

On the α -spectral radius of hypergraphs

Haiyan Guo*, Bo Zhou†, Bizhu Lin‡

School of Mathematical sciences, South China Normal University,
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China

Abstract

For real $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and a hypergraph G , the α -spectral radius of G is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G)$, where $A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix of G , which is a symmetric matrix with zero diagonal such that for distinct vertices u, v of G , the (u, v) -entry of $A(G)$ is exactly the number of edges containing both u and v , and $D(G)$ is the diagonal matrix of row sums of $A(G)$. We study the α -spectral radius of a hypergraph that is uniform or not necessarily uniform. We propose some local grafting operations that increase or decrease the α -spectral radius of a hypergraph. We determine the unique hypergraphs with maximum α -spectral radius among k -uniform hypertrees, among k -uniform unicyclic hypergraphs, and among k -uniform hypergraphs with fixed number of pendant edges. We also determine the unique hypertrees with maximum α -spectral radius among hypertrees with given number of vertices and edges, the unique hypertrees with the first three largest (two smallest, respectively) α -spectral radii among hypertrees with given number of vertices, the unique hypertrees with minimum α -spectral radius among the hypertrees that are not 2-uniform, the unique hypergraphs with the first two largest (smallest, respectively) α -spectral radii among unicyclic hypergraphs with given number of vertices, and the unique hypergraphs with maximum α -spectral radius among hypergraphs with fixed number of pendant edges.

AMS classifications: 05C65, 05C50, 15A18

Key words: hypergraph, adjacency matrix, α -spectral radius, local grafting operation

1 Introduction

A hypergraph $G = (V, E)$ consists of vertex set $V(G) = V$ and edge set $E(G) = E$, where $e \subseteq V(G)$ and $|e| \geq 2$ for each $e \in E(G)$. For integer $k \geq 2$, a hypergraph G is k -uniform if

*E-mail: ghaiyan0705@m.scnu.edu.cn

†Corresponding author. E-mail: zhoubo@scnu.edu.cn

‡E-mail: 2270927176@qq.com

$|e| = k$ for each $e \in E(G)$. A 2-uniform hypergraph is just a (simple) graph. The concept of a hypergraph may be viewed as a variant of the concept of a block design. A block design is a k -uniform hypergraph for some k , with objects as vertices and blocks as edges, so that any realization of a hypergraph associated with a block design is simultaneously a realization of that design [5, 15].

For $u, v \in V(G)$, if they are contained in some edge of G , then we say that they are adjacent or v is a neighbor of u , written as $u \sim v$. For $u \in V(G)$, let $N_G(u)$ be the set of neighbors of u in G and $E_G(u)$ be the set of edges containing u in G . The degree of a vertex u in G , denoted by $d_G(u)$ or $d(u)$, is the number of edges of G which contain u . A path in a hypergraph G is a vertex-edge alternating sequence $v_0e_1v_1 \dots e_pv_p$ such that v_0, \dots, v_p are distinct vertices, e_1, \dots, e_p are distinct edges, and $v_{i-1}, v_i \in e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$; such a path is also called a path from v_0 to v_p . A cycle in a hypergraph G is a vertex-edge alternating sequence $v_0e_1v_1 \dots e_pv_0$ such that v_0, \dots, v_{p-1} are distinct vertices, e_1, \dots, e_p are distinct edges, and $v_{i-1}, v_i \in e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$ (with v_p interpreted as v_0). The number of edges in a path or a cycle is known as its length. If there is a path from u to v for any $u, v \in V(G)$, then we say that G is connected. A hypergraph is said to be linear if any two edges have at most one vertex in common. A hypertree is a connected hypergraph with no cycles. Obviously, hypertrees are linear. A unicyclic hypergraph is a connected hypergraph with exactly one cycle and the length of the unique cycle is known as its girth.

A hyperstar is a hypertree in which all edges contain a vertex in common, and this vertex is called its center. If a hyperstar has exactly one edge, then we may choose any vertex as its center. The k -uniform hyperstar on n vertices is denoted by $S_{n,k}$. Let $S_n = S_{n,2}$, which is the ordinary star (graph) on n vertices.

For a k -uniform hypertree T with $V(T) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, if $E(T) = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ with $m = \frac{n-1}{k-1}$, where $e_i = \{v_{(i-1)(k-1)+1}, \dots, v_{(i-1)(k-1)+k}\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then we call T a k -uniform loose path, denoted by $P_{n,k}$. Let $P_n = P_{n,2}$, which is the ordinary path (graph) on n vertices.

For a hypergraph G and $e = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \in E(G)$, if $d_G(v_i) = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and $d_G(v_k) \geq 2$, then we call e a pendant edge (at v_k).

Let G be a hypergraph on n vertices. The adjacency matrix of G is the $n \times n$ matrix $A(G) = (a_{uv}(G))_{u,v \in V(G)}$ with

$$a_{uv}(G) = \begin{cases} |\{e \in E(G) : \{u, v\} \subseteq e\}| & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u = v. \end{cases}$$

The spectral radius of G is the largest eigenvalue of $A(G)$. Feng and Li [6] studied estimates for eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of a regular k -uniform hypergraph. Li and Solé [12] established some further properties for eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix of a regular k -uniform hypergraph. Martínez et al. [16] studied the spectra of the adjacency matrices of hypergraphs associated to “homogeneous spaces” of GL_n over the finite field \mathbb{F}_q , and obtained an infinite family of Ramanujan hypergraphs. Lin and Zhou [14] considered the extremal problems on the the spectral radius of a hypergraph. Among others, they determined the

unique k -uniform hypertrees with the first three largest spectral radii, and the unique k -uniform unicyclic hypergraphs (k -uniform linear unicyclic hypergraphs, respectively) with the first two largest spectral radii, and the unique hypergraphs with maximum spectral radius among connected k -uniform hypergraphs with fixed number of pendant edges.

For a hypergraph G with $u \in V(G)$, let $r_G(u) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} a_{uv}(G)$, which is the row sum of $A(G)$ at u . Note that $r_G(u) = \sum_{e \in E_G(u)} (|e| - 1)$ for $u \in V(G)$. Let $D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of row sums of $A(G)$. Let $Deg(G)$ be the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees of G . If G is k -uniform, then $r_G(u) = (k - 1)d_G(u)$, and thus $D(G) = (k - 1)Deg(G)$. The Laplacian matrix of a hypergraph G is defined as $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$, see [20]. In [21], Rodríguez used the eigenvalues of the Laplacian matrix of a hypergraph to bound its bipartition width, averaged minimal cut, isoperimetric number, max-cut, independence number and domination number. More results along this line may be found in [20, 22]. The matrix $Q(G) = D(G) + A(G)$ is known as the signless Laplacian matrix of G [14]. The signless Laplacian spectral radius of G is the largest eigenvalue of $Q(G)$.

Let α be a real number in $[0, 1)$. A matrix $A_\alpha(G)$ for a hypergraph G is defined as $A_\alpha(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G)$. The α -eigenvalues of a hypergraph G are the eigenvalues of $A_\alpha(G)$, and the largest eigenvalue of $A_\alpha(G)$ is called the α -spectral radius of G , denoted by $\rho_\alpha(G)$. For an ordinary graph G , $D(G) = Deg(G)$, and this matrix $A_\alpha(G)$ was proposed by Nikiforov [18] to study the spectral properties of the adjacency matrix $A_0(G)$ and the signless Laplacian matrix $2A_{1/2}(G)$ in a unified way. Early, the 0-spectral radius has been extensively studied, see [2, 3, 14], while the $\frac{1}{2}$ -spectral radius has also received much attention, see [9, 14, 19].

We mention that a much different treat via adjacency tensors (hypermatrices) may be found in [8, 13]. However, the notation of the adjacency tensor does not have any immediate relationship with the spectral radius of a hypergraph via its adjacency matrix.

