

Introduction to Banach Algebra in n -Banach space

Prasenjit Ghosh

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta
35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata, 700019, West Bengal, India
e-mail: prasenjitpuremath@gmail.com

T. K. Samanta

Department of Mathematics, Uluberia College
Uluberia, Howrah, 711315, West Bengal, India
e-mail: mumpu_tapas5@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

We present the idea of a Banach algebra in n -Banach space and give some examples. The existence of an identity element in a n -Banach algebra is being discussed. We explain a set-theoretic property of invertible and non-invertible elements in a n -Banach algebra and define topological divisor of zero in n -Banach algebra. Finally, we introduce the notion of a complex homomorphism in a n -Banach algebra and derive Gleason, Kahane, Zelazko type theorem with the help of complex b -homomorphism in the case of n -Banach algebra.

Keywords: n -normed space, n -Banach space, b -linear functional, Banach algebra, complex homomorphism.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A22, 46B07, 46B25.

1 Introduction

Nagumo [12] introduced the notion of Banach algebra in 1936 and thereafter further development of the theory of Banach algebra was given by Gelfand et al. [8, 9]. In recent times, various Banach algebras techniques are used to simplified the theories related to matrices, operators, integral equations and dynamical systems etc.

The idea of linear 2-normed space was first introduced by S. Gähler [6] and thereafter the geometric structure of linear 2-normed spaces was developed by Y. J. Cho and R. W. Freese [1]. The concept of 2-Banach space is briefly discussed in [17]. Mohammed and Siddiqui [11] introduced the concept of 2-Banach algebra and derived analog of some known results of the usual Banach algebra in 2-Banach algebra. For more on 2-normed algebras one can go through the papers [15, 16].

H. Gunawan and Mashadi [7] developed the generalization of a linear 2-normed space for $n \geq 2$. Some fundamental results of classical normed space with respect to b -linear functional in linear n -normed space have been studied by P. Ghosh and T. K. Samanta [2, 3, 4]. Also they have studied few fixed point theorems in linear n -normed space [5].

In this paper, the notion of a Banach algebra in n -Banach spaces is being discussed and a few examples are given. We shall see that if a n -Banach algebra does not contain an identity, then we can extend the algebra so that it has an identity and establish a set-theoretic property of invertible and non-invertible elements in a n -Banach algebra and then present topological divisor of zero in a n -Banach algebra. At the end of this paper, the idea of a complex homomorphism in a n -Banach algebra is being given and few results with respect to complex b -homomorphism in n -Banach algebra are established.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we give some necessary definitions and theorems.

Definition 2.1. [7] Let X be a linear space over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is the real or complex numbers field with $\dim X \geq n$, where n is a positive integer. A real valued function $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : X^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an n -norm on X if

(N1) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,

(N2) $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$ is invariant under permutations of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n ,

(N3) $\|\alpha x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$,

(N4) $\|x + y, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|y, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$

hold for all $x, y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$. The pair $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is then called a linear n -normed space.

Definition 2.2. [7] A sequence $\{x_k\} \subseteq X$ is said to converge to $x \in X$ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k - x, e_2, \dots, e_n\| = 0$$

for every $e_2, \dots, e_n \in X$ and it is called a Cauchy sequence if

$$\lim_{l, k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_l - x_k, e_2, \dots, e_n\| = 0$$

for every $e_2, \dots, e_n \in X$. The space X is said to be complete or n -Banach space if every Cauchy sequence in this space is convergent in X .

In 1989, A. Misiak [10] introduced the concept of n -inner product for $n \geq 2$.

Definition 2.3. [10] Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and H be a linear space of dimension greater than or equal to n over the field \mathbb{K} , where \mathbb{K} is the real or complex numbers field. An n -inner product on H is a map

$$(x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle, \quad x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in H$$

from H^{n+1} to the set \mathbb{K} such that for every $x, y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in H$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$,

- (I) $\langle x_1, x_1 | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle \geq 0$ and $\langle x_1, x_1 | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = 0$ if and only if x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are linearly dependent,
- (II) $\langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \langle x, y | x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_n} \rangle$ for every permutations (i_2, \dots, i_n) of $(2, \dots, n)$,
- (III) $\langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle}$,
- (IV) $\langle \alpha x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \alpha \langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$,
- (V) $\langle x + y, z | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle = \langle x, z | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle + \langle y, z | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$.

A linear space H together with an n -inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle$ is called an n -inner product space.

