

ROW-COLUMN MIRROR SYMMETRY FOR COLORED TORUS KNOT HOMOLOGY

LUKE CONNERS

ABSTRACT. We give a recursive construction of the categorified Young symmetrizer introduced by Abel-Hogancamp in [AH17] corresponding to the single-column partition. As a consequence, we obtain new expressions for the uncolored y -ified HOMFLYPT homology of positive torus links and the y -ified column-colored HOMFLYPT homology of positive torus knots. In the latter case, we compare with the row-colored homology of positive torus knots computed by Hogancamp-Mellit in [HM19], verifying the mirror symmetry conjectures of [GS12] and [GG18] in this case.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Reduction vs. y -ification	3
1.2. Colored HOMFLYPT Homology	6
1.3. Torus Link Homology via Column Projectors	9
1.4. Outlook	11
1.5. Structure	12
1.6. Acknowledgements	12
2. Categorical Background	12
2.1. DG Categories	12
2.2. Graded Categories	15
2.3. Twisted Complexes and Convolutions	16
2.4. Singular Soergel Bimodules	17
2.5. Soergel Bimodules	21
2.6. Rouquier Complexes	23
2.7. Rickard Complexes	25
2.8. Hochschild Cohomology	26
3. The Infinite Projector	29
3.1. Constructing Beta	31
3.2. Fork Sliding	32
3.3. Constructing Alpha	35
4. Y -ification	45
4.1. The Finite Projector	45
4.2. Y -ification	46
4.3. Y -ified Fork Slide	50
4.4. Y -ified Infinite Projector	53
4.5. Categorical Idempotents	57
4.6. Y -ified Hochschild Cohomology	59
5. Applications to Link Homology	64
5.1. Twisted Projectors	64

5.2. Torus Link Homology	67
5.3. Column Colored Torus Link Homology	70
5.4. Module Structure	72
5.5. Row Colored Torus Link Homology	73
Appendix A. Proof of Proposition 4.23	77
References	84

1. INTRODUCTION

Knots and links are central objects of study in low-dimensional topology with deep relationships to representation theory. One particularly fruitful result of this interplay has been the development of quantum link polynomials. These invariants, due to Reshetikhin-Turaev ([RT90]), assign a Laurent polynomial to the input data of a (framed, oriented) link $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{L}_n$, a semisimple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and an assignment to each component \mathcal{L}_i of \mathcal{L} an irreducible representation V_i of the quantized universal enveloping algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ called the *color* of the component. When $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and all components of \mathcal{L} are colored by the defining representation, we recover the *Jones polynomial* of \mathcal{L} . The same choice of \mathfrak{g} and different representations V_i recover the various colored Jones polynomials of \mathcal{L} . More generally, setting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$ and coloring each component of \mathcal{L} by the defining representation recovers a specialization of the two-variable HOMFLYPT polynomial; varying the representations V_i again recovers specializations of the colored HOMFLYPT polynomials.

The last two decades have seen a great deal of success in categorifying these polynomial invariants to link homology theories, beginning with Khovanov’s categorification of the Jones polynomial. The primary subject of this paper is Khovanov and Rozanky’s categorification of the HOMFLYPT polynomial to a triply-graded invariant ([KR08], [Kho07]) and its colored variants ([WW17], [MSV11], [Cau17]). This package of invariants comes with a wealth of conjectured algebraic structures coming from physical considerations ([DGR06], [GS12], [GG18]) that have inspired a great deal of recent research. Examples of such structures that have been explicitly realized include specialization spectral sequences to colored \mathfrak{sl}_n homology ([Ras15]) and color-reducing spectral sequences on HOMFLYPT homology colored by minuscule representations ([Wed19]).

One such unresolved conjecture concerns the behavior of colored HOMFLYPT homology under a change in color induced by transposition of Young diagrams. Recall that irreducible representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ are indexed by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^k$ with $k < n$. These representations stabilize, in the sense that for each such partition λ with k parts, there is an irreducible representation $V_{\lambda, m}$ of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_m)$ for each $m > k$. Given a (framed, oriented) knot \mathcal{K} , the family of Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants $f_{V_{\lambda, m}}(\mathcal{K})(Q)$ colored by these representations arise as specializations of the two-variable HOMFLYPT polynomial $f^\lambda(\mathcal{K})(A, Q)$. Let λ^t denote the partition obtained from λ by reflecting the corresponding Young diagram across a diagonal axis. There is a well-known symmetry relation at this decategorified level relating the λ -colored HOMFLYPT polynomial of \mathcal{K} with its λ^t -colored analog:

$$(1) \quad f^\lambda(\mathcal{K})(A, Q) = f^{\lambda^t}(\mathcal{K})(A, Q^{-1})$$

Equation (1) suggests a categorified analog, first conjectured in [GS12], between the corresponding colored HOMFLYPT homology theories. More precisely, for each partition λ , let $HHH^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$ denote the λ -colored HOMFLYPT homology of \mathcal{K} (we postpone a precise definition of this invariant until §1.2). This is a triply-graded vector space, and its graded dimension can be expressed as a rational polynomial in variables a, q, t (these gradings are described in §1.1 below). After a change of variables $A := aq^{-2}$, $Q := q^2$, $T := q^{-2}t^2$, setting $t = -1$ in this graded dimension recovers the λ -colored HOMFLYPT polynomial $f^\lambda(\mathcal{K})$. This

specialization takes T to Q^{-1} ; as a consequence, replacing λ with λ^t and interchanging Q and T would categorify the relationship in Equation (1).

This conjectural symmetry of colored HOMFLYPT homology has come to be known as *mirror symmetry* in recognition of its connections to the homological mirror symmetry conjectures of Kontsevich ([Kon95]). The main result of this paper is a proof of an appropriate form of the mirror symmetry for \mathcal{K} a positive torus knot and λ a single column (or single row) partition. We delay a precise statement of this theorem until after our description of colored HOMFLYPT homology below. In the meantime, we remark that the most naïve formulation of this conjecture cannot be true even in the uncolored case $\lambda = (1)$ without modification; indeed, after the appropriate change of variables, the uncolored HOMFLYPT homology of the unknot $\mathcal{K} = \bigcirc$ has graded dimension

$$\dim(HHH(\mathcal{K})) = \frac{1 + A}{1 - Q}$$

This expression is clearly not symmetric under the interchange $Q \leftrightarrow T$. There are two prevalent solutions to this symmetry breaking, roughly corresponding to killing asymmetric terms and adding symmetrizing terms. The resulting invariants are referred to as *reduced* and *y-ified* homology, respectively; we describe both theories below. Perhaps unsurprisingly, complete knowledge of the *y-ified* (enlarged) invariant often implies complete knowledge of the reduced invariant in a sense that is made precise below. More surprisingly, certain dimensional phenomena often lead to easier computations after passing to *y-ified* homology (c.f. §4 and §5 of this work). For these reasons, we concern ourselves with *y-ified* homology in this paper. We describe both the reduced and the *y-ified* (uncolored) theory below.

1.1. Reduction vs. y-ification. We recall the construction of the (unreduced, uncolored) HOMFLYPT homology of a link \mathcal{L} . First, choose an n -strand braid $\beta \in Br_n$ such that the closure $\hat{\beta}$ recovers \mathcal{L} (this is always possible by Alexander's Theorem, [Ale23]). Next, assign to β a *Rouquier complex* $F(\beta)$ in the homotopy category $K^b(SBim_n)$ of Soergel bimodules; these are certain \mathbb{Z} -graded bimodules over the polynomial ring $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. We call the internal grading of these bimodules the *quantum grading*, defined by setting $\deg(x_i) = 2$ for each i . (Note: we will often denote the degree of an element multiplicatively; we use the variable q for quantum degree, so that e.g. this requirement becomes $\deg(x_i) = q^2 = Q$. We will similarly reference the *homological grading* on the chain complex $F(\beta)$ using the variable t .)

Next, apply Hochschild cohomology termwise to $F(\beta)$ to obtain a complex $HH(F(\beta)) \in K^b(\mathcal{D}(SBim_n))$. This complex $HH(F(\beta))$ inherits another grading from the derived homological degree on $\mathcal{D}(SBim_n)$; we refer to this as the *Hochschild grading*, denoted by the variable a . By the afore-mentioned work of Khovanov and Rozansky, the homology of $HH(F(\beta))$ is exactly the HOMFLYPT homology of the link \mathcal{L} , denoted $HHH(\mathcal{L})$. This is a triply-graded vector space, and its dimension is a Laurent polynomial in the variables a, q, t .

1.1.1. Reduced HOMFLYPT Homology. The bimodule structure on each chain group in $F(\beta)$ lifts to a bimodule structure on $F(\beta)$ itself. Letting $\beta \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ denote also the permutation associated to β , the right action of x_i and the left action of $x_{\beta(i)}$ are homotopic endomorphisms of $F(\beta)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Applying Hochschild cohomology identifies homotopic endomorphisms and has the added effect of identifying the left and right actions of x_i for each i . As a result, the bimodule structure on $F(\beta)$ reduces to a module structure over $R[\mathbb{X}_{\mathcal{L}}] := R[x_i]_{i \in \pi_0(\mathcal{L})}$ on $HH(F(\beta))$.

Applying Hochschild cohomology, this action is promoted to a module structure over a tensor product with one factor of the Hochschild cohomology of the unknot for each strand. This invariant of the unknot is commonly called the *derived sheet algebra*, due to its interpretation in an \mathfrak{sl}_{∞} -foams formulation of HOMFLYPT homology as the endomorphisms of a single strand. (A module structure over the derived sheet algebra is

common to many link homology theories; we refer the reader to the introduction of [HRW21] for further details.) In our setting, the derived sheet algebra is of the form $R[x] \otimes \Lambda[\theta]$, where $\deg(\theta) = aq^{-2}$ (this is in agreement with the HOMFLYPT homology of the unknot quoted above). Then the *reduced* HOMFLYPT homology of \mathcal{L} is the triply-graded vector space $\overline{HHH}(\mathcal{L})$ obtained by killing the action of the derived sheet algebra on a single strand.

Remark 1.1. In fact, standard arguments show that $HHH(\mathcal{L})$ is free over the derived sheet algebra of a single strand; see [Ras15]. This immediately implies a straightforward relationship between the (graded dimensions of the) reduced and unreduced invariants:

$$\dim(\overline{HHH}(\mathcal{L})) = \left(\frac{1+A}{1-Q} \right) \dim(HHH(\mathcal{L}))$$

Note that $\dim(\overline{HHH}(\bigcirc)) = 1$, which is clearly symmetric in Q and T . The authors in [GS12] consider such reduced constructions, but they deal only with conjectural colored invariants at the physical level of rigor. We return to this point in our discussion of colored homology in §1.2.

1.1.2. *Y-ified Homology.* We now turn to our other option for restoring the conjectural $Q \leftrightarrow T$ symmetry; this is the theory of *y*-ification as developed by Gorsky-Hogancamp in [GH22]. By the discussion above, there is a family of degree q^2t^{-1} homotopies $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ acting on $F(\beta)$ relating the right action of x_i with the left action of $x_{\beta(i)}$. A direct computation shows that these homotopies can be chosen to satisfy the following identities:

$$(2) \quad h_i^2 = 0$$

$$(3) \quad h_i h_j + h_j h_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

In particular, this family of homotopies assemble to give an exterior action of $\Lambda[h_1, \dots, h_n]$ on $F(\beta)$. By Koszul duality, we can transform this exterior action into a polynomial action on a *curved* complex (see [GH22] and §4 below). More precisely, we let $R[\mathbb{Y}]$ denote a polynomial algebra in formal variables y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n of degree $\deg(y_i) = q^{-2}t^2 = T$. We consider $R[\mathbb{Y}]$ as a chain complex with trivial differential. Define $F^y(\beta)$ to be the curved complex with the same underlying chain groups as $F(\beta) \otimes_R R[\mathbb{Y}]$ together with the degree t endomorphism (called the *connection*)

$$\delta_{F(\beta)} := d_{F(\beta)} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \otimes y_i$$

Letting x'_i denote the right action of x_i on $F^y(\beta)$, a straightforward computation using Equations (2) and (3) gives

$$\delta_{F(\beta)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{\beta(i)} - x'_i) \otimes y_i$$

Upon applying Hochschild cohomology and identifying *y* variables associated to the same link component, what remains is a *bona fide* chain complex. The (triply-graded) homology of this complex is again an invariant of the braid closure $\mathcal{L} = \hat{\beta}$, denoted $HHH^y(\mathcal{L})$. In the special case of the unknot, we obtain

$$\dim(HHH^y(\bigcirc)) = \frac{1 + A}{(1 - Q)(1 - T)}$$

Notice that this expression is again symmetric under $Q \leftrightarrow T$. The factors of $(1 - Q)^{-1}$ and $(1 - T)^{-1}$ in this expression come from the free polynomial actions of $R[x_1]$ and $R[y_1]$, respectively; in this context, one can think of the conjectural mirror symmetry as arising from an automorphism on the categorical home of y -ified homology interchanging \mathbb{X} and \mathbb{Y} . Indeed, this vision has been realized in the uncolored setting by recent work of Gorsky-Hogancamp-Mellit and Oblomkov-Rozansky ([GHM21], [OR17], [OR18], [OR19a], [OR19b], [OR20]).

Remark 1.2. Just as the reduced homology can be recovered from the unreduced homology by killing the polynomial action by $R_{\mathcal{L}}$, the usual, un y -ified homology can be recovered from the y -ified homology by killing the action of $R[\mathbb{Y}]$. More precisely, by the same arguments as above, $HHH^y(\mathbb{L})$ carries both an action of $R_{\mathcal{L}}$ and a polynomial action of one y variable for each component of \mathcal{L} ; we refer to this latter polynomial ring in y variables as $R[\mathbb{Y}_{\mathcal{L}}]$. The $R_{\mathcal{L}}$ -module obtained from this larger module structure by setting each y variable to 0 is exactly $HHH(\mathcal{L})$.

Notice that this reduction from HHH^y to HHH depends on the module structure of HHH^y . In [GH22], those authors give a variety of conditions under which $HHH^y(\mathcal{L})$ is a free module over the alphabet \mathbb{Y} ; in those cases, analogously to the passage from HHH to \overline{HHH} , the graded dimensions of the two theories are simply related by dividing by the dimension of $R[\mathbb{Y}_{\mathcal{L}}]$.

A direct application of Remarks 1.1 and 1.2 gives the following proposition.

Proposition 1.3. *Let \mathcal{L} be an r -component link, and suppose $HHH(\mathcal{L})$ is a free module over $R[\mathbb{Y}_{\mathcal{L}}]$. Then we have*

$$\dim(\overline{HHH}(\mathcal{L})) = \left(\frac{1 + A}{1 - Q} \right) (1 - T)^r \dim(HHH^y(\mathcal{L}))$$

In particular, let $r = 1$, so that \mathcal{L} is a *knot*. Then if $HHH^y(\mathcal{L})$ is symmetric under $Q \leftrightarrow T$ symmetry and is free over $R[\mathbb{Y}_{\mathcal{L}}]$, $\overline{HHH}(\mathcal{L})$ is also symmetric under $Q \leftrightarrow T$ symmetry.

In this uncolored setting, our work provides an explicit computation of $HHH^y(T(m, n))$ for all positive torus links $T(m, n)$. While the resulting expression is not manifestly symmetric under $Q \leftrightarrow T$ symmetry, it does arise from a known expression for $HHH^y(T(m, n))$ as computed by Hogancamp-Mellit in [HM19] upon application of exactly this symmetry (when working in a coefficient field). As a consequence, we obtain a new proof of the uncolored variant of our main theorem:

Theorem 1.4. *Let R be a field. Then for all $m, n \geq 0$, the y -ified HOMFLYPT homology of the positive torus link $T(m, n)$ satisfies*

$$\dim(HHH^y(T(m, n))(A, Q, T)) = \dim(HHH^y(T(m, n))(A, T, Q))$$

up to an overall normalization.

This result is not new in the uncolored setting and follows from the afore-mentioned work of Gorsky-Hogancamp-Mellit and Oblomkov-Rozansky. We also point out that $HHH^y(T(m, n))$ is known to be a free module over $R[\mathbb{Y}_{T(m, n)}]$ for R a field; hence by Proposition 1.3, we obtain the corresponding symmetry statement for reduced homology.

1.2. Colored HOMFLYPT Homology. To motivate our discussion of colored HOMFLYPT homology, we first recall the construction of the colored HOMFLYPT polynomial. We let H_n denote the Type A_{n-1} Hecke algebra throughout. This is a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra generated by elements s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^2 &= (q - q^{-1})s_i + 1; \\ s_i s_{i+1} s_i &= s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2; \\ s_i s_j &= s_j s_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$

Notice that H_n is a quotient of the braid group algebra $\mathbb{C}(q)[Br_n]$ by the first quadratic relation above; in particular, H_n is a representation of Br_n under the map π sending each positive Artin generator $\sigma_i \in Br_n$ to $s_i \in H_n$. There exists a linear map $\chi: H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(a, q)$ called the *Jones-Oceanu trace* satisfying properties compatible with the relationship between braids and link closures ([Jon87]); this is the decategorified analog of applying Hochschild cohomology to obtain HOMFLYPT homology. Given a braid representative β of \mathcal{L} , the HOMFLYPT polynomial of \mathcal{L} is defined as $f(\mathcal{L}) = \chi(\pi(\beta))$ (up to an overall normalization and change of variables).

To define the *colored* HOMFLYPT polynomial, recall that there exist a family of central idempotents $p_\lambda \in H_n$ called *Young symmetrizers* indexed by partitions¹ λ of n . Given a (framed) link $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \mathcal{L}_r$, the $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$ -colored HOMFLYPT polynomial is obtained by the following procedure.

- (1) Pick a braid representation β of \mathcal{L} .
- (2) Choose a collection of r marked points, one on each component of \mathcal{L} , away from the crossings of β .
- (3) Replace each λ_i -colored strand with $|\lambda_i|$ parallel strands.
- (4) Insert a copy of p_{λ_i} at the corresponding marked points of $\pi(\beta)$.
- (5) Apply χ to the result of the previous step.

The resulting Laurent polynomial can be shown to be independent of choice of β and marked points; up to normalization, this is the colored HOMFLYPT polynomial $f^{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r}(\mathcal{L})$.

Colored HOMFLYPT homology is obtained from a categorification of this procedure akin to the process introduced in [CK12] for the \mathfrak{sl}_2 case of Khovanov homology. Most of the required technology is provided by the Rouquier complex and Hochschild cohomology mentioned above; the cabling procedure proceeds exactly as in the decategorified case. What remains is to identify a categorified analog of the Young symmetrizers p_λ . These *categorified projectors* are semi-infinite complexes $P_\lambda \in \mathcal{K}^-(SBim_n)$ of Soergel bimodules. That the family of Young symmetrizers p_λ form an orthogonal decomposition of the identity in H_n by idempotents has a corresponding property in $\mathcal{K}^-(SBim_n)$; we refer the interested reader to ([EH17a], [EH17b]) for details. As a consequence, the complexes P_λ enjoy certain idempotency and centrality properties that enable a coherent, choice-independent construction of colored homology theories HHH_λ by exactly the categorical analog of the procedure described above. In the language of [Hog17], we say P_λ are *(co)unital idempotents*.

In this work, we deal with the cases in which $\lambda = (n)$ is a single row partition and $\lambda = (1^n)$ a single column partition; we refer to these as *row-colored* and *column-colored* homology, respectively. For \mathcal{K} a colored knot, we label the corresponding homology theories $HHH_{\text{Sym}^n}(\mathcal{K})$ and $HHH_{\wedge^n}(\mathcal{K})$ to highlight the connection with the corresponding representations. The corresponding categorical categorified projectors in the setting of HOMFLYPT homology were first constructed and studied by Hogancamp in [Hog18] in the case of $P_{(n)}$

¹These Young symmetrizers decompose as sums $p_\lambda = \sum_T p_T$ of “primitive” idempotents p_T , where the sum is indexed by standard tableaux T of shape λ . Since we are interested here in the cases in which λ is a single row or a single column, for which there is only a single such choice T , we do not concern ourselves with this distinction.

and by Abel-Hogancamp² in [AH17] in the case of $P_{(1^n)}$. The projectors P_λ were constructed for general λ by Elias-Hogancamp in ([EH17a], [EH17b]).

To formulate a plausible mirror symmetry conjecture for this colored homology package again requires either reduction or y -ification. We concern ourselves with y -ified homology in this work; we precisely formulate the mirror symmetry conjecture of interest to us below.

Conjecture 1.5. *There exist y -ifications P_λ^y of the categorified projectors P_λ . Given an r -component link $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \mathcal{L}_r$, these y -ified projectors give rise to y -ified colored HOMFLYPT homology theories $HHH_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r}^y(\mathcal{L})$. These colored homology theories satisfy*

$$\dim(HHH_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r}^y(\mathcal{L}))(A, Q, T) = \dim(HHH_{\lambda_1^t, \dots, \lambda_r^t}^y(\mathcal{L}))(A, T, Q)$$

Partial progress towards y -ified colored homology was made by Elbehiry in [Elb22] in the form of a y -ification of $P_{(1^n)}$, though that author does not verify the properties required to formulate a well-defined homology theory (namely, that $P_{(1^n)}^y$ is a counital idempotent and slides past crossings). We carry out that verification in this work, obtaining the following result.

Theorem 1.6. *There exists a y -ification $P_{(1^n)}^y$ of the column projector $P_{(1^n)}$. This y -ification is a counital idempotent in the appropriate category, and its dual $(P_{(1^n)}^y)^\vee$ gives rise to a column-colored y -ified link homology theory $HHH_{\Lambda^n}^y$.*

In the case that \mathcal{K} is a positive torus knot, we are able to explicitly compute $HHH_{\Lambda^n}^y(\mathcal{K})$. We reproduce the result below. In what follows, for each $1 \leq l \leq n-1$, we let $X_{l+1} := s_l s_{l-1} \cdots s_2 s_1 \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ denote a cyclic permutation on the first l letters.

Theorem 1.7. *For each pair $v \in \{0, 1\}^{l+m}$, $w \in \{0, 1\}^{l+n}$ and each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^l$, let $p_\sigma(v, w) \in \mathbb{N}[[a, q, t]]$ be the family of power series satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $p_e(0^m 1, 0^n 1) = \left(\frac{1+A}{(1-Q)(1-T)} \right) p_e(0^m, 0^n)$ for all $m, n \geq 0$;
- (2) $p_\sigma(v 1, w 1) = \left(\frac{Q^l + A}{1-Q} \right) p_{T r_{l+1}(\sigma)}(v, w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$ and $\sigma(n) = n$;
- (3) $p_\sigma(v 1, w 1) = (Q^l + A) p_{T r_{l+1}(\sigma)}(v, w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$ and $\sigma(n) \neq n$;
- (4) $p_\sigma(v 0, w 1) = p_{\sigma X_{l+1}}(v, 1w)$ if $|v| = |w| + 1 = l \geq 1$;
- (5) $p_\sigma(v 1, w 0) = p_{X_{l+1}\sigma}(1v, w)$ if $|v| + 1 = |w| = l \geq 1$;
- (6) $p_e(0^m, 0^n) = p_e(10^{m-1}, 10^{n-1})$;
- (7) $p_\sigma(v 0, w 0) = Q^{-l} p_\sigma(1v, 1w) + Q^{-l} T p_\sigma(0v, 0w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$.

These relations uniquely determine $p_\sigma(v, w)$ for all possible input data v, w, σ . Moreover, for each $k, m, n \geq 1$ with m, n coprime, the positive torus knot $T(m, n)$ satisfies

$$\dim(HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(T(m, n))) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{1 - Q^{1-i} T} \right) p_e(1^k 0^{k(m-1)}, 1^k 0^{k(n-1)})$$

More generally, for any $m, n \geq 1$, let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then the positive torus link $T(m, n)$ satisfies

²In fact we work primarily with the dual projector $P_{(1^n)}^\vee$ for reasons explained below.

$$\dim(HHH_{\Lambda^k, \Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^1}^y(T(m, n))) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{1 - Q^{1-i}T} \right) p_e(1^k 0^{md^{-1}(d-1)+k(md^{-1}-1)}, 1^k 0^{nd^{-1}(d-1)+k(nd^{-1}-1)})$$

We also construct a y -ified row projector $P_{(n)}^y$. We expect that $P_{(n)}^y$ is a unital idempotent, though we do not prove this fact. Nevertheless, our construction suffices to determine the candidate theory $HHH_{\text{Sym}^n}^y(\mathcal{K})$ for \mathcal{K} a positive torus knot via a modest extension of work of Hogancamp-Mellit [HM19] (again, for R a field). Comparing with Theorem 1.7, we immediately obtain our primary theorem:

Theorem 1.8. *Let R be a field. Then for all $k, m, n \geq 1$, the positive torus link $T(m, n)$ satisfies*

$$\dim(HHH_{\text{Sym}^k, \text{Sym}^1, \dots, \text{Sym}^1}^y(T(m, n)))(A, Q, T) = \dim(HHH_{\Lambda^k, \Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^1}^y(T(m, n)))(A, T, Q)$$

up to an overall normalization.

To our knowledge, this is the first verification of Conjecture 1.5 for nontrivial λ .

Example 1.9. We illustrate the mirror symmetry phenomenon of Theorem 1.8 for the k -colored unknot. Throughout, we let \mathcal{L} denote the unknot. As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.7, the y -ified, Λ^k -colored invariant of \mathcal{L} has graded dimension

$$(4) \quad \dim(HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y)(\mathcal{L}) = \left(\frac{1}{1-Q} \right)^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{Q^{i-1} + A}{1 - Q^{1-i}T} \right)$$

On the other hand, by Example 4.7 in [HM19], the (un y -ified) Sym^k -colored invariant of \mathcal{L} has graded dimension

$$\dim(HHH_{\text{Sym}^k})(\mathcal{L}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{T^{i-1} + A}{1 - QT^{i-1}}$$

In particular, this invariant is concentrated in even homological degrees. As a consequence (see our Proposition 5.31), the corresponding y -ified invariant is a free module over $R[y_1, \dots, y_k]$. Since each of these y variables has degree $\deg(y_i) = q^{-2}t^2 = T$, we easily obtain the graded dimension of the y -ified invariant by multiplication:

$$(5) \quad \dim(HHH_{\text{Sym}^k}^y)(\mathcal{L}) = \left(\frac{1}{1-T} \right)^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{T^{i-1} + A}{1 - QT^{i-1}} \right)$$

It is clear that interchanging Q and T in either of Equations (4) and (5) recovers the other.

Remark 1.10. There are several distinct categorifications of the colored HOMFLYPT polynomial in the literature. In the case of $\lambda = (1^k)$ a single column, one such theory is obtained by replacing each (1^k) -labelled strand with a *colored* braid strand labelled with the positive integer k and replacing the Rouquier complex with the Rickard complex of colored braids. This approach was originally proposed by Mackaay-Stosic-Vaz in [MSV11] and constructed in general by Webster-Williamson in [WW17]; for clarity, we refer to this colored homology theory within this Remark as *Webster-Williamson* homology. This is the invariant

studied in [RT21] and [HRW21]; the authors of the latter work also construct a y -ified version of this invariant. A reduced version of Webster-Williamson homology is constructed and studied in [Wed19].

In this setting of colored strands, Cautis in [Cau17] gives a construction of the projectors P_λ for an arbitrary partition λ in terms of infinite colored full twist braids; this construction was extended by Abel-Willis in [AW19], [Wil21]. These projectors can also be used to construct a colored link homology theory by the same process; we refer to this theory as *Cautis homology*. In the case that λ is a single column, this recovers Webster-Williamson homology.

In the finite rank case of colored \mathfrak{sl}_n homology, many known categorifications were shown to be equivalent by Cautis in [Cau15]. In contrast, for colored HOMFLYPT homology, even for the un y -ified column-colored unknot these invariants disagree. Webster-Williamson and Cautis homology assign the (1^k) -colored unknot a vector space of dimension

$$\bigcirc_k \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1 + aq^{-2i}}{1 - q^{2i}}$$

On the other hand, the dimension of the (un y -ified) Elias-Hogancamp homology considered in this paper evaluated on the (1^k) -colored unknot is

$$\bigcirc \mapsto \left(\frac{1 - t^2 q^{-2}}{1 - q^2} \right)^k \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1 + aq^{-2i}}{1 - t^2 q^{-2i}}$$

After y -ifying, we may similarly compare our invariant obtained from the y -ified projector to that of Hogancamp-Rose-Wedrich in [HRW21], obtained from a family of higher y -ifications on Rickard complexes. For convenience, we denote their y -ified, \bigwedge^k -colored invariant of a given knot \mathcal{L} by $HRW_k(\mathcal{L})$. Reading from Example 5.31 in [HRW21], the invariant of the k -colored unknot in this theory has graded dimension

$$\dim(HRW_k(\bigcirc)) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1 + AQ^{1-i}}{(1 - Q^i)(1 - TQ^{1-i})}$$

A straightforward comparison with Equation (4) gives the relationship

$$\dim(HHH_{\bigwedge^k}^y(\bigcirc)) = q^{-\frac{3}{2}k(k-1)} [k]! \dim(HRW_k(\bigcirc))$$

Here $[k]!$ is the product of the first k quantum natural numbers, as explained below. For now, we briefly comment that this factor of $[k]!$ is exactly the factor obtained by digon removal when completely splitting and merging a k -labelled strand. See Section 1.4.1 for further discussion.

Categorified Young symmetrizers have also been constructed in the finite rank \mathfrak{sl}_n homology setting by a variety of authors; see ([Cau15], [CK12], [Ros14], [Roz10], [SS11]) and the references therein.

1.3. Torus Link Homology via Column Projectors. The technology enabling our proof of Theorem 1.7 fits within a recursive framework originally implemented by Elias-Hogancamp-Mellit in their computations of (un y -ified) uncolored and row-colored homology ([EH19], [Mel22], [HM19]). Their work relies on a recursive construction of the family of projectors $P_{(n)}$ for varying n developed by Hogancamp in [Hog18] emphasizing the projectors' behavior under concatenation with the Jucys-Murphy braid J_{n+1} . In particular, letting v_n be a formal variable of degree $q^{2n}t^{2-2n} = QT^{1-n}$, Hogancamp proves that $P_{(n)}$ satisfies a recursion of the form

$$(6) \quad P_{(n)} \simeq (q^{2n}t^{1-2n}\mathbb{Z}[v_n] \otimes P_{(n-1)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[v_n] \otimes P_{(n-1)}J_n)$$

Upon setting $P_{(1)} := R_1$, this recursion lays bare a $\mathbb{Z}[v_2, \dots, v_n]$ -periodicity in $P_{(n)}$. This periodicity is reflected in an action of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[v_2, \dots, v_n]$ on the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}(P_{(n)})$; "killing the action" of these periodic endomorphisms v_i by passing to a Koszul complex results in a finite analogue $K_{(n)}$ of the projector $P_{(n)}$. This finite projector inherits from $P_{(n)}$ a recursive description similar to that of Equation (6). Upon rotating triangles, this allows one to absorb all the homological complexity of the Jucys-Murphy braid in the complex $K_{(n-1)}J_n$ into a convolution involving only finite projectors $K_{(n)}$ and $K_{(n-1)}$. This method is particularly well-suited to torus links, allowing for a complete decomposition of their Rouquier complexes into convolutions of finite projectors and disjoint strands.

The problem of computing link homology is thus reduced to the two problems of computing Hochschild cohomology of the finite projectors $K_{(n)}$ and reassembling the Hochschild cohomology of a convolution from the Hochschild cohomologies of its constituents. The former is accomplished by way of the (finite analogue of the) topological recursion (6). The latter task is greatly simplified by the observation that the relative homological shifts between these summands are all of even degree; since the differential must be of *odd* homological degree (in particular, degree 1), this convolution is forced to be a direct sum. In particular, the Hochschild cohomology of the convolution is the direct sum of the Hochschild cohomologies of its summands.

We recreate this procedure for the column projector $P_{(1^n)}$. This projector was originally constructed by Abel-Hogancamp in [AH17]; their construction realizes $P_{(1^n)}$ as an explicit periodic complex modeled on a certain finite Koszul complex K_n . Our first task is to adapt their construction to the topological setting. Instead of working directly with the infinite complex, we begin by establishing the desired recursion for the finite complex K_n . We then extend to the infinite projector $P_{(1^n)}$ by means of a long, explicit verification that our convolution can be perturbed to be compatible with the periodic structure on $P_{(1^n)}$. Leveraging uniqueness of counital idempotents, we arrive at the following (Theorem 3.6 below):

Theorem 1.11. *Let u_n be a formal variable of degree $q^{-2n}t^2 = Q^{1-n}T$. Then the infinite projector $P_{(1^n)}$ satisfies a recursion of the form*

$$P_{(1^n)} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes P_{(1^{n-1})} \rightarrow q^2t^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes P_{(1^{n-1})}J_n)$$

Remark 1.12. Notice that it is $\mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}]$, not $\mathbb{Z}[u_n]$, that appears in this construction. This reflects the status of $P_{(1^n)}$ as a counital idempotent rather than a unital idempotent. To construct the colored homology theory of interest to us, we will dualize to the corresponding unital idempotent $P_{(1^n)}^\vee$. This restores the action of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_n]$ on $\text{End}(P_{(1^n)}^\vee)$, allowing for a similar reduction to consideration of finite column projectors as in [EH19]. Notice that hints of mirror symmetry are already present in these periodic constructions, in that $\deg(u_i)(Q, T) = \deg(v_i)(T, Q)$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n$.

Unfortunately, the finite column projectors K_n do not satisfy the same homological parity properties as do the finite row projectors $K_{(n)}$; c.f. Section 4.1 below. To remedy this, we explicitly y -ify each step of the above recursive construction. The appropriate y -ified complexes were originally constructed by Elbehry in [Elb22], though we require slight modifications of that author's constructions here. Another explicit computation verifies that the family of y -ified projectors $P_{(1^n)}^y$ enjoys the same recursive structure as does the un y -ified family. The following is Theorem 4.31 below:

Theorem 1.13. *There exist (strict) y -ifications $P_{(1^n)}^y$ of the projectors $P_{(1^n)}$ satisfying*

$$P_{(1^n)}^y \simeq \left(\mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes P_{(1^{n-1})}^y \rightarrow q^2 t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes P_{(1^{n-1})}^y J_n^y \right)$$

Remark 1.14. We pause here to remark that the convolutions involved in computing link homology are finite. In fact, for the computation of uncolored homology, the full strength of the infinite projector is not required, and we could content ourselves with establishing Theorem 1.13 for the finite y -ified projectors K_n^y . The advantage of the infinite version of Theorem 1.13 is the ease it furnishes in proving that $P_{(1^n)}^y$ is a counital idempotent and hence gives rise to a well-defined colored homology theory. The corresponding fact for the un y -ified column projector was established in [AH17] using general theory of projective resolutions. This toolkit is not available to us after y -ifying, as we now deal with *curved* complexes. Instead, we are forced to adapt the corresponding argument for the row projector from [Hog18] to this setting.

To explicitly compute the Hochschild cohomology of the y -ified finite column projectors K_n^y requires us to develop a robust toolkit for computing y -ified Hochschild cohomology in general. In particular, we construct y -ified "partial trace" functors analogous to the un y -ified partial trace functors developed in [Hog18]. With this technology in hand, we are able to localize our computations to individual strands.

By replacing the finite row projector $K_{(n)}$ with the y -ified finite column projector K_n^y , we are able to adapt the computations of uncolored homology for arbitrary positive torus links carried out by Hogancamp-Mellit in [HM19] to our setting. After dualizing as in Remark 1.12, we can pass from computations involving the finite projector $(K_n^y)^\vee$ to those involving the infinite projector $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ by restoring periodicity exactly as in the row-colored case, finally arriving at Theorem 1.7.

1.4. Outlook. In this section, we briefly describe potential extensions of this work in perceived order of difficulty.

1.4.1. Comparing Colored Homologies. In [HRW21], those authors compute their y -ified Webster-Williamson homology of the Hopf link $T(2, 2)$ for arbitrary positive integer colors. Numerical comparison with the results of this paper suggests that the relationship between their results and our y -ified Elias-Hogancamp invariant for these links should be similar to the corresponding relationship for the unknot of Remark 1.1, though the statement of a precise conjecture would require an appropriate interpretation of the deformation alphabets of [HRW21] on each colored strand. We expect that one could leverage our Propositions 3.15 and 5.22 to pass directly from Elias-Hogancamp homology to Webster-Williamson homology whenever the former is concentrated in even homological degree. In particular, this would allow for a proof of parity for Webster-Williamson colored homology of positive torus links from a generalization of our Theorem 1.7 to multiple colored strands. After passing to reduced homology, it is reasonable to expect that such a relationship would also suggest an analogue of the exponential growth properties established by Wedrich in [Wed19] for our invariant. We plan to investigate this problem in future work.

1.4.2. Module Structure over (Derived) Sheet Algebra. As discussed in §1.1.1, many link homology theories carry an additional module structure over the corresponding sheet algebra; in particular, this is true of the y -ified invariants constructed in [GH22] and [HRW21]. In fact more is true: The y -ified homology of [GH22] carries a well-defined action of the *derived* sheet algebra in that theory, and the invariant of [HRW21] carries an action of the sheet algebra at the level of chain complexes, well-defined up to quasi-isomorphism, which conjecturally extends to an action of the derived sheet algebra. We construct an action of the relevant derived sheet algebra on \bigwedge^k -colored y -ified homology in §5.4. In light of the discussion in §1.4.1, it would be interesting to investigate the relationship between this module structure and that of [HRW21].