In this paper, we study the α -spectral radius of a hypergraph that is uniform or not necessarily uniform. We propose some local operations (grafting operations) that increase or decrease the α -spectral radius of a hypergraph. We determine the unique hypergraphs with maximum α -spectral radius among k -uniform hypertrees, among k -uniform unicyclic hypergraphs, and among k -uniform hypergraphs with fixed number of pendant edges. We determine the unique hypertrees with maximum α -spectral radius among hypertrees with given number of vertices and edges. We also determine the unique hypertrees with the first three largest (two smallest, respectively) α -spectral radii among hypertrees with given number of vertices. We determine the unique hypertrees with minimum α -spectral radius among the hypertrees that are not 2-uniform with given number of vertices. We determine the unique hypergraphs with the first two largest (smallest, respectively) α -spectral radii among unicyclic hypergraphs with given number of vertices. Finally, we determine the unique hypergraphs with maximum α -spectral radius among hypergraphs with fixed number of pendant edges.

2 Preliminaries

In the rest of this paper we assume that $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Let G be a hypergraph. Note that $A_\alpha(G)$ is a symmetric nonnegative matrix. If G is connected, then $A_\alpha(G)$ is irreducible, and thus by Perron-Frobenius theorem, there is a unique positive unit eigenvector corresponding to $\rho_\alpha(G)$, which is called the α -Perron vector of G , denoted by $x(G)$.

Let $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and $x = (x_{v_1}, \dots, x_{v_n})^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$x^\top A_\alpha(G)x = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} a_{uv}(G) (\alpha(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_u x_v).$$

For $x = x(G)$ and each $u \in V(G)$,

$$\rho_\alpha(G)x_u = \sum_{v \in N_G(u)} a_{uv}(G)(\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)x_v),$$

which is called the eigenequation of G at u . For a hypergraph G on n vertices and a unit column vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with at least one nonnegative entry, by Rayleigh's principle, we have $\rho_\alpha(G) \geq x^\top A_\alpha(G)x$ with equality if and only if $x = x(G)$.

For a hypergraph G with $V_0 \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[V_0]$ be the subhypergraph of G induced by V_0 .

Let G be a hypergraph with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $e_1, \dots, e_r \in E(G)$ such that $u \notin e_i$ and $v \in e_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $e'_i = (e_i \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Suppose that $e'_i \notin E(G)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let G' be the hypergraph with $V(G') = V(G)$ and $E(G') = (E(G) \setminus \{e_1, \dots, e_r\}) \cup \{e'_1, \dots, e'_r\}$. Then we say that G' is obtained from G by moving edges $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ from v to u .

Let G be a hypergraph with $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$ and $u \in V(G)$ such that $u \notin e_1$ and $u \in e_2$, where $|e_2| \geq 3$. Let $e'_1 = e_1 \cup \{u\}$ and $e'_2 = e_2 \setminus \{u\}$. Suppose that $e'_1, e'_2 \notin E(G)$. Let G' be the hypergraph with $V(G') = V(G)$ and $E(G') = (E(G) \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}) \cup \{e'_1, e'_2\}$. Then we say that G' is obtained from G by moving vertex u from e_2 to e_1 .

For $k \geq 3$, let $e = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be an edge of a hypergraph G . Let $e_1 = \{w_1, w_2\}$ and $e_2 = e \setminus \{w_2\}$. Suppose that $e_1, e_2 \notin E(G)$. Let G' be the hypergraph with $V(G') = V(G)$ and $E(G') = (E(G) \setminus \{e\}) \cup \{e_1, e_2\}$. Then we say that G' is obtained from G by removing vertex w_2 from e and attaching an edge $\{w_1, w_2\}$ to w_1 .

For a hypergraph G with $u \in V(G)$, we form a new hypergraph G' by adding a new vertex w and a new edge $\{u, w\}$. In this case, we say G' is obtained from G by attaching a pendant vertex w to u .

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a connected hypergraph with φ being an automorphism of G , and $x = x(G)$. If $\varphi(u) = v$ for $u, v \in V(G)$, then $x_u = x_v$.*

Proof. Let $P = (p_{uv})_{u,v \in V(G)}$ be the permutation matrix such that $p_{uv} = 1$ if and only if $\varphi(u) = v$ for $u, v \in V(G)$. Then $A_\alpha(G) = PA_\alpha(G)P^\top$. Thus $\rho_\alpha(G) = x^\top A_\alpha(G)x = (P^\top x)^\top A_\alpha(G)(P^\top x)$. As $P^\top x$ is a positive unit vector, $P^\top x$ is also the α -Perron vector of G , so $P^\top x = x$. \square

For $s \times t$ matrices $B = (b_{ij})$ and $C = (c_{ij})$, if $b_{ij} \leq c_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and $1 \leq j \leq t$, and $B \neq C$, then we write $B < C$. Let G and H be two hypergraphs with n vertices. It is obvious that $A(G) < A(H)$ if and only if $A_\alpha(G) < A_\alpha(H)$.

Let $\lambda_1(B)$ be the spectral radius (i.e., largest modulus of eigenvalues) of a nonnegative square matrix B .

Lemma 2.2. [17] *Let $B = (b_{ij})$ and $C = (c_{ij})$ be nonnegative matrices of order n . Suppose that C is irreducible and $B < C$. Then $\lambda_1(B) < \lambda_1(C)$.*

Let G be a connected k -uniform hypergraph, and e a subset of $V(G)$ with $|e| = k$ and $e \notin E(G)$. Then by Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(G) < \rho_\alpha(G + e)$. Particularly, if G is a connected graph and H is a proper subgraph of G , then by Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(H) < \rho_\alpha(G)$.

We need the following well known lemma, see, e.g., [?, Theorem 2.2.1(iv)].

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be an $n \times n$ irreducible nonnegative matrix and y a column vector of dimension n . If $y > 0$ and $My < \rho y$, then $\lambda_1(M) < \rho$.*

For a graph G with $u \in V(G)$ and a positive integer k , let G' be the graph obtained from G and a path P_k by adding an edge connecting u and a terminal vertex of the path P_k . In this case, we say G' is obtained from G by attaching a path of length k at u and we denote such a graph G' by $G(u; k)$. Let $G(u; 0) = G$. The following two lemmas proven in [7] were established in [11] for $\alpha = 0$, and they appeared to be two conjectures in [18].

Lemma 2.4. [7] *Let u be a vertex of a nontrivial connected graph G , and let $G_{k,\ell} = G(u; k)(u; \ell)$, where $k \geq \ell \geq 1$. Then $\rho_\alpha(G_{k,\ell}) > \rho_\alpha(G_{k+1,\ell-1})$.*

Lemma 2.5. [7] *Let u, v be adjacent vertices of a connected graph G with degrees at least 2, and let $G^{k,\ell} = G(u; k)(v; \ell)$, where $k \geq \ell \geq 1$. Then $\rho_\alpha(G^{k,\ell}) > \rho_\alpha(G^{k+1,\ell-1})$.*

An internal path in a graph G is of one of two types:

- (i) A sequence of vertices $v_0 v_1 \dots v_{k+1}$ ($k \geq 2$), where v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k are distinct, v_{i-1} and v_i are adjacent for $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, $v_{k+1} = v_0$, $d_G(v_0) \geq 3$, and $d_G(v_i) = 2$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$;
- (ii) A sequence of distinct vertices $v_0 v_1 \dots v_{k+1}$ ($k \geq 0$) such that v_{i-1} and v_i are adjacent for $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, where $d_G(v_0) \geq 3$, $d_G(v_{k+1}) \geq 3$, and $d_G(v_i) = 2$ whenever $1 \leq i \leq k$.

For $n \geq 5$, let W_n be the tree obtained from the path P_{n-4} by attaching two pendant vertices to both terminal vertices, respectively. Obviously, $W_5 \cong S_5$.