Theorem 2.4. [10] For n -inner product space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot | \cdot, \dots, \cdot \rangle)$,

$$|\langle x, y | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle| \leq \|x, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \|y, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$$

hold for all $x, y, x_2, \dots, x_n \in H$, where

$$\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = \sqrt{\langle x_1, x_1 | x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle},$$

is called Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

An n -inner product space is called n -Hilbert space if it is complete with respect to its induce n -norm.

Definition 2.5. [14] We define the following open and closed ball or sphere in X :

$$B_{\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}}(a, \delta) = \{x \in X : \|x - a, e_2, \dots, e_n\| < \delta\} \text{ and}$$

$$B_{\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}}[a, \delta] = \{x \in X : \|x - a, e_2, \dots, e_n\| \leq \delta\},$$

where $a, e_2, \dots, e_n \in X$ and δ be a positive number.

Definition 2.6. [14] A subset G of X is said to be open in X if for all $a \in G$, there exist $e_2, \dots, e_n \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}}(a, \delta) \subseteq G$.

Definition 2.7. [14] Let $A \subseteq X$. Then the closure of A is defined as

$$\overline{A} = \left\{ x \in X \mid \exists \{x_k\} \in A \text{ with } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x \right\}.$$

The set A is said to be closed if $A = \overline{A}$.

Definition 2.8. [14] A point $x \in X$ is called a boundary point of a subset A of X if in every open ball with x as center there are points of A as well as points of \overline{A} . The boundary of A is the set of all boundary points of A .

Definition 2.9. [2] Let W be a subspace of X and b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n be fixed elements in X and $\langle b_i \rangle$ denote the subspaces of X generated by b_i , for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Then a map $T : W \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle b_n \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called a b -linear functional on $W \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle b_n \rangle$, if for every $x, y \in W$ and $k \in \mathbb{K}$, the following conditions hold:

$$(I) \quad T(x + y, b_2, \dots, b_n) = T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) + T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n)$$

$$(II) \quad T(kx, b_2, \dots, b_n) = kT(x, b_2, \dots, b_n).$$

A b -linear functional is said to be bounded if there exists a real number $M > 0$ such that

$$|T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| \leq M \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \quad \forall x \in W.$$

The norm of the bounded b -linear functional T is defined by

$$\|T\| = \inf \{ M > 0 : |T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| \leq M \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \quad \forall x \in W \}.$$

If T be a bounded b -linear functional on $W \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle b_n \rangle$, norm of T can be expressed by any one of the following equivalent formula:

$$(I) \quad \|T\| = \sup \{ |T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| : \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \leq 1 \}.$$

$$(II) \quad \|T\| = \sup \{ |T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| : \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| = 1 \}.$$

$$(III) \quad \|T\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{|T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)|}{\|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\|} : \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Also, we have $|T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| \leq \|T\| \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \quad \forall x \in W$.

Definition 2.10. [13] A complex algebra is a vector space A over the complex field \mathbb{C} in which a multiplication is defined that satisfies

$$x(yz) = (xy)z, \quad (x + y)z = xz + yz, \quad x(y + z) = xy + xz,$$

and $\alpha(xy) = (\alpha x)y = x(\alpha y)$, for all x, y and z in A and for all scalars α .

Throughout this paper, X will denote n -Banach space over the field \mathbb{K} of complex or real numbers, associated with the n -norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$.

3 Preliminaries of Banach Algebra in n -Banach space

In this section, we shall see that if a linear space is equipped with an algebra, many interesting results are obtained. We give the formal definition of a Banach algebra in n -Banach space.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a complex algebra of $\dim X \geq n$ with the n -norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$. Then X is said to be a n -normed algebra if the n -norm satisfies the following inequality, known as multiplicative inequality

$$\|xy, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \leq \|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|y, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \quad (1)$$

for all $x, y, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n \in X$ and if X contains a unit element e i. e., $xe = ex = x$, $x \in X$, and $\|e, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = 1$, for all $a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n \in X$. If, in addition, X is a n -Banach space with respect to the n -norm $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|$ then X is called a n -Banach algebra.