1.4.3. *y-ified Row-colored Homology.* Our procedure for computing y -ified \bigwedge^k -colored homology passes first from uncolored, un y -ified homology to uncolored y -ified homology by direct computation, then to y -ified column-colored homology by directly accounting for periodicity. In contrast, we establish Theorem 1.8 by passing from un y -ified row-colored homology as computed in [HM19] to y -ified row-colored homology, appealing to parity arguments developed in [GH22] to simplify our computations. This approach has two disadvantages over its reversal. First, the parity arguments of [GH22] require one to work over a coefficient *field*; this prevents us from claiming Theorem 1.8 over the integers. More fundamentally, our y -ification of the row projector $P_{(n)}$ in Theorem 5.27 does not demonstrate the same recursive structure as our construction of $P_{(1^n)}^y$. As such, we are unable to directly apply our arguments to prove that $P_{(n)}^y$ is a unital idempotent and hence unable to construct a general y -ified row-colored homology theory. One could imagine instead carrying out the procedure of this paper to obtain an explicit y -ification of the recursion of [Hog18]. See Remark 5.33 for more details.

1.4.4. *Mirror Symmetry for Hooks.* More generally, it was suggested to the author by Matt Hogancamp that the arguments of this work should be adaptable to the case of *hook* partitions of the form $\lambda = (n, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. This would generalize both the column-colored computation of this paper and the row-colored computations of §1.4.3. Since hooks are stable under transposition $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^t$, these computations would establish Conjecture 1.5 in this case.

1.5. **Structure.** This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the categorical background required for our constructions and introduce notation; none of that material is original, and the expert may safely skip to Section 3. We begin Section 3 by recalling the construction of [AH17] and reducing the proof of Theorem 1.11 to the existence of certain distinguished morphisms as in [Hog18]. We construct the first of these morphisms in Section 3.1. We construct the second such morphism for the finite projector in Section 3.2 and extend to the infinite projector in Section 3.3. In Section 4 we y -ify this whole procedure, culminating in Theorem 4.31 in Section 4.4. We silo a long technical piece of this computation to Appendix A. We develop the y -ified partial trace toolkit referenced above in Section 4.6. Finally, in Section 5, we apply our results to compute column-colored y -ified homology, beginning with computations for finite projectors (and their twisted cousins) in Section 5.1 and culminating in Theorem 5.35 in Section 5.3.

1.6. **Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank Eugene Gorsky for many helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper, Ben Elias for his explanation of categorical diagonalization, and Matt Hogancamp for many helpful discussions during the preparation of this work. He also sends thanks to Andrew Adair, Reed Hubbard, and Emma Crawford, whose continuing support throughout the long preparation of this work were instrumental in its eventual completion. The author would especially like to thank his advisor, David Rose, for countless helpful discussions and his enduring guidance and encouragement during the long preparation of this work.

During the preparation of this work, the author was partially supported by Simons Collaboration Grant 523992: “Research on knot invariants, representation theory, and categorification,” NSF CAREER Grant DMS-2144463, and NSF Grant DMS-1954266. This project was conceived of and partially carried out during the AIM Research Community on Link Homology.

2. CATEGORICAL BACKGROUND

2.1. **DG Categories.** Let R be a commutative ring, and let \mathcal{A} be an R -linear category. Denote by $\text{Seq}(\mathcal{A})$ the category whose objects are sequences $\{X^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of objects in \mathcal{A} indexed by \mathbb{Z} and whose morphism spaces are \mathbb{Z} -graded R -modules with components

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Seq}(\mathcal{A})}^k(X, Y) := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X^i, Y^{i+k})$$

We call $x \in X^j$ an element of *degree* j (or *homological degree* j when we wish to emphasize the indexing set \mathbb{Z}) and write $|x| = j$ or $\deg(x) = j$; similarly, we say f is a morphism of (homological) *degree* j and write $|f| = j$ or $\deg(f) = j$ if $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Seq}(\mathcal{A})}^j(X, Y)$. Denote by $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ the category whose objects consist of pairs $X \in \mathrm{Seq}(\mathcal{A})$ and morphisms $d_X \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Seq}(\mathcal{A})}^1(X)$ satisfying $d_X^2 = 0$, and whose morphisms are inherited from those of $\mathrm{Seq}(\mathcal{A})$. We call objects of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ *chain complexes* and d_X the *differential* on X . In the special case that $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$, we call objects of $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ *dg R -modules*.

Observe that given $X, Y \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$, the space of morphisms $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)$ is a dg R -module via the differential below:

$$d_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)} : f \mapsto [d, f] := d_Y \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d_X$$

Moreover, if \mathcal{A} is a monoidal category, then $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ is a monoidal category also, with tensor product structure given by

$$(X \otimes Y)^k := \bigoplus_{i+j=k} X^i \otimes Y^j; \quad d_{X \otimes Y} := d_X \otimes \mathrm{id}_Y + \mathrm{id}_X \otimes d_Y$$

By convention the evaluation of the tensor product of two homogeneous morphisms f, g on two homogeneous elements x, y is defined as

$$(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y) := (-1)^{|g||x|} f(x) \otimes g(y)$$

Evaluation in general is given by additively extending the above rule. As a result of the above convention, we have the following *middle interchange law* for homogeneous morphisms f, f', g, g' :

$$(f \otimes g) \circ (f' \otimes g') = (-1)^{|g||f'|} (f \circ f') \otimes (g \circ g')$$

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d_X) be a dg R -module. We call X a *dg R -algebra* if X is equipped with an R -bilinear multiplication giving X the structure of a graded R -algebra with respect to homological degree and d_X satisfies the *graded Leibniz rule*:

$$d_X(ab) = (d_X(a))b + (-1)^{|a|} a(d_X(b))$$

Given two dg R -algebras $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$, their tensor product $X \otimes Y$ also has the structure of a dg R -algebra with multiplication

$$(x_1 \otimes y_1)(x_2 \otimes y_2) := (-1)^{|y_1||x_2|} (x_1 x_2) \otimes (y_1 y_2)$$

Example 2.2. Let A be any R -algebra; then A has a trivial dg R -algebra structure obtained by declaring all elements of A to live in degree 0 and setting $d_A = 0$.

Example 2.3. Let $X \in \mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ be given; then $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}(X)$ is a dg R -algebra with multiplication given by function composition. The only nontrivial check is the graded Leibniz rule, which is easily verified.

Example 2.4. Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ be formal variables of homological degree -1 . Then the exterior algebra $\bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$ over R has the structure of a dg algebra with trivial differential. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, we

have morphisms $\theta_j \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(R\text{-Mod})}^{-1}(\bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n])$ and $\theta_j^\vee \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(R\text{-Mod})}^1(\bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n])$ given by multiplication and contraction, respectively. Each of these endomorphisms squares to 0, and $\theta_i\theta_j + \theta_j\theta_i = \theta_i^\vee\theta_j^\vee + \theta_j^\vee\theta_i^\vee = \theta_i\theta_j^\vee + \theta_j^\vee\theta_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$. We also have $\theta_i\theta_i^\vee + \theta_i^\vee\theta_i = \text{id}$ for each i .

Given any dg R -algebra M , the tensor product $M \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$ is a dg R -algebra with trivial differential by the monoidal structure discussed above. Given any elements $f_1, \dots, f_n \in M$, there is an alternative dg R -algebra structure on the underlying chain complex $M \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$ via the differential

$$d_{M \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]} := \sum_{j=1}^n f_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee$$

That this differential satisfies $d^2 = 0$ is an easy consequence of the middle interchange law; the graded Leibniz rule follows from the fact that contraction is a derivation. The dg R -algebra $M \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n]$ equipped with this differential is often called the *Koszul complex* for the elements f_1, \dots, f_n on M .

We call morphisms $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)$ *closed* if $[d, f] = 0$ and *exact* (or *nullhomotopic*) if $f = [d, g]$ for some $g \in \text{Hom}(X, Y)$. Two homogeneous morphisms f, g with $|f| = |g|$ are called *homotopic* if $f - g$ is exact; in this case, we write $f \sim g$. A closed map of degree 0 is called a *chain map*.

Definition 2.5. We call \mathcal{A} a *dg category over R* (or just a dg category, if there is no confusion) if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ is an object of $\text{Ch}(R\text{-Mod})$ for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ and composition of morphisms defines a chain map

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \otimes \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Z)$$

In this case, we will often denote the differential on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ simply by $d_{\mathcal{A}}$. That composition of morphisms defines a chain map is easily checked to be equivalent to the *Leibniz rule* for homogeneous morphisms f, g :

$$d_{\mathcal{A}}(f \circ g) = d_{\mathcal{A}}(f) \circ g + (-1)^{|f|} f \circ d_{\mathcal{A}}(g)$$

Example 2.6. It is easily checked that the differential $d_{\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}}$ satisfies the Leibniz rule, so $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ is a dg category. As above, we denote the differential on morphism spaces in this category by $d_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})}$ or by $[d, -]$ when there is no confusion.

Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be any dg categories. A *dg functor* $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a functor from \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{D} that induces a degree zero chain map on hom spaces; that is, $d_{\mathcal{D}}(F(f)) = F(d_{\mathcal{C}}(f))$ for all f .

We let $Z^0(\mathcal{C})$ denote the category with the same objects as \mathcal{C} and morphisms restricted to the closed degree zero morphisms of \mathcal{C} . We restrict the use of the word *isomorphism* for objects in \mathcal{C} to be the usual notion of isomorphism in $Z^0(\mathcal{C})$ (that is, relation via invertible morphisms in $Z^0(\mathcal{C})$). We write $X \cong Y$ to denote isomorphic objects of \mathcal{C} .

Let $H^0(\mathcal{C})$ denote the category with the same objects and morphisms as $Z^0(\mathcal{C})$ but declare two morphisms f, g equivalent in $Z^0(\mathcal{C})$ if $f \sim g$. We will often call $H^0(\mathcal{C})$ the *homotopy category* of \mathcal{C} . We call two complexes X, Y *homotopy equivalent* if X and Y are isomorphic in $H^0(\mathcal{C})$, and write $X \simeq Y$. If $X \simeq 0$, we say X is *contractible*. Unwinding definitions, we see that the data of a homotopy equivalence is a collection of maps:

$$h \left(\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ X \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{g} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Y \\ \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} k$$

such that $|f| = |g| = 0$, $|h| = |k| = 1$, $d_{\mathcal{C}}(f) = d_{\mathcal{C}}(g) = 0$, $d_{\mathcal{C}}(h) = \text{id}_X - g \circ f$, and $d_{\mathcal{C}}(k) = \text{id}_Y - f \circ g$. A homotopy equivalence satisfying $k = 0$ is called a *strong deformation retraction* from X to Y .

We will often denote $Z^0(\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}))$ by $C(\mathcal{A})$ and $H^0(\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A}))$ by $K(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} is abelian, then a morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{C(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)$ induces a map $f^* \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Seq}(\mathcal{A})}(H^\bullet(X), H^\bullet(Y))$ in cohomology. We call f a *quasi-isomorphism* if f^* is an isomorphism.

We will often use superscripts to denote bounds on sequences in categories above. For example, $K^b(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $K^+(\mathcal{A})$, $K^-(\mathcal{A})$) will denote the homotopy category of bounded (resp. bounded below, bounded above) chain complexes on \mathcal{A} . $\text{Seq}^b(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{Ch}^b(\mathcal{A})$, etc. are defined similarly.

Our primary tool in establishing strong deformation retractions between complexes will be the following:

Proposition 2.7 (Gaussian Elimination). *Let \mathcal{A} be an R -linear category, let $A, B_1, B_2, D, E, F \in \mathcal{A}$ be given, and let $\varphi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ be an isomorphism. Then whenever the top row of the diagram below is a piece of a chain complex, the morphisms specified by the vertical arrows of the diagram below on that piece and identity maps elsewhere constitute a strong deformation retraction from the top row to the bottom row.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}} & B_1 \oplus D & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \kappa \\ \gamma & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}} & B_2 \oplus E & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \rho & \psi \end{pmatrix}} & F \\
 \text{id}_A \updownarrow & \text{id}_A & \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi^{-1}\kappa \\ \text{id}_D \end{pmatrix} \updownarrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{id}_D \end{pmatrix} \updownarrow & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{id}_E \end{pmatrix} \updownarrow & \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma\varphi^{-1} & \text{id}_E \end{pmatrix} \updownarrow & \text{id}_F \updownarrow & \text{id}_F \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & D & \xrightarrow{\epsilon - \gamma\varphi^{-1}\kappa} & E & \xrightarrow{\psi} & F
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Direct computation. □

2.2. Graded Categories. Let Γ be an abelian group, and let \mathcal{C} be a dg category. We say that \mathcal{C} has a Γ -action (or that \mathcal{C} is Γ -graded) if there exist dg functors $F_\gamma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying $F_e = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{C}}$, $F_{\gamma+\gamma'} = F_\gamma \circ F_{\gamma'}$. In this setting, given $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, we define *graded* hom spaces $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^\Gamma(X, Y)$ by

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}^\Gamma(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma_\gamma X, Y)$$

Example 2.8. The category $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded via the *homological shift* (or *suspension*) functors Σ_j defined via $(\Sigma_j X)^k = X^{j+k}$. We often abbreviate these simply by $\Sigma_j X = t^j X$. To ensure that Σ_j is a dg functor, we require that $d_{t^j X} = (-1)^{|j|} d_X$. This sign convention also ensures that homological shift commutes with tensor product; i.e. $(t^j X) \otimes Y \cong X \otimes (t^j Y) \cong t^j(X \otimes Y)$.

Example 2.9. If R is a Γ -graded ring, then we may consider the category $R\text{-gMod}$, with objects graded R -modules and morphisms all homogeneous (not necessarily degree-preserving!) graded module homomorphisms, as a dg category with morphism spaces concentrated in homological degree 0 and trivial differential. Then $R\text{-gMod}$ has a Γ -action given by formal degree shift of graded modules.

Example 2.10. If R is a Γ -graded ring as above, then let $\text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})$ denote the category of chain complexes over $R\text{-gMod}$ with degree-preserving differentials. The Γ -action on $R\text{-gMod}$ extends to $\text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})$ and commutes with the \mathbb{Z} -action discussed above, giving rise to a $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ -action there. Given $X, Y \in \text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})$, we have a *bigraded* morphism space defined component-wise as follows:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})}^{\gamma, k}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})}^k(\Sigma_\gamma X, Y)$$

By convention, when morphism spaces in categories of chain complexes (and their quotients, and the bounded variations of these) come equipped with additional gradings, we restrict notation with a single superscript to indicate homological grading as on the right side of the above definition. We also employ this convention with no subscript when the category in which morphisms are taken is clear.

We say a homogeneous morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})}^{\gamma,k}(X, Y)$ has degree (γ, k) . Notice that it is the *homological* degree, not the Γ -degree, that determines signs in all definitions above, and the differential $d_{\text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})}$ preserves Γ -degree of morphisms. This action also descends to a $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ -action on $C(R\text{-gMod})$ and $K(R\text{-gMod})$ and all the bounded variations thereof.

Remark 2.11. Strictly speaking, extending the Γ -action on $\text{Ch}(R\text{-gMod})$ to its quotients $C(R\text{-gMod})$ and $K(R\text{-gMod})$ requires extending the morphism spaces of the latter categories to include homogeneous morphisms of all degrees. We make this extension implicitly throughout, as we will only ever be interested in these graded categories.

2.3. Twisted Complexes and Convolutions. In this section we offer a brief introduction to twisted complexes and homological perturbation theory. Our exposition largely follows that of [GH22] and [Hog20]; the reader familiar with that material can safely skip this section.

Definition 2.12. Let $(X, d_X) \in \text{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$ be a chain complex, and let $\alpha \in \text{End}^1(X)$ be given so that α satisfies the *Maurer-Cartan* identity $[d_X, \alpha] + \alpha^2 = 0$. Then $(X, d_X + \alpha)$ is also a chain complex; we denote this complex by $\text{tw}_\alpha(X)$. In this case, we call $\text{tw}_\alpha(X)$ a *twist* of X and α a *Maurer-Cartan element* (or, by abuse of notation, a *twist*).

Definition 2.13. Let I be an indexing poset, and for each $i \in I$, let (X_i, d_i) be a chain complex. Let $X = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (X_i, d_i)$, and let $\alpha \in \text{End}^1(X)$ be a Maurer-Cartan element such that the component α_{ij} of α from X_i to X_j is 0 for $i \leq j$. Then we call α a *one-sided twist* of X , and $\text{tw}_\alpha(X)$ a (one-sided) *convolution* of the complexes X_i (indexed by I).

We will often indicate convolutions explicitly by drawing the twist as an arrow between the constituent complexes. As an example, given any chain map $f : A \rightarrow B$, we denote the mapping cone $\text{Cone}(f) := \text{tw}_{-f}(t^{-1}A \oplus B)$ as

$$\text{Cone}(f) := t^{-1}A \xrightarrow{-f} B$$

Note that every chain complex (X, d_X) can be viewed as a convolution of the form $\text{tw}_{d_X}(\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} t^i X^i, 0)$. We will often denote chain complexes accordingly as follows:

$$X = \dots \xrightarrow{d_X} t^{-2}X^{-2} \xrightarrow{d_X} t^{-1}X^{-1} \xrightarrow{d_X} X^0 \xrightarrow{d_X} tX^1 \xrightarrow{d_X} t^2X^2 \xrightarrow{d_X} \dots$$

We will often make use of the following *Homotopy Perturbation Lemma* (cited here without proof) to transfer a twist from one complex to another.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $f \in \text{Hom}^0(X, Y)$, $g \in \text{Hom}^0(Y, X)$, $h \in \text{End}^{-1}(X)$, $k \in \text{End}^{-1}(Y)$ be the data of a homotopy equivalence $X \simeq Y$. Let $\text{tw}_\alpha(X)$ be a twist of X such that $\text{id}_X + \alpha \circ h$ is invertible in $\text{End}^0(X)$. (Note that this automatically implies that $\text{id}_X + h \circ \alpha$ is invertible as well). Define morphisms*

$$H \left(\text{tw}_\alpha(X) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{F} \\ \xrightarrow{G} \end{array} \text{tw}_\beta(Y) \right)$$

by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} F &:= f \circ (\text{id}_X + \alpha \circ h)^{-1} \\ G &:= (\text{id}_X + h \circ \alpha)^{-1} \circ g \\ H &:= h \circ (\text{id}_X + \alpha \circ h)^{-1} \\ \beta &:= f \circ \alpha \circ (\text{id}_X + h \circ \alpha)^{-1} \circ g \end{aligned}$$

Then β is a Maurer-Cartan element for Y , and F, G are inverse homotopy equivalences $tw_\alpha(X) \simeq tw_\beta(Y)$ with $[d_X + \alpha, H] = \text{id}_{tw_\alpha(X)} - GF$.

In fact, we will always be in the situation of the following corollary.

Corollary 2.15. *Let I be a poset with the property that for each $i \in I$ there exist finitely many $j \in I$ such that $i < j$. Let X_i, Y_i be complexes indexed by I , and suppose $X_i \simeq Y_i$ for each i . Let $tw_\alpha(X)$ be a one-sided convolution of the X_i . Then there exists a one-sided convolution $tw_\beta(Y)$ of the collection $\{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ with $tw_\alpha(X) \simeq tw_\beta(Y)$.*

Proof. This is a direct application of Proposition 2.14. It suffices to show that $\text{id}_X + \alpha \circ h$ is invertible in $\text{End}^0(X)$; that β is a one-sided twist follows immediately. We claim that $L := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-\alpha \circ h)^j$ is a well-defined inverse of $\text{id}_X + \alpha \circ h$. Observe that $h \circ \alpha$ is strictly increasing with respect to the indexing set I . Fix some index $i \in I$; then since $i < j$ for only finitely-many $j \in I$, $h \circ \alpha|_{X_i}$ is nilpotent. It follows that $L|_{X_i}$ is a finite sum, and is therefore well-defined. Since this is true of all $i \in I$, L is well-defined on X . \square

2.4. Singular Soergel Bimodules. In this section we review the theory of Singular Soergel bimodules introduced by Williamson in [Wil11], mostly for the purposes of establishing notation. We draw heavily from the conventions and exposition of [HRW21]; the reader familiar with that work can safely skip this section.

Let R be a commutative ring, and let R_n be a polynomial ring in the alphabet $\mathbb{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ over R . We endow R with a \mathbb{Z} -grading, called the *quantum grading*, by declaring each variable to have quantum degree 2. Then the category $R_n\text{-Bim}$ of graded R_n bimodules is equivalent to the category $(R_n \otimes_R R_n)\text{-gMod}$, and hence inherits a \mathbb{Z} -grading by the discussion above. By abuse of terminology, we refer to this grading also as the *quantum grading*. We call the functors Σ_j *quantum shift* functors and denote them by q^j (so that e.g. $(q^j M)^k = M^{k-j}$). Given two subrings $S_\ell, S_r \subset R_n$, we also consider the category of graded (S_ℓ, S_r) -bimodules below. This category inherits a \mathbb{Z} -grading by the same mechanism; we use the same naming and notation conventions for this grading.

The symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts on R_n by permuting indices of variables in \mathbb{X} . Given a tuple $I = (m_1, \dots, m_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$ with $m_1 + \dots + m_r = n$, the corresponding *parabolic subgroup* of \mathfrak{S}^n is defined as follows:

$$\mathfrak{S}_I^n := \mathfrak{S}^{m_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}^{m_r}$$

Let $R_n^I := R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_I^n}$ denote the subring of R_n of polynomials invariant under the action of \mathfrak{S}_I^n . Let $\mathbb{X}_1 \subset \mathbb{X}$ denote the subalphabet of the first m_1 variables, $\mathbb{X}_2 \subset \mathbb{X}$ denote the subalphabet of the next m_2 variables, etc. through \mathbb{X}_r . Then R_n^I is the ring of polynomials in \mathbb{X} symmetric under simultaneous permutations of $\mathbb{X}_1, \mathbb{X}_2, \dots, \mathbb{X}_r$. By standard results on symmetric functions, we have an isomorphism of graded R -modules

$$R_n^I \cong R[e_1(\mathbb{X}_1), \dots, e_{m_1}(\mathbb{X}_1)] \otimes_R \dots \otimes_R R[e_1(\mathbb{X}_r), \dots, e_{m_r}(\mathbb{X}_r)]$$

Here $e_i(\mathbb{X}_j)$ denotes the i^{th} elementary symmetric function in the alphabet \mathbb{X}_j . Since parabolic subgroups are in bijection with tuples $I \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^\bullet$, we will implicitly identify the two in our notation, using I to denote \mathfrak{S}_I^n .

Given parabolic subgroups $I \subset J$, we have a reverse inclusion of invariant subrings $R_n^J \subset R_n^I$. Notice that R_n^I is a left R_n^J -module by restriction of the usual multiplication action under this inclusion. Let $\ell(I)$, $\ell(J)$ denote the lengths of the longest words of the parabolic subgroups above. In this situation, we have distinguished *merge* and *split* bimodules:

$${}_J M_I := q^{\ell(I)-\ell(J)} R_n^J \otimes_{R_n^I} R_n^I; \quad {}_I S_J := R_n^I \otimes_{R_n^J} R_n^J$$

Note that ${}_J M_I$ is naturally a graded (R_n^J, R_n^I) -bimodule under left and right multiplication, as indicated by the subscript notation. Similarly, ${}_I S_J$ is a graded (R_n^I, R_n^J) -bimodule. Given an (R_n^I, R_n^J) - and (R_n^J, R_n^L) -bimodule for some parabolic subgroup L , there is a natural composition given by tensor product over the ring R_n^J . We refer to this as *vertical composition*; we denote this operation by \otimes and the resulting 1-morphisms e.g. by ${}_I S_J M_K := ({}_I S_J) \otimes ({}_J M_K)$ for $I \subset J \supset K$. When the ground ring is irrelevant, we often abbreviate this operation simply by concatenation; in these instances, all ground rings are assumed to be compatible.

Definition 2.16. Let $SSBim_n$ denote the quantum-graded R -linear 2-category with objects parabolic subgroups of \mathfrak{S}^n , 1-morphisms generated by the bimodules

$$R_n^I : I \rightarrow I; \quad {}_J M_i : J \rightarrow I; \quad {}_I S_j : I \rightarrow J$$

under composition, quantum shift, direct sum, and direct summands, and 2-morphisms all graded bimodule homomorphisms. We call both the category $SSBim_n$ and its 1-morphisms (type A_{N-1}) *Singular Soergel Bimodules*. We will sometimes emphasize the domain and codomain of a morphism $M \in \text{Hom}_{SSBim_n}(I, J)$ by calling M an (I, J) singular Soergel bimodule. The collection of categories $SSBim := \bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} SSBim_n$ is itself a monoidal 2-category with external tensor product given on objects by concatenation of sequences and on 1- and 2-morphisms by tensor product over R . We denote this external product by \sqcup . For each $n \geq 1$, there is an inclusion functor $(-) \sqcup R[x_{n+1}] : SSBim_n \hookrightarrow SSBim_{n+1}$; we consider objects, 1-morphisms, and 2-morphisms of $SSBim_n$ as living in $SSBim_{n+1}$ via this inclusion without further comment.

There is a useful graphical calculus for singular Soergel bimodules analogous to that of Type A webs developed by Cautis-Kamnitzer-Morrison [CKM14]. For $I = (i)$, $J = (j, k)$, $K = (j + k)$, we denote the identity, merge, and split bimodules as follows:

$$R^I := \begin{array}{c} i \\ | \\ i \end{array}; \quad {}_J M_K := \begin{array}{c} j+k \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ j \quad k \end{array}; \quad {}_K S_J := \begin{array}{c} j \quad k \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ j+k \end{array}$$

We depict external tensor product \sqcup in $SSBim$ by horizontal concatenation of such diagrams and vertical composition \otimes of 1-morphisms by vertical concatenation. More complicated diagrams are built from the above by these operations. For example, for $I = (1, 2, 4, 2)$, $J = (1, 6, 2)$, $K = (1, 6, 1, 1)$, the 1-morphism ${}_I M_J S_K$ in $SSBim_9$ is depicted as follows:

$${}_I M_J := \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ | \\ 1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 6 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \quad 4 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 1 \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ 2 \end{array}$$

These diagrams are to be interpreted as follows. Strands labeled with the value i indicate symmetric polynomial rings in i variables; we refer to these labels as *colors* and the top and bottom such labels in a given diagram as *external colors*. Every trivalent graph built from these pieces represents a 1-morphism from

the object given by reading colors from left to right on the bottom to the object given by reading colors from left to right on the top. Trivalent vertices indicate tensor product over symmetric polynomials in the number of variables given by the sum of the colors entering that vertex.

We also use the following diagrammatic shorthand for *ladder rung bimodules*:

$$\begin{array}{c} | \\ \text{---} k \text{---} \\ | \\ i \quad j \end{array} := \begin{array}{c} \text{---} k \text{---} \\ \cup \\ | \quad | \\ i \quad j \end{array}; \quad \begin{array}{c} | \\ \text{---} k \text{---} \\ | \\ i \quad j \end{array} := \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ \text{---} k \text{---} \\ | \quad | \\ i \quad j \end{array}$$

Note that we often suppress colors on strands when there is no ambiguity (i.e. when all colors can be determined by balancing colors above and below each trivalent vertex).

We include a selection of well-known q -degree 0 isomorphisms of 1-morphisms in $SSBim_n$ below; these are taken from [CKM14]. We allow edges labeled by 0 and negative integers for notational convenience; by convention, these are all interpreted as the 0 bimodule. We also use the *quantum integers*:

$$[j] := q^{j-1} + q^{j-3} + \dots + q^{-j+3} + q^{-j+1}; \quad [-j] = -[j]$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $[0] = 1$, as well as the *quantum binomial coefficients*:

$$\begin{bmatrix} j \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[j]!}{[k]![j-k]!}; \quad [j]! := [j][j-1]\dots[2][1]$$

Given a Laurent polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ and a 1-morphism M , fM is used to denote a direct sum of quantum shifts of M indicated by the corresponding coefficients of f ; for example, $2[2]M \cong qM \oplus qM \oplus q^{-1}M \oplus q^{-1}M$. Unless otherwise stated, the isomorphisms below hold for all valid colorings of their underlying trivalent graphs.

Proposition 2.17. *The following are degree 0 isomorphisms in $SSBim$:*

$$\begin{array}{l} (7) \quad \begin{array}{c} | \\ \text{---} j \text{---} \\ \text{---} k \text{---} \\ | \\ i \quad j \end{array} \cong \begin{bmatrix} j+k \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} | \\ | \\ | \\ j+k \end{array}; \\ (8) \quad \begin{array}{c} i+j+k \\ \cup \\ | \quad | \\ i \quad j \quad k \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} i+j+k \\ \cup \\ | \quad | \\ i \quad j \quad k \end{array}; \quad \begin{array}{c} i \quad j \quad k \\ \cup \\ | \\ i+j+k \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} i \quad j \quad k \\ \cup \\ | \\ i+j+k \end{array}; \\ (9) \quad \begin{array}{c} \ell \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ i \quad j \end{array} \cong \begin{bmatrix} k+\ell \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} | \\ | \\ | \\ k+\ell \end{array}; \\ (10) \quad \begin{array}{c} \ell \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ i \quad j \end{array} \cong \sum_{t=0}^{\min(k,\ell)} \begin{bmatrix} i-j+\ell-k \\ t \end{bmatrix} \begin{array}{c} k-t \\ \text{---} \\ | \\ i \quad j \end{array} \end{array}$$

We refer to the first two isomorphisms above as digon removal and associativity. The associativity relations allows for unambiguous representation of merges and splits involving more than two strands using vertices of higher valence; for example, letting $I = (a, b, c), J = (a, b + c), K = (a + b + c) \subset \mathfrak{S}^{a+b+c}$, we have:

$${}_I M_K \cong_I M_J M_K = \begin{array}{c} a+b+c \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ a \quad b \quad c \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{c} a+b+c \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ \text{---} \\ a \quad b \quad c \end{array}$$

We will often be interested in computing the (graded) dimension of 2-morphism spaces $\text{Hom}_{SSBim}(X, Y)$ between two bimodules X, Y . We are aided in this task by two contravariant functors (with respect to both vertical composition of 1-morphisms and the usual composition of 2-morphisms)

$${}^\vee(-), (-)^\vee : \text{Hom}_{SSBim_n}(I, J) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{SSBim_n}(J, I)$$

defined by

$${}^\vee M := \text{Hom}_{R_n^I\text{-gMod}}(R_n^I, M); \quad M^\vee := \text{Hom}_{R_n^J\text{-gMod}}(M, R_n^J)$$

and satisfying natural adjunctions

$$\text{Hom}_{SSBim}(XY, Z) \cong \text{Hom}_{SSBim}(Y, {}^\vee XZ); \quad \text{Hom}_{SSBim}(XY, Z) \cong \text{Hom}_{SSBim}(X, ZY^\vee)$$

Note that ${}^\vee(q^a M) \cong q^a({}^\vee M)$ and $(q^a M)^\vee \cong q^{-a}(M^\vee)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all singular Soergel bimodules M . With this in mind, to compute the effect of these functors on an arbitrary 1-morphism (i.e. singular Soergel bimodule) requires only knowledge of their effect on merge and split bimodules. The following is well-known:

Proposition 2.18. *Let $a, b \geq 0$ be given, and let $I = (a, b), J = (a + b) \subset \mathfrak{S}^{a+b}$. Then the following isomorphisms hold:*

$${}_I M_J^\vee \cong q^{ab} {}_J S_I, \quad {}^\vee_I M_J \cong q^{-ab} {}_J S_I; \\ {}_J S_I^\vee \cong q^{-ab} {}_I M_J, \quad {}^\vee_J S_I \cong q^{ab} {}_I M_J.$$

In particular, the functor ${}^\vee(-)$ is given in diagrammatic language by reflection about a horizontal axis, up to a shift in quantum degree.

We will also be interested in complexes of singular Soergel bimodules. As $SSBim$ is a 2-category, the standard constructions of dg categories above do not apply verbatim. We remedy this by applying these constructions to 1-morphisms as follows:

Definition 2.19. For each $n \geq 1$, let $\text{Ch}(SSBim_n)$ denote the dg 2-category with objects the same as those of $SSBim_n$ and 1-morphism categories $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_n)}(X, Y) := \text{Ch}(\text{Hom}_{SSBim_n}(X, Y))$. $C(SSBim_n), K(SSBim_n)$, etc. and their bounded variations are defined similarly. The collection $\text{Ch}(SSBim_n) := \bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} \text{Ch}(SSBim_n)$ is itself a monoidal dg 2-category via the external tensor product \sqcup . Moreover, we have $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim)}(X, Y) \cong \text{Ch}(\text{Hom}_{SSBim}(X, Y))$.

More precisely, let $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r), J = (b_1, \dots, b_s) \in SSBim_n$ be given; then a 1-morphism $C \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_n)}(I, J)$ is a chain complex of (I, J) singular Soergel bimodules. Vertical composition of compatible 1-morphisms is defined via the usual tensor product of chain complexes over the relevant coefficient ring (which can be read from the indices at the top or bottom of a given diagram); external tensor product

is defined via the usual tensor product of chain complexes over the ground ring R . Vertical composition \otimes and external tensor product of 2-morphisms are defined according to the Koszul sign rule (with respect to *homological* grading, as always). For example, given 1-morphisms (i.e. complexes of singular Soergel bimodules) X, X', Y, Y' such that $X \otimes Y, X' \otimes Y'$ are well-defined and 2-morphisms $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim)}(X, Y)$, $g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim)}(X', Y')$, we have:

$$(f \otimes g)|_{X^i \otimes Y^j} := (-1)^{i|g|} (f|_{X^i}) \otimes (g|_{Y^j})$$

As a consequence of this sign convention, we have the *graded middle interchange law* for function composition \circ and vertical composition \otimes of 2-morphisms:

$$(f_1 \otimes g_1) \circ (f_2 \otimes g_2) = (-1)^{|g_1||f_2|} (f_1 \circ f_2) \otimes (g_1 \circ g_2)$$

Note the compatibility of this law with our previous convention for interchanging tensor product and composition in dg categories.

Remark 2.20. We will routinely extend the graphical calculus outlined above for $SSBim$ to various categories of chain complexes over $SSBim$. In this context, we can obtain the domain (resp. codomain) of any given 1-morphism as the ordered r -tuple $I = (a_1, \dots, a_r)$ given by reading the external colors at the bottom (resp. top) of any chain diagram from left to right. The duality functors ${}^\vee(-)$, $(-)^\vee$ also extend to these categories of chain complexes by termwise application to chain bimodules and enjoy analogous adjunction relations and behavior under formal homological shifts.

2.5. Soergel Bimodules. There is a special class of singular Soergel bimodules that will be of particular importance for our purposes. Throughout, we consider R_n -bimodules as left $R_n \otimes_R R_n$ -modules. We will identify the latter ring with the polynomial ring $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'] \cong R[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_n]$ over two alphabets via the isomorphism $x_1 \otimes 1 \mapsto x_1, 1 \otimes x_i \mapsto x'_i$.

Let I be the identity subgroup of \mathfrak{S}^n , and for each simple transposition $s_i = (i, i+1) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, let $J_i := \langle s_i \rangle \subset \mathfrak{S}^n$. We abbreviate $R_n^{J_i}$ as R_n^i below. Let B_i denote the singular Soergel bimodule below:

$$B_i := {}_I M_{J_i} S_I \cong q^{-1} R_n \otimes_{R_n^i} R_n$$

Notice that each B_i is *self-dual* (that is, ${}^\vee B_i \cong B_i^\vee \cong B_i$). Bimodules of the form $q^j B_{i_1} B_{i_2} \dots B_{i_k}$ for some $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \leq n-1$ are called *Bott-Samelson bimodules*. It will often be convenient to identify B_i explicitly as a quotient of the polynomial ring $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$:

$$B_i \cong q^{-1} R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'] / (x_i + x_{i+1} - x'_i - x'_{i+1}, x_i x_{i+1} - x'_i x'_{i+1})$$

We have a similar description of R_n as a quotient of $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$:

$$R_n \cong R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'] / (x_1 - x'_1, x_2 - x'_2, \dots, x_n - x'_n)$$

Definition 2.21. Let $SBim_n$ denote the full monoidal subcategory of $\text{End}_{SSBim_n}(I)$ generated by the objects B_i under vertical composition \otimes of 1-morphisms (i.e. tensor product), quantum shift, direct sum, and direct summand. We call $SBim_n$ the (type A_{n-1}) *Soergel category* and refer to its objects as *Soergel bimodules*. The collection of monoidal categories $SBim := \bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} SBim_n$ is itself a monoidal category with external tensor product \sqcup inherited from $SSBim$; note that this is *distinct* from the monoidal structure on $SBim_n$ realized by \otimes .

We pause to point out that $SBim_n$ is defined as a certain monoidal subcategory of 1-morphisms in $SSBim_n$ by our conventions, hence lives in a different categorical level. In practice this will cause no confusion, as our arguments will usually concern explicit bimodule homomorphisms whose categorical level is clear from context.

There is an isomorphism $K_0(SBim_n) \cong H_n$ between the Grothendieck group of $SBim_n$ and the (type A_{n-1}) Hecke algebra H_n sending (isomorphism classes of) indecomposable objects in $SBim_n$ to elements of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis of H_n (c.f. [EW14]). Together with the identification of the above graphical calculus with a limiting case of the Type A webs developed by Cautis-Kamnitzer-Morrison and Schur-Weyl Duality, this identification provides the following:

Proposition 2.22. $SBim_n \cong Hom_{SSBim_n}(1^n, 1^n)$

As a consequence, the graphical calculus outlined above for *singular* Soergel bimodules restricts naturally to *nonsingular* Soergel bimodules by considering only those diagrams with external colors 1. We will also extend this graphical calculus, as was the case for $SSBim$, to denote chain complexes of Soergel bimodules. When working strictly in $SBim$, we often suppress external colors. For instance, we have

$$B_i = \left[\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\quad}^{i-1} \\ \cdots \\ \left| \right. \end{array} \right] \cup \left[\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\quad}^{n-(i+1)} \\ \cdots \\ \left| \right. \end{array} \right] \in SBim_n$$

The Hecke algebra H_n is generated as an $R[q, q^{-1}]$ -module by a collection of objects b_σ indexed by permutations³ $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$; it follows that indecomposable Soergel bimodules are indexed up to shift by permutations as well. Let B_σ denote the indecomposable Soergel bimodule corresponding to the permutation σ . Unsurprisingly, we have $B_e = R_n$, $B_{s_i} = B_i$.