For $n \geq 4$, let Z_n be the tree obtained from the path P_{n-2} by attaching two pendant vertices to one terminal vertex. For $n \geq 6$, Z'_n be the tree obtained from the path $P_{n-1} = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{n-1}$ by attaching a pendant vertex v_n to v_{n-3} .

All connected graphs with 0-spectral radius at most 2 have been determined in [23]. From this, connected graphs with 0-spectral radius less than 2 are all trees, which include precisely P_n , Z_n with $n \geq 4$, and Z'_n for $n = 6, 7, 8$. These trees with 0-spectral radius 2 include precisely W_n with $n \geq 5$, Z'_9 , and two additional trees with 7 and 8 vertices, respectively. The additional tree with 7 vertices is a tree consisting of three pendant paths of length 2 at a common vertex, and the additional tree with 8 vertices is a tree consisting of two pendant paths of length 3 and one pendant path of length 1 at a common vertex.

Let K_n be the complete graph on n vertices. Let C_n be the ordinary cycle (graph) on $n \geq 3$ vertices. If the vertices of C_n are labelled consecutively as v_1, \dots, v_n, v_1 , then we write $C_n = v_1 \dots v_n v_1$.

For integer g with $3 \leq g \leq n - 1$, let $U_{n,g}$ be the unicyclic graph on n vertices with girth g , obtained by adding an edge between one terminal vertex of the path P_{n-g} and one vertex of the cycle C_g .

3 Effect of local grafting operations on α -spectral radius

In this section, we propose some local operations (grafting operations) that increase or decrease the α -spectral radius of a hypergraph that is not necessarily uniform.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a connected hypergraph with $u, v \in V(G)$ and $e_1, \dots, e_r \in E(G)$ such that $u \notin e_i$, $v \in e_i$, and $(e_i \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\} \notin E(G)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let G' be the hypergraph obtained from G by moving edges e_1, \dots, e_r from v to u . Let $x = x(G)$. If $x_u \geq x_v$, then $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(G)$.*

Proof. For $w \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\})$, let s_{wv} be the number of edges e_1, \dots, e_r containing both w and v . For $\{w, z\} \subseteq V(G)$, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{wz}(G') - a_{wz}(G) \\ &= \begin{cases} s_{wv} & \text{if } w \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\}), z = u, \text{ or } w = u, z \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\}) \\ -s_{wv} & \text{if } w \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\}), z = v, \text{ or } w = v, z \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(G') - \rho_\alpha(G) &\geq x^\top (A_\alpha(G') - A_\alpha(G))x \\ &= \sum_{\{w,z\} \subseteq V(G)} (a_{wz}(G') - a_{wz}(G)) (\alpha(x_w^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w x_z) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\})} s_{wv} (\alpha(x_w^2 + x_u^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w x_u) \\ &\quad - \sum_{w \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\})} s_{wv} (\alpha(x_w^2 + x_v^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w x_v) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\})} s_{wv} (\alpha(x_u^2 - x_v^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w(x_u - x_v)) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\})} s_{wv}(x_u - x_v)(\alpha(x_u + x_v) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w). \end{aligned}$$

As $x_u \geq x_v$, we have $\rho_\alpha(G') - \rho_\alpha(G) \geq 0$, i.e., $\rho_\alpha(G') \geq \rho_\alpha(G)$. Suppose that $\rho_\alpha(G') = \rho_\alpha(G)$. Then $\rho_\alpha(G') = x^\top A_\alpha(G')x$, and thus $x(G') = x$. Let $N_{1,2} = N_G(u) \cap \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\})$, $N_1 = N_G(u) \setminus N_{1,2}$ and $N_2 = \cup_{i=1}^r (e_i \setminus \{v\}) \setminus N_{1,2}$. Note that $N_{G'}(u) = N_1 \cup N_{1,2} \cup N_2$ and $a_{uw}(G') = a_{uw}(G)$ for $w \in N_1$. If $N_{1,2} \neq \emptyset$, then $\sum_{w \in N_{1,2}} (a_{uw}(G') - a_{uw}(G))(\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)x_w) > 0$. If $N_{1,2} = \emptyset$, then $\sum_{w \in N_2} a_{uw}(G')(\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)x_w) > 0$. From the eigenequations of G and G' at u , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \rho_\alpha(G')x_u - \rho_\alpha(G)x_u \\
&= \sum_{w \in N_{G'}(u)} a_{uw}(G')(\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)x_w) - \sum_{w \in N_G(u)} a_{uw}(G)(\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)x_w) \\
&= \sum_{w \in N_{1,2}} (a_{uw}(G') - a_{uw}(G))(\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)x_w) \\
&\quad + \sum_{w \in N_2} a_{uw}(G')(\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)x_w) \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. It follows that $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(G)$. \square

We note that Theorem 3.1 holds for both uniform hypergraphs and hypergraphs that are not necessarily uniform. The cases $\alpha = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ have been given in [14].

The following theorem generalizes a result in [10] for $\alpha = 0$, see also [4].

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\{u, v\}$ be an edge of a connected graph G , and G_{uv} the graph obtained from G by deleting edge $\{u, v\}$ and adding edges $\{u, w\}$ and $\{w, v\}$, where w is a new vertex not in G . If $\{u, v\}$ lies in some internal path of G , then $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) \leq \rho_\alpha(G)$ with equality if and only if $\alpha = 0$ and $G \cong W_n$ with $n \geq 6$, where $n = |V(G)|$.*

Proof. A label of the vertices of G_{uv} is obtained from a label of the vertices of G by adding the new vertex w . We consider cases corresponding to the two types of the internal path.

Case 1. uv lies on an internal path $v_0v_1 \dots v_{k+1}$ of type (i), where $v_{k+1} = v_0$ and $d_G(v_0) \geq 3$.

In this case, C_{k+1} is a proper induced subgraph of G , and thus by Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(C_{k+1}) = 2$.

Relabel vertices v_0, \dots, v_k as $0, 1, \dots, k$. Let x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k be the corresponding entries of the α -Perron vector x of G at vertices $0, 1, \dots, k$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_j = x_{k+1-j}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$.

Case 1.1. k is even. We may take $u = \frac{k}{2}$ and $v = \frac{k}{2} + 1$. Then $x_u = x_v$. Let y be the vector with $y_z = x_z$ for $z \in V(G)$ and $y_w = x_u$. By the choice of y , $A_\alpha(G_{uv})y$ and $\rho_\alpha(G)y$ differ only in the entries corresponding to w , and

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_w &= 2\alpha y_w + (1 - \alpha)(y_u + y_v) \\
&= 2\alpha x_u + 2(1 - \alpha)x_u \\
&= 2x_u \\
&< \rho_\alpha(G)x_u
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \rho_\alpha(G)y_w.$$

By Lemma 2.3, $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) < \rho_\alpha(G)$.

Case 1.2. k is odd. We may take $u = \frac{k-1}{2}$ and $v = \frac{k+1}{2}$. Let y be the vector with $y_z = x_z$ for $z \in V(G)$ and $y_w = x_v$. Since $2\alpha x_v + 2(1-\alpha)x_u = A_\alpha(G)x_v = \rho_\alpha(G)x_v > 2x_v$, we have $x_u > x_v$. By the choice of y , $A_\alpha(G_{uv})y$ and $\rho_\alpha(G)y$ differ only in the entries corresponding to w and v , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_w &= 2\alpha y_w + (1-\alpha)(y_u + y_v) \\ &= 2\alpha x_v + (1-\alpha)(x_u + x_v) \\ &< 2\alpha x_v + (1-\alpha)(x_u + x_u) \\ &= (A_\alpha(G)x)_v \\ &= \rho_\alpha(G)x_v \\ &= \rho_\alpha(G)y_w, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_v &= 2\alpha y_v + (1-\alpha)(y_w + y_u) \\ &= 2\alpha x_v + (1-\alpha)(x_v + x_u) \\ &< 2\alpha x_v + (1-\alpha)(x_u + x_u) \\ &= \rho_\alpha(G)x_v \\ &= \rho_\alpha(G)y_v. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) < \rho_\alpha(G)$.