In the definition of a n -Banach algebra, we do not assume that $xy = yx$ for all $x, y \in X$. But if this happens then X is called a commutative n -Banach algebra. A n -Banach subalgebra of the n -Banach algebra X is a subset of X which is itself a n -Banach algebra with respect to the algebraic operations defined on X and with the same identity and with the same n -norm.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\{x_k\}$ and $\{y_k\}$ be two sequences in X such that $x_k \rightarrow x$ and $y_k \rightarrow y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, for some $x, y \in X$. Then $x_k y_k \rightarrow xy$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $x, y, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_k y_k - xy, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\ & \| (x_k - x) y_k + x (y_k - y), a_2, \dots, a_n \| \\ & \leq \|x_k - x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|y_k, a_2, \dots, a_n\| + \\ & \quad + \|y_k - y, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x_k y_k \rightarrow xy$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This means that the multiplication is a continuous operation in X . \square

Example 3.3. Let X denote the set of all polynomials,

$$x(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + a_m t^m, \quad (a_0, \dots, a_m \text{ are complex})$$

where $m \geq n$ is not a fixed positive integer. Then X is a complex linear space with respect to the addition of polynomials and scalar multiplication of a polynomial. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let $x_i(t) = a_0^i + a_1^i t + a_2^i t^2 + \dots + a_m^i t^m$. Now, define

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \\ & = \begin{cases} \max_j |a_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| & \text{if } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ are linearly independent,} \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ are linearly dependent.} \end{cases} \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$y_1(t) = b_0^1 + b_1^1 t + b_2^1 t^2 + \dots + b_m^1 t^m \in X$$

and $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}$. Now, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_n\| &= \max_j |\alpha a_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| = |\alpha| \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|, \\ \|x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n\| &= \max_j |a_j^1 + b_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| \\ &\leq \left(\max_j |a_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| \right) + \left(\max_j |b_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| \right) \\ &= \|x_1, \dots, x_n\| + \|y_1, \dots, x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, X becomes a linear n -normed space with respect to the n -norm defined by (2). Now, we take the product of

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= a_0^1 + a_1^1 t + a_2^1 t^2 + \dots + a_m^1 t^m \\ y_1(t) &= b_0^1 + b_1^1 t + b_2^1 t^2 + \dots + b_m^1 t^m \end{aligned}$$

as

$$(x_1 y_1)(t) = c_0^1 + c_1^1 t + c_2^1 t^2 + \dots + c_k^1 t^k,$$

where

$$c_k^1 = a_0^1 b_k^1 + a_1^1 b_{k-1}^1 + \dots + a_k^1 b_0^1.$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n\| &= \max_j |a_j^1 b_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| \\ &\leq \left(\max_j |a_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| \right) \left(\max_j |b_j^1| \times \dots \times \max_j |a_j^n| \right) \\ &= \|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \|y_1, \dots, x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, X is commutative n -normed algebra with identity ($e = 1$).

Definition 3.4. Let X be a linear n -normed space and $b_2, \dots, b_n \in X$. Then an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called b -bounded if there exists some positive constant M such that

$$\|Tx, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \leq M \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

The norm of T is denoted by $\|T\|$ and is defined as

$$\|T\| = \inf \{ M : \|Tx, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \leq M \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \quad \forall x \in X \}.$$

Remark 3.5. If T be a b -bounded on X , norm of T can be expressed by any one of the following equivalent formula:

- (I) $\|T\| = \sup \{ \|Tx, b_2, \dots, b_n\| : \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \leq 1 \}.$
- (II) $\|T\| = \sup \{ \|Tx, b_2, \dots, b_n\| : \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| = 1 \}.$
- (III) $\|T\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{\|Tx, b_2, \dots, b_n\|}{\|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\|} : \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \neq 0 \right\}.$

Also, we have

$$\|Tx, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \leq \|T\| \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Example 3.6. Let X_b be the set of all b -bounded linear operator on H , where H is a n -Hilbert space. We define the operations

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 + T_2 \text{ by } (T_1 + T_2)(x) &= T_1(x) + T_2(x), \\ \alpha T \text{ by } (\alpha T)(x) &= \alpha T(x), \quad T_1 T_2 \text{ by } (T_1 T_2)(x) = T_1(T_2(x)), \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in H$. Define

$$\|T\| = \sup \{ \|Tx, b_2, \dots, b_n\| : \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \leq 1 \}.$$

Now, it can be easily verified that $(X_b, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space. Also, we get that $\|T_1 T_2\| \leq \|T_1\| \|T_2\|$. Thus, X_b is a Banach algebra. The identity operator is the identity element of this n -Banach algebra. Clearly, X_b is not commutative.

