SEPARATION OF HOMOGENEOUS CONTINUA

VESKO VALOV

ABSTRACT. We prove that any region Γ in a homogeneous n-dimensional and locally compact separable metric space cannot be irreducibly separated by a closed (n-1)-dimensional subset C with the following property: C is acyclic in dimension n-1 and there is a point $b \in C \cap \Gamma$ having a local base \mathcal{B}_C^b in C such that the boundary of each $U \in \mathcal{B}_C^b$ is acyclic in dimension n-2. The acyclicity means triviality of the corresponding Čech cohomology groups. This implies all known results concerning the separation of regions in homogeneous spaces.

1. Introduction

By a space we mean a locally compact separable metric space, and maps are continuous mappings. We also consider reduced in dimension zero Čech cohomology groups $H^n(X;G)$ with coefficients from an Abelian group G. If G is the group of the integers \mathbb{Z} , we simply write $H^n(X)$. Recall that a space X is separated by a set $C \subset X$ if C is closed in X and $X \setminus C$ is the union of two disjoint open subsets G_1, G_2 of X. When C is the intersection of the closures $\overline{G_1} \cap \overline{G_2}$, we say that C is an irreducible separator. A partition between two disjoint closed sets A, B in X is a closed set P such that $X \setminus P$ is the union of two open disjoint sets U, V in X such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$. By dim X we denote the covering dimension of X, and dim $_G X$ stands for the cohomological dimension of X with respect to a group G. Also, the boundary of a given set $U \subset X$ in X is denoted by bdU; if $U \subset C \subset X$, then $\mathrm{bd}_C U$ denotes the boundary of U in C.

One of the first results concerning the separation of homogenous metric spaces is the celebrated theorem of Krupski [12], [13] stating that every region in an n-dimensional homogeneous space cannot be separated by a subset of dimension $\leq n-2$. Kallipoliti and Papasoglu [8] established that any locally connected, simply connected, homogeneous

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 55M10; Secondary 54F45.

Key words and phrases. acyclic partitions, cohomological dimension, homogeneous spaces.

The author was partially by NSERC Grant 261914-19.

metric continuum cannot be separated by arcs (according to Krupski's theorem, mentioned above, the Kallipoliti-Papasoglu result is interesting for spaces of dimension two). van Mill and the author [17] proved that the Kallipoliti-Papasoglu theorem remains true without simply connectedness, but requiring strong local homogeneity instead of homogeneity. Recently, Karassev-Valov [9] settle the two-dimensional case by proving that any region of a homogeneous space cannot be separated by an arc.

In the present paper we establish the following theorem which captures all mentioned above results:

Theorem 1.1. Let Γ be a region in a homogeneous space X with $dim X = n \geq 1$. Then Γ cannot be irreducibly separated by any closed set $C \subset X$ with the following property:

- (i) dim $C \le n 1$ and $H^{n-1}(C) = 0$;
- (ii) There is a point $b \in C \cap \Gamma$ having a local base \mathcal{B}_C^b in C such that $H^{n-2}(\mathrm{bd}_C U) = 0$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}_C^b$.

Remark 1.2. According to [20, Corollary 1.6], if X in Theorem 1.1 is a compactum with $H^n(X) \neq 0$, then X is not separated by any C satisfying condition (i).

Since $H^{k+1}(Y) = 0$ for any k-dimensional space Y, we have the following fact: If $\dim Y \leq n-2$, then $H^{n-1}(Y) = 0$ and every $x \in Y$ has a basis of neighborhoods U with $H^{n-2}(\mathrm{bd}U) = 0$. Moreover, any (n-2)-dimensional separator contains a closed subset which is an irreducible (n-2)-dimensional separator. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 implies directly that any region in a homogeneous n-dimensional space cannot be separated by a subset of dimension $\leq n-2$. Similar arguments show that if G is any countable Abelian group, then any homogeneous connected space of cohomological dimension $\dim_G X \leq n$ cannot be separated by a closed subset of dimension $\dim_G X \leq n$ cannot be separated by different methods in [10]).

