} = (n + \gamma_n)\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.16)$$

and using (4.3) we obtain (4.10).

- For  $A(z) = z(z - 1)$  and  $B(z) = i[(b + 2)z^2 + (\bar{b} - 1)z]$ , equation (2.12) is

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n = (n + \bar{\gamma}_n)\frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2} \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.17)$$

and relation (2.13) gives (4.11).

- If  $A(z) = (z - 1)^2$  and  $B(z) = i[(b + 2)z^2 + (\bar{b} - b - 2)z - \bar{b}]$ , (2.12) yields (4.12).

The relation (2.13) becomes

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n + (b + n - 1)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\alpha_{n-2} = (\gamma_n + b + 2n)\alpha_{n-1}. \quad (4.18)$$

Using the second equation in (4.9), the equation (4.18) can be written as (4.14).

• When  $A(z) = z^2 - 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(b+2)z^2 + (b+\bar{b})z + \bar{b}]$ , (2.12) yields (4.13).

From relation (2.13) we get

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n - (b + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2}(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) = -[2\gamma_n - b - \bar{b} + (b + n + 1)\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}]\alpha_{n-1}.$$

Using (1.3) and (4.3), the above equation implies to

$$(\bar{b} + n + 1)\alpha_n - (b + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2}(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) = -(\gamma_n - b)\alpha_{n-1}, \quad (4.19)$$

we thus obtain (4.15) from (4.9).

We remark that it is possible to obtain (4.11) by adding (4.18) and (4.19). It is possible to obtain (4.13) by subtracting (4.17) from (4.16).  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** Using the non-linear difference equation (4.11), we can write

$$\alpha_n = \frac{b+n}{\bar{b}+n+1} \frac{b+n-1}{\bar{b}+n} \cdots \frac{b}{\bar{b}+1} \alpha_{-1}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and since  $\alpha_{-1} = -1$ , we obtain the explicit formula for the Verblunsky coefficients (4.2).

Furthermore, using another method (see [16]), we find one more non-linear difference equation for the complex Verblunsky coefficients associated with the weight function (4.1).

**Theorem 4.5.** The Verblunsky coefficients satisfy the following non-linear difference equation

$$\bar{\alpha}_n(\alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n) = (\bar{b} + n)(|\alpha_{n-1}|^2 - |\alpha_n|^2), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (4.20)$$

*Proof.* From relation (1.1) we get

$$\Phi'_{n+1}(z) = z\Phi'_n(z) + \Phi_n(z) - \bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^{*'}(z)$$

using the structure relation (4.4),

$$\frac{(n+1)\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n+1,n}\Phi_n(z)}{z-1} = \frac{z[n\Phi_n(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z)]}{z-1} + \frac{(z-1)[\Phi_n(z) - \bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^{*'}(z)]}{z-1},$$

where  $\mathfrak{s}_{n+1,n} = -(\bar{b} + n + 1)[1 - |\alpha_n|^2]$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} = -(\bar{b} + n)[1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2]$ .

Again using relation (1.1), we get

$$(n+1)\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n+1,n}\Phi_n(z) = (n+1)[\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z)] + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}[\Phi_n(z) + \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z)] - \bar{\alpha}_nz\Phi_n^{*'}(z) - \Phi_n(z) + \bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^{*'}(z).$$

Since  $\Phi_n^*(z) = -\alpha_{n-1}z^n + \cdots$  and  $z\Phi_n^{*'}(z) = -\alpha_{n-1}nz^n + \cdots$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s}_{n+1,n}\Phi_n(z) &= (n+1)\bar{\alpha}_n[-\alpha_{n-1}z^n + \cdots] + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}[\Phi_n(z) + \bar{\alpha}_{n-1}\Phi_{n-1}^*(z)] \\ &\quad + [\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}nz^n + \cdots] - \Phi_n(z) + \bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^{*'}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $z^n$ , we obtain  $\mathfrak{s}_{n+1,n} = -(n+1)\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} + \bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}n - 1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} - \mathfrak{s}_{n+1,n} = \bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} + 1$ . Now, one can write

$$\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} = (\bar{b} + n + 1)(1 - |\alpha_n|^2) - (\bar{b} + n)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) - 1$$

and, finally (4.20) is obtained.  $\square$

### 4.1.3 Special case

Here we consider the semi-classical weight function (3.22), namely, for  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\beta > -1/2$ ,

$$w(\theta) = \tau(\beta, \eta) e^{-\theta \eta} [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

This weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.6) with several polynomials  $A$  and  $B$ . Denoting  $c = \beta + i\eta$ , we can calculate these polynomials:

- i) When  $A(z)$  has degree 1,  $A(z) = z + 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(c + 1)z - \bar{c}]$ .
- ii) When  $A(z)$  has degree 2,  $A(z) = (z+1)(z-r)$  and  $B(z) = i[(c+2)z^2 - (\bar{c}-1+r(c+1))z + r\bar{c}]$ .

Similarly, using theorems 2.1 and 2.4, it is possible to generate several structure relations for the MOPUC and non-linear difference equations for the Verblunsky coefficients. Also we find that the associated Verblunsky coefficients and the MOPUC are

$$\alpha_n = (-1)^n \frac{(c)_{n+1}}{(\bar{c} + 1)_{n+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_n(z) = (-1)^n \frac{(c + \bar{c} + 1)_n}{(c + 1)_n} {}_2F_1(-n, c + 1, c + \bar{c} + 1; 1 + z).$$

## 4.2 Example 2

Here we consider the semi-classical weight function (3.4)

$$w(\theta) = \tau(u) e^{2|u| \sin(\theta + \arg u)},$$

where  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  and the constant  $\tau(u)$  is such that  $\mu_0 = 1$ . We observe that if  $u = 0$ , then  $w(\theta) = 1/(2\pi)$ , the Lebesgue measure.

Considering  $u \neq 0$ , as presented in Subsection 3.2.1, see Remark 3.1, this weight function satisfies Pearson-type equation (1.6) with  $A(z) = z$  and  $B(z) = uz^2 + iz + \bar{u}$ .

From Theorem 2.1, the structure relation for the MOPUC is

$$z\Phi'_n(z) = i\bar{u}(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2)\Phi_{n-1}(z) + (n + iu\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1})\Phi_n(z) + iu\bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z).$$

Using the definition (2.9) for  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$ , we also find that  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = -i\bar{u}\alpha_n\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} + n$ . Therefore, the Verblunsky coefficients satisfy

$$u\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} = -\bar{u}\alpha_n\bar{\alpha}_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

It means that  $\text{Re}(u\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}) = 0$ . Since  $u \neq 0$ , we find

$$\frac{\alpha_n}{\bar{\alpha}_n} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\bar{\alpha}_1} \left(-\frac{u}{\bar{u}}\right)^{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Moreover, from (2.12) the Verblunsky coefficients also satisfy

$$\bar{i}u\alpha_n + iu\alpha_{n-2} = \frac{n\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{4.21}$$

Comparing with (1.9), the non-linear difference equation (4.21) can be seen as a complex extension of the Painlevé discrete equation dP<sub>II</sub>.

Finally, the non-linear difference equation (2.13) for the Verblunsky coefficients becomes

$$\bar{i}u\alpha_n + iu\alpha_{n-2}(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) = (n + iu\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1})\alpha_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

### 4.3 Example 3

Here we consider the semi-classical weight function (3.5), namely, for  $u, r \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $|r| \neq 1$ ,  $r \neq 0$  and the constant  $\tau(u, r)$  is such that  $\mu_0 = 1$ ,

$$w(\theta) = \tau(u, r) e^{2 \operatorname{Re}(u/\bar{r}) \arg(1-re^{-i\theta})} |e^{i\theta} - r|^{-2 \operatorname{Im}(u/\bar{r})}.$$

From the first result of Subsection 3.3.3 and Remark 3.9, we know that this weight function satisfies the Pearson-type equation with  $A(z) = (z-r)(z-1/\bar{r})$  and  $B(z) = (2i-u/\bar{r})z^2 + [2 \operatorname{Re}(ur) - (|r|^2+1)i]z/\bar{r} - \bar{u}/\bar{r}$ .