3.1 Adjunction of Identity

If a n -Banach algebra X does not have an identity, we can extend X , which we show now, so that the extended n -Banach algebra has an identity. Let \overline{X} be the set of all ordered pairs (x, a) , where $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, we show that \overline{X} can be equipped conveniently with algebraic operations and n -norm such that \overline{X} becomes a n -Banach algebra. We define the operations in \overline{X} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, a) + (y, b) &= (x + y, a + b), \\ (x, a)(y, b) &= (xy + ay + bx, ab), \\ \alpha(x, a) &= (\alpha x, a\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{K}. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily verify that with the above operations, \overline{X} becomes an algebra. The n -norm on \overline{X} is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} &\| (x_1, c_1), (x_2, c_2), \dots, (x_n, c_n) \| \\ = &\begin{cases} \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + |c_1 c_2 \dots c_n| & \text{if } (x_1, c_1), \dots, (x_n, c_n) \text{ are linearly,} \\ & \text{independent} \\ 0 & \text{if } (x_1, c_1), \dots, (x_n, c_n) \text{ are linearly} \\ & \text{dependent,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Here, the n -norm axioms

are fulfilled. Also, for $x, y, a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$ and $a, b, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| (x, a) (y, b), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \| \\
&= \| (xy + ay + bx, ab), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \| \\
&= \| xy + ay + bx, a_2, \dots, a_n \| + |abb_2 \cdots b_n| \\
&\leq \|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|y, a_2, \dots, a_n\| + |a| \|y, a_2, \dots, a_n\| + \\
&\quad + |b| \|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| + |abb_2 \cdots b_n| \\
&\leq (\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| + |abb_2 \cdots b_n|) (\|y, a_2, \dots, a_n\| + |bb_2 \cdots b_n|) \\
&= \| (x, a), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \| \| (y, b), (a_2, b_2), \dots, (a_n, b_n) \|.
\end{aligned}$$

We now show that \overline{X} is a n -Banach space i.e., \overline{X} is complete. Clearly, a sequence $\{(x_k, a_k)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \overline{X} if and only if $\{x_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and $\{a_k\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} . Since X and \mathbb{C} are complete, there exist $x \in X$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_k = a$. Therefore, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (x_k, a_k) = (x, a)$ is in \overline{X} . Also, it is easy to verify that $(x, a) (\theta, 1) = (x, a) = (\theta, 1) (x, a)$, where θ is the zero element in X . Thus, \overline{X} is a n -Banach algebra with the identity $(\theta, 1)$.

For 2-normed algebra augmentation of unity is discussed by N. Srivastava et al. [15].

3.2 Invertible and Non-invertible Elements

In this section, we deal with the set of invertible and non-invertible elements in a n -Banach algebra. We remember that G denotes the set of all invertible elements of X . It is easy to verify that G is a group. Let S denotes the set of all non-invertible elements of X . Clearly, $S \cup G = X$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be a complex n -Banach algebra with unity e . If $x \in X$ satisfies $\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < 1$, for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$, then $e - x$ is invertible and $(e - x)^{-1} = e + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^j$.*

Proof. From (1), we get

$$\|x^j, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \leq \|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^j, \quad \text{for every } a_2, \dots, a_n \in X,$$

for any positive integer j , so that the infinite series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^j$ is convergent because $\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < 1$. Since X is complete, it is easy to verify that the infinite series $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^j$ is convergent to some element in X . Let therefore $s = e + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x^j$. If we prove that $s = (e - x)^{-1}$, the proof is finished. Now, by a simple calculation,

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (e - x) \left(e + x + \cdots + x^k \right) &= \left(e + x + \cdots + x^k \right) (e - x) \\ &= e - x^{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Since $\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < 1$ and so $x^{k+1} \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3) and using the continuity of multiplication in X , we obtain $(e - x) s = s(e - x) = e$. Thus, $s = (e - x)^{-1}$. This proves the theorem. \square

In Theorem 3.7, if x is replaced by $(e - x)$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. *If $x \in X$ satisfies $\|e - x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < 1$, for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$, then x^{-1} exists and $x^{-1} = e + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (e - x)^j$.*

Next, we prove another corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *Let $x \in X$ and λ be a scalar such that $\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < |\lambda|$, for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$. Then $(\lambda e - x)^{-1}$ exists and*

$$(\lambda e - x)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-j} x^{j-1}, \quad (x^0 = e)$$

Proof. If $y \in X$ be such that $y^{-1} \in X$ and $\alpha \neq 0$ be a scalar, then it is clear that $(\alpha y)^{-1}$ exists and $(\alpha y)^{-1} = \alpha^{-1} y^{-1}$. Having noted this, we can write $\lambda e - x = \lambda \left(e - \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)$ and we show that $\left(e - \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)^{-1}$ exists. Now, by hypothesis, we have

$$\left\| e - \left(e - \frac{x}{\lambda} \right), a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| = \frac{\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\|}{|\lambda|} < 1.$$

So, by Corollary 3.8, $\left(e - \frac{x}{\lambda} \right)^{-1}$ exists and so $(\lambda e - x)^{-1}$ exists.