If a region Γ in a two-dimensional homogeneous space is separated by an arc C, then there is a closed $C' \subset C$ irreducibly separating Γ , see [17]. Then $H^1(C') = 0$ and the point $b = \max\{x : x \in C'\}$ satisfies condition (ii) from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1 follows from its cohomological version, Theorem 3.2, which is established in Section 3. Section 2 contains some definitions and preliminary results. In the final Section 4 we present an analogue of Mazurkiewicz' theorem [16] that any (n-2)-dimensional subset of \mathbb{R}^n cannot cut \mathbb{R}^n .

2. Definitions and preliminary results

Recall that for any nontrivial Abelian group G the Čech cohomology group $H^n(X;G)$ is isomorphic to the group [X,K(G,n)] of pointed homotopy classes of maps from X to K(G,n), where K(G,n) is a CW-complex of type (G,n), see [6]. It is also well known that the circle group \mathbb{S}^1 is a space of type $(\mathbb{Z},1)$. The cohomological dimension $\dim_G(X)$ is the largest number n such that there exists a closed subset $A \subset X$ with $H^n(X,A;G) \neq 0$. Equivalently, for a metric space X we have $\dim_G X \leq n$ if and only if for any closed pair $A \subset B$ in X the homomorphism $j_{X,A}^n: H^n(B;G) \to H^n(A;G)$, generated by the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow B$, is surjective, see [2]. This means that every map from A to K(G,n) can be extended over B. For every G we have $\dim_G X \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X \leq \dim X$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X = \dim X$ in case $\dim X < \infty$ [15] (on the other hand, there is an infinite-dimensional compactum X with $\dim_{\mathbb{Z}} X = 3$, see [1]).

Suppose (K,A) is a pair of compact sets in a space X with $A \subset K$. We say that K is an n-cohomology membrane spanned on A for an element $\gamma \in H^k(A;G)$ if γ is not extendable over K, but it is extendable over every proper closed subset of K containing A. Here, $\gamma \in H^k(A;G)$ is extendable over K means that γ is contained in the image $j_{K,A}^k(H^k(K;G))$. Concerning extendability, we are using the following simple fact:

Lemma 2.1. Let A, B be closed sets in X with $X = A \cup B$. Then $\gamma \in H^k(A; G)$ is extendable over X if and only if $j_{A,\Gamma}^k(\gamma)$ is extendable over B, where $\Gamma = A \cap B$.

Proof. This follows from the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$H^k(X;G) \xrightarrow{\varphi^k} H^k(A;G) \oplus H^k(B;G) \xrightarrow{\psi^k} H^k(\Gamma;G),$$

where $\varphi^k(\gamma) = (j_{X,A}^k(\gamma), j_{X,B}^k(\gamma))$ and $\psi^k(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = j_{A,\Gamma}^k(\gamma_1) - j_{B,\Gamma}^k(\gamma_2)$. Indeed, suppose $\gamma_{\Gamma} = j_{A,\Gamma}^k(\gamma)$ is extendable over B. So, there is $\alpha \in H^k(B;G)$ with $j_{B,\Gamma}^k(\alpha) = \gamma_{\Gamma}$. Then, $\psi^k(\gamma,\alpha) = 0$, which implies the existence of $\beta \in H^k(X;G)$ such that $\varphi^k(\beta) = (\gamma,\alpha)$. This yields $j_{X,A}^k(\beta) = \gamma$. Hence, γ is extendable over X.

To prove the other implication, suppose $j_{X,A}^k(\beta) = \gamma$ for some $\beta \in H^k(X;G)$, and let $\alpha = j_{X,B}^k(\beta)$. Then $\psi^k(\gamma,\alpha) = 0$, which means that $j_{B,\Gamma}^k(\alpha) = j_{A,\Gamma}^k(\gamma)$. Therefore, $j_{A,\Gamma}^k(\gamma)$ is extendable over B.

