

ON GENERALIZED V^n -CONTINUA

A. KARASSEV, P. KRUPSKI, V. TODOROV, AND V. VALOV

ABSTRACT. The notion of a V^n -continuum was introduced by Alexandroff [1] as a generalization of the concept of n -manifold. In this note we consider the cohomological analogue of V^n -continuum and prove that any strongly locally homogeneous, generalized continuum X with cohomological dimension n is a generalized V^n -space with respect to the cohomological dimension. In particular, every strongly locally homogeneous continuum of covering dimension n is a V^n -continuum in the sense of Alexandroff.

An analog of the Mazurkiewicz theorem that no subset of covering dimension $\leq n - 2$ cuts any region of Euclidean n -space is also obtained for strongly locally homogeneous, generalized continua of cohomological dimension n .

1. INTRODUCTION

By a *space* we mean a locally compact separable metric space, and each of its connected open subsets is called a *region* or a *generalized continuum*. *Maps* are continuous mappings. Čech cohomology groups $H^n(X; G)$ with coefficients from an Abelian group G are considered everywhere below.

The cohomological dimension $\dim_G X$ of a space X is the largest number n such that there exists a closed subset $A \subset X$ with

$$H^n(X, A; G) \neq 0.$$

Equivalently, $\dim_G X \leq n$ if and only if for any closed pair $A \subset B$ in X the homomorphism $j_{X,A}^n : H^n(B; G) \rightarrow H^n(A; G)$, generated by the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$, is surjective, see [3]. If X is a finite-dimensional space, then for every G we have $\dim_G X \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X = \dim X$ [7], where \dim denotes the covering dimension.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55M10; Secondary 54F45.

Key words and phrases. cohomological dimension, strongly locally homogeneous space, V^n -continuum.

The first author was partially supported by NSERC Grant 257231-15.

The last author was partially by NSERC Grant 261914-19.

The notion of a V^n -continuum was introduced by Alexandroff [1] as a generalization of the concept of n -manifold: A continuum X is a V^n -continuum if for every two closed disjoint subsets P and Q of X , both having non-empty interiors $\text{int } P$, $\text{int } Q$, there exists an open cover ω of X such that no partition $C \subset X$ between P and Q admits an ω -map into a space Y with $\dim Y \leq n - 2$ (by an ω -map on C we mean an $\omega|_C$ -map, where $\omega|_C = \{U \cap C : U \in \omega\}$). We extend the notion of V^n -continua as follows.

A space X is a $V^n(G)$ -space if for any two closed disjoint sets P, Q in X with $\text{int } P \neq \emptyset \neq \text{int } Q$, there exists an open cover ω of X such that no partition in X between P and Q admits an ω -map into a space Y of dimension $\dim_G Y \leq n - 2$.

One can easily observe that each $V^n(G)$ -space is connected, so it is a generalized continuum.

Recall that a space X is *strongly locally homogeneous* if every point $x \in X$ has a basis of open sets U such that for every $y, z \in U$ there is a homeomorphism h on X such that $h(y) = z$ and h is the identity on $X \setminus U$.

Every region of a strongly locally homogeneous space is homogeneous, strongly locally homogeneous, and it is also known to be locally connected [2].

In this note we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Every strongly locally homogeneous generalized continuum X with $\dim_G X = n$ is a $V^n(G)$ -space.*

It was established in [6] that every region Λ of a homogeneous and locally connected space X with $\dim_G \Lambda = n$ cannot be cut by an F_σ -set M of dimension $\dim_G M \leq n - 2$. For strongly locally homogeneous spaces we get

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a strongly locally homogeneous generalized continuum with $\dim_G X = n$. Then for every pair $U, V \subset X$ of disjoint open sets, and every set $M \subset X$ with $\dim_G M \leq n - 2$, there is a continuum $K \subset X \setminus M$ joining U and V .*

It is well known that finite-dimensional homogeneous ANR-continua share many properties with Euclidean manifolds, see [9]. This fact and Theorem 1.1 suggest the following questions.

Question 1.3. Let X be a homogeneous ANR-continuum with $\dim X = n$. Is it true that X is strongly locally homogeneous? Is X a V^n -continuum?

2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

If ω is an open cover of X and $F \subset X$ is closed, for every closed $C \subset X$ we consider a canonical map $\kappa_{\omega_C} : (C, C \cap F) \rightarrow (N_{\omega|C}, N_{\omega|(C \cap F)})$, where $N_{\omega|C}$ and $N_{\omega|(C \cap F)}$ are the nerves of the systems $\omega|C$ and $\omega|(C \cap F)$, respectively (see, e.g., [4, §11]). The following notion was introduced in [10].