Case 2. uv lies on an internal path $v_0v_1 \dots v_{k+1}$ of type (ii), where $d_G(v_0), d_G(v_{k+1}) \geq 3$.

Relabel v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k+1} as $0, 1, \dots, k+1$. Let x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1} be the corresponding entries of the α -Perron vector x of G at $0, \dots, k+1$. Assume that $x_0 \leq x_{k+1}$. Let t be the smallest index such that $x_t = \min\{x_i : 0 \leq i \leq k+1\}$. Then $t \leq k$. Assume that $u = t$ and $v = t+1$.

Suppose first that $t > 0$. Let y be a vector with $y_z = x_z$ for $z \in V(G)$ and $y_w = x_u = x_t$. Then $A_\alpha(G_{uv})y$ and $\rho_\alpha(G)y$ differ only in the entries corresponding to w and u , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_w &= 2\alpha y_w + (1-\alpha)(y_u + y_v) \\ &= 2\alpha x_u + (1-\alpha)(x_u + x_v) \\ &< 2\alpha x_u + (1-\alpha)(x_{t-1} + x_v) \\ &= (A_\alpha(G)x)_u \\ &= \rho_\alpha(G)x_u \\ &= \rho_\alpha(G)y_w, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_u &= 2\alpha y_u + (1-\alpha)(y_{t-1} + y_w) \\ &= 2\alpha x_u + (1-\alpha)(x_{t-1} + x_u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq 2\alpha x_u + (1 - \alpha)(x_{t-1} + x_v) \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)x_u \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)y_u.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) < \rho_\alpha(G)$.

Suppose in the following that $t = 0$. Let S be the set of neighbors of 0 other than 1 and $s = \sum_{j \in S} x_j$. Let $d_0 = d_G(0)$.

Case 2.1. $(1 + \alpha)x_0 \leq \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha)s$.

Note that $d_G(0), d_G(k+1) \geq 3$. From the result in [23] mentioned above and by Lemma 2.2, we have $\rho_0(G) \geq 2$ with equality if and only if $G \cong W_n$ with $n \geq 6$. As $\rho_\alpha(G)$ is a strictly increasing function for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, we have $\rho_\alpha(G) \geq 2$ with equality if and only if $\alpha = 0$ and $G \cong W_n$.

Let y be the vector with $y_z = x_z$ for $z \in V(G)$ and $y_w = x_u = x_0$. Then $A_\alpha(G_{uv})y$ and $\rho_\alpha(G)y$ differ only in the entries corresponding to w and u , and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_w &= 2\alpha y_w + (1 - \alpha)(y_u + y_v) \\
&= 2\alpha x_0 + (1 - \alpha)(x_0 + x_1) \\
&\leq \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha)(s + x_1) \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)x_0 \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)y_w,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_u &= \alpha d_0 y_u + (1 - \alpha)(s + y_w) \\
&= \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha)(s + x_0) \\
&\leq \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha)(s + x_1) \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)x_0 \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)y_u.
\end{aligned}$$

If one of these inequalities is strict, then $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) < \rho_\alpha(G)$ by Lemma 2.3. If these inequalities are equalities, then $(1 + \alpha)x_0 = \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha)s$ and $x_0 = x_1$, implying $\rho_\alpha(G)x_0 = (A_\alpha(G)x)_0 = \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha)(s + x_1) = (1 + \alpha)x_0 + (1 - \alpha)x_1 = 2x_0$, i.e., $\rho_\alpha(G) = 2$, i.e., $\alpha = 0$ and $G \cong W_n$.

Case 2.2. $(1 + \alpha)x_0 > \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1 - \alpha)s$.

In this case, $(1 + \alpha - \alpha d_0)x_0 > (1 - \alpha)s > 0$, and then $x_0 > s$, implying $s + x_0 < 2x_0 \leq (d_0 - 1)x_0$, i.e., $(1 + \alpha)s + (1 + \alpha - \alpha d_0)x_0 < \alpha s + (1 - \alpha)(d_0 - 1)x_0$. For $j \in S$, we have $\rho_\alpha(G)x_j \geq \alpha x_j + (1 - \alpha)x_0$, implying

$$\rho_\alpha(G)s \geq \alpha s + (1 - \alpha)(d_0 - 1)x_0 > (1 + \alpha)s + (1 + \alpha - \alpha d_0)x_0.$$

Thus

$$\alpha d_0 \frac{(1 - \alpha)s}{1 + \alpha - \alpha d_0} + (1 - \alpha)(s + x_0) < \rho_\alpha(G) \frac{(1 - \alpha)s}{1 + \alpha - \alpha d_0}.$$

Let y be the vector with $y_0 = \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{1+\alpha-\alpha d_0}$, $y_z = x_z$ for $z \in V(G) \setminus \{0\}$, and $y_w = x_u = x_0$. Then $A_\alpha(G_{uv})y$ and $\rho_\alpha(G)y$ differ only in the entries corresponding to $j \in S$, w and u , and for $j \in S$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_j &= \alpha d_G(j)y_j + (1-\alpha) \sum_{i \in N_G(j)} y_i \\
&= \alpha d_G(j)x_j + (1-\alpha) \left(\sum_{i \in N_G(j) \setminus \{0\}} x_i + \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{1+\alpha-\alpha d_0} \right) \\
&< \alpha d_G(j)x_j + (1-\alpha) \left(\sum_{i \in N_G(j) \setminus \{0\}} x_i + x_0 \right) \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)x_j \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)y_j,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_w &= 2\alpha y_w + (1-\alpha)(y_0 + y_1) \\
&= 2\alpha x_0 + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)s}{1+\alpha-\alpha d_0} + x_1 \right) \\
&\leq \alpha d_0 x_0 + (1-\alpha)(s + x_1) \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)x_0 \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)y_w,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_\alpha(G_{uv})y)_u &= \alpha d_0 y_u + (1-\alpha)(s + y_w) \\
&= \alpha d_0 \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{1+\alpha-\alpha d_0} + (1-\alpha)(s + x_0) \\
&< \rho_\alpha(G) \frac{(1-\alpha)s}{1+\alpha-\alpha d_0} \\
&= \rho_\alpha(G)y_u.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 2.3, $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) < \rho_\alpha(G)$.

By combining the above cases, we have either $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) < \rho_\alpha(G)$ or $\alpha = 0$ and $G \cong W_n$ with $n \geq 6$ for which we have $\rho_\alpha(G_{uv}) = \rho_\alpha(G) = 2$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *For $k-2 \geq r \geq 2$, let G be a hypergraph with two pendant edges $e_1 = \{w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, u\}$ and $e_2 = \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}, u\}$ at u . Let G' be the hypergraph obtained from G by moving vertex w_1 from e_1 to e_2 . Then $\rho_\alpha(G') < \rho_\alpha(G)$.*