Hence, from (2.5) the MOPUC satisfy the structure relation

$$(z-r)(z-1/\bar{r})\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n}\Phi_n(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z) - \left(1 + \frac{u}{\bar{r}}i\right)\bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z),$$

with

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} = \frac{nr - \bar{u}i}{\bar{r}}(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = - \left[ n \left( \frac{|r|^2+1}{\bar{r}} \right) + \gamma_n + \left( n+1 + \frac{u}{\bar{r}}i \right) \bar{\alpha}_n \alpha_{n-1} \right].$$

Also, from (2.9)

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = - \left[ n \left( \frac{|r|^2+1}{\bar{r}} \right) + \frac{r}{\bar{r}}\bar{\gamma}_n + \left( (n+1)\frac{r}{\bar{r}} - \frac{\bar{u}}{\bar{r}}i \right) \alpha_n \bar{\alpha}_{n-1} \right] + \frac{2 \operatorname{Re}(ur)}{\bar{r}}i.$$

Comparing the two forms to represent the coefficient  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$ , we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Im}(\bar{r}\gamma_n + [(n+1)\bar{r} + iu]\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}) = -\operatorname{Re}(ur).$$

From Theorem 2.4, the difference equation (2.12) for the Verblunsky coefficients become

$$[(n+1)r - \bar{u}i]\alpha_n + [(n-1)\bar{r} + ui]\alpha_{n-2} = [n(|r|^2+1) + 2 \operatorname{Re}(r\bar{\gamma}_n)] \frac{\alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

The difference equation (2.13) for the Verblunsky coefficients satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} & [(n+1)r - \bar{u}i]\alpha_n + [(n-1)\bar{r} + ui]\alpha_{n-2}(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) \\ & = \{n(|r|^2+1) + 2 \operatorname{Re}(ur)i + [(n+1)\bar{r} + ui]\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} + 2\bar{r}\gamma_n\}\alpha_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

### 4.4 Example 4

Here we consider the semi-classical weight function (3.21),

$$w(\theta) = \tau(\lambda, \beta, \eta) e^{-\theta\eta} [\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda [\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where  $\tau(\lambda, \beta, \eta)$  is a constant,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$  and  $\beta > -1/2$ , that satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.7) with  $A(z) = z^2 - 1$  and  $B(z) = i[(\lambda + \beta + i\eta + 2)z^2 + 2(\lambda - \beta)z + (\lambda + \beta - i\eta)]$ , see Remark 3.5.

Using Theorem 2.1 we get the following structure relation for the MOPUC

$$(z^2 - 1)\Phi'_n(z) = \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n}\Phi_n(z) + n\Phi_{n+1}(z) - (d+1)\bar{\alpha}_n\Phi_n^*(z),$$

where  $d = \lambda + \beta + i\eta$ ,

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} = -(\bar{d} + n)(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = -[(d + n + 1)\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} + \gamma_n],$$

From (2.9), the coefficient  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$  also can be written as

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = \bar{\gamma}_n + (\bar{d} + n + 1)\alpha_n\bar{\alpha}_{n-1} - 2(\lambda - \beta).$$

Comparing the two forms to represent the coefficient  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n}$ , one sees that  $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma_n + (d + n + 1)\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1}) = \lambda - \beta$ .

Using Theorem 2.4 we can provide a non-linear difference equations for the complex Verblunsky coefficients. From (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain, respectively, for  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$(\bar{d} + n + 1)\alpha_n - (d + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2} = -\frac{2i \operatorname{Im}(\gamma_n) \alpha_{n-1}}{1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2},$$

and  $(\bar{d} + n + 1)\alpha_n - (d + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2}(1 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) = -\{2\gamma_n + (d + n + 1)\bar{\alpha}_n\alpha_{n-1} - 2(\lambda - \beta)\}\alpha_{n-1}$ .