For the infinite series representation, we make use of the second part of Corollary 3.8. Now, we have $(\lambda e - x) = \lambda \left(e - \lambda^{-1} x \right)$ and it is easy to verify that $(\lambda e - x)^{-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-j} x^{j-1}$. This proves the Corollary. \square

In the following Theorem, we obtain a set-theoretic property of invertible and non-invertible elements of X .

Theorem 3.10. *The set G of all invertible elements of X is open subset.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in G$. We should show that there exists a sphere around x_0 , each element of which belongs to G . Consider the open sphere

$$B_{\{a_2, \dots, a_n\}} \left(x_0, \frac{1}{\|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|} \right)$$

with center at x_0 and radius $1 / \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|$. For every point x of this sphere satisfies the inequality

$$\|x - x_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < \frac{1}{\|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|} \quad (4)$$

Let $y = x_0^{-1}x$ and $z = e - y$. Then by (1) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z, a_2, \dots, a_n\| &= \|y - e, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = \|x_0^{-1}x - x_0^{-1}x_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\ &= \|x_0^{-1}(x - x_0), a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|x - x_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.7, $e - z$ is invertible i.e., y is invertible. So, $y \in G$. Now, $x_0 \in G$, $y \in G$ and by our earlier verification, G is group, so $x_0 y \in G$. But $x_0 y = x_0 x^{-1}x = x$. So, any x satisfying (4) belongs to G . This shows that G is an open subset of X . This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.11. *The set of all non-invertible elements is a closed subset of X .*

Definition 3.12. *A linear operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open ball $B_{\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}}(T(x_0), \epsilon) > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$T(B_{\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}}(x_0, \delta)) \subset B_{\{e_2, \dots, e_n\}}(T(x_0), \epsilon).$$

Equivalently, for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist some $e_2, \dots, e_n \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for $x \in X$

$$\|x - x_0, e_2, \dots, e_n\| < \delta \Rightarrow \|T(x) - T(x_0), e_2, \dots, e_n\| < \epsilon.$$

Theorem 3.13. *The mapping $T : G \rightarrow G$ given by $T(x) = x^{-1}$, for all $x \in G$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let x_0 be an element of G and x be any other element of G that satisfies the inequality

$$\|x - x_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < \frac{1}{2 \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0^{-1}x - e, a_2, \dots, a_n\| &= \|x_0^{-1}(x - x_0), a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|x - x_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and so by Corollary 3.8, $x_0^{-1}x \in G$ and further

$$x^{-1}x_0 = (x_0^{-1}x)^{-1} = e + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (e - x_0^{-1}x)^j. \quad (6)$$

Next, we show that the mapping $T : G \rightarrow G$ given by $T(x) = x^{-1}$ is continuous at x_0 . Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|Tx - Tx_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = \|x^{-1} - x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\
 & = \|(x^{-1}x_0 - e)x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\
 & \leq \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|x^{-1}x_0 - e, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\
 & = \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (e - x_0^{-1}x)^j, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| \quad [\text{by (6)}] \\
 & \leq \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|e - x_0^{-1}x, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^j \\
 & = \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|e - x_0^{-1}x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|e - x_0^{-1}x, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^j \\
 & = \frac{\|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|e - x_0^{-1}x, a_2, \dots, a_n\|}{1 - \|e - x_0^{-1}x, a_2, \dots, a_n\|} \\
 & < 2 \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|e - x_0^{-1}x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \quad [\text{by (5)}] \\
 & \leq 2 \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2 \|x - x_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\|, \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|e - x_0^{-1}x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = \|x_0^{-1}x - e, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\
 & = \|x_0^{-1}(x - x_0), a_2, \dots, a_n\| \\
 & \leq \|x_0^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|x - x_0, a_2, \dots, a_n\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (7), the continuity of T at x_0 follows. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.14. *Let $x \in G$ and $h \in X$ be such that*

$$\|h, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < \frac{1}{2} \|x^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^{-1},$$

for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$. Then $x + h \in G$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| (x + h)^{-1} - x^{-1} + x^{-1}hx^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| \\
 & \leq 2 \|x^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^3 \|h, a_2, \dots, a_n\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$, we have

$$\|h, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|x^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < \frac{1}{2},$$

and therefore

$$\|x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \leq \|x^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \|h, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.7, we get that $e + x^{-1}h \in G$. Since G is a group, we have that $x(e + x^{-1}h) \in G$. But $x(e + x^{-1}h) = x + h$ and so $x + h \in G$.