Proof. For $\{w, z\} \subseteq V(G)$, it is easily seen that

$$a_{wz}(G') - a_{wz}(G)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w = w_1, z \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}, \text{ or } w \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}, z = w_1, \\ -1 & \text{if } w = w_1, z \in \{w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}\}, \text{ or } w \in \{w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}\}, z = w_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $x = x(G')$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{w_1} = x_{v_1} = \dots = x_{v_{r-1}}$ and $x_{w_2} = \dots = x_{w_{k-1}}$. Since $\{w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}, u\} \in E(G')$, $A_\alpha(G'[\{w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}, u\}]) = A_\alpha(K_{k-1})$ is a principal submatrix of $A_\alpha(G')$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(K_{k-1}) = k - 2 \geq r$. From the eigenequation of G' at w_1 and w_2 , we have

$$\rho_\alpha(G')x_{w_1} = \alpha r x_{w_1} + (1 - \alpha)((r - 1)x_{w_1} + x_u),$$

$$\rho_\alpha(G')x_{w_2} = \alpha(k - 2)x_{w_2} + (1 - \alpha)((k - 3)x_{w_2} + x_u),$$

and thus $(\rho_\alpha(G') - r - \alpha + 1)x_{w_1} = (1 - \alpha)x_u = (\rho_\alpha(G') - k - \alpha + 3)x_{w_2}$, implying $x_{w_1} \leq x_{w_2}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(G') - \rho_\alpha(G) &\leq x^\top (A_\alpha(G') - A_\alpha(G))x \\ &= \sum_{\{w,z\} \subseteq V(G)} (a_{wz}(G') - a_{wz}(G))(\alpha(x_w^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w x_z) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}} (\alpha(x_{w_1}^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{w_1} x_z) \\ &\quad - \sum_{z \in \{w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}\}} (\alpha(x_{w_1}^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{w_1} x_z) \\ &= \alpha(2r - k)x_{w_1}^2 - \alpha(k - 2)x_{w_2}^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{w_1} \left(\sum_{z \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}} x_z - \sum_{z \in \{w_2, \dots, w_{k-1}\}} x_z \right) \\ &= \alpha(2r - k)x_{w_1}^2 - \alpha(k - 2)x_{w_2}^2 + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{w_1} ((r - 1)x_{w_1} - (k - 2)x_{w_2}) \\ &= (\alpha(2r - k) + 2(1 - \alpha)(r - 1))x_{w_1}^2 - \alpha(k - 2)x_{w_2}^2 - 2(1 - \alpha)(k - 2)x_{w_1}x_{w_2} \\ &\leq (\alpha(2r - k) + 2(1 - \alpha)(r - 1) - \alpha(k - 2) - 2(1 - \alpha)(k - 2))x_{w_1}^2 \\ &= 2(r - k + 1)x_{w_1}^2 \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying $\rho_\alpha(G') < \rho_\alpha(G)$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let G, G_0, G_1, G_2 be connected hypergraphs with G_0 being a cycle of length two, where $E(G) = E(G_0) \cup E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$, $E(G_0) = \{e_1, e_2\}$ with $e_1 \cap e_2 = \{u, v\}$, $V(G_1) \cap V(G_0) = \{u\}$, $V(G_2) \cap V(G_0) = \{v\}$, and $V(G_1) \cap V(G_2) = \emptyset$. Let $|e_i| = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. If $n_1 - 2 \geq n_2 \geq 2$, let $u_1 \in e_1 \setminus \{u, v\}$ and G' be the hypergraph obtained from G by moving vertex u_1 from e_1 to e_2 . Then $\rho_\alpha(G') < \rho_\alpha(G)$.*

Proof. Let $e_1 = \{u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n_1-2}, v\}$, $e_2 = \{u, v_1, \dots, v_{n_2-2}, v\}$. For $\{w, z\} \subseteq V(G)$, it is easily seen that

$$a_{wz}(G') - a_{wz}(G) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } w = u_1, z \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{n_2-2}\}, \text{ or } w \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{n_2-2}\}, z = u_1, \\ -1 & \text{if } w = u_1, z \in \{u_2, \dots, u_{n_1-2}\}, \text{ or } w \in \{u_2, \dots, u_{n_1-2}\}, z = u_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $x = x(G')$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{u_1} = x_{v_1} = \dots = x_{v_{n_2-2}}$ and $x_{u_2} = \dots = x_{u_{n_1-2}}$. By Lemma 2.2, we also have $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(K_{n_1-1}) = n_1 - 2 \geq n_2$. From the eigenequation of G' at u_1 and u_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(G')x_{u_1} &= \alpha n_2 x_{u_1} + (1 - \alpha)(x_u + x_v + (n_2 - 2)x_{u_1}), \\ \rho_\alpha(G')x_{u_2} &= \alpha(n_1 - 2)x_{u_2} + (1 - \alpha)(x_u + x_v + (n_1 - 4)x_{u_2}), \end{aligned}$$

and thus $(\rho_\alpha(G') - n_2 + 2 - 2\alpha)x_{u_1} = (1 - \alpha)(x_u + x_v) = (\rho_\alpha(G') - n_1 + 4 - 2\alpha)x_{u_2}$, implying $x_{u_1} \leq x_{u_2}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(G') - \rho_\alpha(G) &\leq x^\top (A_\alpha(G') - A_\alpha(G))x \\ &= \sum_{\{w,z\} \subseteq V(G)} (a_{wz}(G') - a_{wz}(G))(\alpha(x_w^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w x_z) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{n_2-2}\}} (\alpha(x_{u_1}^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{u_1}x_z) \\ &\quad - \sum_{z \in \{u_2, \dots, u_{n_1-2}\}} (\alpha(x_{u_1}^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{u_1}x_z) \\ &= \alpha(2n_2 - n_1 - 1)x_{u_1}^2 - \alpha(n_1 - 3)x_{u_2}^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{u_1} \left(\sum_{z \in \{v_1, \dots, v_{n_2-2}\}} x_z - \sum_{z \in \{u_2, \dots, u_{n_1-2}\}} x_z \right) \\ &= \alpha(2n_2 - n_1 - 1)x_{u_1}^2 - \alpha(n_1 - 3)x_{u_2}^2 \\ &\quad + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{u_1}((n_2 - 2)x_{u_1} - (n_1 - 3)x_{u_2}) \\ &= (\alpha(2n_2 - n_1 - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha)(n_2 - 2))x_{u_1}^2 - \alpha(n_1 - 3)x_{u_2}^2 \\ &\quad - 2(1 - \alpha)(n_1 - 3)x_{u_1}x_{u_2} \\ &\leq (\alpha(2n_2 - n_1 - 1) + 2(1 - \alpha)(n_2 - 2) - \alpha(n_1 - 3) - 2(1 - \alpha)(n_1 - 3))x_{u_1}^2 \\ &= 2(n_2 - n_1 + 1)x_{u_1}^2 \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

implying $\rho_\alpha(G') < \rho_\alpha(G)$. □

Theorem 3.5. For $k \geq 3$, let $e = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ be an edge of a connected hypergraph G , and $e \setminus \{w_2\}$, $\{w_1, w_2\} \notin E(G)$. Let G' be the hypergraph obtained from G by removing w_2 from e and attaching an edge $\{w_1, w_2\}$ to w_1 . Then $\rho_\alpha(G') < \rho_\alpha(G)$.

Proof. Note that $a_{wz}(G') - a_{wz}(G) \leq 0$ for $\{w, z\} \subseteq V(G)$, and $a_{w_2w_k}(G') - a_{w_2w_k}(G) = -1$. Then $A(G') < A(G)$, and thus $A_\alpha(G') < A_\alpha(G)$. By Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(G') < \rho_\alpha(G)$. \square

4 Extremal α -spectral radius of hypertrees

In this section, we determine the unique hypertrees with maximum and/or minimum α -spectral radius among some classes of hypertrees.

4.1 The α -spectral radius of uniform hypertrees

Let $D_{n,k,c}$ be the k -uniform hypertree obtained from $S_{k,k}$ by attaching c pendant edges at one vertex and $\frac{n-1}{k-1} - 1 - c$ pendant edges at another vertex, where $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 3$ and $1 \leq c \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2(k-1)} \right\rfloor$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let T be a k -uniform hypertree of order n , where $2 \leq k \leq n$. Then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \frac{n\alpha+k-2+\sqrt{(n\alpha+k-2)^2-4(n-1)(k\alpha-1)}}{2}$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_{n,k}$. Moreover, if $T \not\cong S_{n,k}$ with $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 4$, then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho(D_{n,k,1})$ with equality if and only if $T \cong D_{n,k,1}$.*

Proof. Let T be a k -uniform hypertree of order n with maximum α -spectral radius. Let d be the diameter of T and $u_0e_1u_1 \dots u_{d-1}e_du_d$ a diametrical path in T . Suppose that $d \geq 3$. Let $x = x(T)$. Assume that $x_{u_1} \geq x_{u_{d-1}}$. Let T' be the k -uniform hypertree obtained from T by moving all edges in $E_T(u_{d-1}) \setminus \{e_{d-1}\}$ from u_{d-1} to u_1 . By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(T') > \rho_\alpha(T)$, a contradiction. Thus $d = 2$ and $T \cong S_{n,k}$.