Let P and Q be disjoint nonempty open subsets of a continuum X and $F \subset X$ closed. We say that the pair (X, F) is K_G^n -connected between P and Q if there exists an open cover ω of X such that the following condition holds for every partition C in X between P and Q :

the map $\kappa_{\omega_C} : (C, C \cap F) \rightarrow (N_{\omega|C}, N_{\omega|(C \cap F)})$ generates a non-trivial homomorphism

$$\kappa_{\omega_C}^* : H^{n-1}(N_{\omega|C}, N_{\omega|(C \cap F)}; G) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(C, C \cap F; G).$$

If, in addition, there is $e \in H^{n-1}(N_{\omega}, N_{\omega|(Y \cap F)})$ such that

$$\kappa_{\omega_C}^*(i_{\omega_C}^*(e)) \neq 0$$

for every partition C in X between P and Q , the pair (X, F) is called *strongly K_G^n -connected between P and Q* . Here, $Y = X \setminus (P \cup Q)$ and $i_{\omega_C} : (N_{\omega|C}, N_{\omega|(C \cap F)}) \rightarrow (N_{\omega}, N_{\omega|(Y \cap F)})$ is the inclusion.

Further, (X, F) is said to be a K_G^n -manifold (*strong K_G^n -manifold*) if it is K_G^n -connected (resp., strongly K_G^n -connected) between any two nonempty open disjoint sets $P, Q \subset X$.

The notion of a (strong) K_G^n -manifold is justified by the following result which was actually established in [8, Theorem 1].

Proposition 2.1. *Every compactum X (not necessarily metrizable) with $\dim_G X = n$ contains a pair (Y, F) of closed sets with $\dim_G Y = n$ such that F is nowhere dense in Y and (Y, F) is a strong K_G^n -manifold.*

We need an analogous statement for locally compact spaces.

Lemma 2.2. *Every space X with $\dim_G X = n$ contains a strong K_G^n -manifold (Φ, F) , where Φ is a compactum with $\dim_G \Phi = n$ and F is a closed nowhere dense subset of Φ . In particular, Φ is a $V^n(G)$ -space.*

Proof. Since X is a countable union of compact sets, there exists a compactum $Y \subset X$ with $\dim_G Y = n$ (otherwise, by the countable sum theorem for \dim_G , $\dim_G X \leq n - 1$). Then, by Proposition 2.1, there is a pair $F \subset \Phi$ of compact sets in Y with $\dim_G \Phi = n$ such that F is nowhere dense in Φ and (Φ, F) is a strong K_G^n -manifold. Finally, according to [10, Proposition 2.2], Φ is a $V^n(G)$ -space. \square

Remark 2.3. According to [5, Theorem 2.6], every compactum Y with $\dim_G Y = n$ contains a compact $V^n(G)$ -space Φ such that $\dim_G \Phi =$

n . Lemma 2.2 is a stronger statement which will allow us to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1

For every $x \in X$ let \mathcal{B}_x be a basis at x consisting of open sets $U \subset X$ such that for every points $y, z \in U$ there is a homeomorphism h on X with $h(y) = z$ and such that h is the identity outside U .

Suppose X is not a $V^n(G)$ -space. Hence, there are closed disjoint sets $P, Q \subset X$, both having non-empty interiors, such that for every open cover ω of X there exists a partition C_ω in X between P and Q admitting an ω -map into a space Y_ω with $\dim_G Y_\omega \leq n - 2$.

According to Lemma 2.2, X contains a compact $V^n(G)$ -space Φ . We claim that there is a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow X$ such that $h(\Phi)$ meets both $\text{int } P$ and $\text{int } Q$. Indeed, since X is homogeneous, we can assume that $\Phi \cap \text{int } P \neq \emptyset$ and choose $x_P \in \Phi \cap \text{int } P$. Let Γ be the set of all $x \in X$ such that there is a homeomorphism $h_x : X \rightarrow X$ with $x \in h_x(\Phi)$ and $h_x(x_P) = x_P$. Obviously, the identity homeomorphism on X witnesses that $x_P \in \Gamma$.

Claim 1. The set Γ is clopen in X .

Let $x \in \Gamma$, $x \neq x_P$. So, there exists a homeomorphism h_x on X such that $x \in h_x(\Phi)$ and $h_x(x_P) = x_P$. Choose a neighborhood $W_x \in \mathcal{B}_x$ with $x_P \notin W_x$. Then for every $y \in W_x$ there is a homeomorphism h_{xy} on X with $h_{xy}(x) = y$ and $h_{xy}(z) = z$ for all $z \notin W_x$. The composition $h_y = h_{xy} \circ h_x$ is a homeomorphism such that $y \in h_y(\Phi)$ and $h_y(x_P) = x_P$. This shows that $W_x \subset \Gamma$, hence Γ is open in X .