## 4.5 Example 5: Real Verblunsky coefficients

### 4.5.1 Jacobi polynomials on the unit circle

Choosing  $\eta = 0$  in (3.21) or in Example 4, we have

$$w(\theta) = \tau(\lambda, \beta)[\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda[\cos^2(\theta/2)]^\beta, \quad \lambda, \beta > -1/2, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

This weight function was studied in Magnus in [8] considering the Jacobi polynomials on the unit circle. The Verblunsky coefficients are real and given by

$$\alpha_n = -\Phi_{n+1}(0) = -\frac{\lambda + (-1)^{n+1}\beta}{n + 1 + \lambda + \beta}.$$

Observe that the coefficients  $\gamma_n$  given by (1.3) are also real.

Since  $\eta = 0$ , the polynomial  $B(z)$  becomes  $B(z) = i[(\lambda + \beta + 2)z^2 + 2(\lambda - \beta)z + (\lambda + \beta)]$ . The structure relation for the MOPUC is

$$(z^2 - 1)\Phi'_n(z) = \mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1}\Phi_{n-1}(z) + \mathfrak{s}_{n,n}\Phi_n(z) + n\Phi_{n+1}(z) - (\lambda + \beta + 1)\alpha_n\Phi_n^*(z),$$

with the coefficients

$$\mathfrak{s}_{n,n-1} = -(\lambda + \beta + n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = -[(\lambda + \beta + n + 1)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-1} + \gamma_n],$$

and also  $\mathfrak{s}_{n,n} = \gamma_n + (\lambda + \beta + n + 1)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-1} - 2(\lambda - \beta)$ .

Finally, using Theorem 4.3, we obtain non-linear difference equations for the Verblunsky coefficients:

$$(\lambda + \beta + n + 1)\alpha_n - (\lambda + \beta + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2}(1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2) = -[2\gamma_n + (\lambda + \beta + n + 1)\alpha_n\alpha_{n-1} - 2(\lambda - \beta)]\alpha_{n-1},$$

and

$$(\lambda + \beta + n + 1)\alpha_n = (\lambda + \beta + n - 1)\alpha_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 2.$$

A similar difference equation to the latter one has appeared in [8].

### 4.5.2 Circular Jacobi polynomials

Choosing  $\eta = 0$  and  $\beta = 0$  in (3.21) or in Example 4, we get  $w(\theta) = \tau(\lambda)[\sin^2(\theta/2)]^\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > -1/2$ ,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . From (4.11), the Verblunsky coefficients satisfy

$$\alpha_n = \frac{n + \lambda}{n + 1 + \lambda}\alpha_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_n = -\frac{\lambda}{n + 1 + \lambda}.$$



Notice that from Theorem 4.3 one obtain other different non-linear difference equations for the Verblunsky coefficients.

It is well known that the monic circular Jacobi polynomials satisfy the structure relation

$$(z-1)\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_n(z) - \frac{n(n+2\lambda)}{n+\lambda}\Phi_{n-1}(z), \quad (4.22)$$

see [6] and [8]. Using Theorem 4.1 we also obtain (4.22). Furthermore, from (4.5), (4.6), and (4.7) it follows, respectively, other structure relations for the MOPUC

$$z(z-1)\Phi'_n(z) = -(\lambda+n)\Phi_n(z) + n\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{n+1+\lambda}\Phi_n^*(z),$$

$$(z-1)^2\Phi'_n(z) = \frac{n(n+2\lambda)}{n+\lambda}\Phi_{n-1}(z) - (\lambda+2n)\Phi_n(z) + n\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{n+1+\lambda}\Phi_n^*(z)$$

and

$$(z^2-1)\Phi'_n(z) = -\frac{n(n+2\lambda)}{n+\lambda}\Phi_{n-1}(z) - \lambda\Phi_n(z) + n\Phi_{n+1}(z) + \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{n+1+\lambda}\Phi_n^*(z).$$

### 4.5.3 Modified Bessel polynomials

If  $u = it/2$  with  $t > 0$ , see Remark 3.2, then  $\sin(\theta + \arg u) = \sin(\theta + \pi/2) = \cos(\theta)$ ,

$$w(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{t\cos(\theta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi}e^{t(z+z^{-1})/2}.$$

In this case the Verblunsky coefficients are real. The associated weight function  $\nu$  satisfies  $\frac{d\nu(z)}{dz} = \frac{t}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{z^2}\right)\nu(z)$ , and the MOPUC satisfy the structure relation (1.8), mentioned in the introduction, see [16].