Two other indecomposable Soergel bimodules will be of particular importance to us. Let $w_0 \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ denote the longest word of that group, $\ell(n)$ its length, and w_1 the longest word of \mathfrak{S}^{n-1} (considered as an element of \mathfrak{S}^n via the usual inclusion $\mathfrak{S}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}^n$). Then we have:

$$B_{w_1} = {}_I M_{\mathfrak{S}^{n-1}} S_I \cong q^{-\ell(n-1)} R_n \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{S}^{n-1}}} R_n; \quad B_{w_0} = {}_I M_{\mathfrak{S}^n} S_I \cong q^{-\ell(n)} R_n \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{S}^n}} R_n$$

It is easily checked that B_{w_1}, B_{w_0} are self-dual under the operations ${}^\vee(-)$, $(-){}^\vee$. We denote these bimodules graphically using vertices of higher valence; this is compatible with the unambiguous interpretation of these vertices using the associativity relations above. As quotients of the polynomial ring $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$, we have

$$B_{w_1} \cong R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'] / (e_1(\mathbb{X} - \{x_n\}) - e_1(\mathbb{X}' - \{x'_n\}), \dots, e_{n-1}(\mathbb{X} - \{x_n\}) - e_{n-1}(\mathbb{X}' - \{x'_n\}));$$

$$B_{w_0} \cong R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'] / (e_1(\mathbb{X}) - e_1(\mathbb{X}'), \dots, e_n(\mathbb{X}) - e_n(\mathbb{X}'))$$

The following is well-known and can be obtained using the graphical calculus via repeated applications of digon removal and associativity.

Proposition 2.23. *For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, $B_\sigma B_{w_0}$ and $B_{w_0} B_\sigma$ are direct sums of quantum shifts of B_{w_0} . In particular, we have $B_{w_0} B_{w_0} \cong [n]! B_{w_0}$ and $B_{w_1} B_{w_0} \cong B_{w_0} B_{w_1} \cong [n-1]! B_{w_0}$.*

Let $a \geq 1$ be given, and let $J = (a, 1)$, $L = (a+1) \subset \mathfrak{S}^{a+1}$. Then there is a degree q^a map from ${}_J M_L S_J$ to R_{a+1}^J , called *unzip*, induced from the Frobenius extension relationship between J and L ; see [HRW21] for

³More generally (i.e. in other types), the Hecke algebra is generated by elements of the corresponding Weyl group.

details. By the associativity relations from the previous section, this induces a degree q^{n-1} map from B_{w_0} to B_{w_1} . This map is given explicitly on the polynomial descriptions above by taking a quotient by $x_n - x'_n$.

The case $a = 1$ deserves special attention. In this case, we obtain a degree q map

$$\text{unzip} : B_i \rightarrow R_n$$

There is also a degree q map

$$\text{zip} : R_n \rightarrow B_i$$

Each of the two maps above is defined uniquely by specifying the image of 1 in the polynomial realization of its domain and extending $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ -linearly. These images are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unzip} : 1 &\mapsto 1 \\ \text{zip} : 1 &\mapsto x_i - x'_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

It is an easy exercise to show that each of these maps is a well-defined homomorphism of R_n -bimodules. (There are also *zip* and *unzip* maps between higher colored bimodules induced from the Frobenius extension structure on families of partially symmetric polynomial rings, though we will not require those maps here).

2.6. Rouquier Complexes. Let Br_n denote the (type A) braid group on n strands. We refer to the generators $\sigma_i, 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ of Br_n as *positive crossings* and their inverses σ_i^{-1} as *negative crossings*. There is a homomorphism from Br_n to \mathfrak{S}^n taking σ_i^\pm to s_i ; given $\beta \in Br_n$, we call its image under this homomorphism the *associated permutation* to β . We often abuse notation by using β to denote both a braid and its associated permutation. We say β is a *pure braid* if its associated permutation is trivial.

We employ a graphical calculus for Br_n consisting of n visibly braided parallel strands. Positive and negative crossings are depicted as follows:

$$\sigma_i = \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\quad}^{i-1} \quad \quad \quad \overbrace{\quad}^{n-(i+1)} \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right\} \left. \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right\} \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \end{array} ; \quad \sigma_i^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} \overbrace{\quad}^{i-1} \quad \quad \quad \overbrace{\quad}^{n-(i+1)} \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \right\} \left. \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \right\} \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \quad \left| \begin{array}{c} \cdots \\ \vdots \\ \cdots \end{array} \right| \end{array}$$


We depict multiplication of braid words (read from right to left) as vertical composition (read from bottom to top)⁴; this is consistent with the above convention for interpreting webs. Given a braid word β , we assign a complex $F(\beta)$ of Soergel bimodules, called the *Rouquier complex*, defined by

$$F(\sigma_i) := B_i \xrightarrow{\text{unzip}} q^{-1}tR_n; \quad F(\sigma_i^{-1}) := qt^{-1}R_n \xrightarrow{\text{zip}} B_i$$

together with the rule $F(\beta\beta') = F(\beta)F(\beta')$. Note that we have made all homological shifts of objects of these complexes explicit by depicting them as convolutions, and the quantum shifts above ensure that all differentials are of quantum degree 0. It is a theorem of Rouquier [Rou04] that these complexes respect the braid relations up to homotopy equivalence; as a consequence, we have a well-defined homomorphism $F : Br_n \rightarrow K^b(SBim_n)$.

⁴This somewhat dyslexic convention is standard and ensures good behavior under composition when considering tensoring with Rouquier complexes as endofunctors on $K(SBim_n)$.

Given a braid $\beta \in B_n$, we will often depict $F(\beta)$ diagrammatically according to the graphical calculus for Br_n described above. We consider this as an extension of the graphical calculus for $K^b(SBim)$. In the special case that a complex $C \in K^b(SBim)$ is depicted by a single diagram (i.e. *not* explicitly as a convolution), we call the corresponding diagram a *braided web*. For example, the diagram on the left below is a braided web, while the diagram on the right is not.



Let $C \in K^b(SBim)$ be given, and let \mathcal{D} be a braided web representing C . Let p be any point of \mathcal{D} away from a crossing or vertex, and let k denote the coloring on the segment containing p . Then there is a corresponding collection of well-defined central endomorphisms of C given by the action of $R_k^{\mathbb{S}^k}$ on the singular Soergel bimodules represented by that vertical slice of \mathcal{D} . It is clear that this action does not depend on the choice of point within a given segment of \mathcal{D} away from vertices and crossings.

We will often describe this action on extremal segments as multiplication by polynomials in $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ or $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'']$ depending on the context; note that this agrees with the pointwise action of $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ on chain R_n -bimodules. The following well-known proposition ensures that this action is reasonably well-behaved with respect to crossings:

Proposition 2.24 (Dot Sliding). *For each $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$, the actions of x_i and x'_{i+1} are homotopic as endomorphisms of $F(\sigma_i)$ and of $F(\sigma'_i)$.*

Proof. We include the proof primarily as an excuse to introduce notation. We wish to identify some homotopies $h_i^+ \in \text{End}_{K^b(SBim)}^{-1}(F(\sigma_i))$, $h_i^- \in \text{End}_{K^b(SBim)}^{-1}(F(\sigma'_i))$ such that $[d, h_i^\pm] = x_i - x_{i+1}$. Mirroring our notational convention for d , we will denote h_i^\pm by *backwards* arrows between chain groups labelled by quantum degree q^2 bimodule maps. Explicitly, we have:

$$B_i \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{unzip}} \\ \xleftarrow{h_i^+ = \text{zip}} \end{array} q^{-1}tR_n \quad ; \quad q^{-1}tR_n \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{h_i^- = \text{unzip}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{zip}} \end{array} B_i$$

□

Notice that $f(\mathbb{X}) = f(\mathbb{X}') \in \text{End}(F(\sigma_i))$ for any $f \in R_n^i$. In particular, we have $x_{i+1} = (x_i + x_{i+1}) - x_i \sim (x'_i + x'_{i+1}) - x'_{i+1} = x'_i \in \text{End}(F(\sigma_i))$, so the polynomial actions on both strands of a crossing enjoy the same homotopy relationship. We refer to h_i in the proof above and its counterpart for the other strand as *dot-sliding* homotopies. By summing these dot-sliding homotopies over various crossings, we obtain the following:

Proposition 2.25. *Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be given. Then for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have $x_{\beta(j)} \sim x'_j \in \text{End}^0(F(\beta))$. Let $h_j \in \text{End}^{-1}(F(\beta))$ be such that $[d, h_j] = x_{\beta(j)} - x'_j$; then the collection $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^n$ square to 0 and pairwise anticommute.*

Since $SBim_n$ is a subcategory of the abelian category $R_n\text{-Bim}$, we can consider the cohomology $H^\bullet(F(\beta))$ of Rouquier complexes in the latter (note that this is independent of a choice of braid word representing β , as Rouquier complexes are well-defined up to homotopy equivalence). We conclude this section by quoting that result for positive braids; see Chapter 19 of [Eli+20] for further details.

Definition 2.26. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, let $R[\mathbb{X}]_\sigma$ denote the R_n -bimodule which equals $R[\mathbb{X}]$ as a left $R[\mathbb{X}]$ -module and whose right $R[\mathbb{X}]$ -module action is twisted by σ . In other words, viewing $R[\mathbb{X}]_\sigma$ as a $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ -module, we have $x_i \cdot f(\mathbb{X}) \cdot x'_j = x_i x_{\sigma(j)} f(\mathbb{X})$ for all $f(\mathbb{X}) \in R_n$ and all i, j .

Proposition 2.27. Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be a positive braid, and let $e(\beta)$ denote its braid exponent (i.e. the number of positive crossings minus the number of negative crossings in a fixed expression for β). Then there is a quasi-isomorphism $\psi_\beta: q^{e(\beta)} R[\mathbb{X}]_\beta \rightarrow F(\beta)$ in $Ch^b(R_n - Bim)$. In particular, we have $H^\bullet(F(\beta)) \cong q^{e(\beta)} R[\mathbb{X}]_\beta$, concentrated in degree 0, as graded R_n -bimodules.

2.7. Rickard Complexes. In computing with Rouquier complexes, it is often convenient to apply topological moves in the graphical calculus that pass through diagrams with crossings between strands of colors larger than 1. A framework exists for assigning to such colored braids complexes of singular Soergel bimodules called Rickard complexes. Though we will not require the full strength of this framework, we will make use of the complexes assigned to crossings in which one strand is 1-colored; we recall the theory of these complexes below. Our presentation is again consistent with that of [HRW21]; the reader familiar with that work may safely skip this section.

Definition 2.28. The colored braid groupoid $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{r}_n$ on n strands is the set of all pairs of braids $\beta \in Br_n$ and assignments $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^n$ of colors to each strand of β . Given two colored braids $b = (\beta, \mathbf{a})$, $b' = (\beta', \mathbf{b})$, their composition is well-defined if and only if $a_{\beta(i)} = b_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. In this case, we have $bb' = (\beta\beta', \mathbf{a})$.

We employ a graphical calculus for colored braids exactly analogous to that of braids in which each strand is labelled with its corresponding color. For instance, given a sequence of colors $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, we have the *colored Artin generators*:

$$\sigma_{i,\mathbf{a}} = \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1 \quad a_i \quad a_{i+1} \quad a_n \\ \cdots \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \cdots \\ \cdots \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \cdots \end{array} \right| ; \quad \sigma_{i,\mathbf{a}}^{-1} = \left| \begin{array}{c} a_1 \quad a_i \quad a_{i+1} \quad a_n \\ \cdots \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \cdots \\ \cdots \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \cdots \end{array} \right|$$

As in the construction of the Rouquier complex, we may assign a *Rickard complex* $F(\beta, \mathbf{a}) \in K^b(SSBim_n)$ to each colored braid $(\beta, \mathbf{a}) \in \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{r}_n$ by specifying this assignment on the Artin generators $\sigma_{i,\mathbf{a}}^{\pm 1}$ and extending by vertical composition. The resulting complexes satisfy the (colored) braid relations up to homotopy equivalence, giving a well-defined homomorphism $F: \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{r}_n \rightarrow K^b(SSBim_n)$. We record the case $n = 2$, $\mathbf{a} = (a, 1)$ below:

$$F(\sigma_{1,\mathbf{a}}) := \begin{array}{c} a \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ 1 \quad a \end{array} \longrightarrow q^{-1}t \begin{array}{c} a \quad 1 \\ | \quad | \\ 1 \quad a \end{array} ; \quad F(\sigma_{1,\mathbf{a}}^{-1}) := qt^{-1} \begin{array}{c} a \quad 1 \\ | \quad | \\ 1 \quad a \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} a \quad 1 \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ 1 \quad a \end{array}$$

In case $\mathbf{a} = (1, a)$, the corresponding Rickard complexes are obtained from the above by reflecting each chain bimodule about a central vertical axis. We will not require explicit formulas for the differentials on these complexes; rather than quoting that result, we mention only that if $a = 1$, we recover the Rouquier complexes of the previous subsection. The reader interested in the specific form of these differentials can see [HRW21] for more detail.

As in the case of Rouquier complexes, we depict the Rickard complex of a colored braid diagrammatically and consider this as an extension of the graphical calculus for $K^b(SSBim)$. As an example, we have the *fork slide* and *fork twist* relations in $K^b(SSBim)$:

Proposition 2.29 ([RT21]). *There exist q -degree 0 isomorphisms*

as well as rotations, reflections, and analogs involving negative crossings. Moreover, each of these homotopy equivalences is a strong deformation retraction from the complex on the left to the complex on the right obtained by Gaussian elimination.

The dot sliding homotopies of the previous section extend to Rickard complexes, though some care must be taken to account for the action of higher degree symmetric polynomials on colored strands. We only use dot slides on 1-colored strands in this paper; see [HRW21] for more detail.

We conclude this section with a minimal model for a complex we will frequently visit, the colored full twist on 2 strands.

Proposition 2.30. *Let $k \geq 1$ be given. Then there is a strong deformation retraction in $K^b(SS\text{Bim}_n)$ obtained from Gaussian elimination:*

$$FT_{k,1} := \begin{array}{c} k \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad 1 \end{array} \simeq q^k \begin{array}{c} k \quad 1 \\ | \quad | \\ k \quad 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{x_n - x'_n} q^{k-2t} \begin{array}{c} k \quad 1 \\ \diagdown \quad / \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ k \quad 1 \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{unzip}} q^{-2t^2} \begin{array}{c} k \quad 1 \\ | \quad | \\ k \quad 1 \end{array}$$

2.8. Hochschild Cohomology. For each n , let $\mathcal{D}_n := D^b(R_n - \text{Bim})$ denote the bounded derived category of graded R_n -bimodules. (We set $\mathcal{D}_0 = D^b(R - \text{Bim})$ for notational convenience.) Since quasi-isomorphisms in $\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ preserve quantum degree, the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -grading on this category naturally extends to a grading on \mathcal{D}_n . We refer to the derived homological grading in this case as the *Hochschild* grading and denote degree shifts in this grading by a^j . As above, given $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}_n$, we have bigraded morphism spaces:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{i,j}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^j(q^i X, Y)$$

Note that i and j track quantum degree and Hochschild degree above, respectively. As usual, we will often indicate the degree of a homogeneous morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{i,j}(X, Y)$ multiplicatively as $\deg(f) = a^j q^i$ to avoid ambiguity in ordering of degrees.

Again \mathcal{D}_n is a monoidal category via the usual derived tensor product, which we again denote by \otimes . There is a fully faithful inclusion $R_n\text{-Bim} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ of monoidal categories given by inclusion in homological degree 0; from now on, we will suppress this inclusion and regard graded R_n -bimodules as objects of \mathcal{D}_n without further comment.

Definition 2.31. Given $M \in R_n\text{-Bim}$, let $HH^{\bullet,\bullet}(M)$ denote the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded R -module given in each degree by $HH^{i,j}(M) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_n}^{i,j}(R_n, M)$. Notice that $HH^{i,j}(M) \cong \text{Ext}^j(q^i R_n, M) \cong q^i \text{Ext}^j(R_n, q^{-i} M)$ is (a shift of) the classical graded j^{th} Hochschild cohomology of R_n with coefficients in $q^{-i} M$; for this reason, we refer to the functor $HH^{\bullet,\bullet}$ as *Hochschild cohomology*. Since we deal exclusively with Hochschild cohomology in this paper, we will often drop the superscript bullets and denote this functor simply by HH .

Now, for each n , let $\mathcal{C}_n := K(\mathcal{D}_n)$ denote the homotopy category of chain complexes on \mathcal{D}_n . (As usual, we let $\mathcal{C}_n^{b,+,-}$ denote the corresponding categories of bounded complexes). The inclusion above extends to

a fully faithful monoidal functor $K(R_n - \text{Bim}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_n$ given by termwise inclusion of chain bimodules into \mathcal{D}_n . Morphism spaces in this category are \mathbb{Z}^3 -graded with quantum, Hochschild, and homological grading. Explicitly, we have:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_n}^{i,j,k}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_n}^k(a^j q^i X, Y)$$

where i, j, k denote quantum, Hochschild, and homological gradings, respectively. Again, we will indicate the degree of a homogeneous element $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_n}^{i,j,k}(X, Y)$ multiplicatively as $\text{deg}(f) = a^j q^i t^k$.

Given a complex $C \in K(R_n - \text{Bim})$ of R_n -bimodules, let $HH(C)$ denote the complex of q, a -graded R -modules obtained by termwise application of Hochschild cohomology. We denote the (trily-graded!) cohomology of the resulting complex by $HHH(C) := H^\bullet(HH(C))$. Unraveling definitions, we see that $HHH(C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_n}(R_n, C)$ as triply-graded R -modules and $HHH(C)|_{a=0} \cong H^\bullet(\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SBim_n)}(R_n, C))$ as doubly-graded R -modules. Combined with the duality functor ${}^\vee(-)$ of Section §2.4, this characterization gives a convenient method of computing graded dimensions of morphism spaces between bimodules.

Lemma 2.32. *Let $M, N \in SBim_n$ be given. Then there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces*

$$\text{Hom}_{SBim_n}(M, N) \cong HHH({}^\vee MN)|_{a=0}$$

In fact a similar result holds for singular Soergel bimodules as well, though its statement requires more technology than we develop here. We omit the details in the interest of length, as we only use this Lemma to support larger computations in Appendix A.

Our primary interest in Hochschild cohomology comes from its relation to link invariants. The following is due to Khovanov and Rozansky ([KR08], [Kho07]).

Theorem 2.33. *Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be given. Then up to an overall normalization, the triply-graded R -module $HHH(F(\beta))$ is an invariant of the braid closure $\hat{\beta}$ categorifying the HOMFLYPT polynomial of $\hat{\beta}$.*

We refer to this invariant as *trily-graded Khovanov-Rozansky homology*, or often just *trily-graded homology*. Given a link \mathcal{L} , we will often abuse notation by denoting the triply-graded homology of \mathcal{L} as $HHH(\mathcal{L})$ without reference to the Rouquier complex of a braid presentation of \mathcal{L} . We will use the notation $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$ to denote the graded dimension of $HHH(\mathcal{L})$; note that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L})$ is a power series in the variables a, q, t .


In light of this relation to braid closures, it is standard practice to depict $HHH(C)$ in the graphical calculus by "closing up" all strands. For example, we have:

$$HHH(F(\sigma_1^2)) := \text{[Diagram of a closed strand with a full twist]} ; \quad HHH(B_2) := \text{[Diagram of a closed strand with a full twist and a crossing]}$$

Implicit in the statement of Theorem 2.33 is invariance of $HHH(F(\beta))$ under conjugation by a Rouquier complex. In fact more is true: it is well known that HH is *trace-like*, in the sense that

$$HH(C \otimes D) \cong HH(D \otimes C)$$

for all $C, D \in \mathcal{D}_n$. We depict arbitrary complexes as coupons within a diagram in the graphical calculus; the above isomorphism then takes the form



Computing the triply-graded homology of a link directly from the definition is challenging. The Rouquier complex of a braid presentation grows exponentially in the number of crossings, and Hochschild cohomology must be applied not only to each chain module, but also to each differential. Performing these computations by hand quickly becomes infeasible even for braids on 3 strands. Fortunately, there is a computational tool introduced in [Hog18] that allows us to localize these computations, closing a braid presentation one strand at a time.

Definition 2.34. For each $n \geq 1$, let $Tr_n : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ be the functor taking a complex C to the convolution

$$Tr_n(C) := C \xrightarrow{x_n - x'_n} aq^{-2}C$$

Applying Tr_n termwise gives a functor which we also denote $Tr_n : \mathcal{C}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$. We call each version of Tr_n a *partial trace functor*. We set $Tr_0 := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(R, -)$ for notational convenience.

Given any $M \in R_n - \text{Bim}$, the differential above gives $Tr_n(M)$ the structure of a Koszul complex for $x_n - x'_n$; this should be thought of as the derived equivalent of equating the actions of x_n and x'_n on M . More precisely, by standard theory of homological algebra, $x_n - x'_n$ acts by 0 on $H^\bullet(Tr_n(M))$. Since $H^\bullet(Tr_n(M)) \cong Tr_n(M)$ in \mathcal{D}_n , we have $Tr_n(x_n) = Tr_n(x'_n) \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{D}_{n-1}}(M)$.


Our primary interest in partial trace functors is a result of the following adjunction:

Proposition 2.35. For each $n \geq 1$, Tr_n is right adjoint to the natural inclusion functor $I_n : \mathcal{C}_{n-1}^b \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n^b$. In other words, for each $M \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}^b$, $N \in \mathcal{C}_n^b$, there is an isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_n^b}(I_n(M), N) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^b}(M, Tr_n(M))$ that is natural in both M and N .

Repeated application of this isomorphism gives $HHH = Tr_0 \circ Tr_1 \circ \dots \circ Tr_n$. The advantage in computing HHH via partial trace functors is their *locality*:

Proposition 2.36. We have $Tr_n(I_n(M) \otimes C \otimes I_n(N)) \cong M \otimes Tr_n(C) \otimes N$ for any $M, N \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}^b$, $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$.

This locality allows a convenient depiction of partial trace functors in the graphical calculus consistent with HHH by closing up one strand at a time. In this notation, Proposition 2.36 becomes



Also implicit in the statement of Theorem 2.33 is invariance up to normalization under Markov stabilization. This invariance is encoded more precisely in the following list of identities, which we refer to as "Partial trace Markov moves":

Proposition 2.37. *Let $F(\sigma_i^\pm)$ denote the Rouquier complexes for the braid group generators σ_i^\pm . We have:*

- (1) $Tr_n(R_n) \cong \left(\frac{1 + aq^{-2}}{1 - q^2} \right) R_{n-1};$
- (2) $Tr_n(F(\sigma_{n-1})) \cong q^{-1}tR_{n-1};$
- (3) $Tr_n(F(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1})) \cong aq^{-3}R_{n-1}$

Here the denominator in identity (1) should be considered as a formal power series $(1 - q^2) = 1 + q^2 + q^4 + \dots$, and the right-hand side of that identity should be interpreted as an infinite direct sum.

Graphically, these identities take the form

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcirc \right| &\cong \left(\frac{1 + aq^{-2}}{1 - q^2} \right) \left| \right|; \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \end{array} \right| &\cong q^{-1}t \left| \right|; \\ \left| \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \end{array} \right| &\cong aq^{-3} \left| \right| \end{aligned}$$

We conclude this section with the following result, due to Rose-Tubbenhauer [RT21]:

Proposition 2.38. $Tr_n(B_{w_0}) \cong \left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{1-n}}{1 - q^2} \right) B_{w_1}$ in \mathcal{D}_{n-1} .

3. THE INFINITE PROJECTOR

In this section we show that the categorified Young antisymmetrizer P_{1^n} introduced in [AH17] satisfies a useful topological recursion. We fix $n \geq 1$ throughout and let \mathcal{I}_n denote the full subcategory of $K(SBim_n)$ consisting of complexes whose chain modules are isomorphic to (direct sums of shifts of) B_{w_0} . It follows easily from Proposition 2.23 that \mathcal{I}_n is a two-sided tensor ideal. We let \mathcal{I}_n^\perp , resp. ${}^\perp\mathcal{I}_n$, denote the full subcategory of $K(SBim_n)$ of complexes C such that $CB_{w_0} \simeq 0$, resp. $B_{w_0}C \simeq 0$. As usual, we will allow decorations \mathcal{I}_n^b , \mathcal{I}_n^\pm to denote the corresponding bounded subcategories; each of these is also a two-sided tensor ideal of its native bounded category.

The following characterization of P_{1^n} is from [AH17]:

Theorem 3.1. *Let P_{1^n} denote the desired projector. Then:*

- (P1) $P_{1^n} \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$;
- (P2) *There exists a chain map $\epsilon_n: P_{1^n} \rightarrow R_n$ such that $\text{Cone}(\epsilon_n) \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_n^-) \cap (\mathcal{I}_n^-)^\perp$.*

These two properties uniquely characterize P_{1^n} up to homotopy equivalence. That is, if (Q, ν) are a complex and morphism satisfying (P1) and (P2), then there is a unique (up to homotopy) map $\phi: Q \rightarrow P_{1^n}$ such that $\nu = \epsilon \circ \phi$; moreover, ϕ is a homotopy equivalence.

In [AH17], the authors obtain a model for P_{1^n} by explicitly constructing a chain complex categorifying the expression $p_{1^n} = \frac{1}{[n]!} b_{w_0}$ from the Hecke algebra H_n using a periodic convolution of Koszul complexes on B_{w_0} ⁵. We begin by recalling their construction.

Definition 3.2. Let $\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$ be formal variables of degree $q^2 t^{-1}$. We denote by K_n the Koszul complex for the elements $x_2 - x'_2, \dots, x_n - x'_n$ on B_{w_0} . Then $K_n := B_{w_0} \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$ as a dg R -algebra with differential $d_{K_n} = \sum_{j=2}^n (x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee$; (Note that setting $\deg(\theta_j) = q^2 t^{-1}$ ensures that $\deg(d_{K_n}) = t$).

Now, for each $2 \leq i \leq j$, let $f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')$ be a polynomial of degree $i - 1$ chosen in such a way that $\sum_{j=i}^n f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')(x_j - x'_j) = e_i(\mathbb{X}) - e_i(\mathbb{X}')$, where e_i denotes the i^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial. In particular, notice that $\sum_{j=i}^n f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')(x_j - x'_j)$ acts by 0 on B_{w_0} . For convenience, we declare $f_{ij} = 0$ for $i > j$.

Remark 3.3. That such a family exists is not obvious. In [AH17], the authors give explicit formulas for f_{ij} as a sum of polynomials indexed by decreasing sequences of indices satisfying certain conditions. We will not require those explicit expressions here.

For each $2 \leq i \leq n$, let $\xi_i := \sum_{k=2}^n f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \otimes \theta_k \in \text{End}^{-1}(K_n)$. We point out three properties this family of endomorphisms satisfies:

- 1) $\xi_i^2 = 0$ for each i ;
- 2) $\xi_i \xi_j + \xi_j \xi_i = 0$ for each $i \neq j$;
- 3) $[d_{K_n}, \xi_i] = 0$ for each i .

The properties above guarantee that the family $\{\xi_i\}_{i=2}^n$ form an exterior action of closed endomorphisms on K_n . We can pass to a polynomial action by the usual yoga of Koszul duality:

Proposition 3.4. Let u_2, \dots, u_n be formal variables of degree $\deg(u_i) = q^{-2i} t^2$, and consider $\mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_n^{-1}]$ as a dg R -algebra with trivial differential. Set $A_n := K_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_n^{-1}]$, and let $d_{A_n} := d_{K_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \xi_i \otimes u_i \in \text{End}^1(A_n)$. Then $d_{A_n}^2 = 0$, so $(A_n, d_{A_n}) \in \text{Ch}^-(\text{SBim}_n)$.

Proof. This is a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A_n}^2 &= \left(d_{K_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \xi_i \otimes u_i \right)^2 \\ &= d_{K_n}^2 \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n [d_{K_n}, \xi_i] \otimes u_i + \sum_{i,j=2}^n \xi_i \xi_j \otimes u_i u_j \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

The following is due to Abel-Hogancamp ([AH17]):

Proposition 3.5. *There exists a map $\nu : A_n \rightarrow R_n$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.1.*

While explicit, this description of P_{1^n} does not reflect the recursive structure of categorified projectors in Type A or their deep relationship with the full twist braid that is present in [Hog18] and [EH17a]. We take a middle road between these two perspectives, beginning with the explicit model of [AH17] and showing it satisfies similar recursive relationships to those of [Hog18] and [EH17a]. This perspective will vastly simplify computations of the associated link invariant; see Section §5 below.

⁵This approach also works in other Coxeter groups; see [Elb22] for details.

Throughout, let $X_n := \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}\dots\sigma_2\sigma_1 \in Br_n$, $Y_n := \sigma_1\sigma_2\dots\sigma_{n-2}\sigma_{n-1} \in Br_n$. Let J_n denote the Jucys-Murphy braid $J_n = X_n Y_n$. We abuse notation throughout, denoting the Rouquier complex $F(J_n) \in K^b(SBim_n)$ by J_n as well.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that there exist closed morphisms $\alpha, \beta : A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}J_n$ of degrees $q^{-2}t^2, q^{2(n-1)}$, respectively, such that $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$, $\text{Cone}(\beta) \in (\mathcal{I}_n^-)^\perp \cap {}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_n^-)$. Let u_n be a formal variable of degree $q^{-2n}t^2$, and consider $\mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}]$ as a dg R -algebra with trivial differential. Consider the map*

$$\Phi : t\mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes A_{n-1} \rightarrow q^2 t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes A_{n-1} J_n; \quad \Phi = 1 \otimes \alpha + u_n \otimes \beta$$

Set $P_{1^n} = \text{Cone}(\Phi)$. Then there exists a chain map $\epsilon_n : P_{1^n} \rightarrow R_n$ such that (P_{1^n}, ϵ_n) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1. In particular, $P_{1^n} \simeq A_n$.

Proof. (P1): Observe that P_{1^n} is a one-sided convolution of complexes of the form $\text{Cone}(\alpha)$ indexed by increasing powers of u_n . Since $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$, the desired result follows from a straightforward colimit argument.

(P2): Define $\epsilon_n : P_{1^n} \rightarrow R_n$ by $\epsilon_{n-1} \otimes \text{id}_{R_1}$ on the component $A_{n-1} \sqcup R_1$ of P_{1^n} and zero otherwise. (Note that this is indeed a chain map, as ϵ_{n-1} is a chain map by assumption and no component of Φ has this term as its codomain). Then $\text{Cone}(\epsilon_n)$ is a convolution of the form:

$$\text{Cone}(\epsilon_n) = \left((A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{n-1} \otimes \text{id}_{R_1}} R_n) \longrightarrow u^{-1} \text{Cone}(\beta) \longrightarrow u^{-2} \text{Cone}(\beta) \longrightarrow \dots \right)$$

Note that $B_{w_0} \text{Cone}(\beta) \simeq \text{Cone}(\beta) B_{w_0} \simeq 0$ by hypothesis. We have $B_{w_1} \text{Cone}(\epsilon_{n-1}) \simeq \text{Cone}(\epsilon_{n-1}) B_{w_1} \simeq 0$ by construction. It follows from Proposition 2.23 that $[n-1]! B_{w_0} \text{Cone}(\epsilon_{n-1}) \simeq [n-1]! \text{Cone}(\epsilon_{n-1}) B_{w_0} \simeq 0$. Standard arguments in Krull-Schmidt categories then give that $B_{w_0} \text{Cone}(\epsilon_{n-1}) \simeq \text{Cone}(\epsilon_{n-1}) B_{w_0} \simeq 0$ as well. That $B_{w_0} \text{Cone}(\epsilon_n) \simeq \text{Cone}(\epsilon_n) B_{w_0} \simeq 0$ then follows from an easy limiting argument; see Proposition 2.28 of [Hog18] for details. \square

The rest of this chapter is devoted to proving the following:

Theorem 3.7. *There exist maps α, β with the properties of Theorem 3.6.*

3.1. Constructing Beta. Our first goal is constructing a map $\beta : A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}J_n$ such that $\text{Cone}(\beta) \in (\mathcal{I}_n^-)^\perp \cap {}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_n^-)$. We begin by showing that it suffices to show that β is a quasi-isomorphism, following identical arguments as in [AH17].

Proposition 3.8. *Let $C \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$ be given. Then C is contractible if and only if C is acyclic.*

Proof. One direction follows immediately from the fact that every contractible complex is acyclic. Conversely, suppose C is acyclic. Since $C \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$, we have $C \simeq C'$ in $K^-(SBim_n)$ for some complex C' such that each chain module of C' is a direct sum of shifts of B_{w_0} . In particular, the left and right actions of symmetric polynomials on C' agree, so C' may be regarded as a complex of $R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n$ -modules. Now, shifts of direct sums of $B_{w_0} = q^{-\ell} R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n$ are free $R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n$ -modules, so C' is an acyclic complex of free $R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n$ -modules. It follows from standard arguments in homological algebra that C' is contractible as a complex of $R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n$ -modules.

Now, let $h \in \text{End}_{K^-(R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n\text{-Mod})}^{-1}(C')$ be given such that $[d, h] = \text{id}_{C'}$. Each component of h is a morphism of $R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n$ -modules. By restricting scalars along the quotient map $R_n \otimes_R R_n \rightarrow R_n \otimes_{R_n^{\mathfrak{S}_n}} R_n$, we see that $h \in \text{End}_{K^-(R_n\text{-Bim})}^{-1}(C')$ as well; hence C' is contractible as a complex of R_n -bimodules. Since $C \simeq C'$, C is contractible as well. \square

□

There is an obvious degree $q^{-2}t^2$ map ι from B_{w_1} to the three term complex above given by including B_{w_1} as a subcomplex; Gaussian elimination along this inclusion shows that $\text{Cone}(\iota) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$. Since ν is a strong deformation retraction, we obtain a homotopy equivalence $\text{Cone}(\mu) \simeq \text{Cone}(\iota)$, so that $\text{Cone}(\mu) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$ as well; see the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 t^{-1}B_{w_1} & \xleftarrow{\iota} & q^2t^{-2}C_n \\
 \text{id} \uparrow \downarrow \text{id} & & \nu \uparrow \downarrow \mu \\
 t^{-1}B_{w_1} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & q^2t^{-2}B_{w_1}J_n
 \end{array}$$

Unfortunately, the naïve extension $\mu \otimes 1: K_{n-1} \rightarrow K_{n-1}J_n$ is not closed. Indeed, letting $\mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}''$ denote the ‘top’ and ‘bottom’ alphabets on J_n (so that in particular, the suppressed tensor product is taken over $R[\mathbb{X}']$), we have $K_{n-1}J_n \cong B_{w_1}J_n \otimes_R \bigwedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}]$ with differential $d_{K_{n-1}J_n} = d_{J_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j$. Since the fork-sliding homotopy μ is not \mathbb{X}' -linear, $\mu \otimes 1$ is not guaranteed to commute with the latter component of this differential.

To circumvent this difficulty, observe that both μ and ι are morphisms of bimodule complexes, so they must commute with the external \mathbb{X}'' action on $K_{n-1}J_n$. In particular, $\mu \otimes 1$ is a closed morphism from K_{n-1} to a complex K'_n with the same underlying chain bimodules as $K_{n-1}J_n$ but with differential $d_{K'_n} = d_{J_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (x_j - x''_j) \otimes \theta_j$. Gaussian elimination along ι again gives $\text{Cone}(\mu \otimes 1) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$ in this context.

To complete our construction of $\bar{\alpha}$, we would like to show that $K'_n \cong K_{n-1}J_n$. We accomplish this by way of the following "change of basis" theorem for Koszul complexes:

Proposition 3.15. *Let (C, d_C) be a bounded chain complex, and let f_1, \dots, f_r, g_j be central closed degree 0 endomorphisms of C . Suppose $[d_C, h_j] = f_j - g_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq r$, $h_j \in \text{End}^{-1}(C)$ satisfying $h_j^2 = 0$. Let $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r$ be odd formal variables of homological degree t^{-1} . Then the chain complex $K_f := C \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r]$ with differential $d_{K_f} = d_C \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee$ is isomorphic to the chain complex $K_g := C \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_r]$ with differential $d_{K_g} = d_C \otimes 1 + \sum_{i \neq j} f_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee + g_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee$.*

Proof. Let $\Psi := 1 \otimes 1 + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee \in \text{Hom}^0(K_f, K_g)$. To see that Ψ is a chain map is a straightforward computation (note the continued use of the middle interchange law):

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_{K_g}\Psi - \Psi d_{K_f} &= (d_C \otimes 1 + \sum_{i \neq j} f_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee + g_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee)(1 \otimes 1 + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee) - (1 \otimes 1 + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee)(d_C \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee) \\
 &= \left(d_C \otimes 1 + (d_C h_j + g_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee + \sum_{i \neq j} (f_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee - f_i h_j \otimes \theta_i^\vee \theta_j^\vee) \right) -
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(d_C \otimes 1 + (-h_j d_C + f_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee + \sum_{i \neq j} (f_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee + h_j f_i \otimes \theta_j^\vee \theta_i^\vee) \right) \\
&= ([d_C, h_j] - (f_j - g_j)) \otimes \theta_j^\vee - \left(\sum_{i \neq j} f_i h_j \otimes (\theta_i^\vee \theta_j^\vee + \theta_j^\vee \theta_i^\vee) \right) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

By the same computation as above with the roles of f_j and g_j reversed, we see that $\Psi' := 1 \otimes 1 - h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee$ is a chain map from K_g to K_f . We claim that $\Psi\Psi' = 1 \otimes 1$, so that Ψ is an isomorphism. Again, this is a direct computation:

$$\Psi\Psi' = (1 \otimes 1 + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee)(1 \otimes 1 - h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee) = 1 \otimes 1 + (h_j - h_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee = 1 \otimes 1$$

□

Corollary 3.16. *For each $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $h_i \in \text{End}^{-1}(J_n)$ be the dot-sliding homotopy satisfying $[d, h_i] = x'_i - x''_i$. Then there is an isomorphism $\Psi : K_{n-1}J_n \rightarrow K'_n$ given by $\Psi := \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} (1 \otimes 1 - (id_{B_{w_1}} \otimes h_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee)$ with inverse $\Psi' = \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} (1 \otimes 1 + (id_{B_{w_1}} \otimes h_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee)$*

Proof. Recall that the $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}'']$ action given by the bimodule structures of each chain group is central in the endomorphism algebra of the braided web $B_{w_1}J_n$. Since $[d, -id_{B_{w_1}} \otimes h_i] = x''_i - x'_i = (x_i - x'_i) - (x_i - x''_i)$ for each i , that Ψ is an isomorphism follows immediately from Proposition 3.15.