We use below the following notation: Suppose A is partition in a space Z between two closed disjoint sets $P, Q \subset Z$. Then there are two

open disjoint subset W_P, W_Q of Z containing P and Q, respectively, such that $Z \setminus A = W_P \cup W_Q$. Then we denote $\Lambda_P = W_P \cup A$ and $\Lambda_Q = W_Q \cup A$.

Lemma 2.2. Let X be a homogeneous space with $\dim_G X = n$ and $x \in \Gamma$ be a fixed point, where $\Gamma \subset X$ is open. Then there exist a compact set $M \subset \Gamma$ with $x \in M$ and a local basis \mathcal{B}_x in X with the following property: For every $U, V \in \mathcal{B}_x$ with $\overline{U} \subset V$ there exist a nontrivial $\gamma_{U,V} \in H^{n-1}(M(U,V);G)$, where $M(U,V) = (\overline{V}\setminus U) \cap M$, such that:

- (i) There is a compactum $K_U \subset \overline{U}$ such that K_U is an (n-1)cohomology membrane for $\gamma_U = j_{M(U,V),M\cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$ spanned
 on $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}$;
- on $M \cap \operatorname{bd}\overline{U}$; (ii) $\gamma_V = j_{M(U,V),M \cap \operatorname{bd}\overline{V}}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$ is not extendable over $M \cap \overline{V}$;
- (iii) If A is a partition in M(U, V) between $M \cap \operatorname{bd}\overline{U}$ and $M \cap \operatorname{bd}\overline{V}$, then $\gamma_A = j_{M(U,V),A}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$ is extendable over $\Lambda_{\operatorname{bd}\overline{U}} \cup B$ for any proper closed set $B \subset K_U$, but not extendable over $\Lambda_{\operatorname{bd}\overline{U}} \cup \overline{U}$.

Proof. Since X is homogeneous, $\dim_G \Gamma = n$. Hence, Γ is a countable union of compact sets and at least one of then should be of dimension $\dim_G = n$ (otherwise, by the countable sum theorem for \dim_G , we would have $\dim_G \Gamma \leq n-1$). Therefore, there exists a compactum $Y \subset \Gamma$ with $\dim_G Y = n$. This implies the existence of a proper closed set $F \subset Y$ and $\gamma \in H^{n-1}(F;G)$ such that γ is not extendable over Y. Using the continuity of Čech cohomology [19], we can apply Zorn's lemma to conclude there exists a minimal compact set $M \subset Y$ containing F such that γ is not extendable over M, but it is extendable over every proper closed subset of M containing F. Since X is homogeneous, we can assume that $x \in M \setminus F$. Now, let \mathcal{B}_x be the family of all open sets U in X such that:

$$U = \operatorname{int} \overline{\mathbf{U}} \text{ and } \overline{\mathbf{U}} \cap \mathbf{F} = \emptyset.$$

Suppose $U, V \in \mathcal{B}_x$ with $\overline{U} \subset V$. Then $M \setminus U$ is a proper closed subset of M containing F. Hence, there is $\gamma' \in H^{n-1}(M \setminus U; G)$ with $j_{M \setminus U, F}^{n-1}(\gamma') = \gamma$. Let $\gamma_{U,V} = j_{M \setminus U,M(U,V)}^{n-1}(\gamma')$. So, $\gamma_U = j_{M(U,V),M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$ is not extendable over $M \cap \overline{U}$, otherwise γ would be extendable over M, see Lemma 2.1. Let K_U be a minimal subset of \overline{U} containing $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}$ such that γ_U is not extendable over K_U . Then γ_U is extendable over any proper closed subset of K_U . So, K_U is an (n-1)-cohomology membrane for γ_U spanned on $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}$. Similarly, we can see that $\gamma_U = j_{M(U,V),M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{V}}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$ is not extendable over $M \cap \overline{V}$.