Before proving the second part, we note by Theorem 3.7 that

$$(e + x^{-1}h)^{-1} = e + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j (x^{-1}h)^j$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (e + x^{-1}h)^{-1} - e + x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \left\| x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^j \\ & = \left\| x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left\| x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^j \\ & < \left\| x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} = 2 \left\| x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & (x + h)^{-1} - x^{-1} + x^{-1}hx^{-1} \\ & = [x(e + x^{-1}h)]^{-1} - x^{-1} + x^{-1}hx^{-1} \\ & = (e + x^{-1}h)^{-1}x^{-1} - x^{-1} + x^{-1}hx^{-1} \\ & = \left[(e + x^{-1}h)^{-1} - e + x^{-1}h \right] x^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and so taking n -norm and using (8), for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| (x + h)^{-1} - x^{-1} + x^{-1}hx^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| (e + x^{-1}h)^{-1} - e + x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| \left\| x^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| \\ & \leq 2 \left\| x^{-1}h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^2 \left\| x^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| \\ & \leq 2 \left\| x^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|^3 \left\| h, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the Theorem. \square

3.3 Topological Divisor of Zero

In this section, we give the idea of a topological divisor of zero in a n -Banach algebra and establish its relationship with non-invertible elements.

Definition 3.15. *Let X be a n -Banach algebra. An element $z \in X$ is said to be a topological divisor of zero if there exists a sequence $\{z_k\}$ in X with $\|z_k, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = 1$, for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and such that either $z z_k \rightarrow \theta$ or $z_k z \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.*

Clearly, every divisor of zero is also a topological divisor of zero. Let Z denote the set of all topological divisors of zero in X . In the next Theorem, we give certain connection between Z and the set S of all non-invertible elements.

Theorem 3.16. *The set Z is a subset of S .*

Proof. Let $z \in Z$. Then there exists a sequence $\{z_k\}$ with $\|z_k, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = 1$, for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$ and such that either $z z_k \rightarrow \theta$ or $z_k z \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that $z z_k \rightarrow \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. If possible, let $z \in G$. Then z^{-1} exists. Now, as multiplication is a continuous operation, we should have $z_k = z^{-1}(z z_k) \rightarrow z^{-1}\theta = \theta$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This contradicts the fact that $\|z_k, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = 1$, for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. So $z \in S$ and this proves the Theorem. \square

Theorem 3.17. *The boundary of S is a subset of Z .*

Proof. By Corollary 3.11, S is a closed subset of X . So, any boundary point of S is in S . Further, for every boundary point of S , there exists a sequence of elements from G that converges to the boundary point. Let x be any boundary point of S , then $x \in S$ and there exists a sequence $\{s_k\}$ of elements from G such that $s_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we have $s_k^{-1}x - e = s_k^{-1}(x - s_k)$. Therefore, if $\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|$ is a bounded sequence then because $s_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get from above that for all large values of k , $\|s_k^{-1}x - e, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < 1$ and so by Corollary 3.8, $s_k^{-1}x \in G$ and therefore $x = s_k(s_k^{-1}x)$ belongs to G which contradicts the fact that $x \in S$. Thus, $\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|$ is not a bounded sequence. Clearly, we may assume that $\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Let x_k be defined as

$$x_k = \frac{s_k^{-1}}{\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|}$$

then $\|x_k, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} x x_k &= \frac{x s_k^{-1}}{\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|} = \frac{e + (x - s_k) s_k^{-1}}{\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|} \\ &= \frac{e}{\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\|} + (x - s_k) x_k \rightarrow \theta \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because $\|s_k^{-1}, a_2, \dots, a_n\| \rightarrow \infty$, $s_k \rightarrow x$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and $\|x_k, a_2, \dots, a_n\| = 1$. Therefore, $x \in Z$ and this proves the Theorem. \square

3.4 Complex Homomorphism in n -Banach Algebra

In this section, we introduce the idea of a complex homomorphism in a n -Banach algebra and then deduce some of its properties.

Definition 3.18. Let X be a complex n -Banach algebra and T be a bounded b -linear functional defined on $X \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle b_n \rangle$. Then T is called a complex b -homomorphism if

$$T(xy, b_2, \dots, b_n) = T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n),$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Lemma 3.19. If T is complex b -homomorphism defined on $X \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle b_n \rangle$, then $T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 1$ and if $x \in X$ is invertible then $T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$.