It remains to show that $\Psi'\Psi = 1$. For this, it suffices to show that the defining composition of Ψ' is independent of the order of its factors. This is a straightforward computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes 1 + h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee)(1 \otimes 1 + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee) &= 1 \otimes 1 + h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee - h_i h_j \otimes \theta_i^\vee \theta_j^\vee \\
&= 1 \otimes 1 + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee + h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee - h_j h_i \otimes \theta_j^\vee \theta_i^\vee \\
&= (1 \otimes 1 + h_j \otimes \theta_j^\vee)(1 \otimes 1 + h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that both h_i, h_j and $\theta_i^\vee, \theta_j^\vee$ anticommute in the second equality. □

Proposition 3.17. *The map $\bar{\alpha} : K_{n-1} \rightarrow q^2 t^{-2} K_{n-1} J_n$ given by $\bar{\alpha} := \Psi'(\mu \otimes 1)$ is a chain map satisfying $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}) \simeq q^{n-1} t^{-1} K_n \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$.*

Proof. We denote the external polynomial action on all complexes by $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'']$. Consider $C_n \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}]$ as a chain complex with differential $d_{C_n \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}]} := d_C \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (x_j - x''_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee$. Then K_{n-1} appears as a subcomplex of $q^2 t^{-2} C_n \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}]$ via the inclusion $\iota \otimes 1$.

Gaussian elimination along this inclusion leaves the quotient complex below:

$$\text{Cone}(\iota \otimes 1) \simeq q^2 t^{-2} (q^{n-1} B_{w_0} \xrightarrow{x_n - x''_n} q^{n-3} t B_{w_0}) \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}]$$

After absorbing the overall grading shift, the two term complex in parentheses is exactly the Koszul complex for $x_n - x''_n$ on $q^{n-1} t^{-1} B_{w_0}$. Absorbing this Koszul differential into the exterior algebra gives $\text{Cone}(\iota \otimes 1) \simeq q^{n-1} t^{-1} K_n$.

Finally, we obtain $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}) \simeq \text{Cone}(\iota \otimes 1)$ by the chain of homotopy equivalences depicted below:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
t^{-1}K_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}=\Psi'(\mu\otimes 1)} & q^2t^{-2}K_{n-1}J_n \\
\text{id} \Big\downarrow \Big| \text{id} & & \Psi' \Big\downarrow \Big| \Psi \\
t^{-1}K_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\mu\otimes 1} & q^2t^{-2}K'_n \\
\text{id} \Big\downarrow \Big| \text{id} & & \mu\otimes 1 \Big\downarrow \Big| \nu\otimes 1 \\
t^{-1}K_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\iota\otimes 1} & q^2t^{-2}C_n \otimes \bigwedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}]
\end{array}$$

□

3.3. Constructing Alpha. Our next task is to lift the construction of $\bar{\alpha}$ above to a map $\alpha : A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1}J_n$ satisfying $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$ by incorporating the periodic action of $\mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_{n-1}^{-1}]$. We have an isomorphism $A_{n-1}J_n \cong K_{n-1}J_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_{n-1}^{-1}]$ with differential $d_{A_{n-1}J_n} = d_{K_{n-1}J_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\xi_i \otimes \text{id}_{J_n}) \otimes u_i$. Once again, the naïve guess $\alpha = \bar{\alpha} \otimes 1$ will not be closed, and for the same reason. Retaining our convention for $\mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}''$ from the previous section, now $\xi_i = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \otimes \theta_j$, and $\bar{\alpha}$ is not \mathbb{X}' -linear.

We will again find it useful to change basis from $K_{n-1}J_n$ to K'_n . The isomorphisms Ψ, Ψ' also induce isomorphisms of dg algebras $\text{End}(K_{n-1}J_n), \text{End}(K'_n)$ via conjugation. The following is immediate:

Proposition 3.18. *Let $A'_n := K'_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_{n-1}^{-1}]$ with differential $d_{A'_n} := d_{K'_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\Psi\xi_i\Psi') \otimes u_i$. Then $A'_n \cong A_{n-1}J_n$ via isomorphisms $\Psi \otimes 1, \Psi' \otimes 1$.*

To construct α , we will need to know the maps $\Psi\xi_i\Psi'$ explicitly.

Proposition 3.19. *With $\xi_j = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \otimes \theta_k \in \text{End}^{-1}(K_{n-1}J_n)$ as above, we have $\Psi\xi_j\Psi' = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')(1 \otimes \theta_k - h_k \otimes 1)$.*

Proof. Since composition of morphisms is linear, it suffices to compute the effect of conjugation on each summand $\xi_{jk} := f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \otimes \theta_k$ of ξ_j . We conjugate by one factor $\Psi_i = 1 \otimes 1 - h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee$ at a time:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_i\xi_{jk}\Psi'_i &= (1 \otimes 1 - h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee)(f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \otimes \theta_k)(1 \otimes 1 + h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee) \\
&= f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')(1 \otimes \theta_k - h_i \otimes (\theta_k\theta_i^\vee + \theta_i^\vee\theta_k)) \\
&= \xi_{jk} + \delta_{ik}(f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')h_i \otimes 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Here δ_{ik} denotes the Kronecker delta (i.e. $\delta_{ik} = 1$ if $i = k$, 0 otherwise). Since Ψ, Ψ' are independent of the ordering of the homotopies h_i , we are free to conjugate by Ψ_k last. Then our expression for ξ_{jk} is unchanged by conjugation until the final step, at which point we obtain

$$\Psi\xi_{jk}\Psi' = f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')(1 \otimes \theta_k - h_k \otimes 1)$$

The desired expression follows immediately after summing over k . □

Rather than constructing α directly, we will construct a closed map $\tilde{\alpha} : A_{n-1} \rightarrow A'_n$ of the appropriate degree satisfying $\text{Cone}(\tilde{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$. Since postcomposing with an isomorphism induces an isomorphism of cones, setting $\alpha := (\Psi' \otimes 1)\tilde{\alpha}$ gives $\text{Cone}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$ as well. We build $\tilde{\alpha}$ in such a way that its cone is a one-sided convolution of copies of $\text{Cone}(\mu \otimes 1)$ indexed by $\mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_{n-1}^{-1}]$ (in other words, $\text{Cone}(\alpha)$ is filtered over $\mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_{n-1}^{-1}]$ with subquotients isomorphic to $\text{Cone}(\mu \otimes 1)$). Since $\text{Cone}(\mu \otimes 1) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$, a direct application of Corollary 2.15 will ensure that $\text{Cone}(\tilde{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$ as well.

Theorem 3.20. *There exists a closed map $\tilde{\alpha} : A_{n-1} \rightarrow A'_n$ of degree $q^{-2}t^2$ such that $\text{Cone}(\tilde{\alpha}) \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$.*

The proof of this theorem occupies the remainder of this chapter. By the discussion above, this immediately gives a proof of Theorem 3.7 as well. We are aided in our construction of $\tilde{\alpha}$ by the following two observations:

Observation 1: The domain and codomain of $\tilde{\alpha}$ are filtered by polynomial degree in each variable u_i . We refer to this as the "periodic degree". The subquotients with respect to this filtration are K_{n-1} and K'_n in the domain and codomain of $\tilde{\alpha}$, respectively.

Observation 2: The complexes K_{n-1}, K'_n are filtered by decreasing degree of each exterior variable θ_j . We refer to this as the "Koszul degree". The components of the associated graded objects with respect to this filtration are B_{w_1} and $B_{w_1}J_n$ in K_{n-1} and K'_n , respectively.

We construct $\tilde{\alpha}$ in stages respecting these filtrations as follows. Given any vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$, let $u^{\mathbf{v}} := u_2^{v_2} \dots u_{n-1}^{v_{n-1}}$. Then any homogeneous morphism $F: A_{n-1} \rightarrow A'_n$ separates into components $\bigoplus_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2}} F_{u^{\mathbf{v}}}$ such that $F_{u^{\mathbf{v}}}$ changes periodic degree by $u^{\mathbf{v}}$. To ensure that $\text{Cone}(\tilde{\alpha})$ is a convolution on copies of $\text{Cone}(\mu \otimes 1)$, it suffices to demand the following two conditions:

1. $\tilde{\alpha}_{u^{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ whenever $v_i < 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq n-1$.
2. $\tilde{\alpha}_{u^{\mathbf{o}}} = (\mu \otimes 1) \otimes 1$.

We put a partial order on \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} by declaring $\mathbf{v} \leq \mathbf{w}$ if $v_i \leq w_i$ for all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. We construct the remaining components $\tilde{\alpha}_{u^{\mathbf{v}}}$ by working our way up this partial order. Since the differentials on A_{n-1} and A'_n cannot decrease periodic degree, we can check that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is closed at each stage using the identity

$$[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u^{\mathbf{v}}} = [d, \bigoplus_{\mathbf{w} \leq \mathbf{v}} \tilde{\alpha}_{u^{\mathbf{w}}}]_{u^{\mathbf{v}}}$$

We optimistically assume that we can simplify our construction by choosing $\tilde{\alpha}_{u^{\mathbf{v}}} = H_{u^{\mathbf{v}}} \otimes u^{\mathbf{v}}$ for some $H_{u^{\mathbf{v}}} \in \text{Hom}(K_{n-1}, K'_n)$ for each \mathbf{v} ; in other words, that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is not just a map between periodic complexes, but is in fact periodic itself. We will see that this is indeed the case. In constructing the maps $H_{u^{\mathbf{v}}}$, we will similarly leverage the Koszul filtration, further stratifying our verification that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is closed by checking in decreasing Koszul degrees within each periodic degree. Again, we optimistically assume that each homogeneous Koszul degree component of $H_{u^{\mathbf{v}}}$ is of the form $\zeta_{\theta^{\mathbf{w}}} \otimes \theta^{\mathbf{w}}$ for some $\zeta_{\theta^{\mathbf{w}}} \in \text{Hom}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1}J_n)$, $\mathbf{w} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^{n-2}$, so that each piece $H_{\theta^{\mathbf{v}}}$ also exhibits the same periodicity as its domain and codomain. That this whole procedure eventually terminates will be guaranteed by analysis of degrees and boundedness of the complexes K_{n-1}, K'_n , as we will see.

For reference, we record the differentials on $A_{n-1}, A'_{n-1}, K_{n-1}, K'_{n-1}$ again below:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A_{n-1}} &= (d_{K_{n-1}}) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (\xi_j) \otimes u_j \\ d_{K_{n-1}} &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (x_k - x''_k) \otimes \theta_k^\vee \\ \xi_j &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \otimes \theta_k; \\ d_{A'_{n-1}} &= (d_{K'_{n-1}}) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (\Psi \xi_j \Psi') \otimes u_j; \\ d_{K'_{n-1}} &= d_{B_{w_1}J_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (x_k - x''_k) \otimes \theta_k^\vee; \end{aligned}$$

$$\Psi\xi_j\Psi' = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')(1 \otimes \theta_k - h_k \otimes 1)$$

Proof of Theorem 3.20. Periodic degree u^0 :

That $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u^0} = 0$ is just the statement that $\mu \otimes 1$ is closed.

Periodic degree u_i :

There are two contributions to $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]$ in this component: $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i} = [d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u^0}] + [d_{u^0}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i}]$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}_{u^0} = \mu \otimes 1 \otimes 1$ by construction, we can compute the first contribution directly:

$$\begin{aligned} [d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u^0}] &= (\Psi\xi_i\Psi' \otimes u_i)((\mu \otimes 1) \otimes 1) - ((\mu \otimes 1) \otimes 1)(\xi_i \otimes u_i) \\ &= (\Psi\xi_i\Psi'(\mu \otimes 1) - (\mu \otimes 1)\xi_i) \otimes u_i \end{aligned}$$

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi\xi_i\Psi'(\mu \otimes 1) - (\mu \otimes 1)\xi_i &= \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') (1 \otimes \theta_j - h_j \otimes 1) \right) (\mu \otimes 1) - (\mu \otimes 1) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \otimes \theta_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left((f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \mu \right) \otimes \theta_j - (f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_j \mu) \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

We wish to construct a map $H_i \in \text{Hom}^0(K_{n-1}, K'_n)$ so that $[d_{u^0}, H_i \otimes u_i]$ exactly cancels this obstruction. (Notice that $\deg(\tilde{\alpha}) = q^{-2}t^2$ forces H_i to have homological degree 0). Again, we can read off exactly the periodic degree-preserving piece d_{u^0} of the differentials on A_{n-1} and A'_n , allowing us to frontload a few computations:

$$\begin{aligned} [d_{u^0}, H_i \otimes u_i] &= (d_{K'_n} \otimes 1)(H_i \otimes u_i) - (H_i \otimes u_i)(d_{K_{n-1}} \otimes 1) \\ &= [d, H_i] \otimes u_i \end{aligned}$$

As discussed above, we construct H_i in order of decreasing Koszul degree, beginning with the highest degree present in $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u^0}]$.

Koszul degree θ_j : We assume $(H_i)_{\theta_j} = \varphi_{ij} \otimes \theta_j$ for some $\varphi_{ij} \in \text{Hom}^1(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n)$. There is only one contribution to $[d, H_i]$ in this degree:

$$[d, H_i]_{\theta_j} = [d_{\theta^0}, (H_i)_{\theta_j}] = (d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \otimes 1)(\varphi_{ij} \otimes \theta_j) = (d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \varphi_{ij}) \otimes \theta_j$$

Comparing to our previous computations of $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u^0}]$, we see that φ_{ij} should satisfy $d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \varphi_{ij} = (f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') - f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')) \mu$.

Note that the right-hand side of the above equation vanishes upon setting $x'_k = x''_k$ for each k . It follows that, as a polynomial in $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}''$, we have $f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{ijk}(x'_k - x''_k)$ for some polynomial $g_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}'']$. Set $\tilde{\varphi}_{ij} := \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} -g_{ijk} h_k \in \text{End}^{-1}(B_{w_1} J_n)$; then for $\varphi_{ij} = \tilde{\varphi}_{ij} \mu$, we obtain:

$$d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \varphi_{ij} = -d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{ijk} h_k \mu$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{ijk} d_{B_{w_1} J_n} h_k \mu \\
&= - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{ijk} (x'_k - x''_k - h_k d_{B_{w_1} J_n}) \mu \\
&= (f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') - f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')) \mu
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality we have used that $g_{ijk} \in R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}'']$ is central in $\text{End}(B_{w_1} J_n)$, and in the third equality we have used that $d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \mu = 0$.

Koszul degree θ^0 : Again, we assume $(H_i)_{\theta^0} = \rho_i \otimes 1$ for some $\rho_i \in \text{Hom}^0(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n)$. We see two types of contributions to $[d, H_i]$ in this degree:

$$\begin{aligned}
[d, H_i]_{\theta^0} &= \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} [d_{\theta_k^\vee}, (H_i)_{\theta_k}] \right) + [d_{\theta^0}, (H_i)_{\theta^0}] \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ((x_k - x''_k) \otimes \theta_k^\vee)(\varphi_{ik} \otimes \theta_k) - (\varphi_{ik} \otimes \theta_k)((x_k - x''_k) \otimes \theta_k^\vee) \right) + (d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \otimes 1)(\rho_i \otimes 1) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (x''_k - x_k) \varphi_{ik} \otimes (\theta_k^\vee \theta_k + \theta_k \theta_k^\vee) \right) + d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \rho_i \otimes 1 \\
&= \left(\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (x''_k - x_k) \varphi_{ik} \right) + d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \rho_i \right) \otimes 1
\end{aligned}$$

Here in the third equality we have used the middle interchange law and the fact that φ_{ik} is \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'' -linear. Comparing to our previous computations of $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{a}_u]$, we see that ρ_i should satisfy $d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \rho_i = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ((x_k - x''_k) \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_k) \mu$.

Lemma 3.21. *There exists some $\rho_i \in \text{Hom}^0(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n)$ such that $d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \rho_i = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ((x_k - x''_k) \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_k) \mu$.*

Proof. Since $d_{B_{w_1}} = 0$, it suffices to show that $\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ((x_k - x''_k) \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_k) \mu$ is null-homotopic. By the adjunction relation for ${}^\vee(-)$ of Section §2.4, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Hom}^\bullet(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n) &\cong \text{Hom}^\bullet(R_n, {}^\vee B_{w_1} B_{w_1} J_n) \\
&\cong \text{Hom}^\bullet(R_n, B_{w_1} B_{w_1} J_n) \\
&\cong [n-1]! \text{Hom}^\bullet(R_n, B_{w_1} J_n)
\end{aligned}$$

We have seen that $B_{w_1} J_n \simeq C_n$. This homotopy equivalence induces a homotopy equivalence of morphism complexes, and hence an isomorphism on homology

$$H^\bullet(\text{Hom}(R_n, B_{w_1} J_n)) \cong H^\bullet(\text{Hom}(R_n, C_n))$$

Now, the right-hand side of this isomorphism is by definition the Hochschild degree 0 piece of $HHH(C_n)$. By computations in [HRW21], $HHH(C_n)$ is concentrated in even homological degrees⁶. In particular, we have $H^1(\text{Hom}^\bullet(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1}J_n)) = 0$.

It follows that $\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}((x_k - x'_k)\tilde{\varphi}_{ik} + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')h_k)\mu \in H^1(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1}J_n)$ is nullhomotopic if and only if it is closed. All that remains is to compute:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_{w_1}J_n} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1}((x_k - x'_k)\tilde{\varphi}_{ik} + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')h_k)\mu &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1}((x_k - x'_k)d_{B_{w_1}J_n}(\tilde{\varphi}_{ik}) + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')d_{B_{w_1}J_n}(h_k))\mu \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left((x_k - x'_k) (f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') - f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - \tilde{\varphi}_{ik}d_{B_{w_1}J_n}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')(x'_k - x''_k - h_kd_{B_{w_1}J_n}) \right) \mu \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ((x_k - x'_k)f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') - (x_k - x'_k)f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'))\mu \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}(x_k - x'_k)f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') = 0 \in \text{End}(B_{w_1})$ by construction; hence the second term in parentheses above vanishes. This does not immediately address the first term, since the same identity is not guaranteed in $\text{End}(B_{w_1}J_n)$ upon replacing \mathbb{X}' by \mathbb{X}'' . That said, since μ is $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'']$ -linear, we may rewrite the remainder as

$$\mu \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (x_k - x'_k) f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')$$

This sum is now an endomorphism of B_{w_1} , and so it vanishes by the same reasoning as above. \square

Koszul degree $\theta_j\theta_k^\vee$: There is no term in $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u^0}]$ of this Koszul degree, so it suffices to check that $[d, H_i]_{\theta_j\theta_k^\vee} = 0$. Here we have exactly one contribution:

$$\begin{aligned} [d, H_i]_{\theta_j\theta_k^\vee} &= [d_{\theta_k^\vee}, (H_i)\theta_j] \\ &= ((x_k - x'_k) \otimes \theta_k^\vee)(\varphi_{ij} \otimes \theta_j) - (\varphi_{ij} \otimes \theta_j)((x_k - x'_k) \otimes \theta_k^\vee) \\ &= ((x''_k - x_k)\varphi_{ij}) \otimes (\theta_k^\vee\theta_j + \theta_j\theta_k^\vee) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

since θ_j, θ_k^\vee anti-commute for $j \neq k$.

Koszul degree θ_j^\vee : Again, there is no term in $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u^0}]$ of this Koszul degree, so it suffices to check that $[d, H_i]_{\theta_j^\vee} = 0$. We have exactly one contribution:

$$[d, H_i]_{\theta_j^\vee} = [d_{\theta_j^\vee}, (H_i)\theta^0]$$

⁶In fact the authors in [HRW21] compute the colored HOMFLYPT homology (as defined in [WW17]) of the $(n-1, 1)$ -colored Hopf link. The proof that their computation is equivalent to $HHH(C_n)$ (up to an overall grading shift of even homological degree) is straightforward but uses the technology of colored Hochschild homology, which we do not address in this paper in the interest of brevity.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= ((x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee)(\rho_i \otimes 1) - (\rho_i \otimes 1)((x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Since no terms of any other Koszul degree appear in $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u^\circ}]$ or $[d, H_i]$, we have $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i} = 0$.

Periodic degree $u_i u_j, i < j$:

We see three contributions to $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]$ in this component:

$$[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_j} = [d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_j}] + [d_{u_j}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i}] + [d_{u^\circ}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i u_j}]$$

We begin by computing the first term above.

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_j}] &= (\Psi \xi_i \Psi' \otimes u_i)(H_j \otimes u_j) - (H_j \otimes u_j)(\xi_i \otimes u_i) \\
&= (\Psi \xi_i \Psi' H_j - H_j \xi_i) \otimes u_i u_j
\end{aligned}$$

In the first tensor factor, we see:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi \xi_i \Psi' H_j - H_j \xi_i &= \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') (1 \otimes \theta_k - h_k \otimes 1) \right) \left(\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \varphi_{jk} \otimes \theta_k \right) + \rho_j \otimes 1 \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \varphi_{jk} \otimes \theta_k \right) + \rho_j \otimes 1 \right) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \otimes \theta_k \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} (-f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \varphi_{j\ell} - f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \varphi_{jk}) \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left((f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \rho_j - \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \varphi_{jk} \right) \otimes \theta_k - \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_k \rho_j \otimes 1
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_k \rho_j \in \text{Hom}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n) = 0$, the Koszul degree θ^0 piece of the above sum vanishes. We can obtain $[d_{u_j}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i}]$ from the above expression by swapping i and j . Assuming $\tilde{\alpha}_{u_i u_j} = H_{ij} \otimes u_i u_j$ for some $H_{ij} \in \text{Hom}^{-2}(K_{n-1}, K'_n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[d_{u^\circ}, H_{ij} \otimes u_i u_j] &= (d_{K'_n} \otimes 1)(H_{ij} \otimes u_i u_j) - (H_{ij} \otimes u_i u_j)(d_{K_{n-1}} \otimes 1) \\
&= [d, H_{ij}] \otimes u_i u_j
\end{aligned}$$

In total, we require the following equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
[d, H_{ij}] &= \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} (f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \varphi_{j\ell} + f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \varphi_{jk} + f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \varphi_{i\ell} + f_{j\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \varphi_{ik}) \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \left([d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ik}] \rho_j + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jk}] \rho_i + \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} (f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \varphi_{jk} + f_{j\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \varphi_{ik}) \right) \otimes \theta_k
\end{aligned}$$

Koszul degree $\theta_k \theta_\ell, k < \ell$: We assume $(H_{ij})_{\theta_k \theta_\ell} = \omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell$ for some $\omega_{ijk\ell} \in \text{Hom}^0(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n)$. Then the only contribution we see to $[d, H_{ij}]$ in this Koszul degree is

$$\begin{aligned} [d, H_{ij}]_{\theta_k \theta_\ell} &= [d_{\theta^0}, \omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell] \\ &= d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell \end{aligned}$$

There is some redundancy in the above expression for $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_j}] + [d_{u_j}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i}]$ in this Koszul degree induced by the relation $\theta_k \theta_\ell = -\theta_\ell \theta_k$. Restricting the above sum so $k < \ell$ (recall that $\theta_k \theta_\ell = 0$ when $k = \ell$), we obtain the requirement

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \omega_{ijk\ell} &= (f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \varphi_{j\ell} + (f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{jk}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \varphi_{i\ell} \\ &\quad + (f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') - f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')) \varphi_{jk} + (f_{j\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') - f_{j\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')) \varphi_{ik} \\ &= ([d, -\tilde{\varphi}_{ik}] \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell} + [d, -\tilde{\varphi}_{jk}] \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}] \tilde{\varphi}_{ik}) \mu \end{aligned}$$

Since all dot sliding homotopies $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ on $B_{w_1} J_n$ are $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}'']$ -linear, $\tilde{\varphi}_{ik}$ commutes with $[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}]$ (and similarly for the other terms above). This allows us to rewrite the above requirement as

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \omega_{ijk\ell} &= ([d, -\tilde{\varphi}_{ik}] \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell} + \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}] + [d, -\tilde{\varphi}_{jk}] \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jk}) \mu \\ &= [d, -\tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell} - \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}] \mu \\ &= [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} + \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell} \tilde{\varphi}_{ik}] \mu \end{aligned}$$

Here the second equality uses the graded Leibniz rule and the third equality uses that $\tilde{\varphi}_{ik}, \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}$ anticommute for all $k \neq \ell$ (which follows easily from the corresponding fact about the dot-sliding homotopies). Let $\tilde{\omega}_{ijk\ell} := \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} + \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell} \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \in \text{End}^{-2}(B_{w_1} J_n)$; then for $\omega_{ijk\ell} := \tilde{\omega}_{ijk\ell} \mu$, we have

$$d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \omega_{ijk\ell} = [d, \omega_{ijk\ell}] = [d, \tilde{\omega}_{ijk\ell}] \mu + \tilde{\omega}_{ijk\ell} [d, \mu] = [d, \tilde{\omega}_{ijk\ell}] \mu$$

as desired.

Koszul degree $\theta_k \theta_\ell \theta_m^\vee$, $k < \ell < m$: There is no term in $[d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_j}] + [d_{u_j}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i}]$ of this Koszul degree, so it suffices to check that $[d, H_{ij}]_{\theta_k \theta_\ell \theta_m^\vee} = 0$. We have exactly one contribution:

$$\begin{aligned} [d, H_{ij}]_{\theta_k \theta_\ell \theta_m^\vee} &= [d_{\theta_m^\vee}, (H_{ij})_{\theta_k \theta_\ell}] \\ &= ((x_m - x_m'') \otimes \theta_m^\vee) (\omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell) - (\omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell) ((x_m - x_m'') \otimes \theta_m^\vee) \\ &= (x_m - x_m'') \omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes (\theta_m^\vee \theta_k \theta_\ell - \theta_k \theta_\ell \theta_m^\vee) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Koszul degree θ_k : We record all contributions to $[d, H_{ij}]$ so far in this degree:

$$\begin{aligned} [d, H_{ij}]_{\theta_k} &= \sum_{\ell=2}^{k-1} [d_{\theta_\ell^\vee}, (H_{ij})_{\theta_\ell \theta_k}] + \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n-1} [d_{\theta_\ell^\vee}, (H_{ij})_{\theta_k \theta_\ell}] \\ &= \sum_{\ell=2}^{k-1} ((x_\ell - x_\ell'') \otimes \theta_\ell^\vee) (\omega_{ij\ell k} \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_k) - (\omega_{ij\ell k} \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_k) ((x_\ell - x_\ell'') \otimes \theta_\ell^\vee) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n-1} ((x_\ell - x_\ell'') \otimes \theta_\ell^\vee) (\omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell) - (\omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell) ((x_\ell - x_\ell'') \otimes \theta_\ell^\vee) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\ell=2}^{k-1} (x_\ell - x''_\ell) \omega_{ij\ell k} \otimes (\theta_\ell^\vee \theta_\ell \theta_k - \theta_\ell \theta_k \theta_\ell^\vee) + \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n-1} (x_\ell - x''_\ell) \omega_{ijk\ell} \otimes (\theta_\ell^\vee \theta_k \theta_\ell - \theta_k \theta_\ell \theta_\ell^\vee) \\
&= \left(\sum_{\ell=2}^{k-1} (x_\ell - x''_\ell) \omega_{ij\ell k} - \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n-1} (x_\ell - x''_\ell) \omega_{ijk\ell} \right) \otimes \theta_k
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $\theta_\ell \theta_\ell^\vee + \theta_\ell^\vee \theta_\ell = 1$ in the final equality above. Using the expression for $\omega_{ijk\ell}$ above, we obtain

$$[d, H_{ij}]_{\theta_k} = \left(\sum_{\ell=2}^{k-1} (x_\ell - x''_\ell) \omega_{ij\ell k} - \sum_{\ell=k+1}^{n-1} (x_\ell - x''_\ell) \omega_{ijk\ell} \right) \otimes \theta_k = \left(\sum_{\ell \neq k} (x_\ell - x''_\ell) (\tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell} + \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}) \mu \right) \otimes \theta_k$$

Recalling the identity $[d, \rho_i] = d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \rho_i = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} ((x_k - x''_k) \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} + f_{ik}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_k) \mu$, we may rewrite the above as

$$\begin{aligned}
[d, H_{ij}]_{\theta_k} &= \left(\sum_{\ell \neq k} \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} ((x_\ell - x''_\ell) \tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell} \mu + \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} ((x_\ell - x''_\ell) \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} \mu) \right) \otimes \theta_k \\
&= \left(\tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \left([d, \rho_j] - (x_k - x''_k) \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} \mu - \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} f_{j\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \mu \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} \left([d, \rho_i] - (x_k - x''_k) \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \mu - \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \mu \right) \right) \otimes \theta_k \\
&= \left(\tilde{\varphi}_{ik} [d, \rho_j] + \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} [d, \rho_i] - \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-2} (f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} h_\ell + f_{j\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} h_\ell) \mu \right) \otimes \theta_k
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\tilde{\varphi}_{jk} \rho_i \in \text{Hom}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n) = 0$, the graded Leibniz rule gives

$$0 = [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \rho_j] = [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ik}] \rho_j - \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} [d, \rho_j]$$

Additionally, we have $\tilde{\varphi}_{jk} h_\ell = h_\ell \tilde{\varphi}_{jk}$. Applying both identities, we obtain

$$[d, H_{ij}]_{\theta_k} = \left([d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ik}] \rho_j + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jk}] \rho_i + \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} (f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \varphi_{jk} + f_{j\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \varphi_{ik}) \right)$$

This exactly cancels the existing contribution, so $[d, \tilde{\alpha}] = 0$ in this Koszul degree. Since no terms of any other Koszul degree appear in $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_j}$, we have $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_j} = 0$.

Periodic degree u_i^2 :

The analysis here is identical to that in periodic degree $u_i u_j$ save that no extra terms are given by exchanging (i, j) . It follows that setting $\tilde{\alpha}_{u_i^2} = H_{ii} \otimes u_i^2$, defined immediately below, we have $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i^2} = 0$.

$$H_{ii} := \sum_{k < \ell} \tilde{\omega}_{iik\ell} \mu \otimes \theta_k \theta_\ell \in \text{Hom}^{-2}(K_{n-1}, K'_n); \quad \tilde{\omega}_{ijk\ell} := \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} \tilde{\varphi}_{jk} \in \text{End}^{-2}(B_{w_1} J_n)$$

Periodic degree $u_i u_j u_k, i < j < k$:

We again see three terms contributing to $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]$ in this component:

$$[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_j u_k} = [d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_j u_k}] + [d_{u_j}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i u_k}] + [d_{u_k}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i u_j}]$$

We analyze these contributions term by term.

$$\begin{aligned} [d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_j u_k}] &= (\Psi \xi_i \Psi' \otimes u_i)(H_{jk} \otimes u_j u_k) - (H_{jk} \otimes u_j u_k)(\xi_i \otimes u_i) \\ &= (\Psi \xi_i \Psi' H_{jk} - H_{jk} \xi_i) \otimes u_i u_j u_k \end{aligned}$$

Focusing our attention on the first tensor factor:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \xi_i \Psi' H_{jk} - H_{jk} \xi_i &= \left(\sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') (1 \otimes \theta_\ell - h_\ell \otimes 1) \right) \left(\sum_{m < p} \omega_{jkm} \otimes \theta_m \theta_p \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{m < p} \omega_{jkm} \otimes \theta_m \theta_p \right) \left(\sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \otimes \theta_\ell \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} \sum_{m < p} (f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \omega_{jkm} \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_p \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} \sum_{m < p} f_{i\ell}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_\ell \omega_{jkm} \otimes \theta_m \theta_p \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=2}^{n-1} \sum_{m < p} -[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}] (\tilde{\varphi}_{jp} \tilde{\varphi}_{km} + \tilde{\varphi}_{kp} \tilde{\varphi}_{jm}) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_p \end{aligned}$$

Here we have dropped the latter sum in the third equality, since $h_\ell \omega_{jkm} \in \text{Hom}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n) = 0$. The remainder contains redundancies within Koszul degrees. We fix $\ell < m < p$ and freely use the various commutativity and anti-commutativity relations among $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $[d, \tilde{\varphi}]$ mentioned above, obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi \xi_i \Psi' H_{jk} - H_{jk} \xi_i)_{\theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_p} &= \left(-[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}] (\tilde{\varphi}_{jp} \tilde{\varphi}_{km} - \tilde{\varphi}_{jm} \tilde{\varphi}_{kp}) + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{im}] (\tilde{\varphi}_{jp} \tilde{\varphi}_{kl} - \tilde{\varphi}_{jl} \tilde{\varphi}_{kp}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}] (\tilde{\varphi}_{jm} \tilde{\varphi}_{kl} - \tilde{\varphi}_{jl} \tilde{\varphi}_{km}) \right) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_p \\ &= \left([d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jm} \tilde{\varphi}_{kp} - [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jp} \tilde{\varphi}_{km} - [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{im}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jl} \tilde{\varphi}_{kp} + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{im}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jp} \tilde{\varphi}_{kl} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jl} \tilde{\varphi}_{km} - [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}] \tilde{\varphi}_{jm} \tilde{\varphi}_{kl} \right) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_p \end{aligned}$$

By permuting i, j, k , we obtain the contributions in this Koszul degree from other terms of $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_j u_k}$. We record these contributions below:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi \xi_j \Psi' H_{ik} - H_{ik} \xi_j)_{\theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_p} &= \left(-\tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jm}] \tilde{\varphi}_{kp} + \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell} [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jp}] \tilde{\varphi}_{km} + \tilde{\varphi}_{im} [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jl}] \tilde{\varphi}_{kp} - \tilde{\varphi}_{im} [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jp}] \tilde{\varphi}_{kl} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \tilde{\varphi}_{ip} [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jl}] \tilde{\varphi}_{km} + \tilde{\varphi}_{ip} [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{jm}] \tilde{\varphi}_{kl} \right) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_p \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi\xi_k\Psi'H_{ij} - H_{ij}\xi_k)_{\theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p} &= \left(\tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{jm}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{kp}] - \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{jp}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{km}] - \tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{kp}] + \tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{jp}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{km}] - \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{jm}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell}] \right) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p \end{aligned}$$

In total, we see exactly the right combination of signs to apply the graded Leibniz rule, obtaining:

$$\begin{aligned} ([d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_j u_k})_{\theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p} &= \left([d, (\tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{jm}\tilde{\varphi}_{kp} - \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{jp}\tilde{\varphi}_{km} - \tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{kp} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{jp}\tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell} + \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{j\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{km} - \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{jm}\tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell})\mu] \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p \right) \otimes u_i u_j u_k \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{jm}\tilde{\varphi}_{kp}\mu \in \text{Hom}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1}J_n) = 0$ (and similarly for all other terms above), this entire contribution vanishes. It follows that $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_j u_k} = 0$.

Periodic degree $u_i^2 u_k$, $i < k$:

The analysis in this periodic degree is very similar to the analysis in the previous degree; the only difference is in eliminating terms that were obtained above by permuting i and j . We record only the end results of the relevant calculations below:

$$[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i^2 u_k} = [d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i u_k}] + [d_{u_k}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i^2}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi\xi_i\Psi'H_{ik} - H_{ik}\xi_i)_{\theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p} &= \left([d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}]\tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{kp} - \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{im}]\tilde{\varphi}_{kp} - [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}]\tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{km} + \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}]\tilde{\varphi}_{km} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{im}]\tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell} - \tilde{\varphi}_{im}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}]\tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell} \right) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p \end{aligned}$$

$$(\Psi\xi_k\Psi'H_{ii} - H_{ii}\xi_k)_{\theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p} = \left(\tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{im}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{kp}] - \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{ip}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{km}] + \tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{ip}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell}] \right) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p$$

In total, we obtain:

$$([d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i^2 u_k})_{\theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p} = \left([d, (\tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{kp} - \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{km} + \tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell})\mu] \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p \right) \otimes u_i^2 u_k$$

This vanishes for the same homological degree considerations as above.