Let w be the center of $S_{n,k}$, and c the entry of $x(S_{n,k})$ at w . By Lemma 2.1, for $u, v \in V(S_{n,k}) \setminus \{w\}$, $x_u = x_v$, which we denote by p . From the eigenequation of $S_{n,k}$ at w and a vertex of degree 1 in $S_{n,k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho_\alpha(S_{n,k}) - (n-1)\alpha)c - (1-\alpha)(n-1)p &= 0, \\ -(1-\alpha)c + (\rho_\alpha(S_{n,k}) - \alpha - k + 2)p &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As $c, p \neq 0$, we have

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \rho_\alpha(S_{n,k}) - (n-1)\alpha & -(1-\alpha)(n-1) \\ -(1-\alpha) & \rho_\alpha(S_{n,k}) - \alpha - k + 2 \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

i.e., $f(\rho_\alpha(S_{n,k})) = 0$, where $f(t) = t^2 - (n\alpha + k - 2)t + (n-1)(k\alpha - 1)$. Then $\rho_\alpha(S_{n,k})$ is the largest root of $f(t) = 0$, i.e., $\rho_\alpha(S_{n,k}) = \frac{n\alpha+k-2+\sqrt{(n\alpha+k-2)^2-4(n-1)(k\alpha-1)}}{2}$. This proves the first part.

Next, we prove the second part. Suppose that $T \not\cong S_{n,k}$ with $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 4$. Let T be a hypertree nonisomorphic to $S_{n,k}$ with maximum α -spectral radius among k -uniform hypertrees of order n . Let d be the diameter of T . As $T \not\cong S_{n,k}$, we have $d \geq 3$. By similar argument as above, we have $d = 3$. Obviously, T has a unique edge, say $e = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$, which is not a pendant edge, and T is obtainable by attaching some pendant edges at vertices in e . If

there are three vertices w_i, w_j, w_ℓ with degree at least 2 in T , then we may move all pendant edges at w_j to w_i or vice versa to obtain a k -uniform hypertree T'' of order n with diameter 3, and by Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(T'') > \rho_\alpha(T)$, a contradiction. Thus, among vertices w_1, \dots, w_k , there are exactly two vertices, say w_1 and w_2 , with degree at least 2 in T . It follows that $T \cong D_{n,k,c}$ for some c with $1 \leq c \leq \lfloor \frac{n-k}{2(k-1)} \rfloor$. Now by Theorem 3.1, we have $c = 1$, and thus $T \cong D_{n,k,1}$. \square

Let G be a k -uniform hypergraph with $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$ and $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $u \in e_1 \setminus e_2$ and $v \in e_2 \setminus e_1$. Let $e'_1 = (e_1 \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$ and $e'_2 = (e_2 \setminus \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$. Suppose that $e'_1, e'_2 \notin E(G)$. Let G' be the hypergraph with $V(G') = V(G)$ and $E(G') = (E(G) \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}) \cup \{e'_1, e'_2\}$. Then we say that G' is obtained from G by exchanging vertices u in e_1 and v in e_2 .

For $k \geq 3$, $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 4$ and a hyperstar $S_{k,k}$ with edge $e = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$, let $H_{n,k}$ be the k -uniform hypertree obtained from e by attaching $\frac{n-1}{k-1} - 3$ pendant edges at w_1 and attaching a pendant edge at w_2 and w_3 , respectively. Let $H_{4,2} = P_{4,2}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let T be a k -uniform hypertree of order n and $T \not\cong S_{n,k}, D_{n,k,1}$ with maximum α -spectral radius, where $2 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$T \cong \begin{cases} P_{5,2} & \text{if } (n, k) = (5, 2), \\ H_{n,k} & \text{if } \frac{n-1}{k-1} = 4 \text{ with } k \geq 3, \\ D_{n,k,2} & \text{if } \frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\frac{n-1}{k-1} = 4$. As $T \not\cong S_{n,k}, D_{n,k,1}$, we have $T \cong P_{5,2}$ if $k = 2$, and $T \cong P_{n,k}, H_{n,k}$ if $k \geq 3$. Thus we need only to show that $\rho_\alpha(P_{4k-3,k}) < \rho_\alpha(H_{4k-3,k})$ for $k \geq 3$.

Let $P_{4k-3,k} = u_0e_1u_1e_2u_2e_3u_3e_4u_4$ and $x = x(P_{4k-3,k})$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{u_1} = x_{u_3}$, $x_u = x_v$ for $u, v \in (e_1 \cup e_4) \setminus \{u_1, u_3\}$, and $x_w = x_z$ for $w, z \in (e_2 \cup e_3) \setminus \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$. Let $w \in e_2 \setminus \{u_1, u_2\}$. Suppose that $x_w \geq x_{u_3}$. Then we form a k -uniform hypertree T' from $P_{4k-3,k}$ by moving e_4 from u_3 to w . Obviously, $T' \cong H_{4k-3,k}$. By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(P_{4k-3,k}) < \rho_\alpha(H_{4k-3,k})$, as desired. Suppose that $x_w < x_{u_3}$. Then we form a k -uniform hypertree T'' from $P_{4k-3,k}$ by exchange vertices w in e_2 and u_3 in e_3 . Obviously, $T'' \cong H_{4k-3,k}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{uv}(T'') - a_{uv}(P_{4k-3,k}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u = u_3, v \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}, \text{ or } u \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}, v = u_3, \\ 1 & \text{if } u = w, v \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}, \text{ or } u \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}, v = w, \\ -1 & \text{if } u = u_3, v \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}, \text{ or } u \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}, v = u_3, \\ -1 & \text{if } u = w, v \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}, \text{ or } u \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}, v = w, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_\alpha(T'') - \rho_\alpha(P_{4k-3,k}) \\ & \geq x^\top (A_\alpha(T'') - A_\alpha(P_{4k-3,k}))x \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(T)} (a_{uv}(T'') - a_{uv}(P_{4k-3,k}))(\alpha(x_u^2 + x_v^2) + 2(1-\alpha)x_u x_v) \\
&= \sum_{v \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}} (\alpha(x_{u_3}^2 + x_v^2) + 2(1-\alpha)x_{u_3} x_v) \\
&\quad + \sum_{v \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}} (\alpha(x_w^2 + x_v^2) + 2(1-\alpha)x_w x_v) \\
&\quad - \sum_{v \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}} (\alpha(x_{u_3}^2 + x_v^2) + 2(1-\alpha)x_{u_3} x_v) \\
&\quad - \sum_{v \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}} (\alpha(x_w^2 + x_v^2) + 2(1-\alpha)x_w x_v) \\
&= \sum_{v \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}} (\alpha(x_{u_3}^2 - x_w^2) + 2(1-\alpha)x_v(x_{u_3} - x_w)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{v \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}} (\alpha(x_w^2 - x_{u_3}^2) + 2(1-\alpha)x_v(x_w - x_{u_3})) \\
&= 2(1-\alpha)(x_{u_3} - x_w) \left(\sum_{v \in e_2 \setminus \{u_2, w\}} x_v - \sum_{v \in e_3 \setminus \{u_2, u_3\}} x_v \right) \\
&= 2(1-\alpha)(x_{u_3} - x_w)^2 \\
&> 0,
\end{aligned}$$

implying $\rho_\alpha(P_{4k-3,k}) < \rho_\alpha(H_{4k-3,k})$, as desired. Therefore, $T \cong H_{n,k}$.