To prove item (iii), suppose A is a partition in M(U,V) between $M\cap$ bd \overline{U} and $M\cap$ bd \overline{V} . Then γ_A is the restriction of $\gamma(\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}})$ on A, where $\gamma(\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}})=j_{M(U,V),\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$. So, $\gamma(\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}})$ is an extension γ_A over the set $\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}$ and, since $\gamma_U=j_{\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}},M\cap\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}^{n-1}(\gamma(\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}))$, γ_A is extendable over $\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}\cup B$ for any proper closed set $B\subset K_U$. On the other hand, γ_A is the restriction of $\gamma(\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{V}})=j_{M(U,V),\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{V}}}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$ on A. This implies that γ_A is not extendable over $\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}}\cup \overline{U}$, otherwise γ_V would be extendable over $M\cap \overline{V}$.

Proposition 2.3. Let $A \subset K$ be a compact pair and γ be a nontrivial element of $H^{n-1}(A;G)$. Suppose there are closed subsets P_1, P_2 of K satisfying the following conditions:

- $P_1 \cup P_2 = K$ and $P_1 \cap P_2 = C \neq \emptyset$;
- γ is extendable over $P_i \cup A$ for each i = 1, 2, but γ is not extendable over K.

Then $H^{n-1}(C, C \cap A; G) \neq 0$.

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram below whose rows are parts of Mayer-Vietoris exact sequences, while the columns are exact sequences for the corresponding couples:

$$H^{n-1}(K;G) \xrightarrow{\varphi_K^{n-1}} H^{n-1}(P_1;G) \oplus H^{n-1}(P_2;G)$$

$$\downarrow j_{K,A}^{n-1} \qquad \qquad \downarrow j_{P_1 \oplus P_2}^{n-1}$$

$$H^{n-1}(A;G) \xrightarrow{\varphi_A^{n-1}} H^{n-1}(A \cap P_1;G) \oplus H^{n-1}(A \cap P_2;G)$$

$$\downarrow \partial_{K,A} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \partial_{P_1 \oplus P_2}$$

$$H^n(K,A;G) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{K,A}^n} H^n(P_1,P_1 \cap A;G) \oplus H^n(P_2,P_2 \cap A;G)$$

Here, the maps $j_{P_1 \oplus P_2}^{n-1}$ and $\partial_{P_1 \oplus P_2}$ are defined by

$$j_{P_1,A\cap P_1}^{n-1} \oplus j_{P_2,A\cap P_2}^{n-1},$$

$$\partial_{P_1 \oplus P_2} = \partial_{P_1, P_1 \cap A} \oplus \partial_{P_2, P_2 \cap A}.$$

Recall also that $\varphi_K^{n-1} = (j_{K,P_1}^{n-1}, j_{K,P_2}^{n-1})$, the maps φ_A^{n-1} , $\varphi_{K,A}^n$ and φ_K^n are defined similarly.

Denote $\alpha_i = j_{A,A \cap P_i}^{n-1}(\gamma)$, i = 1, 2. Since γ is extendable over $A \cup P_i$, there exists $\gamma_i \in H^{n-1}(A \cup P_i)$ extending γ , i.e. $j_{A \cup P_i,A}^{n-1}(\gamma_i) = \gamma$. Let

 $\beta_i = j_{A \cup P_i, P_i}^{n-1}(\gamma_i)$. It follows from the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$H^{n-1}(A \cup P_i; G) \to H^{n-1}(A; G) \oplus H^{n-1}(P_i; G) \to H^{n-1}(A \cap P_i; G) \to \dots$$

that $j_{P_i,A\cap P_i}^{n-1}(\beta_i) = \alpha_i$ for every i=1,2. This implies $j_{P_1\oplus P_2}^{n-1}((\beta_1,\beta_2)) = (\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$. Since the second column is a part of an exact sequence, the last equality yields $\partial_{P_1\oplus P_2}(\varphi_A^{n-1}(\gamma)) = 0$. Hence, $\varphi_{K,A}^n(\partial_{K,A}(\gamma)) = 0$. Note that $\widetilde{\gamma} = \partial_{K,A}(\gamma) \neq 0$ because the first column is exact and γ is not extendable over K.