Periodic degree $u_i u_k^2$, $i < k$:

This case is almost identical to the previous case; we skip right to the conclusion.

$$([d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i u_k^2})_{\theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p} = \left([d, (\tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{km}\tilde{\varphi}_{kp} - \tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{kp} + \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\tilde{\varphi}_{k\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{km})\mu] \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p \right) \otimes u_i u_k^2 = 0$$

Periodic Degree u_i^3 :

The computations are again similar. Here the only contribution to $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]$ is given by

$$[d, \tilde{\alpha}]_{u_i^3} = [d_{u_i}, \tilde{\alpha}_{u_i^2}]$$

The only relevant Koszul degree computation is:

$$(\Psi\xi_i\Psi'H_{ii} - H_{ii}\xi_i)_{\theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p} = \left([d, \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}]\tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{ip} - \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{im}]\tilde{\varphi}_{ip} + \tilde{\varphi}_{i\ell}\tilde{\varphi}_{im}[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ip}] \right) \mu \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= [d, \tilde{\varphi}_{it}\tilde{\varphi}_{im}\tilde{\varphi}_{ip}\mu\iota] \otimes \theta_\ell\theta_m\theta_p \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Since no terms of any other periodic degrees appear in $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]$, we have $[d, \tilde{\alpha}] = 0$ in all degrees. □

4. Y-IFICATION

4.1. The Finite Projector. Methods developed by Elias-Hogancamp-Mellit over the last ten years use (bounded versions of) the projectors P_n to compute triply graded homology of positive torus links ([EH19], [Mel22], [HM19]). As in their work, we prefer to deal with finite versions of our projectors to ensure that the complexes involved in computing homology are bounded⁷. These finite projectors will be appropriately shifted copies of the Koszul complex K_n constructed above.

Remark 4.1. In the computations of ([EH19], [Mel22], [HM19]) utilizing row projectors P_n , the finite projector was constructed inductively by taking a tensor product with the fundamental domain of their infinite projector. The primary advantage of that approach is an easy proof of the appropriate analog of Proposition 3.17. This reduction also works in our setting, though we will find the explicit description of K_n as a Koszul complex more useful for partial trace computations; see Proposition 4.4 below.

We collect the properties of K_n relevant to the computation of link homology below:

Proposition 4.2. *The family of bounded complexes K_n considered above satisfy:*

- (1) $K_1 = R_1$;
- (2) $K_n \in \mathcal{I}_n^-$;
- (3) $K_{n-1}J_n \simeq (q^{n-3}tK_n \longrightarrow q^{-2}t^2K_{n-1})$.

Proof. The first two properties were discussed above. Property (3) follows from the homotopy equivalence $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}) \simeq q^{n-1}t^{-1}K_n$ of Proposition 3.17 after rotating triangles. □

The general strategy for utilizing these finite projectors to compute triply graded homology goes as follows. Identify a full twist on two strands as a subword of a braid presentation of the given link. Using Properties (1) and (3) above, we may rewrite the Rouquier complex associated to that braid as a convolution of complexes built out of simpler braids and the finite projector K_2 . Repeatedly apply Property (3) in this way, decomposing the Rouquier complex for the original braid as a (potentially very large) convolution of finite projectors together with simple braids.

Now, the problem of computing HHH has been reduced to the two problems of computing Hochschild cohomology of the simpler complexes and computing the homology of the resulting convolution as a garden-variety chain complex. With any luck, repeated application of the partial trace Markov moves above are sufficient for the first task. While the second task might seem forbiddingly complicated (indeed, keeping track of the differentials involved in this decomposition would be intractable for large braids), we are aided by the following significant simplification:

Proposition 4.3. *Let $C = tw_\alpha(\bigoplus_{j \in J} F_j)$ be a one-sided convolution of the complexes F_j , and suppose $H^i(F_j) = 0$ for all odd i . Then $H^i(C) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} H^i(F_j)$ for each i .*

Proof. Notice that the indexing set J gives a bounded filtration on C . The E_1 page of the spectral sequence computing $H^\bullet(C)$ induced by this filtration is given by $\bigoplus_{j \in J} H^\bullet(F_j)$; in particular, this page is concentrated in even homological degree. Since the differential on this page has homological degree 1, no component of

⁷This approach will necessarily be abandoned when computing *colored* homology later in this work, though our computations with bounded complexes will lay the groundwork for that approach as well.

the differential can map between nonzero terms. It follows that the spectral sequence degenerates at this page, so $H^\bullet(C) \cong \bigoplus_{j \in J} H^\bullet(F_j)$. \square

An appropriately normalized version of Property (3) of Proposition 4.2 will contain only even homological shifts. For this reason, Proposition 4.3 has the potential to significantly simplify our computations. The only remaining ingredient is the computation of the Hochschild cohomology of the simpler complexes appearing in the resulting convolution. Because these will contain finite projectors K_j as tensor factors, it is essential to understand how K_j behaves under partial trace.

Proposition 4.4. *For all $n > 1$, we have $Tr_n(K_n) \simeq (1 + q^2 t^{-1}) \left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) K_{n-1}$.*

Proof. We apply Tr_n termwise to the complex $K_n \cong B_{w_0} \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$. On each chain group, we have $Tr_n(B_{w_0}) \cong \left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) B_{w_1} \in \mathcal{D}_{n-1}$ by Proposition 2.38. Applying Tr_n to the differential d_{K_n} kills the term $(x_n - x'_n) \otimes \theta_n^\vee$. What remains is the complex $\left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) B_{w_1} \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$ with differential $d = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j$. This is exactly $\left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) (K_{n-1} \oplus \theta_n K_{n-1}) \cong (1 + q^2 t^{-1}) \left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) K_{n-1}$. \square

Notice that $Tr_n(K_n)$ contains two copies of K_{n-1} with an odd degree relative homological shift between them. This odd relative shift cannot be renormalized away, so we cannot hope to apply the methods of ([EH19], [Mel22], [HM19]) *mutatis mutandis* to compute with this projector. Recent work of Gorsky-Hogancamp-Mellit nevertheless establishes a mirror symmetry relationship for uncolored links similar to the expected relationship between P_{1^n} and P_n after passing to *y-ified* homology ([GHM21]). Inspired by their results, we turn to the task of *y-ifying* our projector; as we will see, this resolves the parity issue of Proposition 4.4.

4.2. Y-ification. In this section we review the theory of *y-ification* as introduced in [GH22]. Our exposition and notation largely mirrors that of [GH22]; the reader familiar with that work can safely skip this section.

Definition 4.5. Let Γ be an abelian group and S a $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring. Let \mathcal{A} be a graded S -linear category (so that Hom spaces in \mathcal{A} are graded S -modules). Let $Z \in S$ be a homogeneous element with $\text{deg}(Z) = (0, 2)$. A *Z-factorization* in \mathcal{A} is an ordered pair (C, δ) with $C \in \mathcal{A}$, $\delta \in \text{End}^1(C)$ satisfying $\delta^2 = Z$. We often refer to *Z-factorizations* as *curved complexes* with curvature Z and connection δ .

For each such $Z \in S$, we let $\text{Fac}(\mathcal{A}, Z)$ denote the category with objects *Z-factorizations* in \mathcal{A} and morphism spaces inherited from \mathcal{A} . Observe that Z is central in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fac}(\mathcal{A}, Z)}$ by virtue of S -linearity of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 4.6. *Fac(\mathcal{A}, Z) is a $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded dg category over S .*

Proof. Let $(X, \delta_X), (Y, \delta_Y) \in \text{Fac}(\mathcal{A}, Z)$ be given. As usual, given a morphism f in \mathcal{A} , we reserve the notation $|f|$ for the distinguished "homological" \mathbb{Z} -component of the grading on morphism spaces. We declare the differential on $\text{Hom}_{\text{Fac}(\mathcal{A}, Z)}(X, Y)$ to be

$$d_{\text{Fac}(\mathcal{A}, Z)}: f \mapsto [\delta, f] := \delta_Y \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ \delta_X$$

Given $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Fac}(\mathcal{A}, Z)}(X, Y)$, we check that $d^2(f) = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2(f) &= [\delta, [\delta, f]] \\
&= \delta_Y \circ [\delta, f] - (-1)^{|f|+1} [\delta, f] \circ \delta_X \\
&= \delta_Y \circ (\delta_Y \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ \delta_X) - (-1)^{|f|+1} (\delta_Y \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ \delta_X) \circ \delta_X \\
&= \delta_Y^2 \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} (\delta_Y \circ f \circ \delta_X - \delta_Y \circ f \circ \delta_X) + (-1)^{2|f|+1} f \circ \delta_X^2 \\
&= Z \circ f - f \circ Z \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

The graded Leibniz rule is easily verified (the computations are identical to the uncurved setting). The $\Gamma \times \mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\text{Fac}(\mathcal{A}, Z)$ is given by formal grading shifts. \square

Example 4.7. There is a dg-equivalence of categories $\text{Fac}(\text{Seq}(\mathcal{C}), 0) \cong \text{Ch}(\mathcal{C})$.

Our primary tool for establishing homotopy equivalences, Gaussian elimination, extends naturally to the curved setting.

Proposition 4.8 (Gaussian Elimination for Curved Complexes). *Fix an abelian group Γ and a Γ -graded ring R . Let \mathcal{A} be an R -linear category, let $A, B_1, B_2, D, E, F \in \mathcal{A}$ be given, and let $\varphi : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ be an isomorphism. Then whenever the top row of the diagram below is a piece of a Z -factorization in $\text{Fac}(\text{Seq}(\mathcal{A}), Z)$, the morphisms specified by the vertical arrows of the diagram below on that piece and identity maps elsewhere constitute a strong deformation retraction from the top row to the bottom row.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}} & B_1 \oplus D & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \kappa \\ \gamma & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}} & B_2 \oplus E & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \rho & \psi \end{pmatrix}} & F \\
\text{id}_A \uparrow \Big| \text{id}_A & & \begin{pmatrix} -\varphi^{-1}\kappa \\ \text{id}_D \end{pmatrix} \Big| \Big| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{id}_D \end{pmatrix} & & \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \text{id}_E \end{pmatrix} \Big| \Big| \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma\varphi^{-1} & \text{id}_E \end{pmatrix} & & \text{id}_F \Big| \Big| \text{id}_F \\
A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & D & \xrightarrow{\epsilon - \gamma\varphi^{-1}\kappa} & E & \xrightarrow{\psi} & F
\end{array}$$

Proof. Identical computation to the proof of Proposition 2.7. \square

All curved complexes we consider will be of the following form.

Example 4.9. Let \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}' be alphabets of n variables each as usual. Let $R_n = R[\mathbb{X}]$ as a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring, where the first factor is the usual quantum grading and the second factor is the homological grading. In particular, we have $\deg(x_i) = q^2 = (2, 0)$ for each x_i . Let $\mathbb{Y} := \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ be an alphabet of formal variables of degree $\deg(y_i) = q^{-2}t^2$ for each i , and consider the polynomial ring $R[\mathbb{Y}]$ as a dg R -algebra with trivial differential.

Given any complex $C \in \text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})$, let $C[\mathbb{Y}] := C \otimes_R R[\mathbb{Y}]$ as a chain complex⁸. Note that $C[\mathbb{Y}]$ has a natural structure as a dg $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{Y}]$ -module with the action of \mathbb{Y} given by multiplication in the

⁸Note that the number of variables in the alphabet \mathbb{Y} depends on the size of the alphabets \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}' . This number of variables will always be clear in context; as such, we decline to distinguish among the variously-sized alphabets to avoid unnecessary notational clutter.

second tensor factor. Because of this, we will often denote elements of $C[\mathbb{Y}]$ multiplicatively, writing e.g. $y_i^2 C^j = C^j y_i^2 = C^j \otimes y_i^2$. Note also that C naturally includes into $C[\mathbb{Y}]$ as a subcomplex $C \otimes 1$; we will make implicit use of this inclusion without further comment.

Let $\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})$ denote the category with objects chain complexes $C[\mathbb{Y}]$ for some $C \in \text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ and graded morphism spaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})}^k(C[\mathbb{Y}], D[\mathbb{Y}]) := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\bigoplus_{j=k-i} \text{Hom}_{R_n - \text{Bim}}(C^i, D^{i+k-j}) \otimes_R (R[\mathbb{Y}])^j \right)$$

Then $\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})$ is a graded $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{Y}]$ -linear category, and given any $Z \in R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{Y}]$ with $\deg(Z) = t^2$, we may consider the category $Z - \text{Fac}(R_n - \text{Bim}) := \text{Fac}(\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim}), Z)$ of Z -factorizations.

Remark 4.10. For each $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, we let $y^{\mathbf{v}} := y_1^{v_1} \dots y_n^{v_n}$, $|\mathbf{v}| := v_1 + \dots + v_n$. Let $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \delta_C)$, $(D[\mathbb{Y}], \delta_D) \in Z - \text{Fac}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be given. Then given any homogeneous morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C[\mathbb{Y}], D[\mathbb{Y}])$ of degree $q^r t^s$, we may separate f into its \mathbb{Y} -components:

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} f_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} y^{\mathbf{v}}$$

where $f_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C, D)$ is a homogeneous morphism of degree $q^{r+2|\mathbf{v}|} t^{s-2|\mathbf{v}|}$ for each \mathbf{v} .

Remark 4.11. The reader familiar with y -ification will notice that our convention for morphism spaces differs from the usual definition $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C[\mathbb{Y}], D[\mathbb{Y}]) = \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C, D)[\mathbb{Y}]$ from e.g. [GH22]. When dealing with semi-infinite complexes, our convention allows for formal power series in the alphabet \mathbb{Y} in the decomposition of Remark 4.10 but restricts to morphisms for which this decomposition is finite on each homological degree in the domain. This atypical convention affords necessary leeway when dealing with semi-infinite complexes but introduces less pathological divergence than allowing for all formal power series. When C and D are bounded complexes, there is no difference between these two conventions.

Definition 4.12. Retain notation as in Remark 4.10. We call f a *curved lift* of the morphism $f_{y^0} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}$ when we wish to emphasize its degree 0 component. We call $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \delta_C)$ a *curved lift* of (C, d_C) if δ_C is a curved lift of d_C . We often make this property explicit by writing $\delta_C = d_C + \Delta_C$, where $\Delta_C = \sum_{|\mathbf{v}| \geq 1} (\delta_C)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} y^{\mathbf{v}}$. We refer to Δ_C as a *curved twist* of C and will often denote the curved complex $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \delta_C)$ by $\text{tw}_{\Delta_C}(C[\mathbb{Y}])$ (notice the similarities with Section §2.3).

One naturally wonders whether the Homological Perturbation Lemma extends to the setting of curved complexes. This is the subject of homological perturbation theory with curvature; see [Hog20] for details. We will not need the full strength of that theory, but we will use the following result:

Proposition 4.13. *Let $f \in \text{Hom}^0(C, D)$, $g \in \text{Hom}^0(D, C)$ be the data of an isomorphism $C \cong D$ in $\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})$, and let $\text{tw}_{\Delta_C}(C[\mathbb{Y}])$ be a curved lift of C with curvature Z . Then f, g are isomorphisms $\text{tw}_{\Delta_C}(C[\mathbb{Y}]) \cong \text{tw}_{f\Delta_C g}(D[\mathbb{Y}])$ in $Z - \text{Fac}(R_n - \text{Bim})$.*

Proof. We begin by showing that $\text{tw}_{f\Delta_C g}(D[\mathbb{Y}])$ is a Z -factorization via direct computation.

$$\begin{aligned} (d_D + f\Delta_C g)^2 &= d_D^2 + d_D f\Delta_C g + f\Delta_C g d_D + (f\Delta_C g)(f\Delta_C g) \\ &= f(d_C \Delta_C + \Delta_C d_C + \Delta_C^2)g \\ &= f(d_C + \Delta_C)^2 g \\ &= Z \end{aligned}$$

A similar computation shows that $[\delta, f] = [\delta, g] = 0$, so that f, g lift to chain maps of curved complexes; we omit the details, as they are easily checked. That f and g are mutually inverse in $Z - \text{Fac}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ follows immediately from the corresponding fact in $\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})$. \square

Note that the only elements of $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{Y}]$ of degree t^2 are of the form $Z = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}')y_i$ for some homogeneous degree 1 polynomials $f_i \in R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$. We will primarily be interested in the special case $Z_\sigma := \sum_{i=1}^n (x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i)y_i$ for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$.

Definition 4.14. Let $(C, d_C) \in \text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be given. A *y-ification* of C is an ordered triple $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ such that $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \delta_C)$ is a curved lift of (C, d_C) with curvature Z_σ . For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, let $\mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ denote the full subcategory of $Z_\sigma - \text{Fac}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ generated by (direct sums of shifts of) y-ifications $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$. There is a natural inclusion functor $\mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$; we consider objects in the former also as objects in the latter under this inclusion without further comment.

The monoidal structure on $R_n - \text{Bim}$ extends to y-ifications, though some care must be taken with permutations. Let two y-ifications $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ and $(D[\mathbb{Y}], \rho, \delta_D)$ be given. We regard $C[\mathbb{Y}]$ and $D[\mathbb{Y}]$ as $R[\mathbb{Y}]$ -bimodules, where the left and right actions on $C[\mathbb{Y}]$ and the right action on $D[\mathbb{Y}]$ are given by the usual multiplication, but the *left* action on $D[\mathbb{Y}]$ is given by multiplication by $\rho^{-1}(\mathbb{Y})$. Set $(C \otimes D)[\mathbb{Y}] := C[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes_{R_n[\mathbb{Y}]} D[\mathbb{Y}]$ with the usual tensor product differential $\delta_C \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_D$.

Proposition 4.15. *Retain notation from the previous paragraph. Then $((C \otimes D)[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma\rho, \delta_C \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_D)$ is a y-ification of $C \otimes D$.*

We call a curved lift f of a morphism f_{y^0} *strict* if $(f)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ for all $|\mathbf{v}| > 1$; that is, f is linear in the alphabet \mathbb{Y} . Similarly, we call a y-ification $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ *strict* if δ_C is a strict lift of d_C . Note that a strict y-ification $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ is equivalent to a collection of homogeneous morphisms $\Delta_i \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C)$ of degree $q^2 t^{-1}$ satisfying $(d_C + \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta_i \otimes y_i)^2 = Z_\sigma$. Separating this equation into \mathbb{Y} -components, we obtain the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} [d_C, \Delta_i] &= x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i \\ \Delta_i \Delta_j + \Delta_j \Delta_i &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j \\ \Delta_i^2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Given a braid $\beta \in Br_n$, the dot-sliding homotopies $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ relating the actions of $x_{\beta(i)}$ and x'_i on $F(\beta)$ satisfy these identities for $\sigma = \beta \in \mathfrak{S}^n$. As an immediate consequence, we obtain

Proposition 4.16. *For each braid $\beta \in Br_n$, the triple $F^y(\beta) := (F(\beta)[\mathbb{Y}], \beta, d_{F(\beta)} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \otimes y_i)$ is a strict y-ification of $F(\beta)$.*

In fact y-ifications of Rouquier complexes are unique up to homotopy equivalence; we will not require this result here.

Next, we recall an obstruction-theoretic technique for lifting morphisms of chain complexes to morphisms of y-ifications developed in [GH22]. Aside from explicit computations, this will be our primary tool for constructing morphisms.

Proposition 4.17. *Let $C, D \in \text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be given, and suppose $H^i(\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C, D)) = 0$ for all $i < N$ for some fixed N . Let $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$, $(D[\mathbb{Y}], \rho, \delta_D)$ be y-ifications of C and D , respectively, and let some homogeneous $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C[\mathbb{Y}], D[\mathbb{Y}])$ be given with degree $\deg(g) = q^r t^s$ for some $s \leq N + 1$. Then there exists a formal power series*

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} f_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} \otimes y^{\mathbf{v}}; \quad f_{\mathbf{v}} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^{s-1-2\mathbf{v}}(C, D)$$

satisfying $[\delta, f] = g$ if and only if there exists $f_0 \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^{r, s-1}(C, D)$ such that $[d, f_0] = g_{y^{\circ}}$. In this case, we have $f_{y^{\circ}} = f_0$.

We omit the proof; see [GH22] for details⁹. The following two corollaries will almost always suffice for our purposes.

Corollary 4.18. *Let $C, D \in \text{Ch}^b(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be given, and suppose $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C, D)$ has homology concentrated in non-negative degrees. Let $f : C \rightarrow D$ be a chain map, and let $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C), (D[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_D)$ be y -ifications of C and D , respectively. Then f lifts to a chain map $\tilde{f} : C[\mathbb{Y}] \rightarrow D[\mathbb{Y}]$ in $\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ satisfying $\tilde{f}_{y^{\circ}} = f$.*

Proof. Take $N = 0, g = 0 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^1(C[\mathbb{Y}], D[\mathbb{Y}])$ in Proposition 4.17, and observe that boundedness of C and D implies $f_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ for $|\mathbf{v}| \gg 0$. \square

Corollary 4.19. *Retain notation as in Corollary 4.18, and again suppose $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(C, D)$ has homology concentrated in non-negative degrees. Then a morphism $g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^0(C[\mathbb{Y}], D[\mathbb{Y}])$ is nullhomotopic if and only if $g_{y^{\circ}} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^0(C, D)$ is nullhomotopic.*

Proof. Take $N = 0$ in Proposition 4.17. \square

We conclude this section with another application of homological perturbation theory with curvature; this is Lemma 2.19 in [GH22] (appropriately adapted to our convention for morphisms; see Remark 4.11).

Lemma 4.20. *If $C \in \text{Ch}^+(R_n - \text{Bim})$ is contractible, then any y -ification of C is contractible.*

4.3. Y-ified Fork Slide. We now turn to the task of y -ifying the complexes constructed in Section 3. In [Elb22], the author constructed explicit y -ifications for the projectors P_{1^n} in all Coxeter groups. As in the uncurved case, we take this explicit description as the starting point for our recursive construction, beginning with the finite projector.

Proposition 4.21. *Let $\delta_{K_n} := \sum_{j=2}^n (x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j^{\vee} + (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})}(K_n[\mathbb{Y}])$. Then $K_n^y := (K_n[\mathbb{Y}], e, \delta_{K_n})$ is a (strict) y -ification of K_n .*

Proof. This is a direct computation.

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{K_n}^2 &= \left(\sum_{j=2}^n (x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j^{\vee} + (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j \right) \left(\sum_{k=2}^n (x_k - x'_k) \otimes \theta_k^{\vee} + (y_k - y_1) \otimes \theta_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{j,k=2}^n (x_j - x'_j)(y_k - y_1) \otimes (\theta_j^{\vee} \theta_k + \theta_k \theta_j^{\vee}) + (y_j - y_1)(y_k - y_1) \otimes \theta_j \theta_k \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^n (x_j - x'_j)(y_j - y_1) \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

⁹In fact the authors in [GH22] restrict their attention to the cases of Corollaries 4.18 and 4.19. Their argument goes through with only cosmetic adjustments in the generality of Proposition 4.17 above.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=2}^n (x_j - x'_j) y_j + (e_1(\mathbb{X}') - x'_1 - (e_1(\mathbb{X}) - x_1)) y_1 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - x'_j) y_j
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $J_n^y := F^y(J_n)$. Then $K_{n-1}^y J_n^y = (K_{n-1} J_n[\mathbb{Y}], e, \delta_{K_{n-1} J_n})$ is a (strict) y -ification of $K_{n-1} J_n$ with connection

$$\delta_{K_{n-1} J_n} = \text{id}_{B_{w_1}}(d_{J_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i y_i) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j^\vee + (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j$$

By Proposition 4.13, the isomorphisms Ψ, Ψ' from Corollary 3.16 lift without modification to isomorphisms $K_{n-1}^y J_n^y \cong K_n^y := (K_n[\mathbb{Y}], e, \delta_{K_n^y})$, where $\delta_{K_n^y} = d_{K_n^y} + \Psi \Delta_{K_{n-1} J_n} \Psi'$.

We compute $\Delta_{K_n^y} = \Psi \Delta_{K_{n-1} J_n} \Psi'$ explicitly using the expression $\Delta_{K_{n-1} J_n} = (\sum_{i=1}^n h_i y_i \otimes 1) + (\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j)$, which can be read off directly above. We treat each summand separately. Since $y_j - y_1$ has even homological degree and is central in $\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})$, the computation of $\Psi(\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - y_1) \otimes \theta_i) \Psi'$ is nearly identical to that of Proposition 3.19. We only quote the result:

$$\Psi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j\right) \Psi' = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) (1 \otimes \theta_j - h_j \otimes 1)$$

In the other component, upon conjugating a given summand $h_j y_j \otimes 1$ by $1 \otimes 1 - h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee$, we see the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes 1 - h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee)(h_j y_j \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1 + h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee) &= h_j y_j \otimes 1 + h_j y_j h_i \otimes \theta_i^\vee + h_i h_j y_j \otimes \theta_i^\vee \\
&= h_j y_j \otimes 1 + (h_j h_i + h_i h_j) y_j \otimes \theta_i^\vee \\
&= h_j y_j \otimes 1
\end{aligned}$$

Since conjugating by Ψ consists only of repeated applications of the smaller conjugations, we have $\Psi(\sum_{i=1}^n h_i y_i \otimes 1) \Psi' = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i y_i \otimes 1$. Summing both contributions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{K_n^y} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n h_j y_j \right) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) (1 \otimes \theta_j - h_j \otimes 1) \\
&= \left(h_1 y_1 + h_n y_n + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} h_j y_1 \right) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j \\
&= h_n (y_n - y_1) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j
\end{aligned}$$

In the last equality we have used the identity $\sum_{j=1}^n h_j = 0$. We record the final result below.

Proposition 4.22. *The maps Ψ, Ψ' of Corollary 3.16 lift without modification to isomorphisms $K_{n-1}^y J_n^y \cong K_n^y$ in $\mathcal{Y}_e(R_n - \text{Bim})$. Here $\delta_{K_n^y} = id_{B_{w_1}}(d_{J_n} + h_n(y_n - y_1)) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (x_j - x_j'') \otimes \theta_j^y + (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j$.*

To continue our program of y -ifying P_{1n} , we would like to lift the homotopy equivalence $B_{w_1} J_n \simeq C_n$ of Section §3.2 to a the y -ified setting. Let $B_{w_1} J_n^{y_n}$ denote the curved lift of $B_{w_1} J_n$ with twist $\Delta_{B_{w_1} J_n} = h_n(y_n - y_1)$; this curved complex has curvature $Z_{y_n} := (x_n' - x_n'')(y_n - y_1) = (x_n - x_n'')(y_n - y_1)$. To lift the results of Section §3.2, we will also need some curved lift $C_n^{y_n}$ of C_n in $Z_{y_n} - \text{Fac}(R_n - \text{Bim})$. The correct connection on $C_n^{y_n}$ is fairly easy to guess and is indicated below. Here the curved twist Δ_{C_n} is depicted by a backwards red arrow.

$$C_n^{y_n} := q^{n-1} B_{w_0}[\mathbb{Y}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x_n - x_n''} \\ \xleftarrow[y_n - y_1]{} \end{array} q^{n-3} t B_{w_0}[\mathbb{Y}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{unzip}} \\ \xleftarrow[0]{} \end{array} q^{-2} t^2 B_{w_1}[\mathbb{Y}]$$

Proposition 4.23. *The map $\nu: B_{w_1} J_n \rightarrow C_n$ of Proposition 3.14 lifts without modification to a chain map $\nu: B_{w_1} J_n^{y_n} \rightarrow C_n^{y_n}$ of curved complexes. The map μ of the same proposition has a strict lift to a chain map $\tilde{\mu}: C_n^{y_n} \rightarrow B_{w_1} J_n^{y_n}$ of curved complexes. These maps constitute a strong deformation retraction from $B_{w_1} J_n^{y_n}$ to $C_n^{y_n}$; that is, $\nu\tilde{\mu} = id_{C_n^{y_n}}$, $\tilde{\mu}\nu \sim id_{B_{w_1} J_n^{y_n}}$.*

The proof of this proposition essentially reduces to a sequence of long, explicit computations verifying highly plausible naturality statements relating dot-sliding homotopies and fork sliding homotopy equivalences. In the interest of preserving focus, we defer these computations to the end of this work; the interested reader can turn to Appendix A for details.

Assuming the result of Proposition 4.23, all that remains in constructing the y -ified finite projector is to lift Proposition 3.17 to the y -ified setting.

Proposition 4.24. *The map $\bar{\alpha} = \Psi'(\mu \otimes 1)$ of Proposition 3.17 has a strict lift to a chain map $\bar{\alpha}^y = \Psi'(\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1): K_{n-1}^y \rightarrow q^2 t^{-2} K_{n-1}^y J_n^y$ satisfying $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}^y) \simeq q^{n-1} t^{-1} K_n^y$.*

Proof. Consider the curved complex $C_n^{y_n} \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$ with connection

$$\delta_{C_n \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]} = \delta_{C_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^n (x_j - x_j'') \otimes \theta_j^y + (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j$$

It is easily verified that $(C_n^{y_n} \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n], e, \delta_{C_n \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]})$ is a (strict) y -ification of $C_n \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$. Observe that $q^{-2} t^2 K_{n-1}^y J_n^y$ appears as a subcomplex with inclusion map $\iota \otimes 1 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}_e(R_n - \text{Bim})}^2(K_{n-1}^y J_n^y, C_n^{y_n} \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n])$. Gaussian elimination along this inclusion gives a homotopy equivalence

$$\text{Cone}(\iota \otimes 1) \simeq q^{n-1} t^{-1} K_n^y$$

Since $\tilde{\mu}, \nu$ are $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'', \mathbb{Y}]$ -linear, they extend to a strong deformation retraction $\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1, \nu \otimes 1$ from K_n^y to $C_n^{y_n} \otimes \wedge[\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$. Completely analogously to Proposition 3.17, we obtain a chain of homotopy equivalences

$$\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}^y) = \text{Cone}(\Psi'(\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1)) \simeq \text{Cone}(\tilde{\mu} \otimes 1) \simeq \text{Cone}(\iota \otimes 1) \simeq q^{n-1} t^{-1} K_n^y \in \mathcal{Y}_e(R_n - \text{Bim})$$

□

4.4. Y-ified Infinite Projector. We now turn to a y -ification of our recursion for the infinite projector. We begin by y -ifying the explicit combinatorial projector A_n .

Proposition 4.25. *Let $A_n := K_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}[u_2^{-1}, \dots, u_n^{-1}]$ as above, and let $\delta_{A_n} := \delta_{K_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \xi_i \otimes u_i \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})}(A_n[\mathbb{Y}])$. Then $A_n^y := (A_n[\mathbb{Y}], e, \delta_{A_n})$ is a (strict) y -ification of A_n .*

Proof. Notice that $\delta_{A_n} = d_{A_n} + \Delta_{K_n} \otimes 1$ with $\Delta_{K_n} = \sum_{j=2}^n (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j$. We compute directly:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{A_n}^2 &= d_{A_n}^2 + d_{A_n}(\Delta_{K_n} \otimes 1) + (\Delta_{K_n} \otimes 1)d_{A_n} + \Delta_{K_n}^2 \otimes 1 \\ &= \left(d_{K_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \xi_i \otimes u_i \right) (\Delta_{K_n} \otimes 1) + (\Delta_{K_n} \otimes 1) \left(d_{K_n} \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \xi_i \otimes u_i \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_i'') y_i \right) \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=2}^n \left(\sum_{j,k=2}^{n-1} (f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')(y_k - y_1) - (y_k - y_1) f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \otimes \theta_j \theta_k \right) \otimes u_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_i'') y_i \right) \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

Our next task is to lift each of the maps α, β from Theorem 3.7 to maps of y -ifications.

Proposition 4.26. *The map $j: R_n \hookrightarrow J_n$ given by inclusion in 0^{th} homology lifts without modification to a chain map $j: R_n[\mathbb{Y}] \hookrightarrow J_n^y$ of y -ifications.*

Proof. It suffices to verify that $\Delta_{J_n} j = 0$. Let $\{h_i\}_{i=1}^n$ denote the dot-sliding homotopies on J_n ; then $\Delta_{J_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i y_i$. Observe that $h_i j \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^{-1}(R_n, J_n)$ for each i . Since J_n is concentrated in non-negative homological degrees, this morphism space is 0, so $h_i j = 0$ for each i . Then $\Delta_{J_n} j = \sum_{i=1}^n (h_i j) y_i = 0$ as well. □

Corollary 4.27. *The map $\beta = \text{id}_{A_{n-1}} \otimes j: A_{n-1} \rightarrow A_{n-1} J_n$ lifts without modification to a chain map $\beta: A_{n-1}^y \rightarrow A_{n-1}^y J_n^y$.*

The remainder of this subsection is dedicated to the proof of the following.

Theorem 4.28. *The map α of Section §3.3 has a strict lift to a chain map $\alpha^y: A_{n-1}^y \rightarrow q^2 t^{-2} A_{n-1}^y J_n^y$.*

Our proof of Theorem 4.28 will follow the same framework as our construction of α . We first pass through a y -ification of the complex A'_n . We have already verified that Ψ, Ψ' lift without modification to chain maps of y -ifications. Since the curved twist $\Delta_{A_{n-1}}$ has no periodic components, applying $\Psi' \otimes 1$ to $A_{n-1}^y J_n^y$ immediately gives the following result.

Proposition 4.29. *Let $\delta_{A'_n} := \delta_{K'_{n-1}} \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (\Psi \xi_j \Psi') \otimes u_j \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}_y(R_n - \text{Bim})}(A'_n[\mathbb{Y}])$. Then $A_n^y := (A'_n[\mathbb{Y}], e, \delta_{A'_n})$ is a (strict) y -ification of A'_n . Moreover, we have $A_{n-1}^y \cong A_{n-1}^y J_n^y$ via isomorphisms $\Psi \otimes 1, \Psi' \otimes 1$.*

Theorem 4.28 then immediately follows from the following result.

Proposition 4.30. *The map $\tilde{\alpha}$ of Theorem 3.20 has a strict lift to a chain map $\tilde{\alpha}^y: A_{n-1}^y \rightarrow q^2 t^{-2} A_n^y$.*

Proof. We set $\tilde{\alpha}^y := \tilde{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^n ((\bar{\alpha})_{y_i} \otimes 1)y_i$; this is nothing more than the map $\tilde{\alpha}$ with the periodic degree 0 component $\bar{\alpha} \otimes 1$ replaced by its lift $\bar{\alpha}^y \otimes 1$ from Proposition 4.24. For notational convenience, we refer to the nontrivial y -degree piece of $\tilde{\alpha}^y$ as $\tilde{\alpha}_y$, so that $\tilde{\alpha}^y = \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\alpha}_y$. By the results of Appendix A, we can read off $\tilde{\alpha}_y = (y_n - y_1)(\bar{\mu}\tilde{\chi}\iota \otimes 1) \otimes 1$.

We compute $[\delta, \tilde{\alpha}^y]$ explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \tilde{\alpha}^y] &= (d_{A'_n} + \Delta_{A'_n})(\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\alpha}_y) - (\tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\alpha}_y)(d_{A_{n-1}} + \Delta_{A_{n-1}}) \\ &= [d, \tilde{\alpha}] + (\Delta_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha} \Delta_{A_{n-1}}) + (d_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha}_y - \tilde{\alpha}_y d_{A_{n-1}}) + (\Delta_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha}_y - \tilde{\alpha}_y \Delta_{A_{n-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ is already known to be a chain map of uncurved complexes, the term $[d, \tilde{\alpha}]$ above vanishes. To see that $\tilde{\alpha}^y$ is a chain map, we check explicitly that the contributions from the other three grouped terms above cancel. As in our proof of Theorem 3.20, we filter this computation by periodic and Koszul degrees. Note that none of $\Delta_{A'_n}$, $\Delta_{A_{n-1}}$, or $\tilde{\alpha}_y$ has any nonzero periodic degree components, so the third grouped term above will only play a role in periodic degree u^0 computations.

Periodic degree u^0 :

That $[\delta, \tilde{\alpha}^y]_{u^0} = 0$ follows immediately from Proposition 4.24.

Periodic degree u_i :

Since $\Delta_{A_{n-1}}$ and $\Delta_{A'_n}$ have no nonzero periodic degree components, the only contribution from $\Delta_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha} \Delta_{A_{n-1}}$ in this periodic degree is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha} \Delta_{A_{n-1}})_{u_i} &= \Delta_{A'_n}(\tilde{\alpha})_{u_i} - (\tilde{\alpha})_{u_i} \Delta_{A_{n-1}} \\ &= \left(\left(h_n(y_n - y_1) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j \right) \otimes 1 \right) (H_i \otimes u_i) \\ &\quad - (H_i \otimes u_i) \left(\left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j \right) \otimes 1 \right) \\ &= \left((h_n(y_n - y_1) \otimes 1)H_i + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1)((1 \otimes \theta_j)H_i - H_i(1 \otimes \theta_j)) \right) \otimes u_i \\ &= \left((h_n(y_n - y_1) \otimes 1) \left(\rho_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \mu \otimes \theta_k \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \left((1 \otimes \theta_j) \left(\rho_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \mu \otimes \theta_k \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \left(\rho_i \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \mu \otimes \theta_k \right) (1 \otimes \theta_j) \right) \right) \otimes u_i \\ &= \left(h_n \rho_i (y_n - y_1) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_n - y_1) h_n \tilde{\varphi}_{ij} \mu \otimes \theta_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{j,k=2}^{n-1} (y_j - y_1) \tilde{\varphi}_{ik} \mu \otimes (\theta_k \theta_j + \theta_j \theta_k) \right) \otimes u_i \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(h_n \rho_i (y_n - y_1) \otimes 1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (y_n - y_1) h_n \tilde{\varphi}_{ij} \mu \otimes \theta_j \right) \otimes u_i$$

Since $h_n \rho_i \in \text{Hom}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n) = 0$, the first term above vanishes. Since $\tilde{\varphi}_{ij}$ is a polynomial in the alphabets \mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}' and h_n is $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ -linear, we have $h_n \tilde{\varphi}_{ij} \mu = \tilde{\varphi}_{ij} h_n \mu$.