From Remark 3.1, the weight function  $w$  satisfies the Pearson-type equation (1.7) with  $A(z) = z$  and  $B(z) = i[(t/2)z^2 + z - t/2]$ . Hence, we apply Corollary 2.3 and obtain a different structure relation for the MOPUC, that it is

$$z\Phi'_n(z) = n\Phi_n(z) + \frac{t}{2}(1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2)\Phi_{n-1}(z) - \frac{t}{2}(1 - \alpha_{n-1}^2)\alpha_n\Phi_{n-1}^*(z). \quad (4.23)$$

Substituting  $z = 0$  in (4.23) and after some simplifications, or substituting  $iu = -t/2$  in (4.21), one also obtain the known difference equation (1.9).

## Acknowledgments

This work is part of the doctoral thesis of the author K.S. Rampazzi at UNESP, São José do Rio Preto, SP, Brazil, supported by a grant from CAPES, Brazil. The research of the author C.F. Bracciali was supported by the grant 2022/09575-5 from FAPESP, São Paulo, Brazil.

## References

- [1] S. Bonan, D. Lubinsky, P. Nevai, Orthogonal polynomials and their derivatives II, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18 (1987) 1163–1176.
- [2] A. Branquinho, A note on semi-classical orthogonal polynomials, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., 3 (1996) 1–12.
- [3] A. Branquinho, M.N. Rebocho, Structure relations for orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, Linear Algebra Appl., 436 (2012) 4296–4310.

- [4] A. Cachafeiro, C. Suárez, About semiclassical polynomials on the unit circle corresponding to the class  $(2,2)$ , *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 85 (1997) 123–144.
- [5] G. Freud, On the coefficients in the recursion formulae of orthogonal polynomials. *Proc. R. Irish Acad. Sect., A* 76 (1976), 1–6.
- [6] M.E.H. Ismail, Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Vol. 98, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [7] A. P. Magnus, On Freud’s equations for exponential weights. *J. Approx. Theory*, 46 (1986) 64–99.
- [8] A. P. Magnus, Special Topics in approximation theory: semi-classical orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, MAPA 3072A, Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-La-Neuve, Belgium. 2000 (manuscript).
- [9] P. Maroni, Sur quelques espaces de distributions qui sont des formes linéaires sur l’espace vectoriel des polynômes, (French), in *Orthogonal Polynomials and Applications* (Bar-le-Duc, 1984), 184–194, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1171, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [10] P. Maroni, Une théorie algébrique des polynômes orthogonaux. Application aux polynômes orthogonaux semi-classiques, in *Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications* (Erice, 1990), 95–130, *IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 9, Baltzer, Basel, 1991.
- [11] F. Marcellán, A. Sri Ranga, Sobolev orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle and coherent pairs of measures of the second kind, *Results Math.*, 71 (2017) 1127–1149
- [12] V. Periwal, D. Shevitz, Unitary-matrix models as exactly solvable string theories, *Phys. Rev. Letters*, 64 (1990) 1326–1329.
- [13] B. Simon, *Orthogonal Polynomials on the Unit Circle. Part 1. Classical Theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 54, part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [14] A. Sri Ranga, Szegő polynomials from hypergeometric functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138 (2010) 4259–4270.
- [15] C. Suárez, On a family of semiclassical orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle belonging to the class  $(p,p)$ , *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, 19 (2008) 333–349.
- [16] W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Painlevé Equations*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2018.