To show that Γ is closed in X , let $\{x_k\}$ be a sequence in Γ converging to a point $x_0 \in X$. If $x_0 = x_P$, we are done. If $x_0 \neq x_P$, we take a neighborhood $V_{x_0} \in \mathcal{B}_{x_0}$ with $x_P \notin V_{x_0}$ and let $x_k \in V_{x_0}$. There exist homeomorphisms h_{x_k} and $h_{x_k x_0}$ on X such that

$$x_k \in h_{x_k}(\Phi), \quad h_{x_k}(x_P) = x_P, \quad h_{x_k x_0}(x_k) = x_0$$

and $h_{x_k x_0}$ is the identity outside V_{x_0} . Evidently, the homeomorphism $h_{x_0} = h_{x_k x_0} \circ h_{x_k}$ satisfies the conditions $x_0 \in h_{x_0}(\Phi)$ and $h_{x_0}(x_P) = x_P$. Hence, $x_0 \in \Gamma$, which completes the proof of Claim 1.

Because X is a connected set, $\Gamma = X$ by Claim 1. So, every $x \in \text{int } Q$ belongs to Γ . This means that there is a homeomorphism h on X such that $h(\Phi)$ meets both sets $\text{int } P$ and $\text{int } Q$.

Since $h(\Phi)$ is a $V^n(G)$ -space, there is an open cover ω_0 of $h(\Phi)$ such that no partition in $h(\Phi)$ between the sets $h(\Phi) \cap P$ and $h(\Phi) \cap Q$ admits an ω_0 -map into a space of dimension $\dim_G \leq n - 2$. Extend ω_0 to an open cover ω_0^* of X and observe that, for the partition $C_{\omega_0^*}$, the set $C_{\omega_0^*} \cap h(\Phi)$ is a partition in $h(\Phi)$ between $h(\Phi) \cap P$ and $h(\Phi) \cap Q$

which admits an ω_0 -map into the space $Y_{\omega_0^*}$ with $\dim_G Y_{\omega_0^*} \leq n - 2$, a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2

Suppose U and V are disjoint open subsets of X and $M \subset X$ be a set of dimension $\dim_G M \leq n - 2$. Considering smaller subsets if necessarily, we can assume that the closures \overline{U} and \overline{V} are also disjoint. By Lemma 2.2, there is a compact pair (Φ, F) in X with $\dim_G \Phi = n$ such that F is nowhere dense in Φ and (Φ, F) is a strong K_G^n -manifold. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, there is a homeomorphism h on X such that $h(\Phi) \cap U \neq \emptyset \neq h(\Phi) \cap V$. Then $h(\Phi)$ is a continuum joining U and V . So, if $M \cap h(\Phi) = \emptyset$, we are done. If $M \cap h(\Phi) \neq \emptyset$, we can apply [10, Theorem 3.1](see Theorem 3.2 in Appendix) to find a continuum $K \subset h(\Phi) \setminus M$ joining $U \cap h(\Phi)$ and $V \cap h(\Phi)$. \square

3. APPENDIX

In our paper [5] we considered the dimension $D_{\mathcal{K}}$ which unifies the covering, the cohomological, and the extraordinary dimensions, and proved [5, Theorem 2.6] that any compactum X (not necessarily metrizable) of dimension $D_{\mathcal{K}} X = n$ contains a continuum V^n with respect to $D_{\mathcal{K}}$. The definition of continuum V^n with respect to $D_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the same as that one of a $V^n(G)$ -space, the only difference is that we consider the dimension $D_{\mathcal{K}}$ instead of \dim_G . So, in order to prove Theorem 1.1 in a more general version for the dimension $D_{\mathcal{K}}$, we can use Theorem 2.6 from [5] instead of Proposition 2.1.

There is one claim from the proof of [5, Theorem 2.6], see Claim 2.7, which was left without proof in that paper. Below, we provide a detailed proof of the claim for completeness.

Lemma 3.1. [5, Claim 2.7] *Let $F : Z \rightarrow K$ be a map, where Z is a compact space and K is a metrizable ANR. Then for every open cover γ of K there exists an open cover ν of Z satisfying the following condition: for any closed set $B \subset Z$ and any ν -map $\varphi : B \rightarrow Y$, where Y is a paracompact space, there is a map $g : \varphi(B) \rightarrow K$ such that $g \circ \varphi$ is γ -close to the restriction $F|_B$.*

Proof. We embed K as a closed subset of a normed space L and find a retraction $r : W \rightarrow K$, where W is open in L . We can suppose that for every $U \in \gamma$ there is a convex open subset \tilde{U} of L with $r(\tilde{U}) \subset U$. Next, take an open cover γ' of K such that γ' is a star-refinement of the cover $\tilde{\gamma} = \{\tilde{U} \cap K : U \in \gamma\}$.