We factor μ as $\mu = \bar{\mu}g$ as in the proof of Proposition 4.23 in Appendix A. Then by the results of that section, we have

$$h_n \mu = h_n \bar{\mu}g = \bar{\mu}hg = \bar{\mu}(gh' - [d, \tilde{\chi}])\iota$$

It is easily verified using the explicit description of h' given in Proposition 4.23 that $h'\iota = 0$. What remains of the above expression is

$$h_n \mu = \bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} d_{C_n} \iota - \bar{\mu} d_{STM_n} \tilde{\chi} \iota$$

Again, it is easily checked that $d_{C_n} \iota = 0$. In total, we see

$$(\Delta_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha} \Delta_{A_{n-1}}) u_i = (y_1 - y_n) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{ij} \bar{\mu} d_{STM_n} \tilde{\chi} \otimes \theta_j \right) \otimes u_i$$

Moving on to the term $d_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha}_y - \tilde{\alpha}_y d_{A_{n-1}}$, we are again aided by the fact that $\tilde{\alpha}_y$ has no terms of nonzero periodic degree. Then the only contribution in this periodic degree from this term is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{A'_n} \tilde{\alpha}_y - \tilde{\alpha}_y d_{A_{n-1}}) u_i &= (d_{A'_n})_{u_i} \tilde{\alpha}_y - \tilde{\alpha}_y (d_{A_{n-1}})_{u_i} \\ &= (\Psi \xi_i \Psi' \otimes u_i) ((\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) \otimes 1) (y_n - y_1) - ((\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) \otimes 1) (\xi_i \otimes u_i) (y_n - y_1) \\ &= \left((\Psi \xi_i \Psi' (\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) - (\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) \xi_i) \otimes u_i \right) (y_n - y_1) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting known expressions for ξ_i and $\Psi \xi_i \Psi'$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \xi_i \Psi' (\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) - (\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) \xi_i &= \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') (1 \otimes \theta_j - h_j \otimes 1) \right) (\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) \\ &\quad - (\bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'') \otimes \theta_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^n (f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes \theta_j - f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') h_j \bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_j \bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SBim_n)}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1} J_n) = 0$, the second term above vanishes. We rewrite the remainder in terms of the maps $\tilde{\varphi}_{ij}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}') - f_{ij}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}'')) \bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes \theta_j &= -[d, \tilde{\varphi}_{ij}] \bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes \theta_j \\ &= (\tilde{\varphi}_{ij} d_{B_{w_1} J_n} - d_{B_{w_1} J_n} \tilde{\varphi}_{ij}) \bar{\mu} \tilde{\chi} \otimes \theta_j \end{aligned}$$

Again, since $\tilde{\varphi}_{ij}\bar{\mu}\tilde{\chi}^\iota \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SBim_n)}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1}J_n) = 0$, the second term in parentheses vanishes. Since $\bar{\mu}$ is a chain map, we again rewrite the remainder as

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{ij}d_{B_{w_1}J_n}d_{B_{w_1}J_n}\tilde{\varphi}_{ij})\bar{\mu}\tilde{\chi}^\iota \otimes \theta_j = \tilde{\varphi}_{ij}\bar{\mu}d_{STM_n}\tilde{\chi}^\iota \otimes \theta_j$$

Collecting our results, we obtain

$$(d_{A'_n}\tilde{\alpha}_y - \tilde{\alpha}_y d_{A_{n-1}})_{u_i} = (y_n - y_1) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{ij}\bar{\mu}d_{STM_n}\tilde{\chi}^\iota \otimes \theta_j \right) \otimes u_i$$

This exactly cancels the contribution from $\Delta_{A'_n}\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}\Delta_{A_{n-1}}$ in this periodic degree.

Periodic degree $u_i u_j$, $i \leq j$:

We again begin by checking the term $\Delta_{A'_n}\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}\Delta_{A_{n-1}}$ in this degree:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{A'_n}\tilde{\alpha} - \tilde{\alpha}\Delta_{A_{n-1}})_{u_i u_j} &= \Delta_{A'_n}(\tilde{\alpha})_{u_i u_j} - (\tilde{\alpha})_{u_i u_j}\Delta_{A_{n-1}} \\ &= \left(\left(h_n(y_n - y_1) \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k - y_1) \otimes \theta_k \right) \otimes 1 \right) (H_{ij} \otimes u_i u_j) \\ &\quad - (H_{ij} \otimes u_i u_j) \left(\left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k - y_1) \otimes \theta_k \right) \otimes 1 \right) \\ &= \left(\left(h_n(y_n - y_1) \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k - y_1) \otimes \theta_k \right) \left(\sum_{2 \leq \ell < m}^{n-1} \tilde{\omega}_{ij\ell m} \mu \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\sum_{2 \leq \ell < m}^{n-1} \tilde{\omega}_{ij\ell m} \mu \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \right) \left(\sum_{k=2}^{n-1} (y_k - y_1) \otimes \theta_k \right) \right) \otimes u_i u_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{2 \leq \ell < m}^{n-1} (y_n - y_1) h_n \tilde{\omega}_{ij\ell m} \otimes \theta_\ell \theta_m \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{2 \leq \ell < m}^{n-1} (y_k - y_1) \tilde{\omega}_{ij\ell m} \mu \otimes (\theta_k \theta_\ell \theta_m - \theta_\ell \theta_m \theta_k) \right) \otimes u_i u_j \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here the final equality follows from anticommutativity of the variables θ and $h_n \tilde{\omega}_{ij\ell m} \in \text{Hom}^{-1}(B_{w_1}, B_{w_1}J_n) = 0$. Since $d_{A'_n}$ and $d_{A_{n-1}}$ have no components of periodic degree larger than u_i , we see no contribution from $d_{A'_n}\tilde{\alpha}_y - \tilde{\alpha}_y d_{A_{n-1}}$ in this periodic degree.

Higher periodic degrees: None of $\Delta_{A'_n}$, $\Delta_{A_{n-1}}$, $\tilde{\alpha}$, or $\tilde{\alpha}_y$ have any terms of higher periodic degrees, so there is nothing to check. \square

We collect our results so far.

Theorem 4.31. *Consider the map*

$$\Phi^y: t\mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes A_{1^{n-1}}^y \rightarrow q^2 t^{-1} \mathbb{Z}[u_n^{-1}] \otimes A_{1^{n-1}}^y J_n^y; \quad \Phi^y = 1 \otimes \alpha^y + u_n \otimes \beta$$

Set $P_{1^n}^y := \text{Cone}(\Phi^y)$. Then $(P_{1^n}^y, e, P_{1^n})$ is a (strict) y -ification of P_{1^n} .

Note the similarities between Theorem 4.31 and Theorem 3.6.

4.5. Categorical Idempotents. In order to use the projector $P_{1^n}^y$ to define a colored, y -ified link homology theory, we require that theory to be independent of the location of the projector on a given strand. This is most easily established by showing that the projector used to color a strand is a categorical idempotent in the sense of [Hog17]. In the un y -ified setting, this was accomplished in [AH17] by realizing A_n explicitly as a projective resolution of R_n . Our Theorem 3.6 gives an alternative proof of this claim for the model P_{1^n} in the un y -ified setting.

After y -ifying, the transition from chain complexes to *curved* complexes prevents us from employing the usual language of quasi-isomorphisms and projective resolutions to show A_n^y is a counital idempotent by mimicking the proof given in [AH17]. In lieu of this, we show that the y -ified projector is a categorical idempotent inductively as in Theorem 3.6. In fact, we will find it easier to work with the *dual* projectors $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ and $(A_n^y)^\vee$. More precisely, applying the contravariant duality functor $(-)^\vee$ of Remark 2.20 to P_{1^n} and A_n gives two bounded below complexes $P_{1^n}^\vee, A_n^\vee \in K^+(\text{SBim}_n)$ which are *unital* idempotents in this category, in the sense described below.

Theorem 4.32. *Let $C = P_{1^n}^\vee$ or A_n^\vee . Then:*

(P1) $C \in \mathcal{I}_n^+$;

(P2) *There exists a chain map $\nu_n: R_n \rightarrow C$ such that $\text{Cone}(\nu_n) \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{I}_n^+) \cap (\mathcal{I}_n^+)^\perp$.*

These two properties uniquely characterize C up to homotopy equivalence. That is, if (Q, ψ) are a complex and morphism satisfying (P1) and (P2), then there is a unique (up to homotopy) map $\phi: C \rightarrow Q$ such that $\psi = \phi \circ \nu_n$; moreover, ϕ is a homotopy equivalence.

We refer the interested reader to [AH17] and [Hog17] for more details of this construction and the relation between unital and counital idempotents in general. Our primary motivations for dualizing are twofold. First, the bounded below category $\mathcal{Y}_e^+(R_n - \text{Bim})$ is better behaved with respect to our convention for morphisms; see Remark 4.11. Second, previous results for row-colored homology deal with *unital* idempotents, and comparison to these results necessitates our dealing with unital idempotent-colored invariants as well.

We can extend $(-)^\vee$ to curved complexes in an essentially tautological way; given a curved complex $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C) \in \mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$, we set

$$\delta_{C^\vee} := \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} (f_{y^\vee})^\vee \otimes y^\vee$$

Functoriality (and $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ -linearity) of $(-)^\vee$ then ensures that $(C^\vee[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_{C^\vee}) \in \mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$. In particular, we have (strict) y -ifications $(A_n^y)^\vee, (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \in \mathcal{Y}_e(R_n - \text{Bim})^+$ of the unital idempotents $A_n^\vee, P_{1^n}^\vee$. We obtain explicit descriptions of these y -ifications by reversing all arrows and grading shifts in our explicit descriptions of A_n^y and $P_{1^n}^y$. In particular, dualizing preserves the $\mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_n]$ -periodicity of these curved complexes. We record this result below.

Proposition 4.33. *Let u_2, \dots, u_n be formal variables of degree $\deg(u_i) = q^{-2i}t^2$. Then there exist \mathbb{Y} -degree 0 twists such that $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \cong \text{tw}(\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}^y)^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_n])$ and $(A_n^y)^\vee \cong \text{tw}((K_n^y)^\vee \otimes \mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_n])$. These twists endow $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$, respectively $(A_n^y)^\vee$, with filtrations over $\mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_n]$ with subquotients $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}^y)^\vee$, respectively $(K_n^y)^\vee$.*

In what follows, we will rely on the fact that the explicit combinatorial model $(A_{n-1}^y)^\vee$ of our y -ified projector is a unital idempotent whenever $(P_{n-1}^y)^\vee$ is a unital idempotent. We prove this by furnishing an explicit homotopy equivalence $(A_n^y)^\vee \simeq (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$.

Theorem 4.34. *Let $F_0: P_{1^n}^\vee \rightarrow A_n^\vee$ and $G_0: A_n^\vee \rightarrow P_{1^n}^\vee$ be the data of a homotopy equivalence. Then there exist curved lifts $F: (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \rightarrow (A_n^y)^\vee$, $G: (A_n^y)^\vee \rightarrow (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ of F_0, G_0 to homotopy equivalences between the y -ifications constructed above.*

Proof. By results in [AH17], $\text{Hom}^\bullet(P_{1^n}^\vee, A_n^\vee) \simeq \text{End}^\bullet(P_{1^n}^\vee)$ has homology concentrated in non-negative degrees. Applying Proposition 4.17 to F_0 with $N = 0$, $g = 0 \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{Y}_e(R_n - \text{Bim})}^1((P_{1^n}^y)^\vee, (A_n^y)^\vee)$, we obtain a formal power series

$$F = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} F_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} \otimes y^{\mathbf{v}}$$

in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^0(P_{1^n}^\vee, A_n^\vee)[[\mathbb{Y}]]$ satisfying $F_y \circ F_0 = F_0$ and $[\delta, F] = 0$. For each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, we have $\deg(F_{y^{\mathbf{v}}}) = q^{2|\mathbf{v}|} t^{-2|\mathbf{v}|}$. Since A_n^\vee is bounded below in homological degree, F must restrict to a finite sum on each homological degree of $P_{1^n}^\vee$, so F is well-defined.

An exactly analogous argument gives a well-defined lift G of G_0 . That F, G are the data of a homotopy equivalence then follows from a direct application of Corollary 4.20 to $\text{Cone}(F)$ and $\text{Cone}(G)$ and the well-known fact that contractibility of mapping cones characterizes homotopy equivalence. \square

Everything is in place for our main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.35. *Fix $n \geq 1$. Then:*

- (P1) $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \in \mathcal{I}_{n,e}^+$;
- (P2) *The map $\nu_n: R_n \rightarrow (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ lifts to a chain map $\tilde{\nu}_n: R_n[\mathbb{Y}] \rightarrow (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ of curved complexes such that, for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ and each $C[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{I}_{n,\sigma}^+$, we have $C[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes \text{Cone}(\tilde{\nu}_n) \simeq \text{Cone}(\tilde{\nu}_n) \otimes C[\mathbb{Y}] \simeq 0$.*

These two properties uniquely characterize $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ up to homotopy equivalence. That is, if (Q, ψ) are a curved complex and morphism satisfying (P1) and (P2), then there is a unique (up to homotopy) chain map $\phi: (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \rightarrow Q$ such that $\psi = \phi \circ \tilde{\nu}_n$; moreover, ϕ is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. We proceed by induction. In the base case, $(P_{1^1}^y)^\vee := R_1[\mathbb{Y}]$ and $\nu_1 = \text{id}_{R_1[\mathbb{Y}]}$. Now, suppose the pair $((P_{1^{n-1}}^y)^\vee, \tilde{\nu}_{n-1})$ satisfy (P1) and (P2). By Theorem 4.34, there is a chain map $\tilde{\psi}_{n-1}: R_{n-1} \rightarrow (A_{n-1}^y)^\vee$ satisfying (P1) and (P2) as well; moreover, $\tilde{\psi}_{n-1} = F \circ \tilde{\nu}_{n-1}$. It is clear from Proposition 4.33 that $\tilde{\psi}_{n-1}$ extends to a chain map $\tilde{\nu}_n: R_n[\mathbb{Y}] \rightarrow (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ lifting ν_n as in the proof of Theorem 3.6. Fix $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, and let $C[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{I}_{n,\sigma}^+$ be given. Then $C[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes \text{Cone}(\tilde{\nu}_n)$ (resp. $\text{Cone}(\tilde{\nu}_n) \otimes C[\mathbb{Y}]$) is a y -ification of $C \otimes \text{Cone}(\nu_n)$ (resp. $\text{Cone}(\nu_n) \otimes C$); this is contractible by Lemma 4.20. \square

The desired centrality of $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ follows as a direct consequence:

Corollary 4.36. *For each braid $\beta \in Br_n$, there is a homotopy equivalence $F^y(\beta) \otimes (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \simeq (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \otimes F^y(\beta)$.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.29 in [Hog17] and the fact that \mathcal{I}_n^+ is a two-sided tensor ideal in $\text{Ch}(SBim_n)^+$. \square

In using $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ to formulate a well-defined link invariant, we also require that this projector "slides past crossings"; this ensures independence of the resulting invariant from the choice of marked point away from crossings on each strand.

Proposition 4.37. *For each $n \geq 1$, let $X_{n+1} := \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \dots \sigma_1 \in Br_n$, $Y_{n+1} := \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n$. Then $F^y(X_{n+1})(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \simeq (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee F^y(X_{n+1})$ and $F^y(Y_{n+1})(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \simeq (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee F^y(Y_{n+1})$.*

Proof. Our proof follows the same techniques as in [CK12]. We claim that, for each $1 \leq j \leq n$, the map $\tilde{\nu}_j : R_j[\mathbb{Y}] \rightarrow (P_{1j}^y)^\vee$ induces homotopy equivalences

$$\text{id} \otimes \epsilon_j^\vee : (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee F^y(X_{n+1}) \rightarrow (P_{1^n}^y)^\vee F^y(X_{n+1})(P_{1j}^y)^\vee$$

and

$$\epsilon_j^\vee \otimes \text{id} : F^y(X_{n+1})(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee \rightarrow (P_{1j}^y)^\vee F^y(X_{n+1})(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$$

Note that taking $j = n$ gives exactly the desired crossing-slide statement. As in previous cases, it suffices to check that the cone of each map is contractible. We sketch a proof of this claim by induction. For $j = 1$, $P_{1j}^y = R_1[\mathbb{Y}]$, and the claim is trivial. For the inductive step, we use the explicit convolution description of P_{1j}^y from Proposition 4.33; we omit the details, as they are nearly identical to the proof of Property (2) in Theorem 3.6. The other crossing slide involving Y_{n+1} follows similarly. \square

4.6. Y-ified Hochschild Cohomology. In this section we develop the y -ified analog of the partial trace technology recalled in Section §2.8. Much of the material of this section is likely well-known to experts, but to our knowledge, this is the first development of this technology appearing in the literature.

Definition 4.38. Let \mathcal{C}_n be as in Section §2.8. We regard $R[\mathbb{Y}]$ as an element of \mathcal{C}_n with trivial differential under the usual inclusion functors; note that $\deg(y_i) = q^{-2}t^2 = a^0q^{-2}t^2$ under this inclusion. For each $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$, let $C[\mathbb{Y}] := C \otimes R[\mathbb{Y}]$. We denote by \mathcal{C}_n^y the category with objects $C[\mathbb{Y}]$ for some $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and graded morphism spaces defined analogously to those of $\mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$. Then \mathcal{C}_n^y is a graded $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{Y}]$ -linear category via the termwise action of $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ and multiplication by $R[\mathbb{Y}]$. Given any $Z \in R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{Y}]$ with degree $\deg(Z) = t^2$, we may consider the category $Z - \text{Fac}^y(\mathcal{C}_n) := \text{Fac}(\mathcal{C}_n^y, Z)$ of Z -factorizations.

Most of the technology of Section 4.2 carries over to the derived setting. Given any morphism f in $Z - \text{Fac}(\mathcal{C}_n)$, we again have a decomposition $f = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} f_{y^\mathbf{v}} y^\mathbf{v}$ as in Remark 4.10 and call f a *curved lift* of $f_{y^{\mathbf{o}}}$. We call $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \delta_C) \in \mathcal{C}_n^y$ a curved lift of $(C, d_C) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ if δ_C is a curved lift of d_C .

Definition 4.39. Let $(C, d_C) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ be given. A y -ification of C is an ordered triple $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ such that $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \delta_C)$ is a curved lift of (C, d_C) with curvature Z_σ . For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, let $\mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ denote the full subcategory of $Z_\sigma - \text{Fac}(\mathcal{C}_n)$ generated by (direct sums of shifts of) y -ifications $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$. We set $\mathcal{CY}_e(R_0 - \text{Bim}) := \mathcal{C}_0$ for notational convenience. There is a natural inclusion functor $\mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ for each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$; we consider objects in the former also as objects in the latter under this inclusion without further comment.

Let $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C) \in \mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be given, and consider the triply-graded Hochschild cohomology complex $HH(C) \in \mathcal{C}_n$ of C as in §2.8. Recalling the decomposition $\delta_C = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} (\delta_C)_{y^\mathbf{v}} y^\mathbf{v}$ of Remark 4.10, we set

$$\delta_{HH(C)} := \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} HH((\delta_C)_{y^\mathbf{v}}) y^\mathbf{v} \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_n^y}^1(HH(C)[\mathbb{Y}])$$

Definition 4.40. Retain notation as above. Then the ordered triple $HH^y(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C) := (HH(C)[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_{HH(C)})$ is called the y -ified Hochschild cohomology of $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$. We will often denote y -ified Hocschild cohomology simply by $HH^y(C[\mathbb{Y}])$ when the connection δ_C and permutation σ are clear from context.

Proposition 4.41. *We have $HH^y(C[\mathbb{Y}]) \in \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(\mathcal{C}_n)$.*

Proof. All that requires proof is that $\delta_{HH(C)}^2 = Z_\sigma$. This follows immediately from $\delta_C^2 = Z_\sigma$ and the fact that HH is a functor. \square

Since $HH(x_i) = HH(x'_i)$ as endomorphisms of $HH(C)$ for all $C \in \mathcal{D}_n$, we can rewrite the identity $\delta_{HH(C)}^2 = Z_\sigma$ as follows:

$$\delta_{HH(C)}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (HH(x_{\sigma(i)}) - HH(x'_i))y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (HH(x_{\sigma(i)}) - HH(x_i))y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n HH(x_i)(y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} - y_i)$$

The above identity guarantees that $HH^y(C[\mathbb{Y}])$ becomes a chain complex after identifying y_i and y_{σ_i} for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Definition 4.42. Retain notation as above. Then the *y-ified homology* of $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ is the triply-graded R -module ¹⁰

$$HHH^y(C) := H^\bullet(HH(C)[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes (R[\mathbb{Y}]/(y_1 - y_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, y_n - y_{\sigma(n)})))$$

We will often write $HHH^y(C[\mathbb{Y}])$ to denote the *y-ified homology* of $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ when the connection and permutation are clear.

Again, our interest in HHH^y comes from its relation to link invariants. The following is due to Gorsky-Hogancamp ([GH22]):

Theorem 4.43. *Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be given, and let $F^y(\beta)$ be as in Proposition 4.16. Then up to an overall normalization, the triply-graded R -module $HHH^y(F^y(\beta))$ is an invariant of the braid closure $\hat{\beta}$.*

We call this invariant the *y-ified triply-graded homology* of $\hat{\beta}$. As in the un-*y-ified* case, given a link \mathcal{L} , we will often denote its *y-ified triply-graded homology* as $HHH^y(\mathcal{L})$ and the graded dimension of $HHH^y(\mathcal{L})$ as $\mathcal{P}^y(\mathcal{L})$.

As in the computation of HHH , our primary tool in computing *y-ified homology* will be an analog of the partial trace functor of Definition 2.34. Since the categories $\mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ depend on a choice of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, some care must be taken with permutations to define the codomain of these functors.

Definition 4.44. Fix $n \geq 1$, and let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ be given. We define $\text{Tr}_n(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$ as follows:

$$\text{Tr}_n(\sigma)(i) := \begin{cases} \sigma(i) & \text{if } \sigma(i) \neq n; \\ \sigma(n) & \text{if } \sigma(i) = n \end{cases}$$

Note that $\text{Tr}_n(\sigma)$ can be easily obtained from a string diagram for σ by "closing up" one strand in the manner of §2.8 and straightening out the resulting string.

Proposition 4.45. *Let $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C) \in \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be given. Define $\delta_{\text{Tr}_n(C)}$ by*

$$\delta_{\text{Tr}_n(C)} := \left(\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \text{Tr}_n((\delta_C)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}})y^{\mathbf{v}} \right) \Big|_{y_n = y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}$$

*Then $(\text{Tr}_n(C)[\mathbb{Y}], \text{Tr}_n(\sigma), \delta_{\text{Tr}_n(C)})$ is a *y-ification* of $\text{Tr}_n(C)$.*

¹⁰In fact the *y-ified homology* carries an action of a variable x_c and y_c for each orbit c of σ . Since our primary motivation is comparing the graded dimensions of invariants as vector spaces, we will not concern ourselves with the details of this module structure; see [GH22] for more detail

Proof. All that requires proof is that $\delta_{Tr_n(C)}^2 = Z_{Tr_n(\sigma)}$. We break into cases analogous to our construction of $Tr_n(\sigma)$.

Case 1: $\sigma(n) = n$. By functoriality of Tr_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{Tr_n(C)}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n Tr_n(x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i)y_i \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Tr_n(x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i)y_i \right) + Tr_n(x_n - x'_n)y_n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Tr_n(x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i)y_i \\ &= Z_{Tr_n(\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality follows from the identity $Tr_n(x_n) = Tr_n(x'_n)$ in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}(Tr_n(C))$.

Case 2: $\sigma^{-1}(n) = j \neq n$. Again by functoriality of Tr_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{Tr_n(C)}^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Tr_n(x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i)y_i \right) \Big|_{y_n=y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j}^{n-1} Tr_n(x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i)y_i \right) + Tr_n(x_n - x'_j)y_j + Tr_n(x_{\sigma(n)} - x'_n)y_j \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \neq j}^{n-1} Tr_n(x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i)y_i \right) + Tr_n(x_{\sigma(n)} - x'_j)y_j \\ &= Z_{Tr_n(\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

Again the third equality follows from the identity $Tr_n(x_n) = Tr_n(x'_n)$ in $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}}(Tr_n(C))$. \square

Definition 4.46. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, let $Tr_{n,\sigma}^y: \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim}) \rightarrow \mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim})$ be the functor given by

$$Tr_{n,\sigma}^y(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C) := (Tr_n(C)[\mathbb{Y}], Tr_n(\sigma), \delta_{Tr_n(C)})$$

We set $Tr_{0,e}^y := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_0}(R, -)$ for notational convenience.

To formulate y -ified analogs of Propositions 2.35 and 2.36 regarding adjointness and locality of the functors $Tr_{n,\sigma}^y$, we construct a collection of inclusion functors $I_{n,\sigma}^y: \mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ that are sensitive to the choice of permutation σ . As in the uncurved case, HHH^y is a representable functor, though the representing object in $\mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ depends on the permutation σ .

Definition 4.47. For each pair $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\mathbb{1}_{i,j}^y$ denote the curved complex

$$\mathbb{1}_{i,j}^y := q^2 t^{-1} R_n[\mathbb{Y}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x_i - x_j} \\ \xleftarrow{y_j - y_i} \end{array} R_n[\mathbb{Y}]$$

Observe that $\mathbb{1}_{i,j}$ is a (strict) y -ification of the Koszul complex for $x_i - x_j$ on R_n with curvature $Z_{(ij)}$; we denote this Koszul complex by $\mathbb{1}_{i,j}$. By abuse of notation, we also let $\mathbb{1}_{i,j}^y$ denote the corresponding triple in $\mathcal{CY}_{(ij)}(R_n - \text{Bim})$.

The following is Proposition 3.27 in [GH22]:

Proposition 4.48. *Suppose $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C) \in \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ is a strict y -ification. Fix a minimal length expression $\sigma = (i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_r, j_r)$ for σ as a product of transpositions, and set $\mathbb{1}_\sigma^y := \mathbb{1}_{i_1, j_1}^y \otimes \mathbb{1}_{i_2, j_2}^y \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{1}_{i_r, j_r}^y \in \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$. Then we have*

$$HHH^y(C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})}(\mathbb{1}_\sigma^y, C[\mathbb{Y}])$$

Remark 4.49. Note that $\mathbb{1}_\sigma^y$ is bounded in homological degree. In particular, our convention for morphisms (see Remark 4.11) has no effect on the conclusion of Proposition 4.48.

Lemma 4.50. *Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ be given, and let $Tr_n(\sigma) = (i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_r, j_r)$ be a minimal length expression for $Tr_n(\sigma) \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$ as a product of transpositions. Then $(i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_r, j_r)(n, \sigma(n))$ is a minimal length expression for σ as a product of transpositions.*

Proof. Throughout, let $\ell(\sigma)$ and $\ell(Tr_n(\sigma))$ denote the minimal lengths of representations of σ and $Tr_n(\sigma)$, respectively, as products of transpositions. That $(i_1, j_1)(i_2, j_2) \dots (i_r, j_r)(n, \sigma(n)) = \sigma$ follows immediately from the definition of the map $Tr_n: \mathfrak{S}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$. Let $\{c_1, \dots, c_s\}$ denote the cycles of σ ; then

$$\ell(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^s |c_i| - 1$$

Let c_j denote the cycle of σ containing n , and observe that the cycles of $Tr_n(\sigma)$ are exactly the cycles of σ with n deleted from c_j . If $c_j = \{n\}$, we must have $\sigma(n) = n$; then the transposition $(n, \sigma(n))$ vanishes and the expression in question has length r . Additionally, the term $|c_j| - 1$ does not contribute to $\ell(\sigma)$, so

$$r = \ell(Tr_n(\sigma)) = \sum_{i \neq j} |c_i| - 1 = \ell(\sigma)$$

Otherwise, $|c_j| \geq 2$, so the same calculation shows that $\ell(\sigma) = r + 1$. □

Definition 4.51. Fix $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, and let $I_{Tr_n(\sigma)}^y$ denote the natural inclusion functor $\mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ of Definition 4.39. We define the functor $I_{n,\sigma}^y: \mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim}) \rightarrow \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ by

$$I_{n,\sigma}^y(C[\mathbb{Y}]) := I_{Tr_n(\sigma)}^y(C[\mathbb{Y}]) \otimes \mathbb{1}_{n,\sigma(n)}^y$$

Remark 4.52. For $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$, $I_{n,\sigma}^y$ is the usual inclusion functor of Definition 4.39.

Corollary 4.53. $\mathbb{1}_\sigma^y = I_{n,\sigma}^y(\mathbb{1}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}^y)$ for all $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$.

Proof. Immediate consequence of Lemma 4.50. □

Proposition 4.54. *Fix $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, and let $N[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{CY}_\sigma^b(R_n - \text{Bim})$, $M[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}^b(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim})$ be given. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\sigma^b(R_n - \text{Bim})}(I_{n,\sigma}^y(M[\mathbb{Y}]), N[\mathbb{Y}]) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}^b(R_{n-1} - \text{Bim})}(M[\mathbb{Y}], Tr_{n,\sigma}^y(N[\mathbb{Y}]))$$

that is natural in both $M[\mathbb{Y}]$ and $N[\mathbb{Y}]$.

Proof. Given any morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\sigma^b(R_n\text{-Bim})}(I_{n,\sigma}^y(M[\mathbb{Y}]), N[\mathbb{Y}])$, we can decompose f into components

$$f = \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} f_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} y^{\mathbf{v}}$$

with $f_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_n^b}(I_n(M), N)$. Let $\phi: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_n^b}(I_n(M), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^b}(M, Tr_n(N))$ be the isomorphism of Proposition 2.35. Define $\Phi: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\sigma^b(R_n\text{-Bim})}(I_{n,\sigma}^y(M[\mathbb{Y}]), N[\mathbb{Y}]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_{Tr_n(\sigma)}^b(R_{n-1}\text{-Bim})}(M[\mathbb{Y}], Tr_{n,\sigma}(N[\mathbb{Y}]))$ by

$$\Phi(f) := \left(\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \phi(f_{y^{\mathbf{v}}}) y^{\mathbf{v}} \right) \Big|_{y_n = y_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}$$

That Φ is a natural isomorphism follows from the corresponding facts about ϕ and the definition of $\delta_{Tr_n(N)}$; we leave the details as an exercise, as they are similar to previous computations in this section. \square

Just as in the un y -ified setting, we can compute HHH^y by iteratively applying partial trace.

Proposition 4.55. *Fix $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, and let $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C) \in \mathcal{CY}_\sigma^b(R_n\text{-Bim})$ be a strict y -ification. Then $HHH^y(C[\mathbb{Y}]) = Tr_{0,e}^y \circ Tr_{1,e}^y \circ \cdots \circ Tr_{n,\sigma}^y(C[\mathbb{Y}])$.*

Proof. Immediate application of Proposition 4.54 and Corollary 4.53. \square

Note that the only object (up to shifts) in $\mathcal{CY}_e(R_0\text{-Bim})$ is R , which satisfies $Tr_{0,e}^y(R) \cong R$. We have therefore reduced the task of computing HHH^y to computations of partial traces as in the un y -ified case. Here we are aided again by locality:

Proposition 4.56. *Fix $\rho, \varphi \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$ and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$. Let $M[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{CY}_\rho(R_{n-1}\text{-Bim})$, $N[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{CY}_\varphi(R_{n-1}\text{-Bim})$, $C[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{CY}_\sigma(R_n\text{-Bim})$ be given. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$Tr_{n,\rho\sigma\varphi}^y(I_{n,\rho}^y(M[\mathbb{Y}]) \otimes C[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes I_{n,\varphi}^y(N[\mathbb{Y}])) \cong M[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes Tr_{n,\sigma}^y(C[\mathbb{Y}]) \otimes N[\mathbb{Y}]$$

in $\mathcal{CY}_{\rho Tr_n(\sigma)\varphi}(R_{n-1}\text{-Bim})$ which is natural in $M[\mathbb{Y}]$, $N[\mathbb{Y}]$, and $C[\mathbb{Y}]$.

Proof. Immediate, given Remark 4.52. \square

We record the y -ified partial trace Markov moves below; these are taken from Example 3.5 and Proposition 3.8 in [GH22].

Proposition 4.57. *Let $F^y(\sigma_i^{\pm 1})$ denote the y -ified Rouquier complexes for the braid group generators $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$, and let $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ denote the permutations corresponding to those generators. We have:*

- (1) $Tr_{n,e}^y(R_n[\mathbb{Y}]) \simeq \left(\frac{1 + aq^{-2}}{(1-q^2)(1-q^2t^{-2})} \right) R_{n-1}[\mathbb{Y}];$
- (2) $Tr_{n,s_{n-1}}^y(F^y(\sigma_{n-1})) \simeq q^{-1}tR_{n-1}[\mathbb{Y}];$
- (3) $Tr_{n,s_{n-1}}^y(F^y(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1})) \simeq aq^{-3}R_{n-1}[\mathbb{Y}]$

Finally, we record the y -ified Markov move for the finite projector:

Proposition 4.58. *The family of y -ifications K_n^y satisfy:*

- (1) $K_1^y = R_1[y];$
- (2) $K_{n-1}^y J_n^y \simeq (q^{n-3}tK_n^y \rightarrow q^{-2}t^2K_{n-1}^y);$

$$(3) \operatorname{Tr}_{n,e}^y(K_n^y) \simeq \left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) q^2 t^{-1} (K_{n-1}^y)$$

Proof. Property (1) is a direct consequence of the definitions. Property (2) follows from Proposition 4.24 completely analogously to the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Property (3) is a direct computation. Applying Tr_n termwise to K_n gives the curved complex

$$\left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) B_{w_1}[y_1, \dots, y_n] \otimes \bigwedge [\theta_2, \dots, \theta_n]$$

with connection $\delta_{\operatorname{Tr}(K_n)} = (\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (x_j - x'_j) \otimes \theta_j^y + (y_j - y_1) \otimes \theta_j) + (y_n - y_1) \otimes \theta_n$ as in Proposition 4.4. We may Gaussian eliminate along the component $(y_n - y_1) \otimes \theta_n$ of the connection; what remains is the curved complex

$$\left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) \theta_n B_{w_1} \otimes R[y_1, \dots, y_n] / (y_n - y_1) \otimes \bigwedge [\theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}] \cong \left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) q^2 t^{-1} K_{n-1}^y$$

□

Remark 4.59. Notice that the copies of K_{n-1}^y on the right-hand side of Property (3) of Proposition 4.58 are not separated by a relative homological shift; in particular, they are not separated by an *odd* relative homological shift.

5. APPLICATIONS TO LINK HOMOLOGY

5.1. Twisted Projectors. In this section we analyze the action of the braid group Br_n on K_n^y given by $\beta \cdot K_n^y := F^y(\beta) \otimes K_n^y$. As usual, we abuse notation throughout by using β also to denote the permutation in \mathfrak{S}^n associated to the braid β .

Definition 5.1. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, let $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_\sigma$ denote the $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{Y}]$ -module which equals $R[\mathbb{X}]_\sigma[\mathbb{Y}]$ as a $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ -module and whose $R[\mathbb{Y}]$ -module action is twisted by σ .

Proposition 5.2. *Considering $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_\sigma$ as a curved complex with trivial differential, we have $(R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_\sigma, \sigma, 0) \in \mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \operatorname{Bim})$.*

Proof. Observe that $x_{\sigma(i)} - x'_i = 0$ as an endomorphism of $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_\sigma$ for each i . □

For a positive braid β , the only component of the connection $\delta_{F(\beta)}$ of the y -ified Rouquier complex that does not vanish on $F(\beta)^0[\mathbb{Y}]$ is $d_{F(\beta)} \otimes 1$. As a consequence, the map ψ_β of Proposition 2.27 lifts without modification to a chain map $\psi_\beta: q^{e(\beta)} R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_\beta \rightarrow F^y(\beta)$ in $\mathcal{CY}_\beta(R_n - \operatorname{Bim})$.

The following is Theorem 3.13 in [AH17]:

Theorem 5.3. *For each positive braid $\beta \in Br_n$, ψ_β induces isomorphisms of functors $q^{e(\beta)} R[\mathbb{X}]_\beta \otimes - \cong F(\beta) \otimes -, - \otimes q^{e(\beta)} R[\mathbb{X}]_\beta \cong - \otimes F(\beta)$ from \mathcal{I}_n^- to \mathcal{I}_n^- .*

We wish to y -ify this result.

Definition 5.4. For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, let $\mathcal{I}_{n,\sigma}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \operatorname{Bim})$ consisting of objects of the form $(C[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_C)$ for some $C \in \mathcal{I}_n$. We define $\mathcal{I}_{n,\sigma}^b, \mathcal{I}_{n,\sigma}^\pm$ as usual.

Theorem 5.5. *Fix $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}^n$. Then for each $A[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{I}_{n,\rho}^-$, ψ_β induces homotopy equivalences $q^{e(\beta)} R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_\beta \otimes A[\mathbb{Y}] \simeq F^y(\beta) \otimes A[\mathbb{Y}]$ and $q^{e(\beta)} A[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_\beta \simeq A[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes F^y(\beta)$ in $\mathcal{Y}_{\beta\rho}(R_n - \operatorname{Bim})$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{\rho\beta}(R_n - \operatorname{Bim})$, respectively. These homotopy equivalences are natural in $A[\mathbb{Y}]$.*

Proof. We construct the latter homotopy equivalence; the former follows exactly analogously. Note that it suffices to prove the result for $\beta = \sigma_i$ a single positive generator of Br_n ; the general result then follows immediately by induction. Throughout, let $\phi_{\sigma_i} : B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i) \rightarrow qB_{w_0}$ denote the inverse homotopy equivalence to $\text{id}_{B_{w_0}} \otimes \psi_{\sigma_i}$ obtained from Theorem 5.3. Then $\phi_{\sigma_i} \psi_{\sigma_i} - \text{id}_{qB_{w_0}R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma_i}}$ is nullhomotopic; since $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\beta}$ is concentrated in homological degree 0, in fact $\phi_{\sigma_i} \psi_{\sigma_i} = \text{id}_{qB_{w_0}R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma_i}}$. Let $h_{\sigma_i} \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(SBim_n)}^{-1}(B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i))$ be such that $[d, h_{\sigma_i}] = \psi_{\sigma_i} \phi_{\sigma_i} - \text{id}_{B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i)}$.