Finally, since $\varphi_{K,A}^n(\widetilde{\gamma}) = 0$, Proposition 2.3 follows from the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence

$$H^{n-1}(C,C\cap A;G) \xrightarrow{\triangle} H^n(K,A;G) \xrightarrow{\varphi^n_{K,A}} H^n(P_1,P_1\cap A;G) \oplus H^n(P_2,P_2\cap A;G).$$

Corollary 2.4. Let X be a homogeneous space with $\dim_G X = n$ and $C \subset X$ separate X. If there is an open set U such that $C \subset U$ and \overline{U} is an (n-1)-cohomology membrane for some $\gamma \in H^{n-1}(\operatorname{bd}\overline{U}; G)$ spanned on $\operatorname{bd}\overline{U}$, then $H^{n-1}(C; G) \neq 0$.

Proof. Since $C \cap \text{bd}\overline{U} = \emptyset$, the proof follows from Proposition 2.3 and the exact sequence

$$H^{n-2}(C \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{\mathrm{U}}; \mathrm{G}) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(C, C \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{\mathrm{U}}; \mathrm{G}) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(C; G) \to \dots$$

Corollary 2.4 implies the well known fact [7] that $H^{n-1}(C; G) \neq 0$ for any compact separator C of \mathbb{R}^n . Indeed, take any ball \mathbb{B}^n with $C \subset \operatorname{int}\mathbb{B}^n$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We need the following version of Effros' [5] theorem (see [12, Proposition 1.4]):

Theorem 3.1. Let X be a homogeneous space with a metric ρ , $a \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there is $\delta > 0$ such that for every $x \in X$ with $\rho(x,a) < \delta$ there exists a homeomorphism $h: X \to X$ with h(a) = x and $\rho(h(y), y) < \varepsilon$ for all $y \in X$.

Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of the following theorem when $G = \mathbb{Z}$:

Theorem 3.2. Let Γ be a region in a homogeneous space X with $\dim_G X = n \geq 1$, where G is a countable Abelian group. Then Γ cannot be irreducibly separated by any closed set $C \subset X$ with the following property:

- (i) $\dim_G C \le n-1 \text{ and } H^{n-1}(C;G) = 0;$
- (ii) There is a point $b \in C \cap \Gamma$ having a local base \mathcal{B}_C^b in C such that $H^{n-2}(\mathrm{bd}_C\mathrm{U};\mathrm{G}) = 0$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}_C^b$.

Proof. Let $C \subset X$ be closed such that $C \cap \Gamma$ irreducibly separates Γ and satisfies conditions (i) - (ii). Then $\Gamma \backslash C = G_1 \cup G_2$ with $C' = \overline{G_1} \cap \overline{G_2} \cap \Gamma \subset C$, where G_1, G_2 are disjoint open subsets of Γ . By Lemma 2.2, there exist a compactum M containing b and a local base \mathcal{B}_b satisfying the hypothesis of that lemma. Actually, it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.2 that there exists an open neighborhood W_0 of b in Γ such that any open $U \subset \Gamma$ with $b \in U \subset \overline{U} \subset W_0$ belongs to \mathcal{B}_b . We assume that $\overline{W_0} \subset \Gamma$ is compact and $C' \backslash \overline{W_0} \neq \emptyset$. Let $U, V \in \mathcal{B}_b$ be any pair with $\overline{U} \subset V$. Following the notations from Lemma 2.2, note that the compact set M is contained in Γ , and observe that $\dim_G(K_U \backslash \operatorname{bd}\overline{U}) = n$ (otherwise γ_U would be extendable over K_U).