Let us show that the cover $\nu = F^{-1}(\gamma')$ is as required. Suppose $B \subset Z$ is closed and $\varphi : B \rightarrow Y$ is a ν -map. We can assume that

$\varphi(B) = Y$, so there is a finite open cover β of Y such that $\varphi^{-1}(\beta)$ refines ν . For every $V \in \beta$ fix $\Lambda_V \in \nu$ and a point $a_V \in F(\Lambda_V)$ with $\varphi^{-1}(V) \subset \Lambda_V$. Let N_β be the nerve of β and $\kappa_\beta : Y \rightarrow N_\beta$ the canonical map assigning to each $y \in Y$ the point $\sum_{V \in \beta} f_V(y)V$, where $y \in \cap_{V \in \beta} V$ and $\{f_V : V \in \beta\}$ is a partition of unity subordinated to β (here the elements $V \in \beta$ are considered also as vertices of N_β).

We define a map $h : N_\beta \rightarrow K$ by

$$h\left(\sum_{V \in \beta} t_V V\right) = r\left(\sum_{V \in \beta} t_V a_V\right), \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{V \in \beta} t_V = 1, t_V \geq 0,$$

and let $g = h \circ \kappa_\beta$. To check that $g \circ \varphi$ is γ -close to $F|B$, we fix $z \in B$. Then

$$\kappa_\beta(\varphi(z)) = \sum_{V \in \beta} f_V(\varphi(z))V \quad \text{and} \quad h(\kappa_\beta(\varphi(z))) = r\left(\sum_{V \in \beta} f_V(\varphi(z))a_V\right),$$

where $\varphi(z) \in \cap_{V \in \beta} V$.

Since γ' is a star-refinement of $\tilde{\gamma}$, there is $U \in \gamma$ such that $\tilde{U} \cap K$ contains all points a_V . So, $\sum_{V \in \beta} f_V(\varphi(z))a_V \in \tilde{U}$ because \tilde{U} is convex. Consequently, $h(\kappa_\beta(\varphi(z))) \in U$. On the other hand, $F(z) \in \cap_{V \in \beta} F(\Lambda_V) \subset U$. Therefore, $F|B$ and $g \circ \varphi$ are γ -close. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on Theorem 3.1 from [10]. Here is the formulation of that theorem which was actually established in [10]:

Theorem 3.2. [10, Theorem 3.1] *Let (X, F) be a strong K_G^n -manifold and M be a Lindelöf normally placed subset of X with $\dim_G M \leq n - 2$. Then for every disjoint open subsets P and Q of X there exists a continuum $K \subset X \setminus M$ such that $P \cap K \neq \emptyset \neq Q \cap K$.*

Recall that a set $M \subset X$ is normally placed if every two closed disjoint sets in M have disjoint open in X neighborhoods. For example, every F_σ -subset of a normal space is normally placed. The same is true if X is a metric space and $M \subset X$ is arbitrary.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. S. Alexandroff, *Die Kontinua (V^p) - eine Verschärfung der Cantorschen Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Monatshefte für Math. **61** (1957), 67–76 (German).
- [2] J. W. Bales, *Representable and strongly locally homogeneous spaces and strongly n -homogeneous spaces*, Houston J. Math. **2** (1976), 315–327.
- [3] J. Dydak, *Cohomological dimension and metrizable spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **337** (1993), 219–234.
- [4] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton University Press, 1952.

- [5] A. Karassev, P. Krupski, V. Todorov and V. Valov, *Generalized Cantor manifolds and homogeneity*, Houston J. Math. **38** (2012), 583–609.
- [6] P. Krupski and V. Valov, *Mazurkiewicz manifolds and homogeneity*, Rocky Mount. J. Math. **41** (2011), no.6, 1–6.
- [7] V. Kuz'minov, *Homological dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **23** (1968), 1–45.
- [8] V. Kuz'minov, *On continua V^n* , Dokl. Akad Nauk SSSR **139** (1961), no. 1, 24–27 (in Russian).
- [9] V. Valov, *Local structure of homogeneous ANR-spaces*, arXiv:2303.10205v1 [math.GN]
- [10] V. Todorov and V. Valov, *Alexandroff type manifolds and homology manifolds*, Houston J. Math. **40** (2014), 1325–1346.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

Email address: alexandk@nipissingu.ca

WROCLAW UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, , FACULTY OF PURE AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27, 50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

Email address: pawel.krupski@pwr.edu.pl

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UACG, 1 H. SMIRNENSKI BLVD., 1046 SOFIA, BULGARIA

Email address: tt.vladimir@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

Email address: veskov@nipissingu.ca