Proof. Since T is not identically zero, there exists y such that $T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= T(ye, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ &= T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ &\Rightarrow T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If x is invertible, then

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) T(x^{-1}, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= T(xx^{-1}, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ &= T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

So, $T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$. This proves the Lemma. \square

Theorem 3.20. Let $x \in X$ and $\|x, a_2, \dots, a_n\| < 1$, for every $a_2, \dots, a_n \in X$. Then $|T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| < 1$, for every complex b -homomorphism T defined on $X \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle b_n \rangle$.

Proof. Let λ be a complex number such that $|\lambda| \geq 1$. Then $\left\| \frac{x}{\lambda}, a_2, \dots, a_n \right\| < 1$ and so by Theorem 3.7, $e - \lambda^{-1}x$ is invertible. Therefore, by Lemma 3.19, $T(e - \lambda^{-1}x, b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$. But

$$\begin{aligned} T(e - \lambda^{-1}x, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) - \lambda^{-1}T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ &= 1 - \lambda^{-1}T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned}$$

So, $T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq \lambda$ which shows that $|T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| < 1$. This proves the Theorem. \square

In the following Theorem, we deal with the converse part of the Lemma 3.19.

Theorem 3.21. Let T be a bounded b -linear functional defined on $X \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle b_n \rangle$ such that $T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 1$ and $T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$, for every invertible $x \in X$. Then T is complex b -homomorphism defined on $X \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle b_n \rangle$.

Proof. Let N be the null space of T , i.e.,

$$N = \{x \in X : T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 0\}.$$

Let $x \in X$ and consider the element $x - \beta e$, where $\beta = T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} T(x - \beta e, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) - \beta T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) \\ &= T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) - \beta = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $x - \beta e \in N$. Let $x - \beta e = a$. Then every element $x \in X$ can be expressed as $x = a + T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) e$, where $a \in N$. Similarly, if $y \in X$, let $y = b + T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) e$, where $b \in N$. So,

$$x = a + T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) e, \quad y = b + T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) e,$$

where $a, b \in N$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= ab + T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) a + T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) b + \\ &\quad + T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) e. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} T(xy, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n) + \\ &\quad + T(ab, b_2, \dots, b_n). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Therefore, the theorem will be proved if $T(ab, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 0$ i.e., if

$$ab \in N \text{ whenever } a \in N \text{ and } b \in N. \tag{10}$$

Suppose that a special case of (10) is true i.e.,

$$a^2 \in N \text{ if } a \in N. \tag{11}$$

Assuming (11), we can deduce (10) as follows. In (9), we assume $x = y$ and so $a = b$. Then, because of (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(x^2, b_2, \dots, b_n) &= T(a^2, b_2, \dots, b_n) + [T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)]^2 \\ &= [T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)]^2, \quad x \in X. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In (12), we replace x by $x + y$ and get

$$T(xy + yx, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 2T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) T(y, b_2, \dots, b_n), \quad x, y \in X.$$

Therefore,

$$xy + yx \in N \quad \text{if } x \in N, \quad y \in X. \tag{13}$$

By a simple calculation we obtain

$$(xy - yx)^2 + (xy + yx)^2 = 2[x(yxy) + (yxy)x]. \quad (14)$$

If $x \in N$, then the right side of (14) belongs to N because of (13). Also, for the same reason $xy + yx \in N$ and so by an application of (12), $(xy + yx)^2 \in N$. Therefore, $(xy - yx)^2 \in N$ and another application of (12) gives that

$$xy - yx \in N \quad \text{if } x \in N, y \in X. \quad (15)$$

Adding (13) and (15), we get (10) and so the theorem is proved provided we establish (11) which we do now.

Since by hypothesis $T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$, for every invertible $x \in X$, N contains no invertible element. So, if $\alpha \in N$, then by Corollary 3.8,

$$\|e - \alpha, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \geq 1.$$

Clearly, $\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \in N$, for any complex number λ and so $\left\|e - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}, b_2, \dots, b_n\right\| \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda e - \alpha, b_2, \dots, b_n\| &= |\lambda| \left\|e - \frac{\alpha}{\lambda}, b_2, \dots, b_n\right\| \\ &\geq |\lambda| = |T(\lambda e - \alpha, b_2, \dots, b_n)|. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

As in the first part of the proof, we can show that every element $x \in X$ can be expressed as $x = \lambda e - \alpha$, where $\alpha \in N$ and λ is a complex number. So, by (16), we get that

$$|T(x, b_2, \dots, b_n)| \leq \|x, b_2, \dots, b_n\|,$$

for all $x \in X$. Thus, T is a bounded b -linear functional defined on $X \times \langle b_2 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle b_n \rangle$ with norm 1.