Claim 1. We can suppose that $b \in K_U \backslash bd\overline{U}$ and $K_U \backslash bd\overline{U}$ meets both sets G_1 and G_2 .

Indeed, let ε be the distance between \overline{W}_0 and $X \setminus \Gamma$ and $\delta > 0$ be a number from Theorem 3.1 corresponding to ε and the point b. Choose U to be so small that its diameter is less than δ . Then there is a δ -small homeomorphism h on X so that $\overline{h(V)} \subset \Gamma$ and $b \in h(K_U) \setminus \overline{bdh(U)}$. Hence, considering the sets $h^{-1}(U), h^{-1}(V)$ and $h^{-1}(K_U)$ instead of U, V and K_U , we can assume that $b \in K_U \setminus \overline{bdU}$. Since $\dim_G C \leq n-1$, $K_U \setminus \overline{bdU}$ is not contained in C. So, $K_U \setminus \overline{bdU}$ meets at least one G_i , i = 1, 2. If $K_U \setminus \overline{bdU}$ intersects only G_1 , then Theorem 3.1 allows us to push K_U towards G_2 by a small homeomorphism $h: X \to X$ such that $h(K_U \setminus \overline{bdU}) \cap G_i \neq \emptyset$, i = 1, 2, and h(U) still contains b. So, everywhere below we can assume that $K_U \setminus \overline{bdU}$ meets both G_1 and G_2 , and $b \in K_U \setminus \overline{bdU}$.

We can take U so small that there is $W^* \in \mathcal{B}_C^b$ such that $\mathrm{bd}_C W^* \subset V \setminus \overline{U}$. Then the set $F = M \cap \mathrm{bd}_C W^*$ is a partition in $C \cap M_{U,V}$ between $C \cap M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}$ and $C \cap M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{V}$. Because G is countable and $\dim_G C \leq n-1$, C has a base \mathcal{B}_C such that $\dim_G \mathrm{bd}_C O \leq n-2$ for every $O \in \mathcal{B}_C$, see [3] and [4]. So, we can suppose that $\dim_G \mathrm{bd}_C W^* \leq n-2$. This inequality together with $H^{n-2}(\mathrm{bd}_C W^*; G) = 0$ implies $H^{n-2}(F;G) = 0$. Now, consider the space $M_{U,V}$ and its closed disjoint sets $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{V}$, $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}$. By [18, Corollary 3.5], there exists a partition T in $M_{U,V}$ between $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{V}$ and $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{V}$ such that $T \cap C \subset F$. Hence, $A = T \cup F$ is a partition in $M_{U,V}$ between $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{V}$ and $M \cap \mathrm{bd}\overline{U}$ with $A \cap C = F$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, $\gamma_A = j_{M(U,V),A}^{n-1}(\gamma_{U,V})$ is a nontrivial element which is extendable over $\Lambda_{\mathrm{bd}\overline{U}} \cup B$ for any proper closed set

 $B \subset K_U$, but not extendable over $\Lambda_{\text{bd}\overline{U}} \cup \overline{U}$. There are two possible cases, where $K' = \Lambda_{\text{bd}\overline{U}} \cup K_U$:

- (1) γ_A is not extendable over K';
- (2) γ_A is extendable over K'.

Claim 2. Case (1) is not possible.