Now, let $(A[\mathbb{Y}], \sigma, \delta_A) \in \mathcal{I}_n^{\sigma}$ be given. Then ψ_{σ_i} induces a chain map $\text{id}_A \otimes \psi_{\sigma_i} : qA[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\sigma} \rightarrow A[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes F^y(\sigma_i)$ in $\mathcal{CY}_{\sigma_i, \sigma}(R_n - \text{Bim})$. We show that the morphism $\phi_{\sigma_i} : A[\mathbb{Y}]F^y(\sigma_i) \rightarrow qA[\mathbb{Y}]R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\sigma}$ given by applying ϕ_{σ_i} on each chain bimodule is a chain map. This is a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \phi_{\sigma_i}] &= (\delta_A \otimes \text{id}_{R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\sigma}}) \phi_{\sigma_i} - \phi_{\sigma_i} (\delta_A \otimes \text{id}_{F^y(\sigma_i)} + \text{id}_{A[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes \delta_{\sigma_i}) \\ &= (\delta_A \otimes \text{id}_{R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\sigma}}) \phi_{\sigma_i} - \phi_{\sigma_i} (\delta_A \otimes \text{id}_{F^y(\sigma_i)}) - \phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{A[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes \delta_{\sigma_i}) \\ &= -\phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{A[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes \delta_{\sigma_i}) \end{aligned}$$

Here the third equality follows from naturality of ϕ_{σ_i} . We can express the remaining term directly:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{A[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes \delta_{\sigma_i}) &= \phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{A[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes d_{\sigma_i}) + \phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{A[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes \Delta_{\sigma_i}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{A[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes h_j) y_j \end{aligned}$$

The second equality above uses the fact that ϕ_{σ_i} is a chain map from $B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i)$ to $qB_{w_0}R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma}$. It remains to show that $\phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{B_{w_0}} \otimes h_j) = 0$ for each j . To see this, we begin by showing that $\phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{B_{w_0}} \otimes h_j)$ is closed via direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} [d, \phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{B_{w_0}} \otimes h_j)] &= [d, \phi_{\sigma_i}] (\text{id}_{B_{w_0}} \otimes h_j) + \phi_{\sigma_i} [d, (\text{id}_{B_{w_0}} \otimes h_j)] \\ &= \phi_{\sigma_i} (x'_{\sigma_i(j)} - x''_j) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equality follows from the graded Leibniz rule, the second from the fact that ϕ_{σ_i} is closed and the definition of h_j , and the third from $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}', \mathbb{X}'']$ -linearity of ϕ_{σ_i} (which again follows from naturality of ϕ_{σ_i}) and the definition of $R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma_i}$. Now, the fork-twist homotopy $B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i) \simeq qB_{w_0}$ induces a homotopy equivalence of morphism spaces

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i), qB_{w_0}R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma_i}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(q^{e(\sigma_i)}B_{w_0}, qB_{w_0}R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma_i})$$

Since both arguments above are concentrated in homological degree 0, we must have

$$H^k(\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i), qB_{w_0}R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma_i})) = 0 \quad \text{for } k \neq 0.$$

In particular, $\phi_{\sigma_i} (\text{id}_{B_{w_0}} \otimes h_j) = [d, \rho(\sigma_i, j)]$ for some $\rho(\sigma_i, j) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^{-2}(B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i), qB_{w_0}R[\mathbb{X}]_{\sigma_i})$. Since $F(\sigma_i)$ is concentrated in homological degrees 0 and 1, we must have $\rho(\sigma_i, j) = 0$, so in fact $\phi_{\sigma_i} : A[\mathbb{Y}]F^y(\sigma_i) \rightarrow qA[\mathbb{Y}]R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\sigma_i}$ is closed.

Finally, to see that ϕ_i and ψ_i are inverse homotopy equivalences, by Corollary 4.19, it suffices to show that $\text{End}_{\text{Ch}(R_n - \text{Bim})}(B_{w_0}F(\sigma_i))$ has homology concentrated in non-negative degrees; again by naturality of

ϕ_{σ_i} , the resulting null-homotopy \tilde{h}_{σ_i} applied to each copy of $B_{w_0} \otimes F^y(\sigma_i)$ in $A[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes F^y(\sigma_i)$ will satisfy $[\delta, \psi_i \phi_i - \text{id}] = 0$. The functor $- \otimes F(\sigma_i^{-1})$ induces a homotopy equivalence

$$\text{End}_{\text{Ch}(R_n\text{-Bim})}(B_{w_0} F(\sigma_i)) \simeq \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(R_n\text{-Bim})}(B_{w_0})$$

from which the desired result immediately follows. \square

We will be particularly interested in the result of Theorem 5.5 in the case of $A = K_n^y$. For each $\sigma, \rho \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, let $K_{\rho, n, \sigma}^y := R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\rho} K_n^y R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]_{\sigma}$. We continue to reserve the notation K_n^y for $K_{e, n, e}^y$ and extend this convention by suppressing ρ and σ whenever they are trivial. In keeping with the terminology of [AH17], we call these curved complexes *y-ified twisted projectors*.

Proposition 5.6. *For each positive braid $\beta \in Br_n$, we have homotopy equivalences $K_{\rho, n, \sigma}^y F^y(\beta) \simeq q^{e(\beta)} K_{\rho, n, \sigma \beta}^y$ and $F^y(\beta) K_{\rho, n, \sigma}^y \simeq q^{e(\beta)} K_{\beta \rho, n, \sigma}^y$.*

Proof. Direct application of Theorem 5.5. \square

In fact it suffices to consider "one-sided" twisted projectors, as twisting on the left and right yield isomorphic curved complexes.

Lemma 5.7. *For each $\sigma, \rho \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, we have $K_{\sigma, n, \rho}^y \cong K_{n, \rho \sigma}^y$.*

Proof. Consider B_{w_0} as an explicit quotient of $R[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{X}']$ by differences of symmetric polynomials as in Section §2.5. Then the desired isomorphism is given by $x_i \mapsto x_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$, $x'_i \mapsto x'_{\sigma(i)}$, $y_i \mapsto y_{\sigma(i)}$. \square

A direct application of Proposition 5.6 and Lemma 5.7 shows that $F^y(\beta) K_n^y \simeq K_n^y F^y(\beta)$ for all positive $\beta \in Br_n$. Topologically, this allows us to "untangle" braids whose strands all feed into a projector, a critical tool in the Elias-Hogancamp-Mellit computations that we adapt below. We will also need to know that K_n^y slides past crossings, as in Proposition 4.37.

Proposition 5.8. *For each $n \geq 1$, let $X_{n+1} := \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \dots \sigma_1 \in Br_n$, $Y_{n+1} := \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n$. Then $F^y(X_{n+1}) K_n^y \simeq K_n^y F^y(X_{j+1})$ and $F^y(Y_{j+1}) K_n^y \simeq K_n^y F^y(Y_{j+1})$.*

Proof. This is an easy consequence of Proposition 3.15. \square

In what follows, we will need an analog of the y-ified Markov Moves of Proposition 4.58 for y-ified twisted projectors.

Proposition 5.9. *For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$, there is a homotopy equivalence $K_{n-1, \sigma}^y J_n^y \simeq (q^{n-3} t K_{n, \sigma}^y \rightarrow q^{-2} t^2 K_{n-1, \sigma}^y)$.*

Proof. Let $\beta(\sigma) \in Br_{n-1}$ be any positive braid lift of σ . By Proposition 5.6, we have a homotopy equivalence $K_{n-1, \sigma}^y \simeq q^{-e(\beta(\sigma))} K_{n-1}^y F^y(\beta(\sigma))$. Because J_n commutes with all elements of Br_{n-1} , we have a homotopy equivalence $K_{n-1, \sigma}^y J_n^y \simeq q^{-e(\beta(\sigma))} K_{n-1}^y J_n^y F^y(\beta(\sigma))$. The result then follows immediately from identity (2) in Proposition 4.58 and another application of Proposition 5.6. \square

Proposition 5.10. *For each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ satisfying $\sigma(n) = n$, we have*

$$\text{Tr}_{n, \sigma}^y(K_{n, \sigma}^y) \simeq \left(\frac{q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}}{1 - q^2} \right) q^2 t^{-1} K_{n-1, \text{Tr}_n(\sigma)}^y.$$

For all other $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, we have

$$\text{Tr}_{n, \sigma}^y(K_{n, \sigma}^y) \simeq (q^{n-1} + aq^{-n-1}) K_{n-1, \text{Tr}_n(\sigma)}^y.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from a straightforward application of Propositions 4.58 and 5.6 together with linearity of $Tr_{n,\sigma}^y$. To see the second, observe that any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ satisfying $\sigma(n) \neq n$ can be written as $\sigma = \rho_1 s_{n-1} \rho_2$ for some $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathfrak{S}^{n-1}$; moreover, under this decomposition, we have $Tr_n(\sigma) = \rho_1 \rho_2$. Combined with linearity of $Tr_{n,\sigma}^y$ and Propositions 5.6 and 5.8, this allows us to restrict our attention to the case $\sigma = s_{n-1}$.

Recall the homotopy equivalence

$$K_n^y \simeq (q^{-n+1} K_{n-1}^y \rightarrow q^{-n+3} t^{-1} K_{n-1}^y J_n^y)$$

of Proposition 4.24. Upon taking a tensor product with $F^y(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1})$ and simplifying the final term via an isotopy, we obtain

$$K_n^y F^y(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}) \simeq (q^{-n+1} K_{n-1}^y F^y(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}) \rightarrow q^{-n+3} t^{-1} K_{n-1}^y F^y(\sigma_{n-1}) J_{n-1}^y)$$

By Proposition 5.6, we have $K_{n-1}^y F^y(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}) \simeq q^{-1} K_{n-1, s_{n-1}}^y$. Upon making this substitution and applying $Tr_{n, s_{n-1}}^y$ to all terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1} Tr_{n, s_{n-1}}^y (K_{n, s_{n-1}}^y) &\simeq (q^{-n+1} K_{n-1}^y Tr_{n, s_{n-1}}^y (F^y(\sigma_{n-1}^{-1})) \rightarrow q^{-n+3} t^{-1} K_{n-1}^y Tr_{n, s_{n-1}}^y (F^y(\sigma_{n-1})) J_{n-1}^y) \\ &\simeq (aq^{-n-2} K_{n-1}^y \rightarrow q^{-n+2} K_{n-1}^y J_{n-1}^y) \\ &\simeq (aq^{-n-2} K_{n-1}^y \rightarrow q^{n-2} K_{n-1}^y) \end{aligned}$$

Here the second homotopy equivalence follows from the Markov moves of Proposition 4.57 and the third follows from Proposition 5.6. Now, the twist on this convolution must be a map of total degree $t = a^0 q^0 t^1$. On the other hand, K_{n-1}^y is concentrated in Hochschild (a) degree 0. Since there is a relative Hochschild degree shift between the two terms of this convolution, in fact the twist must be equal to 0, and this convolution degenerates to a direct sum. After regrading by q , we obtain

$$Tr_{n, s_{n-1}}^y (K_{n, s_{n-1}}^y) \simeq (aq^{-n-1} + q^{n-1}) K_{n-1}^y$$

as desired. \square

5.2. Torus Link Homology. We now employ the recursive machinery developed above to compute y -ified homology. To do this, we will find it convenient to work with a different convention for the normalization of crossings and finite projectors; this normalization will be in place for the remainder of this paper (excluding Appendix A). The choice of normalization for crossings is such that our projectors absorb crossings (up to a twisted left or right polynomial action) without a grading shift; the choice of normalization for finite projectors is such that identities (4) and (5) of Proposition 5.14 have the correct coefficients as predicted by mirror symmetry.

Definition 5.11. Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be given, and let $F(\beta)$ denote its Rouquier complex. We set $\hat{F}(\beta) := q^{-e(\beta)} F(\beta)$ and use similar notation $\hat{F}^y(\beta)$ for y -ified Rouquier complexes.

Definition 5.12. For each $n \geq 1$ and each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$, set $\hat{K}_{n,\sigma}^y := q^{(2+\sum_{k=1}^n k-3)} t^{n-1} K_{n,\sigma}^y$.

Proposition 5.13. For each positive braid $\beta \in Br_n$, we have $\hat{K}_{n,\sigma}^y \hat{F}^y(\beta) \simeq \hat{K}_{n,\sigma\beta}^y$ and $\hat{F}^y(\beta) \hat{K}_{n,\sigma}^y \simeq \hat{K}_{n,\beta\sigma}^y$.

We will also employ a change of variables $Q := q^2$, $T := q^{-2} t^2$, $A := aq^{-2}$ in our grading shifts. This change of variables is common in the literature, and the expected mirror symmetry relation in these variables reduces to $Q \leftrightarrow T$.

We record renormalized versions of the various Markov-type relationships relevant to our computations below.

Proposition 5.14. With the normalizations above, the following identities hold:

- (1) $Tr_{n,e}^y(R_n[\mathbb{Y}]) \simeq \left(\frac{1+A}{(1-Q)(1-T)} \right) R_{n-1}[\mathbb{Y}];$
- (2) $Tr_{n,s_{n-1}}^y(\hat{F}^y(\sigma_{n-1})) \simeq Q^{-1}tR_{n-1}[\mathbb{Y}];$
- (3) $\hat{K}_1^y \cong R_1[\mathbb{Y}];$
- (4) $Tr_{n,\sigma}^y(\hat{K}_{n,\sigma}^y) \simeq \left(\frac{Q^{n-1}+A}{1-Q} \right) \hat{K}_{n-1,Tr_n(\sigma)}^y$ for each $n \geq 2$, $\sigma(n) = n$;
- (5) $Tr_{n,\sigma}^y(\hat{K}_{n,\sigma}^y) \simeq Q^{-1}t(Q^{n-1}+A)\hat{K}_{n-1,Tr_n(\sigma)}^y$ for each $n \geq 2$, $\sigma(n) \neq n$;
- (6) $\hat{K}_{n-1,\sigma}^y \hat{F}^y(J_n) \simeq \left(Q^{-(n-1)}\hat{K}_{n,\sigma}^y \longrightarrow Q^{-(n-1)}T\hat{K}_{n-1,\sigma}^y \right).$

Proof. Follows immediately from Propositions 4.57, 5.9, and 5.10 after regrading. \square

Inspired by the computations of [HM19], we use the (renormalized, curved, twisted, finite) projectors $\hat{K}_{j,\sigma}^y$ to construct a family of complexes that will prove valuable in computing homology.

Definition 5.15. Let $v \in \{0, 1\}^{m+l}$ and $w \in \{0, 1\}^{n+l}$ be finite sequences with $|v| = |w| = l$. For each pair (v, w) and $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^l$, we define the curved complexes

$$\mathbf{C}^y(v, w)_\sigma := (R_n[\mathbb{Y}] \sqcup \hat{F}^y(\alpha_v)) \otimes (\hat{F}^y(X_{m,n}) \sqcup \hat{K}_{l,\sigma}^y) \otimes (R_m[\mathbb{Y}] \sqcup \hat{F}^y(\beta_w))$$

where α_v and β_w are the positive braid lifts of the shuffle permutations π_v and π_{w-1} , respectively, and $X_{m,n}$ is a positive crossing of m parallel strands over n parallel strands. We set $\mathbf{C}^y(\emptyset, \emptyset)_e := R$ for notational convenience.

For each possible triple (v, w, σ) , we have $\mathbf{C}^y(v, w)_\sigma \in \mathcal{Y}_\rho(R_k - \text{Bim})$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}^k$. Let c denote the number of components of disjoint cycles of ρ . We set $\hat{\mathbf{C}}^y(v, w)_\sigma := (Qt^{-1})^{c-n} \mathbf{C}^y(v, w)_\sigma$.

Theorem 5.16. For each pair $v \in \{0, 1\}^{l+m}$, $w \in \{0, 1\}^{l+n}$ and each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^l$, let $p_\sigma(v, w) \in \mathbb{N}[[a, q, t]]$ denote the graded dimension of $HHH^y(\hat{\mathbf{C}}^y(v, w)_\sigma)$ as a triply-graded R -module. Then the family $p_\sigma(v, w)$ satisfy:

- (1) $p_e(0^m 1, 0^n 1) = \left(\frac{1+A}{(1-Q)(1-T)} \right) p_e(0^m, 0^n)$ for all $m, n \geq 0$;
- (2) $p_\sigma(v 1, w 1) = \left(\frac{Q^l + A}{1-Q} \right) p_{Tr_{l+1}(\sigma)}(v, w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$ and $\sigma(n) = n$;
- (3) $p_\sigma(v 1, w 1) = (Q^l + A) p_{Tr_{l+1}(\sigma)}(v, w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$ and $\sigma(n) \neq n$;
- (4) $p_\sigma(v 0, w 1) = p_{\sigma X_{l+1}}(v, 1w)$ if $|v| = |w| + 1 = l \geq 1$;
- (5) $p_\sigma(v 1, w 0) = p_{X_{l+1}\sigma}(1v, w)$ if $|v| + 1 = |w| = l \geq 1$;
- (6) $p_e(0^m, 0^n) = p_e(10^{m-1}, 10^{n-1})$;
- (7) $p_\sigma(v 0, w 0) = Q^{-l}p_\sigma(1v, 1w) + Q^{-l}Tp_\sigma(0v, 0w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$.

Moreover, these relations uniquely determine $p_\sigma(v, w)$ for all possible input data v, w, σ .

Proof. Essentially identical to the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [HM19], *mutatis mutandis*, together with our Proposition 5.14.

A few comments are in order. First, in the author's choice of normalization for the Rouquier complex in [HM19], no shift is incurred in their version of the Markov II move corresponding to our identity (2) from Proposition 5.14. Each application of this move reduces the quantity $c - r$ of Definition 5.15 by 1, exactly cancelling our grading shift of $(Qt^{-1})^{c-n}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{C}}(v, w)_\sigma$. Applying identity (3) above also reduces $c - r$ by 1; this is accounted for by the relative shift of $Q^{-1}t$ from our identity (5) of Proposition 5.14.

Second, the complexes of [HM19] have no associated permutation σ . This difference arises from the differing actions of Br_n on the row and column projectors; namely, the right polynomial action of the column projector is twisted upon absorbing a braid, while the row projector absorbs braids with no modification. Our σ simply tracks the net effect of this twist and does not affect the overall conclusion, as $l = 0$ in the base case of this induction.

Third, the authors in [HM19] use a *reduced* finite projector on a single strand. The appropriate reduced projector in our case is related to our \hat{K}_1^y by a free polynomial action. This distinction is purely clerical and allows a more uniform treatment of our identities (1) and (4) in Proposition 5.14.

Finally, the Jucys-Murphy braid employed by the authors of [HM19] (and hence the version relevant to us here) is related to our J_n of identity (6) in Proposition 5.14 by reflection about a vertical axis; graphically, this change corresponds to wrapping a strand around \hat{K}_n^y from the left rather than the right¹¹. To see that this "left-handed" recursion holds is an easy consequence of the right-handed recursion and the fact that $K_{n,\sigma}^y$ slides past crossings; we omit the details in the interest of brevity, as they are standard. \square

We now turn to a comparison of our recursion to that of [HM19]. The authors of that work define a similar family of power series $p'(v, w) \in \mathbb{N}[[a, q, t]]$ which also satisfy $p'(0^m, 0^n) = \mathcal{P}(T(m, n))$ up to an overall normalization. In particular, their computations demonstrate that $HHH(T(m, n))$ is concentrated in *even* homological degrees. By Theorem 1.17 in [GH22], it follows that $\mathcal{P}^y(T(m, n)) = (1 - T)^{-c} \mathcal{P}(T(m, n))$, where c denotes the number of components of $T(m, n)$. Because of this, the transition from \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{P}^y can be easily accounted for in their recursion by tracking permutations σ throughout as in our Theorem 5.16 and multiplying by $(1 - T)^{-1}$ whenever the number of components decreases.

Applying these modifications to their recursion gives the following result:

Theorem 5.17. *For each pair $v \in \{0, 1\}^{l+m}$, $w \in \{0, 1\}^{l+n}$ and each $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^l$, let $p'_{y,\sigma}(v, w) \in \mathbb{N}[[a, q, t]]$ denote the unique power series satisfying*

- (1) $p'_{y,e}(0^m 1, 0^n 1) = \left(\frac{1 + A}{(1 - Q)(1 - T)} \right) p'_{y,e}(0^m, 0^n)$ for all $m, n \geq 0$;
- (2) $p'_{y,\sigma}(v1, w1) = \left(\frac{T^l + A}{1 - T} \right) p'_{y,Tr_{l+1}(\sigma)}(v, w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$ and $\sigma(n) = n$;
- (3) $p'_{y,\sigma}(v1, w1) = (T^l + A) p'_{y,Tr_{l+1}(\sigma)}(v, w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$ and $\sigma(n) \neq n$;
- (4) $p'_{y,\sigma}(v0, w1) = p'_{y,\sigma X_{l+1}}(v, 1w)$ if $|v| = |w| + 1 = l \geq 1$;
- (5) $p'_{y,\sigma}(v1, w0) = p'_{y,X_{l+1}\sigma}(1v, w)$ if $|v| + 1 = |w| = l \geq 1$;
- (6) $p'_{y,e}(0^m, 0^n) = p'_{y,e}(10^{m-1}, 10^{n-1})$;
- (7) $p'_{y,\sigma}(v0, w0) = T^{-l} p'_{y,\sigma}(1v, 1w) + QT^{-l} p'_{y,\sigma}(0v, 0w)$ if $|v| = |w| = l \geq 1$.

Then $p'_{y,e}(0^m, 0^n) = \mathcal{P}^y(T(m, n))$ up to an overall normalization.

Observe that the recursion of Theorem 5.17 is obtained from that of Theorem 5.16 by interchanging $Q \leftrightarrow T$. It follows immediately that $p'_{y,\sigma}(v, w)(A, Q, T) = p_\sigma(A, T, Q)$ for all possible input triples (v, w, σ) .

¹¹Either this choice or a modification of Tr_n to act on the left-most strand of a complex is forced in this recursion. We see the former as the lesser of two evils, as this convention allows Tr_n to send R_n -modules to R_{n-1} -modules rather than $R[x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n]$ -modules.

In particular, since both $p_e(0^m, 0^n)$ and $p'_{y,e}(0^m, 0^n)$ compute $\mathcal{P}^y(T(m, n))$ up to an overall normalization, we immediately obtain

Theorem 5.18. *For each $m, n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\mathcal{P}^y(T(m, n))(A, Q, T) = \mathcal{P}^y(T(m, n))(A, T, Q)$$

up to an overall normalization.

5.3. Column Colored Torus Link Homology. As discussed in the introduction, one can define a colored homology theory categorifying the \bigwedge^k -colored HOMFLYPT polynomial using the projector $(P_{1^k}^y)^\vee$ as in [CK12], [Cau17], and [HM19]. Let $\mathcal{L} = L_1 \sqcup L_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup L_n$ be a (framed)¹² link, and let $\underline{l} = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n)$ be positive integers assigned to each component. We call l_1, \dots, l_n the *colors* assigned to the components. Given a braid representative β of \mathcal{L} , the strands of β inherit colors from the corresponding link components. Then the following procedure produces a triply-graded R -module:

- (1) Choose a collection of n marked points, one on each component of \mathcal{L} , away from the crossings of β .
- (2) Replace each l_i -colored strands with l_i parallel copies of that strand.
- (3) Consider the y -ified Rouquier complex of the resulting braid. Insert the projector $(P_{1^{l_i}}^y)^\vee$ at each marked point so that it spans the parallel copies of the previous step. We refer to this curved complex as $F_{\bigwedge^{l_1}, \dots, \bigwedge^{l_n}}^y(\beta)$.
- (4) Apply HHH^y to the resulting curved complex.

We denote the resulting R -module by $HHH_{\bigwedge^{l_1}, \dots, \bigwedge^{l_n}}^y(\mathcal{L})$. In principle, this module could depend on both a choice of braid representative and the choice of marked point on each component. That the result for a fixed braid representative is independent of choice of marked points follows immediately from the crossing slide properties of Corollary 4.36 and Proposition 4.37. Independence of braid representative then follows from standard arguments; we refer the interested reader to [CK12] for details. We summarize this construction below.

Definition 5.19. Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be given, and let $\mathcal{L} = \hat{\beta}$ denote the link obtained by closing β . Let $\underline{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ be a coloring of the strands of \mathcal{L} as above. Then the $(\bigwedge^{l_1}, \dots, \bigwedge^{l_n})$ -colored y -ified homology of \mathcal{L} is the triply-graded R -module

$$HHH_{\bigwedge^{l_1}, \dots, \bigwedge^{l_n}}^y(\mathcal{L}) := HHH^y(F_{\bigwedge^{l_1}, \dots, \bigwedge^{l_n}}^y(\beta))$$

Theorem 5.20. *The graded dimension of the module defined above is independent of all choices made in Definition 5.19.*

Example 5.21. We compute this invariant in the simplest possible setting. Let \mathcal{L} be the unknot, and fix a choice of color $k \geq 1$. An obvious choice of braid representative is the trivial braid $\beta = e \in Br_1$. Any choice of marked point on β gives $F_{\bigwedge^k}^y(\beta) = (P_{1^k}^y)^\vee$. By Proposition 5.22 below, we have

$$HH^y(F_{\bigwedge^k}^y(\beta)) \simeq HH^y((K_k^y)^\vee) \otimes_R R[u_2, \dots, u_k]$$

Applying homology to both sides of this homotopy equivalence gives an isomorphism

$$HHH^y(\mathcal{L}) \cong HHH^y((K_k^y)^\vee) \otimes_R R[u_2, \dots, u_k]$$

¹²The choice of framing only affects the overall normalization of the resulting invariant. To simplify matters, we will always assume our links are in blackboard framing.

By Proposition 5.24 below, we have $(K_k^y)^\vee \cong K_k^y$ up to an overall grading shift. Note that, again up to an overall grading shift, K_k^y is isomorphic to the complex $\hat{\mathbf{C}}^y(1^k, 1^k)_e$ of Theorem 5.16; the graded dimension of HHH^y applied to this complex is by definition the polynomial $p_e(1^k, 1^k)$, which is computable using the recursion provided there. Up to an overall grading shift, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \dim(HHH^y_{\wedge^k}(\mathcal{L})) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{Q^{i-1} + A}{(1-Q)(1-Q^{i-1}T)}$$

Computations of this colored homology theory appear significantly more complicated than those of the uncolored theory at first glance, as the bounded y -ified Rouquier complexes $F^y(\beta)$ are replaced with semi-infinite curved complexes. Fortunately, the $\mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_n]$ filtration on $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ allows us to reduce to a finite complex upon applying HH^y via a homological parity argument. This reduction was employed to similar effect in [EH19] and [HM19]. In the interest of length, we include only that part of the proof which reduces our circumstance to the arguments of [EH19] and [HM19]; see those sources for further details.

Proposition 5.22. *Fix integers $i, j, k \geq 0$, let $n = i + j + k$, and let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$ be given. Let $X[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{Y}_\sigma^b(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be such that $HHH^y(X \otimes (R_i[\mathbb{Y}] \sqcup (K_j^y)^\vee \sqcup R_k[\mathbb{Y}]))$ is supported in even homological degrees. Then up to an overall normalization, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} HH^y(X \otimes (R_i[\mathbb{Y}] \sqcup (P_{1^j}^y)^\vee \sqcup R_k[\mathbb{Y}])) &\simeq HH^y(X \otimes (R_i[\mathbb{Y}] \sqcup (K_j^y)^\vee \sqcup R_k[\mathbb{Y}])) \otimes_R R[u_2, \dots, u_n] \\ &\simeq \left(\prod_{j=2}^n \frac{1}{1 - q^{-2i}t^2} \right) HH^y(X \otimes (R_i[\mathbb{Y}] \sqcup (K_j^y)^\vee \sqcup R_k[\mathbb{Y}])) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note the similarities with Lemma 4.3 of [HM19]. Recall from Proposition 4.33 that $(P_{1^j}^y)^\vee$ is filtered over $\mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_j]$ with subquotients $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha})$. Moreover, for each $2 \leq m \leq j$, it is easily verified that $u_m(P_{1^j}^y)^\vee$ is a subcomplex of $(P_{1^j}^y)^\vee$ with inclusion map given by multiplication by u_m in the tensor factor $\mathbb{Z}[u_2, \dots, u_j]$. It follows from standard theory of Koszul complexes that $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha}) \simeq \text{Cone}(u_2) \dots \text{Cone}(u_j)$.

Applying $(-)^\vee$ to both sides of the homotopy equivalence of Proposition 4.24 gives $\text{Cone}(\bar{\alpha})^\vee \simeq q^{1-n}t(K_n^y)^\vee$. Since the periodic variables u_2, \dots, u_n have even homological degree, the result then follows from the proof of Proposition 4.12 in [EH19]. \square

Remark 5.23. Note that Proposition 5.22 depends critically on the fact that multiplication by the periodic variables u_2, \dots, u_n induces a closed endomorphism of $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$. On the other hand, it is easily verified that multiplication by u_n^{-1} does *not* induce a closed endomorphism of $P_{1^n}^y$. This is yet another reason why it is sensible to work with the unital idempotent instead of the counital idempotent.

Proposition 5.24. *The finite projector K_n^y is self-dual up to an overall normalization; that is, $(K_n^y)^\vee \cong q^{-2(n-1)}t^{n-1}K_n^y$*

Proof. Reverse all morphisms and grading shifts in the definition of K_n^y and recall that B_{w_0} is self-dual. \square

As in Example 5.21, Propositions 5.22 and 5.24 often allow us to reduce the computation of $HHH^y_{\wedge^k}$ to the evaluation of HHH^y on a finite curved complex. In fact, we have already carried out this computation for a broad class of examples in Theorem 5.16. From these computations, we immediately obtain this colored invariant for positive torus links with a single k -colored component as below:

Theorem 5.25. *For each $k, m, n \geq 1$ with m, n coprime, up to an overall normalization, the positive torus knot $T(m, n)$ satisfies*

$$\dim(HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(T(m, n))) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{1 - Q^{1-i}T} \right) p_e(1^k 0^{k(m-1)}, 1^k 0^{k(n-1)})$$

More generally, for any $m, n \geq 1$, let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then up to an overall normalization, the positive torus link $T(m, n)$ satisfies

$$\dim(HHH_{\Lambda^k, \Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^1}^y(T(m, n))) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{1 - Q^{1-i}T} \right) p_e(1^k 0^{md^{-1}(d-1)+k(md^{-1}-1)}, 1^k 0^{nd^{-1}(d-1)+k(nd^{-1}-1)})$$

5.4. Module Structure. Before turning our attention to row colored homology, we pause to consider the module structure on Λ^k -colored y -ified homology. Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be a braid presentation of $\hat{\beta} = \mathcal{L}$. To simplify our discussion, we assume throughout that \mathcal{L} is a knot; the theory carries over almost identically in the case that \mathcal{L} is a link (though some care must be taken when treating multiple sets of periodic variables).

Because $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$ is a (unital) idempotent, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\psi_k: (P_{1^k}^y)^\vee \otimes (P_{1^k}^y)^\vee \cong (P_{1^k}^y)^\vee$$

in $\mathcal{CY}_e(R_k - \text{Bim})$; we refer the interested reader to [Hog17] for details. For a given choice of marked point $p \in \beta$, let $\tilde{F}_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta_p)$ denote the curved complex obtained from cabling and inserting $(P_{1^k}^y)^\vee \otimes (P_{1^k}^y)^\vee$, rather than $(P_{1^k}^y)^\vee$, at p . Then ψ furnishes a natural isomorphism $\tilde{F}_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta_p) \cong F_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta)$; we denote this isomorphism also by ψ_k for notational convenience.

Recall from Proposition 4.48 that HHH^y is a representable functor. In particular, we have an isomorphism

$$HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(\mathcal{L}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\beta(R_n - \text{Bim})}(\mathbb{1}_\beta^y, F_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta))$$

The tensor product structure on $\mathcal{CY}(R_n - \text{Bim})$ applied to morphisms induces a map

$$\otimes: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_e(R_k - \text{Bim})}(\mathbb{1}^y, (P_{1^k}^y)^\vee) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\beta(R_n - \text{Bim})}(\mathbb{1}_\beta^y, F_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\beta(R_n - \text{Bim})}(\mathbb{1}_\beta^y, \tilde{F}_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta_p))$$

Postcomposing with ψ_k induces a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\beta(R_n - \text{Bim})}(\mathbb{1}_\beta^y, \tilde{F}_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta_p)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{CY}_\beta(R_n - \text{Bim})}(\mathbb{1}_\beta^y, F_{\Lambda^k}^y(\beta))$$

In total, we obtain a map

$$\psi_k \circ \otimes: HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(\bigcirc) \otimes_R HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(\mathcal{L})$$

We rephrase this conclusion below.

Theorem 5.26. *Let \mathcal{L} be a knot, and let \bigcirc be the unknot. Then a given choice of marked point $p \in \mathcal{L}$ induces a left $HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(\bigcirc)$ -module structure on $HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(\mathcal{L})$.*

In keeping with the discussion in the introduction (c.f. §1.4.2), we refer to $HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y(\bigcirc)$ as the *derived sheet algebra* of $HHH_{\Lambda^k}^y$. One can similarly define a right-module structure over the derived sheet algebra via precomposition with ψ_k ; naturality of ψ_k ensures that the left and right module structures defined in this way agree.

At least two questions naturally arise:

- (1) Is the module structure above independent of a choice of marked point?
- (2) If so, can the module structure above be extended to a dg -module structure on $HH^y(F_{\bigwedge^k}^y(\beta))$, independent of choice of marked point up to quasi-isomorphism?

As this work is already quite long, we delay considerations of these two questions to future work. We do, however, point out that Proposition 5.22 furnishes a sort of "freeness" result over the factor $R[u_2, \dots, u_k]$ of the derived sheet algebra for positive torus knots. On the other hand, a simple dimension count in Equation (11) reveals that, in contrast with the results of [GH22] (and Proposition 5.31 below), the derived sheet algebra does *not* itself carry a free action of the polynomial ring $R[y_1, \dots, y_k]$.

5.5. Row Colored Torus Link Homology. By the results of [Hog18], there is a family of complexes $P_n \in \text{Ch}^-(SBim_n)$ that play an analogous role in categorifying the Sym^n -colored HOMFLYPT polynomial as do our P_1^n in the \bigwedge^n -colored invariant. These complexes are convolutions of the form $P_n \simeq \text{tw}(K'_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}[v_2, \dots, v_n])$ for a bounded complex K'_n and v_i formal variables of degree $\deg(v_i) = q^{2i}t^{2-2i}$. Each P_n is also a unital idempotent in its native category and can therefore be used to define a link invariant via the method outlined above.

We wish to y -ify this Sym -colored invariant. To do so requires a y -ification of the corresponding family of projectors.

Theorem 5.27. *There is a y -ification $P_n^y := (P_n[\mathbb{Y}], e, \delta_{P_n})$ of the infinite row projector P_n .*

Proof. Throughout, let FT_n^k denote the k^{th} tensor power of the Rouquier complex associated to the full twist braid $FT_n := X_n^n$. In Theorem 2.30 of [Hog18], P_n is constructed as a homotopy colimit of the directed system $\{FT_n^k, f_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ for a system of maps $f_k: FT_n^k \rightarrow FT_n^{k+1}$. The maps f_k are of the form $\text{id}_{FT_n^k} \otimes f_0$ for a degree $(q^{-1}t)^{n(n-1)}$ chain map $f_0: R_n \rightarrow FT_n$ given by inclusion of the unique copy of R_n in FT_n in highest homological degree. To construct P_n^y , it suffices to show that f_0 lifts to a map $\tilde{f}_0: R_n[\mathbb{Y}] \rightarrow FT_n[\mathbb{Y}]$ of y -ified complexes; the homotopy colimit of the directed system $\{FT_n^k[\mathbb{Y}], \tilde{f}_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ with $\tilde{f}_k := \text{id}_{FT_n^k[\mathbb{Y}]} \otimes \tilde{f}_0$ will then be a y -ification of P_n .