Let $a \in N$ and without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|a, b_2, \dots, b_n\| = 1$. Now, we define

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{T(a^j, b_2, \dots, b_n)}{j!} \lambda^j,$$

where λ is a complex number. Now,

$$|T(a^j, b_2, \dots, b_n)| \leq \|a^j, b_2, \dots, b_n\| \leq \|a, b_2, \dots, b_n\|^j = 1,$$

for all j and so f is an entire function and further

$$|f(\lambda)| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|T(a^j, b_2, \dots, b_n)|}{j!} |\lambda|^j = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda|^j}{j!} = e^{|\lambda|}.$$

Also, by hypothesis $f(0) = T(e, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 1$ and since $a \in N$, we get $f'(0) = T(a, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 0$.

Thus, if we can prove that $|f(\lambda)| > 0$ for every complex number λ , then Lemma 3.19 gives that $f(\lambda) = 1$, for all λ i.e., $f''(0) = 0$. But $f''(0) = T(a^2, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ and so $T(a^2, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 0$ i.e., $a^2 \in N$ and this is (11).

Consider the series $L(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} a^j$, where λ is a complex number and this is converges in the *n*-norm of *X*. Since *T* is *b*-bounded, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(L(\lambda), b_2, \dots, b_n) &= T\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^j}{j!} a^j, b_2, \dots, b_n\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{T(a^j, b_2, \dots, b_n)}{j!} \lambda^j = f(\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

From the expression for $L(\lambda)$, we can verify, as in the classical case, the following functional equation $L(\lambda + \mu) = L(\lambda)L(\mu)$. We have in particular $L(\lambda)L(-\lambda) = L(0) = e$ which shows that $L(\lambda)$ is an invertible element in *X*. By hypothesis then $T(L(\lambda), b_2, \dots, b_n) \neq 0$, i.e., from (17), we get $f(\lambda) \neq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] R. Freese, Y. J. Cho, *Geometry of Linear 2-normed Spaces*, Nova Science Publishers, New York (2001).
- [2] P. Ghosh, T. K Samanta, *Representation of uniform boundedness principle and Hahn-Banach theorem in linear n-normed space*, The journal of Analysis, Vol. 30, no. 2 (2022), pp. 597-619, DOI 10.1007/s41478-021-00358-x.
- [3] P. Ghosh, T. K Samanta, *Reflexivity of linear n-normed space with respect to b-linear functional*, Submitted, arXiv: 2101.09661.
- [4] P. Ghosh, T. K Samanta, *Slow convergence of sequence of b-linear functionals in linear n-normed space*, Accepted in Palestine Journal of Mathematics.
- [5] P. Ghosh, T. K Samanta, *A few fixed point theorems in linear n-normed space*, Submitted, arXiv: 2210.07849v1.
- [6] S. Gähler, *Lineare 2-normierte raume*, Math. Nachr. 28 (1964), 1–43.
- [7] H. Gunawan, Mashadi, *On n-normed spaces*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27, 2001, 631-639.
- [8] I.M. Gelfand, *Normierte ringe*, Mat. Sbornik N.S. 9(51) (1941), 3–21.
- [9] I. M. Gelfand, M.A. Neumark, *On the imbedding of normed rings into the ring of operators in Hilbert space*, Mat. Sbornik N.S. 12(54) (1943), 197–213.

- [10] A. Misiak, *n-inner product spaces*, Math. Nachr., 140(1989), 299-319.
- [11] N. Mohammad, A. H. Siddiqui, *On 2-Banach algebras*, Aligarh Bull. Math. 12 (1987–89), 51–60.
- [12] M. Nagumo, *Eingie analytische untersuchungen in linearen mertrischen ringen*, Jap. J. Math. 13 (1936), 61–80.
- [13] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, Tata Mc Graw Hill Pub. Co., New Delhi, 1974.
- [14] A. L. Soenjaya, *The Open Mapping Theorem in n-Banach space*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 76, No. 4, 2012, 593-597.
- [15] N. Srivastava, S. Bhattacharya, and S. N. Lal, *2-Normed Algebras-I*, Publications de L'institut mathematique, DOI: 10.2298/PIM1002111S.
- [16] R. Tapdigoglu, U. Kosem, *Some results on 2-Banach algebras*, Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol. 4(1) 2016, pp. 11–14.
- [17] A. White, *2-Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr., 42 (1969), 43–60.