Let $C_V = C \cap \overline{V}$ and $K = K' \cup C_V$. Since γ_A is not extendable over K' it is also not extendable over K. We obviously have $K = P_1 \cup P_2$ and $C_V = P_1 \cap P_2$, where $P_i = C_V \cup (K \cap \overline{G}_i)$, i = 1, 2. Since each $K_U \cap \overline{G}_i$ is a proper subset of K_U , γ_A is extendable over each of the sets $A \cup (K \cap \overline{G}_i)$. Finally, since $\dim_G C_V \leq n - 1$, γ_A is extendable over each of the sets $A \cup P_i$. Therefore, we can apply Proposition 2.3 to conclude that $H^{n-1}(C_V, C_V \cap A) \neq 0$. On the other hand we have the exact sequence

$$H^{n-2}(C_V \cap A; G) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(C_V, C_V \cap A; G) \longrightarrow H^{n-1}(C_V; G).$$

To complete the proof of Claim 2, observe that $C_V \cap A = C \cap A = F$. Hence, $H^{n-2}(C_V \cap A; G) = H^{n-2}(F; G) = 0$. Since $\dim_G C \leq n-1$ with $H^{n-1}(C; G) = 0$ and C_V is closed in C, $H^{n-1}(C_V; G) = 0$. Hence, $H^{n-1}(C_V, C_V \cap A; G) = 0$, a contradiction.

Claim 3. Case (2) is not possible.

Since γ_A is not extendable over $\Lambda_{\operatorname{bd}\overline{U}} \cup \overline{U}$, there is a minimal subset K_A of $\Lambda_{\operatorname{bd}\overline{U}} \cup \overline{U}$ containing K' such that K_A is an (n-1)-cohomology membrane for γ_A spanned on A. Because K_A contains K_U , K_A meets both G_1 and G_2 . Hence, we can repeat the proof of Claim 2 with K_U replaced by K_A and obtain again a contradiction with Proposition 2.3.

- **Remark 3.3.** (i) The proof of Theorem 3.2 works for arbitrary G if condition (ii) is replaced by the following one: $H^{n-2}(\Phi \cap \mathrm{bd}_{\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{U}; \mathbf{G}) = 0$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{C}}^b$ and every closed set $\Phi \subset C$.
- (ii) Moreover, if $C \cap \Gamma$ has a dense set C^* of points b satisfying condition (ii) from Theorem 3.2, then C does not separate Γ . Indeed, assuming that $\Gamma \backslash C = G_1 \cup G_2$ with G_1, G_2 disjoint open subsets of Γ , take arbitrary $b \in \overline{G_1} \cap \overline{G_2}$. Following the proof of Theorem 3.2, choose neighborhoods U, V of b such that $\overline{U} \subset V$, $K_U \backslash bd\overline{U}$ meets both G_1 and G_2 , and $b \in K_U \backslash bd\overline{U}$. Then $(V \backslash \overline{U}) \cap C$ is open in C and contains a point $b' \in C^*$. Next, we take a neighborhood W^* of b' in C such that $bd_C\overline{W}^* \subset V \backslash \overline{U}$, $H^{n-1}(bd_C\overline{W}^*; G) = 0$ and $\dim_G bd_C\overline{W}^* \leq n-2$. Further, the proof goes as in Theorem 3.2.

4. Cuts

Mazurkiewicz [16] proved that any (n-2)-dimensional set $M \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ does not cut \mathbb{R}^n (i.e., for every two points from $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash M$ there is a continuum in $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash M$ joining both points). In this section we establish an analogue of this theorem for homogeneous spaces.

For any space X denote by $\mathcal{H}_X(n-1,G)$ all closed sets $F \subset X$ with the following property: $\dim_G F \leq n-1$, $H^{n-1}(F;G) = 0$ and F has a dense set of points $x \in F$ each having a base \mathcal{B}_x in F such that $H^{n-2}(\operatorname{bd}\overline{U}; G) = 0$, $U \in \mathcal{B}_x$.

Theorem 4.1 below implies the case of [11, Theorem 4] when the class of all closed subsets $F \subset X$ with $\dim_G F \leq n-2$ is considered.