Suppose in the following that $\frac{n-1}{k-1} \geq 5$ and $x = x(T)$. Let d be the diameter of T . By similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have $d = 3$, or $d = 4$ and $T \cong T_{n,k,s}$ with $1 \leq s \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2(k-1)} - 1 \rfloor$, where $T_{n,k,s}$ is the k -uniform hypertree obtained from $S_{2k-1,k}$ by attaching s pendant edges at a vertex of degree one in one edge and $\frac{n-1}{k-1} - 2 - s$ pendant edges at a vertex of degree one in the other edge. Suppose that the latter case occurs. Let $P = u_0 e_1 u_1 e_2 u_2 e_3 u_3 e_4 u_4$ be a diametrical path in T . If $s \geq 2$, then, by Theorem 3.1, we may move all edges of $E_T(u_3) \setminus \{e_3, e_4\}$ from u_3 to u_1 or move all edges of $E_T(u_1) \setminus \{e_1, e_2\}$ from u_1 to u_3 to form a k -uniform hypertree with larger α -spectral radius, which is impossible. Thus $s = 1$. Let T' be the k -uniform hypertree obtained from T by moving e_1 from u_1 to u_2 or by moving e_3 from u_2 to u_1 . Obviously, $T' \not\cong S_{n,k}, D_{n,k,1}$. By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(T') > \rho_\alpha(T)$, a contradiction. It follows that $d = 3$, and T is a k -uniform hypertree obtainable from a hyperstar $S_{k,k}$ with a single edge, say $e = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$, by attaching a_i pendant edges at w_i , where $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i + 1 = \frac{n-1}{k-1}$, $a_i \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $a_p, a_q \geq 1$ for some p and q with $1 \leq p < q \leq k$. By relabeling the vertices in e , we may assume that $a_2 \geq a_1 \geq a_3 \geq \dots \geq a_k$. Obviously, $a_1 \geq 1$. Suppose that $a_3 \geq 1$. Then we may move all the pendant edges at w_1 from w_1 to w_3 or move all the pendant edges at w_3 from w_3 to w_1 to form a k -uniform hypertree T'' . Obviously, $T'' \not\cong S_{n,k}, D_{n,k,1}$. By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(T'') > \rho_\alpha(T)$, a contradiction. Thus $a_3 = 0$. Thus, for $k \geq 2$, $T \cong D_{n,k,a_1}$ with $a_1 \geq 2$. By Theorem 3.1, $T \cong D_{n,k,2}$. \square

4.2 The α -spectral radius of hypertrees that are not necessarily uniform

For $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$, let S_n^m be the hyperstar on n vertices with $m - 1$ edges of size 2 and one edge of size $n - m + 1$. Particularly, S_n^1 consists of a single edge with size n .

Theorem 4.3. *Let T be a hypertree on n vertices with m edges, where $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^m)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n^m$.*

Proof. It is trivial if $m = 1$. Suppose that $m \geq 2$. Let T be a hypertree on n vertices with m edges having maximum α -spectral radius.

Suppose that there is an edge $e \in E(T)$, which has two vertices, say v_1 and v_2 , of degree at least 2. Since T is a hypertree, $(E_T(v_1) \setminus \{e\}) \cap (E_T(v_2) \setminus \{e\}) = \emptyset$. Let $x = x(T)$. We may assume that $x_{v_1} \geq x_{v_2}$. Let T' be the hypergraph obtained from T by moving all edges containing v_2 except e from v_2 to v_1 . Obviously, T' is a hypertree on n vertices with m edges. By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(T') > \rho_\alpha(T)$, a contradiction. Thus all the edges in T are pendant edges at a common vertex, i.e., T is a hyperstar.

Suppose that there are two edges, say e_1 and e_2 , of size at least 3. Assume that $|e_1| \geq |e_2|$. Let T'' be the hypertree obtained from T by moving a vertex $w \in e_2 \setminus e_1$ from e_2 to e_1 . By Theorem 3.3, $\rho_\alpha(T'') > \rho_\alpha(T)$, a contradiction. It follows that there is at most one edge of size at least 3, and thus $T \cong S_n^m$. \square

Lemma 4.1. *If $1 \leq m_2 < m_1 \leq n - 1$, then $\rho_\alpha(S_n^{m_1}) < \rho_\alpha(S_n^{m_2})$.*

Proof. Let $T = S_n^{m_2}$ with center u and let $e \in E(T)$ of size $n - m_2 + 1$. Let T' be the hypertree obtained from T by removing one vertex, say w , in $e \setminus \{u\}$ from e and attaching a pendant edge $\{u, w\}$ to u . Then $T' \cong S_n^{m_2+1}$. By Theorem 3.5, $\rho_\alpha(S_n^{m_2+1}) < \rho_\alpha(S_n^{m_2})$. By applying this process repeatedly, we finally have $\rho_\alpha(S_n^{m_1}) < \rho_\alpha(S_n^{m_2})$. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let T be a hypertree on n vertices with maximum degree Δ , where $1 \leq \Delta \leq n - 1$. Then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^\Delta)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n^\Delta$.*

Proof. Note that maximum degree of S_n^Δ is Δ and $|E(T)| \geq \Delta$. By Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, we have $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^{|E(T)|}) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^\Delta)$ with equalities if and only if $T \cong S_n^{|E(T)|}$ and $|E(T)| = \Delta$, i.e., $T \cong S_n^\Delta$. \square

Similarly, we have

Corollary 4.2. *Let T be a hypertree on n vertices with p pendant edges, where $2 \leq p \leq n - 1$. Then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^p)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n^p$.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let T be a hypertree on n vertices. Then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq n - 1$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n^1$. Moreover, if $T \not\cong S_n^1$, then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^2)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n^2$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha\left(S_n^{|E(T)|}\right) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^1)$ with equalities if and only if $T \cong S_n^{|E(T)|}$ and $|E(T)| = 1$, i.e., $T \cong S_n^1$. The first part follows by noting that $\rho_\alpha(S_n^1) = \rho_\alpha(K_n) = n - 1$.

If $T \not\cong S_n^1$, then $|E(T)| \geq 2$, and thus by Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.1, $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha\left(S_n^{|E(T)|}\right) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^2)$ with equalities if and only if $T \cong S_n^{|E(T)|}$ and $|E(T)| = 2$, i.e., $T \cong S_n^2$. The second part follows. \square

We note that the first part follows also from Lemma 2.2.

For $n \geq 5$, let $S_n'^2$ be the hyperstar on n vertices with one edge of size 3 and one edge of size $n - 2$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let T be a hypertree on $n \geq 5$ vertices, where $T \not\cong S_n^1, S_n^2$. Then $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n'^2)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong S_n'^2$.*

Proof. Let $m = |E(T)|$. Since $T \not\cong S_n^1, S_n^2$, we have $m \geq 2$. If $m \geq 3$, then we have by Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.1 that $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^m) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n^3)$ with equalities if and only if $T \cong S_n^m$ and $m = 3$, i.e., $T \cong S_n^3$. If $m = 2$, then by Theorem 3.3, $\rho_\alpha(T) \leq \rho_\alpha(S_n'^2)$. By Theorem 3.5, we have $\rho_\alpha(S_n^3) < \rho_\alpha(S_n'^2)$. \square

If T is a hypertree on 4 vertices, where $T \not\cong S_4^1, S_4^2$, then $T \cong P_4$ or S_4 . By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(S_4) > \rho_\alpha(P_4)$.

Let P'_n be the hypertree on $n \geq 4$ vertices obtained from $P_{n-2} = v_1 \dots v_{n-2}$ by adding an edge $\{v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n\}$ with size 3. Then $A_\alpha(P'_n)$ is permutation similar to $A_\alpha(U_{n,3})$, which implies $\rho_\alpha(P'_n) = \rho_\alpha(U_{n,3})$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let T be a hypertree on $n \geq 4$ vertices that is not 2-uniform. Then $\rho_\alpha(T) \geq \rho_\alpha(P'_n)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong P'_n$.*

Proof. Let T be a hypertree on n vertices that is not 2-uniform with minimum α -spectral radius.