We proceed by a similar obstruction-theoretic argument as in [GH22] (c.f. our Proposition 4.17). Recall that the connection on $FT_n[\mathbb{Y}]$ is given by

$$\delta_{FT_n} = d_{FT_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i y_i$$

where $h_i \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(SBim_n)}^{-1}$ are dot-sliding homotopies satisfying $[d, h_i] = x_i - x'_i$. A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, f_0] &= \left(d_{FT_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n h_i y_i \right) f_0 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n h_i f_0 y_i \end{aligned}$$

We claim that there exists a morphism $(f_0)_{y_i} \in \text{Hom}^{n(n-1)-2}(R_n, FT_n)$ satisfying $[d, (f_0)_{y_i}] = -h_i f_0$. Indeed, it was shown in [EH19] that the complex $\text{Hom}(R_n, FT_n)$ has homology concentrated in even degrees. Since $-h_i f_0$ has degree $n(n-1) - 1$, which is odd for all $n \geq 1$, it suffices to show that $[d, -h_i f_0] = 0$. We compute:

$$[d, -h_i f_0] = -[d, h_i] f_0 - h_i [d, f_0] = (x'_i - x_i) f_0 = 0$$

Setting $f_{0,1} := f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n (f_0)_{y_i} y_i$, we see that $[\delta, f_{0,1}]$ is quadratic in the alphabet \mathbb{Y} . We iterate this process. More precisely, suppose we have constructed a map

$$f_{0,r} := \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \\ |\mathbf{v}| \leq r}} (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} y^{\mathbf{v}}$$

such that $[\delta, f_{0,r}]_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ for all $|\mathbf{v}| \leq r$. By direct computation, we obtain

$$[\delta, f_{0,r}] = \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \\ |\mathbf{v}|=r}} (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} y_i y^{\mathbf{v}} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \\ |\mathbf{v}|=r+1}} \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0} h_i (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}} y^{\mathbf{v}}$$

For each i and \mathbf{v} in the latter summation above, $h_i (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}}$ has homological degree $n(n-1) - 2r - 1$. This is odd for all values of i, r , and n . Again by direct computation, for each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfying $|\mathbf{v}| = r+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left[d, \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0} h_i (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}} \right] &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0} ([d, h_i] (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}} + h_i [d, (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}}]) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0} \left((x_i - x'_i) (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}} + h_i \left(\sum_{(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i)_j \neq 0} h_j (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_j}} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0} (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}} (x_i - x'_i) + \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0} \sum_{(\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i)_j \neq 0} (h_i h_j (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_j}}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i \leq j \\ \mathbf{v}_i \neq 0 \\ (\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i)_j \neq 0}} (h_i h_j + h_j h_i) (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_j}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Here the first equality follows from the graded Leibniz rule, the second equality follows by assumption on $[\delta, f_{0,r}]$, and the final equality follows from the identity $h_i h_j + h_j h_i = 0$ for dot-sliding homotopies. Since $\text{Hom}(R_n, FT_n)$ has homology concentrated in even degrees, for each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $|\mathbf{v}| = r+1$, there exists some $(f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} \in \text{Hom}(R_n, FT_n)$ satisfying

$$[d, (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}}] = - \sum_{\mathbf{v}_i \neq 0} h_i (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}-\mathbf{e}_i}}$$

Set $f_{0,r+1} := f_{0,r} + \sum_{|\mathbf{v}|=r+1} (f_0)_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} y^{\mathbf{v}}$. Then $[\delta, f_{0,r+1}]_{y^{\mathbf{v}}} = 0$ for all $|\mathbf{v}| \leq r+1$ by construction. Since FT_n is bounded, this process eventually stabilizes; the resulting sum is the desired chain map \tilde{f}_0 . (In fact we can always take $\tilde{f}_0 = f_{0, n(n-1)/2}$.) □

Given a braid $\beta \in Br_n$ and a choice of marked point and color on each component of $\hat{\beta}$, the same cabling and insertion procedure described in §5.3 can be used to produce a curved complex $F_{(\text{Sym}^{l_1}, \dots, \text{Sym}^{l_n})}^y(\beta)$. As in the column-colored case, one hopes that the graded dimension of HHH^y of the result is independent of a choice of braid representative for $\hat{\beta}$ and marked points on each strand. Note, however, that Theorem 5.27 makes no claim that P_n^y is a unital idempotent in the relevant y -ified category, nor is it claimed that P_n^y slides past crossings. As a consequence, we cannot show that the resulting triply-graded R -module is an invariant via the usual arguments of [CK12]. That being said, when working over a coefficient field R , there is sufficient structure present in this construction to uniquely determine the graded dimension of the conjectural invariant in the context of Theorem 5.25. We carry this out below, beginning with a concrete definition of this conjectural invariant.

Definition 5.28. Let $\beta \in Br_n$ be given, let $\underline{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ be a coloring of the strands of $\hat{\beta}$, and choose one marked point on each strand of $\mathcal{L} := \hat{\beta}$ away from the crossings of β . Then the $(\text{Sym}^{l_1}, \dots, \text{Sym}^{l_n})$ -colored y -ified homology of \mathcal{L} is the triply-graded R -module

$$HHH_{\text{Sym}^{l_1}, \dots, \text{Sym}^{l_n}}^y(\mathcal{L}) := HHH^y(F_{\text{Sym}^{l_1}, \dots, \text{Sym}^{l_n}}^y(\beta))$$

Conjecture 5.29. *The graded dimension of $HHH_{\text{Sym}^{l_1}, \dots, \text{Sym}^{l_n}}^y(\mathcal{L})$ is independent of all choices made in Definition 5.28 up to an overall normalization.*

Example 5.30. As in the column-colored case, we begin by computing this conjectural invariant for the trivial representation $\beta = e \in Br_1$ of the unknot $\mathcal{L} = \bigcirc$. We assume throughout that R is a field. Any choice of marked point on β gives $F_{\text{Sym}^k}^y(\beta) = P_k^y$. By Proposition 5.31 below, we obtain

$$HHH_{\text{Sym}^k}^y(\mathcal{L}) \cong HHH(P_k) \otimes_R R[y_1, \dots, y_k]$$

Up to an overall normalization, the R -module $HHH(P_k)$ is the un y -ified Sym^k -colored invariant of the unknot computed in [HM19]. We therefore obtain

$$\dim(HHH_{\text{Sym}^k}^y(\mathcal{L})) = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{T^{i-1} + A}{(1-T)(1-QT^{i-1})}$$

Note the similarity between this result and that of Example 5.21.

In [GH22], Gorsky-Hogancamp show that when working over a coefficient field, homological parity phenomena often ensure that y -ified homology is free in y -variables. Though those authors restrict their attention to finite complexes, their results extend to our setting, as outlined below.

Proposition 5.31. *Let R be a field. Fix integers $i, j, k \geq 0$, and let $n = i + j + k$. Let $X \in Ch^b(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be such that $HHH(X \otimes (R_i \sqcup P_j \sqcup R_k))$ is supported in even homological degrees, and let $X[\mathbb{Y}] \in \mathcal{Y}_\sigma(R_n - \text{Bim})$ be a y -ification of X for some $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}^n$. Let $\pi_0(\sigma)$ denote the orbits of σ on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and set $A := \mathbb{Q}[y_c]_{c \in \pi_0(\sigma)}$. Then there is an isomorphism of triply-graded vector spaces*

$$HHH^y(X[\mathbb{Y}] \otimes (R_i[\mathbb{Y}] \sqcup P_j^y \sqcup R_k[\mathbb{Y}])) \cong HHH(X \otimes (R_i \sqcup P_j \sqcup R_k)) \otimes_R A$$

Proof. This follows almost immediately from Theorem 3.16 and Lemma 4.13 of [GH22]; the only novelty is in adapting the proofs of those statements to the semi-infinite complex $C_0 := HH(X \otimes (R_i \sqcup P_j \sqcup R_k))$. This adaptation requires only that C_0 and $H(C_0) \otimes_R A$ be finite-dimensional in each homogeneous component with respect to the tri-grading (a, q, t) . The former claim that C_0 is finite-dimensional in each degree follows from the convolution description of P_j and the fact that each periodic variable u_i^l has positive quantum

degree. The latter claim follows from Lemma 4.3 of [HM19] and the fact that no monomial of the form $(u')^\vee y^\mathbf{w}$ has degree 0. We omit further details in the interest of length. \square

In the un y -ified setting, there is a further reduction phenomenon for the row projector akin to Proposition 5.22 that accounts for periodic behavior.

Proposition 5.32. *Retain notation as in Proposition 5.31, and suppose $HHH(X \otimes (R_i \sqcup K'_j \sqcup R_k))$ is supported in even homological degrees. Then there is an isomorphism of triply-graded vector spaces*

$$HHH(X \otimes (R_i \sqcup P_j^y \sqcup R_k)) \cong HHH(X \otimes (R_i \sqcup K'_j \sqcup R_k)) \otimes_R \mathbb{Z}[v_2, \dots, v_n]$$

Proof. This is Lemma 4.3 of [HM19]. \square

Remark 5.33. Note that Proposition 5.31 is stated over a coefficient field. We require invertibility of certain coefficients whenever using parity phenomena to argue that the homology of a y -ified complex is free over $R[\mathbb{Y}]$; see [GH22] for details.

On the other hand, the corresponding parity arguments that simplify the computation of the homology of periodic complexes as in Propositions 5.22 and 5.32 do *not* require working over a field. One could imagine a computation of Sym^k -colored homology in which these reductions are performed in the other order: first parity is established directly over \mathbb{Z} for all computations involving $(K'_n)^y$, then periodicity is accounted for. Such a route would provide a proof of the results below with integral coefficients by avoiding all invertibility concerns.

To take this route to our results would require a more explicit construction of P_n^y satisfying properties similar to our $(P_{1^n}^y)^\vee$. These properties would necessarily include:

- (1) P_n^y is a strict y -ification (allowing an application of Proposition 4.55 to compute y -ified homology directly).
- (2) There is a strict y -ification $(K'_n)^y$ of the finite row projector satisfying $(K'_n)^y \simeq \text{Cone}(u_2) \dots \text{Cone}(u_n)$ (to allow reduction of periodic behavior as in our Proposition 5.22).
- (3) $(K'_n)^y$ (and its twisted variants) are well-behaved with respect to Markov moves and twisting as in Proposition 5.14 (to allow a recursive computation using convenient complexes).

The failure of Property (3) for the un y -ified finite projector K_n , as established in Proposition 4.4, requires us to establish these properties to have any hope of computing \bigwedge^k -colored homology, y -ified or otherwise. Indeed, without this obstruction, the technical portion of this work would not be much longer than our proof of Theorem 5.27! In the mutual interests of length and coherence, we content ourselves in this work with stating our comparison theorem over a coefficient field. We plan to address the properties of P_n^y conjectured in this remark in future work.

As was the case in §5.3, Propositions 5.31 and 5.32 suffice to determine the graded dimension of the Sym^k -colored y -ified homology of a large class of links. In fact, since the right-hand side of each isomorphism in those propositions is known to be independent of the choices made in Definition 5.28 by the unital idempotence and crossing-slide properties of P_k , we are able to compute this invariant *independently of Conjecture 5.29* whenever those propositions apply. In particular, we immediately obtain a row-colored analogue of Theorem 5.25.

Theorem 5.34. *For each $k, m, n \geq 1$ with m, n coprime, $\dim(HHH_{\text{Sym}^k}^y(T(m, n)))$ is independent of all choices made in Definition 5.28. Up to an overall normalization, we have*

$$\dim(HHH_{\text{Sym}^k}^y(T(m, n))) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{1 - QT^{1-i}} \right) p'_{y,e}(1^k 0^{k(m-1)}, 1^k 0^{k(n-1)})$$

More generally, for any $k, m, n \geq 1$, $\dim(HHH_{Sym^k, Sym^1, \dots, Sym^1}^y(T(m, n)))$ is independent of all choices made in Definition 5.28. Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then up to an overall normalization, we have

$$\dim(HHH_{Sym^k, Sym^1, \dots, Sym^1}^y(T(m, n))) = \left(\prod_{i=2}^k \frac{1}{1 - Q^{1-i}T} \right) p'_{y,e}(1^k 0^{md^{-1}(d-1)+k(md^{-1}-1)}, 1^k 0^{nd^{-1}(d-1)+k(nd^{-1}-1)})$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.17 and the above discussion. \square

As in the proof of Theorem 5.18, we immediately obtain

Theorem 5.35. *For each $k, m, n \geq 1$, we have*

$$\dim(HHH_{Sym^k, Sym^1, \dots, Sym^1}^y(T(m, n)))(A, Q, T) = \dim(HHH_{\Lambda^k, \Lambda^1, \dots, \Lambda^1}^y(T(m, n)))(A, T, Q)$$

up to an overall normalization.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 4.23

In this appendix we prove Proposition 4.23. We prove this proposition in several stages. In establishing the homotopy equivalence $B_{w_1} J_n \simeq C_n$ in Section §3.2, we passed through the complex STM_n of Definition 3.13 via a series of fork slides. We begin by verifying a certain y -ified version of these fork slides. Before doing this, we pause to establish notation. For each $k \geq 0$, let $\Sigma_k^\pm, \tilde{\Sigma}_k^\pm$ denote the complexes of singular Soergel bimodules depicted below.

$$\begin{array}{cc} \Sigma_k^+ := \begin{array}{c} k+1 \quad 1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad k \end{array} & ; \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_k^+ := \begin{array}{c} k+1 \quad 1 \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad k \end{array} \\ \Sigma_k^- := \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad k+1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ k \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} & ; \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_k^- := \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad k+1 \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ k \quad 1 \quad 1 \end{array} \end{array}$$


As usual, there are dot-sliding homotopies $h^\pm \in \text{End}^{-1}(\Sigma_k^\pm)$, $\tilde{h}^\pm \in \text{End}^{-1}(\tilde{\Sigma}_k^\pm)$ satisfying $[d, h^+] = [d, \tilde{h}^+] = x_{k+2} - x_1''$, $[d, h^-] = [d, \tilde{h}^-] = x_1 - x_{k+2}''$. Let $f_k^\pm \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+2})}^0(\Sigma_k^\pm, \tilde{\Sigma}_k^\pm)$ and $g_k^\pm \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+2})}^0(\tilde{\Sigma}_k^\pm, \Sigma_k^\pm)$ denote the fork-slide homotopy equivalences of Proposition 2.29.

Lemma A.1. *The maps defined above satisfy $f_k^\pm h_k^\pm - \tilde{h}_k^\pm f_k^\pm = 0$ and $g_k^\pm \tilde{h}_k^\pm - h_k^\pm g_k^\pm = 0$.*


Proof. We include a proof only of the overhanded relations $f_k^+ h_k^+ - \tilde{h}_k^+ f_k^+ = 0$ and $g_k^+ \tilde{h}_k^+ - h_k^+ g_k^+ = 0$; the underhanded relations are exactly analogous. Let $X, Y, Z \in SSBim_{k+2}$ be as below.

$$X := \begin{array}{c} k+1 \quad 1 \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad k \end{array} ; \quad Y := \begin{array}{c} k+1 \quad 1 \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad k \end{array} ; \quad Z := \begin{array}{c} k+1 \quad 1 \\ | \quad | \\ \text{---} \text{---} \\ 1 \quad 1 \quad k \end{array}$$

By expanding each Rickard complex and repeatedly applying the isomorphisms of 2.17, we obtain the explicit descriptions below of the complexes Σ_k^+ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_k^+$.



Since f_k^+ , g_k^+ are obtained from Gaussian elimination, Proposition 2.7 furnishes an explicit description of these maps on each component in terms of the differentials on each complex. We depict these maps as vertical blue arrows below. We will also find it convenient to visualize each component of the homotopies h_k^+ and \tilde{h}_k^+ ; we depict those components as backwards horizontal red arrows. In total, we see the following collection of morphisms:




In fact several of these components must vanish. More specifically, applying Lemma 2.32, we obtain the following graded dimensions for various relevant morphism spaces:

$$\dim(\text{Hom}_{SSBim_{k+2}}(X, Y)) = [k] \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{q^2}{1-q^{2i}} \right) \left(\frac{q}{1-q^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1-q^2} \right) q^{-k}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (q^2 + q^4 + \cdots + q^{2k}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^{2i}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)^2; \\
 \dim(\text{Hom}_{SSBim_{k+2}}(Y, X)) &= (q^2 + q^4 + \cdots + q^{2k}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^{2i}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)^2; \\
 \dim(\text{Hom}_{SSBim_{k+2}}(Z, X)) &= (q + q^3 + \cdots + q^{2k+1}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^{2i}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the lowest quantum degree of any nonzero morphism from X to Y is q^2 . Since d_1 is a degree q^0 morphism from X to Y , it must vanish. Similarly, since the lowest quantum degree of any nonzero morphism from Y to X is q^2 and h_2 is a degree q^0 morphism from X to Y , it too must vanish. We update the diagram to reflect these requirements below:



Various relationships among these components also follow from properties of the morphisms they constitute. In order for f_k^+ to be a chain map, we must have $d_3 = d_{10}$. Additionally, since $\text{Hom}_{SSBim_{k+2}}(Z, X)$ is 1-dimensional in degree q , we must have $h_3 = \lambda h_{10}$ for some scalar $\lambda \in R$. (The case $h_{10} = 0$ is excluded by the identity $h_{10}d_{10} = x_{k+2} - x_1'' \neq 0$ on $\tilde{\Sigma}_k^+$.) Since the only component of $[d_{\Sigma_k^+}, h_k^+]$ mapping from X to itself is h_3d_3 , we must have $x_{k+2} - x_1'' = h_3d_3 = \lambda h_{10}d_{10} = \lambda(x_{k+2} - x_1'')$. Then $\lambda = 1$, so $h_3 = h_{10}$.

Since $[d_{\Sigma_k^+}, h_k^+] = x_{k+2} - x_1''$, all components of this map that are not endomorphisms must vanish. We record useful consequences of this vanishing in particular components below.

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y \rightarrow X : h_1d_4 + h_3d_6 &= 0 \implies h_1 = -h_3d_6d_4^{-1} \\
 q^{-2}tY \rightarrow tY : d_4h_5 + h_7d_8 &= 0 \implies h_5 = -d_4^{-1}h_7d_8, \quad h_7 = -d_4h_5d_8^{-1} \\
 q^{-2}tY \rightarrow q^{-1}tZ : d_6h_5 + h_9d_8 &= 0 \implies h_9 = -d_6h_5d_8^{-1} \\
 q^{-1}tZ \rightarrow tY : d_4h_6 + h_7d_9 &= 0 \implies h_6 = -d_4^{-1}h_7d_9
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we check that $f_k^+ h_k^+ - \tilde{h}_k^+ f_k^+ = 0$ and $g_k^+ \tilde{h}_k^+ - h_k^+ g_k^+ = 0$ via explicit computation. The component of $g_k^+ \tilde{h}_k^+ - h_k^+ g_k^+$ from $q^{-1}tZ$ to X is given by $h_{10} - h_3$; this was shown to vanish above. The other component of $g_k^+ \tilde{h}_k^+ - h_k^+ g_k^+$ maps from $q^{-1}tZ$ to Y and is given by $h_5 d_8^{-1} d_9 - h_6$; this vanishes by lines 2 and 4 of the above list of identities.

Next, the component of $f_k^+ h_k^+ - \tilde{h}_k^+ f_k^+$ mapping from $q^{-2}t^2Y$ to $q^{-1}tZ$ is given by $h_9 - d_6 d_4^{-1} h_7$; this vanishes by lines 2 and 3 above. The component mapping from tY to X is given by $h_1 + h_{10} d_6 d_4^{-1} = h_1 + h_3 d_6 d_4^{-1}$; this vanishes by line 1 above. The component mapping from $q^{-2}Y$ to X is already 0. Finally, the component mapping from $q^{-1}tZ$ to X is given by $h_3 - h_{10}$, which again vanishes. \square

Let $h \in \text{End}^{-1}(FT_{n-1,1})$ denote the dot-sliding homotopy satisfying $[d, h] = x_n - x_n''$. Observe that both h and the dot-sliding homotopy $h_n \in \text{End}^{-1}(B_{w_1} J_n)$ satisfying $[d, h_n] = x_n - x_n''$ are sums of dot-sliding homotopies of the form in Lemma A.1. Additionally, the strong deformation retraction $\bar{\mu}: B_{w_1} J_n \simeq STM_n: \bar{\nu}$ of Proposition 3.14 consists of compositions of fork-slides of the form of Lemma A.1. Applying the result of Lemma A.1 repeatedly to these compositions, we immediately obtain

Proposition A.2. *The maps above satisfy $h\bar{\mu} = \bar{\mu}h_n$, $h_n\bar{\nu} = \bar{\nu}h$.*

Now, let $STM_n^{y_n}$ denote the curved complex $STM_n[\mathbb{Y}]$ with connection $\delta_{STM_n} := d_{STM_n} + h(y_n - y_1)$. A direct computation shows that $STM_n^{y_n}$ has curvature Z_{y_n} .

Corollary A.3. *The strong deformation retraction $\bar{\mu}: B_{w_1} J_n \simeq STM_n: \bar{\nu}$ of Proposition 3.14 lifts without modification to a strong deformation retraction from $B_{w_1} J_n^{y_n}$ to $STM_n^{y_n}$.*

Proof. We verify directly that $[\delta, \bar{\mu}] = [\delta, \bar{\nu}] = 0$, beginning with the former.

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \bar{\mu}] &= (d_{STM_n} + h(y_n - y_1))\bar{\mu} - \bar{\mu}(d_{B_{w_1} J_n} + h_n(y_n - y_1)) \\ &= [d, \bar{\mu}] + (h\bar{\mu} - \bar{\mu}h_n)(y_n - y_1) \end{aligned}$$

The first term above vanishes by Proposition 3.14, and the second vanishes by A.2. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \bar{\nu}] &= (d_{B_{w_1} J_n} + h_n(y_n - y_1))\bar{\nu} - \bar{\nu}(d_{STM_n} + h(y_n - y_1)) \\ &= [d, \bar{\nu}] + (h_n\bar{\nu} - \bar{\nu}h)(y_n - y_1) \end{aligned}$$

Again, the first term above vanishes by Proposition 3.14, and the second vanishes by A.2.

It remains to show that $\bar{\mu}, \bar{\nu}$ constitute a strong deformation retraction. The identity $\bar{\nu}\bar{\mu} = \text{id}_{STM_n^{y_n}}$ follows immediately from the corresponding uncurved identity. We have a homotopy equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(SS\text{Bim}_n)}(STM_n) &\simeq \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(S\text{Bim}_n)}(B_{w_1} J_n) \\ &\simeq \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(S\text{Bim}_n)}(B_{w_1} J_n J_n^{-1}) \\ &\simeq \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(S\text{Bim}_n)}(B_{w_1}) \end{aligned}$$

The first homotopy equivalence above is induced from conjugation by $\bar{\mu}$ and $\bar{\nu}$, the second is induced by the invertible functor $-J_n^{-1}$, and the third is induced by the homotopy equivalence $J_n J_n^{-1} \simeq R_n$. Since B_{w_1} is concentrated in homological degree 0, we must have $H^i(\text{End}_{\text{Ch}(SS\text{Bim}_n)}(STM_n)) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$. Then $\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu} - \text{id}_{STM_n^{y_n}} \in \text{End}_{Z_{y_n} - \text{Fac}(R_n - \text{Bim})}^0(STM_n^{y_n})$ is nullhomotopic by a direct application of Corollary 4.19. \square

Next, we denote by $T_{k,1}$ the minimal complex for $FT_{k,1}$. We give names to the bimodules appearing in $T_{k,1}$ below.

$$B := \begin{array}{c} k & 1 \\ \text{---} & \text{---} \\ | & | \\ \text{---} & \text{---} \\ k & 1 \end{array}; \quad I := \begin{array}{c} k & 1 \\ | & | \\ k & 1 \end{array}$$


Let $h' \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+1})}^{-1}(T_{k,1})$ be the degree $q^2 t^{-1}$ morphism depicted in red below.

$$q^k B \xrightarrow[\text{id}_B]{x_{k+1}-x''_{k+1}} q^{k-2} t B \xrightarrow{\text{unzip}} q^{-2} t^2 I$$

Observe that $[d, h'] = x_{k+1} - x''_{k+1}$ (which vanishes on I), so that h' behaves like a dot-sliding homotopy on $T_{k,1}$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+1})}^0(FT_{k,1}, T_{k,1})$, $g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+1})}^0(T_{k,1}, FT_{k,1})$ denote the homotopy equivalences of Proposition 2.30.

Lemma A.4. *Suppose $k \geq 2$. Then $fh - h'f = 0$, and there is a morphism $\tilde{\chi} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+1})}^{-2}(T_{k,1}, FT_{k,1})$ satisfying $[d, \tilde{\chi}] = gh' - hg$ and $f\tilde{\chi} = 0$.*

Proof. Our strategy will be largely the same as in Lemma A.1. Again applying Proposition 2.17 and the identities $[k+1] = q^k + q^{-1}[k]$, $q^{-1}[k] = q^{k-2} + q^{-2}[k-1]$, we obtain an explicit description of $FT_{k,1}$. We depict the components of all relevant morphisms according to the conventions of Lemma A.1 above; here $FT_{k,1}$ is the upper complex and $T_{k,1}$ the lower complex.




We can again eliminate many components of these maps by computing graded dimensions of various morphism spaces. We quote the results below.

$$\dim(\text{End}_{SSBim_{k+1}}(B)) = (1 + q^2 + \cdots + q^{2k}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^{2i}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)$$

$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_{SS\mathrm{Bim}_{k+1}}(B, I)) = q^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^{2i}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)$$

$$\dim(\mathrm{Hom}_{SS\mathrm{Bim}_{k+1}}(I, B)) = q^k \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{1 - q^{2i}} \right) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)$$


In particular, the lowest quantum degree of any morphism between B and I is k . Since the highest degree shift appearing in $q^{-2}[k-1]$ is q^{k-4} , the maximal quantum degree of any component of d_8 is q^{k-2} ; hence d_8 vanishes. The maximal quantum degree of any component of h_4 is q^{-2} ; since B has no negative degree endomorphisms, this component vanishes. The degree of h_{12} must be q^{2-k} ; for $k \geq 2$, we have $2 - k < k$, so this component also vanishes. We reproduce the diagram above updated with these restrictions.



As before, we leverage properties of the morphisms f, g, h, h' to establish various useful relations among these components. First, we verify that $gh'f - gfh \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Ch}(SS\mathrm{Bim}_{k+1})}^{-1}(FT_{k,1})$ is closed via direct computation:


$$[d, gh'f - gfh] = g[d, h']f - gf[d, h] = g(x_{k+2} - x''_{k+2}) - gf(x_{k+2} - x''_{k+2}) = 0$$

By computations in [HRW21], $H^\bullet(\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Ch}(SS\mathrm{Bim}_{k+2})}(FT_{k,1}))$ is concentrated in even degrees. It follows that there exists some $\zeta \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Ch}(SS\mathrm{Bim}_{k+1})}^{-2}(FT_{k,1})$ such that $[d, \zeta] = gh'f - gfh$. We turn our attention to showing that $\zeta = 0$. Below we reproduce the complex for $FT_{k,1}$ with backwards cyan arrows labeling components of $gh'f - gfh$ and backwards purple arrows labeling components of ζ . We include only the vanishing components of $gh'f - gfh$ for visual clarity; as we will see, no other components will be relevant.



Observe that $\zeta_1 d_7 = 0$; since d_7 is invertible, we have $\zeta_1 = 0$. Similarly, since $\zeta_2 d_7 = 0$, we must have $\zeta_2 = 0$. Since $d_2 \zeta_3 = 0$ and d_2 is invertible, we must have $\zeta_3 = 0$. Finally, ζ_4 is a degree $-k$ morphism from I to B ; this vanishes by the dimension computations above. Since every component of ζ vanishes, in fact $\zeta = 0$. It follows immediately that $gh'f - gfh = 0$. Precomposing with f , we obtain $fg(h'f - fh) = h'f - fh = 0$, where the first equality follows from the status of the pair f, g as a strong deformation retract. This proves the first claim.

A similar computation shows that $gh'f - hgf \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+1})}^{-1}(FT_{k,1})$ is closed, so there exists some $\chi \in \text{End}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_{k+1})}^{-2}(FT_{k,1})$ satisfying $[d, \chi] = gh'f - hgf$. We reproduce the complex $FT_{k,1}$ with backwards cyan arrows labeling components of $gh'f - hgf$ and backwards purple arrows labeling components of χ . Again, we include only those components of $gh'f - hgf$ which are of relevance to us.



The same considerations as before show that χ_1, χ_2 , and χ_4 vanish¹³. In particular, χ_3 is the only nonzero component of χ , so $f\chi = 0$ by inspection. Setting $\tilde{\chi} := \chi g$, we obtain $f\tilde{\chi} = 0$ and

$$[d, \tilde{\chi}] = [d, \chi]g + \chi[d, g] = (gh'f - hgf)g = (gh' - hg)fg = gh' - hg.$$

¹³One would like to conclude that $\chi = 0$ so that $gh' - hg$ is not just nullhomotopic but identically 0. Unfortunately, this is not true. Since $d_2 \chi_3 = h_{10}$ and d_2 is invertible, we must have $\chi_3 = d_2^{-1} h_{10}$. A direct computation shows that h_{10} is the zip map in lowest quantum degree, which is decidedly nonzero.

□

Applying the functor ${}_{1^n}S_{\mathfrak{S}^{n-1}} \otimes - \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}^{n-1}} M_{1^n}$ to the maps f, g of Lemma A.4 induces a strong deformation retraction from STM_n to C_n as in Proposition 3.14. We refer to the resulting maps also as f and g .

Corollary A.5. *The map $f \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_n)}^0(STM_n, C_n)$ lifts without modification to a chain map $f: STM_n^{y_n} \rightarrow C_n^{y_n}$ of curved complexes. The map $g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_n)}^0(C_n, STM_n)$ has a strict lift to a chain map $\tilde{g}: C_n^{y_n} \rightarrow STM_n^{y_n}$ of curved complexes. The maps f, \tilde{g} constitute a strong deformation retraction from $STM_n^{y_n}$ to $C_n^{y_n}$.*

Proof. It is easily verified from the explicit description of Proposition 4.23 that the connection on $C_n^{y_n}$ is given by $\delta_{C_n} = d_{C_n} + h'(y_n - y_1)$. That f lifts to a chain map of curved complexes follows from Proposition A.4 exactly as in the proof of Corollary A.3. Set $\tilde{g} := g + \tilde{\chi}(y_n - y_1)$. We check that \tilde{g} is a chain map via direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \tilde{g}] &= (d_{STM_n} + h(y_n - y_1))(g + \tilde{\chi}(y_n - y_1)) - (g + \tilde{\chi}(y_n - y_1))(d_{C_n} + h'(y_n - y_1)) \\ &= [d, g] + ([d, \tilde{\chi}] + (hg - gh'))(y_n - y_1) + (h\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}h')(y_n - y_1)^2 \\ &= (h\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}h')(y_n - y_1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $h\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}h' \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(SSBim_n)}^{-3}(C_n, STM_n)$. Since both C_n and STM_n are concentrated in homological degrees 0, 1, and 2, we must have $h\tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi}h' = 0$. Hence \tilde{g} is closed.

Since $f\tilde{\chi} = 0$ by Lemma A.4, we have $f\tilde{g} = f(g + \tilde{\chi}(y_n - y_1)) = fg = \text{id}_{C_n^{y_n}}$. That $\tilde{g}f \sim \text{id}_{STM_n^{y_n}}$ follows from Corollary 4.19 exactly as in the proof of Corollary A.3. □

Proof of Proposition 4.23. Set $\tilde{\mu} = \bar{\mu}\tilde{g}$, and observe that $\mu = \bar{\mu}g$ and $\nu = f\bar{\nu}$. □

REFERENCES

- [AH17] M. Abel and M. Hogancamp. “Categorified Young symmetrizers and stable homology of torus links II”. In: *Sel. Math. (N.S.)* 23.3 (2017). arxiv:1510.05330, pp. 1739–1801.
- [AW19] M. Abel and M. Willis. “Colored Khovanov-Rozansky homology for infinite braids”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol* 19 (2019). arxiv:1709.06666, pp. 2401–2438.
- [Ale23] J. W. Alexander. “A Lemma on Systems of Knotted Curves”. In: *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 9 (3 1923), pp. 93–95.
- [Cau15] S. Cautis. “Clasp technology to knot homology via the affine Grassmannian”. In: *Math. Ann.* 363 (2015). arxiv:1207.2074, pp. 1053–1115.
- [Cau17] S. Cautis. “Remarks on coloured triply graded link invariants”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol* 17 (2017). arxiv:1611.09924, pp. 3811–3836.
- [CKM14] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and S. Morrison. “Webs and quantum skew Howe duality”. In: *Math. Ann.* 360.1-2 (2014). arxiv:1210.6437, pp. 351–390.
- [CK12] B. Cooper and V. Krushkal. “Categorification of the Jones-Wenzl projectors”. In: *Quantum Topol.* 3.2 (2012). arxiv:1005.5117, pp. 139–180.
- [DGR06] N. Dunfield, S. Gukov, and J. Rasmussen. “The superpolynomial for knot homologies”. In: *Exp. Math.* 15.2 (2006). arxiv:math/0505662, pp. 129–159.
- [Elb22] M. Elbehiry. *Minimal categorical idempotents in the Soergel category of finite Coxeter groups*. 2022.
- [EH17a] B. Elias and M. Hogancamp. “Categorical diagonalization”. arxiv:1707.04349. 2017.
- [EH17b] B. Elias and M. Hogancamp. “Categorical Diagonalization of full twists”. arXiv:1801.00191. 2017.

- [EH19] B. Elias and M. Hogancamp. “On the computation of torus link homology”. In: *Compos. Math.* (2019). arxiv:1603.00407.
- [EW14] B. Elias and G. Williamson. “The Hodge theory of Soergel bimodules”. In: *Ann. Math.* 180 (3) (2014). arxiv:1212.0791, pp. 1089–1136.
- [Eli+20] B. Elias et al. *Introduction to Soergel bimodules*. RSME Springer Series. Cham, Switzerland: Springer Cham, 2020.
- [GGS18] E. Gorsky, S. Gukov, and M. Stošić. “Quadruply-graded colored homology of knots”. In: *Fund. Math.* 243 (2018). arxiv:1304.3481, pp. 209–299.
- [GH22] E. Gorsky and M. Hogancamp. “Hilbert schemes and y-ification of Khovanov-Rozansky homology”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 26 (2022). arxiv:1712.03938, pp. 587–678.
- [GHM21] E. Gorsky, M. Hogancamp, and A. Mellit. “Tautological classes and symmetry in Khovanov-Rozansky homology”. arXiv:2103.01212. 2021.
- [GS12] S. Gukov and M. Stošić. “Homological algebra of knots and BPS states”. In: *Geometry & Topology Monographs* 18 (2012). arxiv:1112.0030, pp. 309–367.
- [Hog17] M. Hogancamp. “Idempotents in triangulated monoidal categories”. arxiv:1703.01001. 2017.
- [Hog18] M. Hogancamp. “Categorified Young symmetrizers and stable homology of torus links”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 22 (2018). arxiv:1505.08148, pp. 2943–3002.
- [Hog20] M. Hogancamp. “Homological perturbation theory with curvature”. arxiv:1912.03843v2. 2020.
- [HM19] M. Hogancamp and A. Mellit. “Torus link homology”. arxiv:1909.00418. 2019.
- [HRW21] M. Hogancamp, D. E. V. Rose, and P. Wedrich. “Link splitting deformation of colored Khovanov-Rozansky homology”. arXiv:2107.09590. 2021.
- [Jon87] V. F. R. Jones. “Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials”. In: *Ann. Math.* 126 (2 1987), pp. 335–388.
- [Kho07] M. Khovanov. “Triply-graded link homology and Hochschild homology of Soergel bimodules”. In: *Int. J. Math.* 18.8 (2007). arxiv:math/0510265, pp. 869–885.
- [KR08] M. Khovanov and L. Rozansky. “Matrix factorizations and link homology. II”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 12.3 (2008). arxiv:math/0401268, pp. 1387–1425.
- [Kon95] M. Kontsevich. “Homological algebra of mirror symmetry”. In: *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* (1995).
- [MSV11] M. Mackaay, M. Stošić, and P. Vaz. “The 1,2-coloured HOMFLY-PT link homology”. In: *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 363.4 (2011). arxiv:0809.0193, pp. 2091–2124.
- [Mel22] A. Mellit. “Homology of torus knots”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 26 (2022). arxiv:1704.07630, pp. 47–70.
- [OR17] A. Oblomkov and L. Rozansky. “HOMFLYPT homology of Coxeter links”. arxiv:1706.00124. 2017.
- [OR18] A. Oblomkov and L. Rozansky. “Knot homology and sheaves on the Hilbert scheme of points in the plane”. In: *Sel. Math.* 24 (2018). arxiv:1608.03227, pp. 2351–2454.
- [OR19a] A. Oblomkov and L. Rozansky. “Affine braid group, JM elements and knot homology”. In: *Transform. Groups* 24 (2019). arxiv:1702.03569, pp. 531–544.
- [OR19b] A. Oblomkov and L. Rozansky. “Dualizable link homology”. arxiv:1905.06511. 2019.
- [OR20] A. Oblomkov and L. Rozansky. “Soergel bimodules and matrix factorizations”. arxiv:2010.14546. 2020.
- [Ras15] J. Rasmussen. “Some differentials on Khovanov-Rozansky homology”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 19.6 (2015). arXiv:0607544, pp. 3031–3104.
- [RT90] N. Yu. Reshtikhin and V. G. Turaev. “Ribbon graphs and their invariants derived from quantum groups”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 1 (1990), pp. 1–26.
- [Ros14] D. Rose. “A categorification of quantum \mathfrak{sl}_3 projectors and the \mathfrak{sl}_3 Reshetikhin-Turaev invariant of tangles”. In: *Quantum Topol.* 5.1 (2014). arxiv:1109.1745, pp. 1–59.

- [RT21] D. Rose and D. Tubbenhauer. “HOMFLYPT homology for links in handlebodies via type A Soergel bimodules”. In: *Quantum Topology* 2 (12 2021). arxiv:1908.06878, pp. 373–410.
- [Rou04] R. Rouquier. “Categorification of the braid groups”. arXiv:math/0409593. 2004.
- [Roz10] L. Rozansky. “An infinite torus braid yields a categorified Jones-Wenzl projector”. arxiv:1005.3266. 2010.
- [SS11] C. Stroppel and J. Sussan. “Categorified Jones-Wenzl projectors: a comparison”. arxiv:1105.3038. 2011.
- [WW17] B. Webster and G. Williamson. “A geometric construction of colored HOMFLYPT homology”. In: *Geom. Topol.* 21 (2017). arxiv:0905.0486, pp. 2557–2600.
- [Wed19] P. Wedrich. “Exponential growth of colored HOMFLY-PT homology”. In: *Adv. Math.* (2019). arxiv:1602.02769.
- [Wil11] G. Williamson. “Singular Soergel bimodules”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (20 2011). arxiv:1010.1283, pp. 4555–4632.
- [Wil21] M. Willis. “Khovanov-Rozansky homology for infinite multicolored braids”. In: *Cand. J. Math.* 73 (5 2021). arxiv:1904.09055, pp. 1239–1277.