Theorem 4.1. Let Γ be a locally connected region in a homogeneous space X with $\dim_G X = n \geq 1$, where G is a countable Abelian group. Then Γ cannot be cut by any set $M = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ such that all F_i are closed in Γ and $F_i \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}(n-1,G)$.

Proof. Our proof follow the arguments from the proof of the mentioned above [9, Theorem 4]. Suppose there is an F_{σ} -subset $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ of Γ cutting Γ with $F_i \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}(n-1,G)$, $i \geq 1$. Then, by [9, Lemma 3], there exists j and a region $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$ such that F_j does not cut Γ_1 . Since Γ_1 is locally connected, $F_j \cap \Gamma_1$ separates Γ_1 , see [14, Theorem 1, page 238]. Consider now the set Q_j of the points $x \in F_j$ having a base \mathcal{B}_x in F_j with $H^{n-2}(\mathrm{bd}\overline{\mathbb{U}}; G) = 0$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}_x$. Since Q_j is dense in $F_j, Q_j \cap \Gamma_1$ is dense in $F_j \cap \Gamma_1$. Then, according to Remark 3.3(ii), $F_j \cap \Gamma_1$ cannot separate Γ_1 , a contradiction.

Let us note that Theorem 4.1 is not anymore true without the assumption each F_i to have a dense set of points $x \in F_i$ having a base \mathcal{B}_x in F_i such that $H^{n-2}(\operatorname{bd}\overline{\mathbb{U}}; G) = 0$, $U \in \mathcal{B}_x$. Indeed, let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and M be any line in \mathbb{R}^2 . Then M is a countable union of segments F_i and M cuts \mathbb{R}^2 .

References

- [1] A. Dranishnikov, On a problem of P.S. Alexandrov, Math. USSSR Sbornik **63** (1988), 412–426.
- [2] J. Dydak, Cohomological dimension and metrizable spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **337** (1993), 219–234.
- [3] J. Dydak and A. Koyama, Strong cohomological dimension, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. **56** (2008), 193–189.
- [4] J. Dydak and A. Koyama, Cohomological dimension of locally connected compacta, Topol. Appl. 113 (2001), 139–150.
- [5] E. Effros, Transformation groups and C*-algebras, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965), 38–55.

- [6] P. Huber, Homotopical cohomology and Čech cohomology, Math. Annalen 144 (1961), 73–76.
- [7] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1948.
- [8] M. Kallipoliti and P. Papasoglu, Simply connected homogeneous continua are not separaed by arcs, Topol. Appl. 154 (2007), 3039–3047.
- [9] A. Karassev and V. Valov, *Homogeneous spaces not separated by arcs*, arXiv:2302.06735v1 [math.GN].
- [10] A. Karassev, P. Krupski, V. Todorov and V. Valov, Generalized Cantor manifods and homogeneity, Houston J. Math. 38 (2012), 583–609.
- [11] P. Krupski and V. Valov, Mazurkiewicz manifolds and homogeneity, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 41 (2011), 1933–1938.
- [12] P. Krupski, Recent results on homogeneous curves and ANR's, Topology Proc. 16 (1991), 109–118.
- [13] P. Krupski, *Homogeneity and Cantor manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 1135–1142.
- [14] K. Kuratowski, Topology, vol. II, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [15] V. Kuz'minov, *Homologival dimension theory*, Russian Math. Surveys **23** (1968), 1–45.
- [16] S. Mazurkiewicz, Sur le ensembles de dimension faible, Fund. Math. 13 (1929), 209–217.
- [17] J. van Mill and V. Valov, *Homogeneous continua that are are not separated by arcs*, Acta Math. Hung. **157**, (2019), 364–370.
- [18] J. van Mill, The infinite-dimensional topology of function spaces, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 2001.
- [19] E. Spanier, Algebraic Topology, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1966.
- [20] V. Valov, Homogeneous ANR spaces and Alexandroff manifolds, Topol. Appl. 173 (2014), 227–233.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA

Email address: veskov@nipissingu.ca