Suppose that T has two edges, say e and e' , of size at least 3. Since T is a hypertree, we may assume that $\{u, v, w\} \subseteq e$ with $u, v \notin e'$. Let T' be the hypertree obtained from T by removing v from e and attaching an edge $\{u, v\}$ to u . Obviously, T' is not 2-uniform. By Theorem 3.5, $\rho_\alpha(T) > \rho_\alpha(T')$, a contradiction. Thus T has exactly one edge of size at least 3. By similar argument as above, T has no edge of size at least 4. It follows that T has exactly one edge of size 3 and all other edges are of size 2. Let $e^* = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ be the unique edge of size 3 of T . Let U be the hypergraph obtained from T by deleting edge e^* and adding edges $\{w_1, w_2\}$, $\{w_1, w_3\}$, and $\{w_2, w_3\}$. We can easily see that U is a unicyclic graph with girth 3. Note that $A_\alpha(T)$ is permutation similar to $A_\alpha(U)$. The deletion of e^* from T yields trees T_1, T_2 and T_3 , where $w_i \in V(T_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By applying Lemma 2.4 to U , we know that T_i is a path with a terminal vertex w_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$. By Lemma 2.5, only one of T_1, T_2 and T_3 is nontrivial. Thus $T \cong P'_n$. \square

Let T be a hypertree on n vertices. If T is not 2-uniform, then by Theorem 4.6, we have $\rho_\alpha(T) \geq \rho_\alpha(P'_n)$. If T is 2-uniform and $T \not\cong P_n$, then by Lemma 2.4, we have $\rho_\alpha(T) \geq \rho_\alpha(Z_n) > \rho_\alpha(P_n)$ with equality if and only if $T \cong Z_n$. By Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(Z_n) < \rho_\alpha(U_{n,3}) = \rho_\alpha(P'_n)$. Thus, among hypertrees on n vertices,

- (a) P_n is the unique hypertree with smallest α -spectral radius;
- (b) for $n \geq 4$, Z_n is the unique tree with second smallest α -spectral radius.

5 Extremal α -spectral radius of unicyclic hypergraphs

In this section, we study the α -spectral radius of a unicyclic hypergraph.

We consider k -uniform unicyclic hypergraphs first. For a k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph G with $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, if $E(G) = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$, where $e_i = \{v_{(i-1)(k-1)+1}, \dots, v_{(i-1)(k-1)+k}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $v_{(m-1)(k-1)+k} = v_1$, then we call G a k -uniform loose cycle, denoted by $C_{n,k}$. For integers $k \geq 2$, $g \geq 2$, $a \geq 1$, and a k -uniform loose cycle $C_{g(k-1),k}$, let $C_g^k(a)$ be the k -uniform hypergraph obtained from $C_{g(k-1),k}$ by identifying the center of $S_{a(k-1)+1,k}$ and v_1 . For $k \geq 3$ and $\frac{n}{k-1} \geq g \geq 2$, let $F_{n,k,g}$ be a k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph obtained from $C_{g(k-1),k}$ with edges $e_i = \{v_{(i-1)(k-1)+1}, \dots, v_{(i-1)(k-1)+k}\}$ by attaching $\frac{n}{k-1} - g$ pendant edges at v_2 , where $i = 1, \dots, g$ and $v_{g(k-1)+1} = v_1$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph with order n and girth g , where $2 \leq k \leq n$. Then $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(C_g^k(m-g))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong C_g^k(m-g)$, where $m = \frac{n}{k-1}$.*

Proof. It is trivial if $g = m$. Suppose that $g < m$. Let C be the unique cycle of G and v a vertex in C . Suppose that e is an edge e outside C contains v . If e is not a pendant edge at v , then there is another vertex in e , say w , with degree at least 2 in G , and we may move all edges containing v except e from v to w or vice versa to obtain a k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph G' with order n and girth g , for which we have by Theorem 3.1 that $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(G)$, a contradiction. Thus every edge outside C is a pendant edge at some vertex in C . If there are at least two vertices in C at which there are pendant edges, then we may choose two such vertices, and move all pendant edges from one vertex to the other to obtain a k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph G'' with order n and girth g , for which we have by Theorem 3.1 that $\rho_\alpha(G'') > \rho_\alpha(G)$, also a contradiction. Thus all pendant edges are at a common vertex of C . Therefore $G \cong C_g^k(m-g)$ if $k = 2$, and $G \cong C_g^k(m-g)$ or $G \cong F_{n,k,g}$ if $k \geq 3$.

Suppose that $k \geq 3$ and $G \cong F_{n,k,g}$. Let G^* be the k -uniform hypergraph obtained from G by moving all edges of $E_G(v_2) \setminus \{e_1\}$ from v_2 to v_1 , and G^{**} be the k -uniform hypergraph obtained from G by moving e_g from v_1 to v_2 . Obviously, $G^* \cong G^{**} \cong C_g^k(m-g)$. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\rho_\alpha(G^*) > \rho_\alpha(G)$ or $\rho_\alpha(G^{**}) > \rho_\alpha(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $G \cong C_g^k(m-g)$. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be a k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph of order n , where $2 \leq k \leq n$. Let $m = \frac{n}{k-1}$. Then*

(i) if G is linear, then $m \geq 3$ and $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(C_3^k(m-3))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong C_3^k(m-3)$;

(ii) if $k \geq 3$, then $m \geq 2$ and $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(C_2^k(m-2))$ with equality if and only if $G \cong C_2^k(m-2)$.

Proof. Let G be a k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph of order n with maximum α -spectral radius.

Let g be the girth of G . Let $v_1e_1v_2 \dots v_g e_g v_1$ be the unique cycle of G . Let $x = x(G)$.

Suppose first that G is linear. Then $m \geq g \geq 3$. Suppose that $g \geq 4$. Assume that $x_{v_1} \geq x_{v_4}$. Let G' be the k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph obtained from G by moving all edges of $E_G(v_4) \setminus \{e_4\}$ from v_4 to v_1 . Obviously, G' is linear. By Theorem 3.1, we have $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $g = 3$. By Theorem 5.1, $G \cong C_3^k(m-3)$.

Suppose that $k \geq 3$. Then $m \geq g \geq 2$. Suppose that $g \geq 3$. We may assume that $x_{v_1} \geq x_{v_3}$. Let G'' be the k -uniform unicyclic hypergraph obtained from G by moving all edges of $E_G(v_3) \setminus \{e_3\}$ from v_3 to v_1 . By Theorem 3.1, we have $\rho_\alpha(G'') > \rho_\alpha(G)$, a contradiction. Thus $g = 2$, and by Theorem 5.1, we have $G \cong C_2^k(m-2)$. \square

Now we move to consider unicyclic hypergraphs that are not necessarily uniform. Let U_n^1 be the unicyclic hypergraph on $n \geq 3$ vertices obtained from S_n^1 by adding an edge of size 2. Let U_n^2 be a cycle of length 2 on $n \geq 4$ vertices such that one edge is of size 3.

Theorem 5.3. *Let U be a unicyclic hypergraph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then $\rho_\alpha(U) \leq \rho_\alpha(U_n^1)$ with equality if and only if $U \cong U_n^1$. Moreover, if $U \not\cong U_n^1$ with $n \geq 4$, then $\rho_\alpha(U) \leq \rho_\alpha(U_n^2)$ with equality if and only if $U \cong U_n^2$.*

Proof. Let U be the unicyclic hypergraph on n vertices with maximum α -spectral radius.

Let $C = v_1e_1 \dots v_g e_g v_1$ be the unique cycle in U with length g . Suppose that $U \neq C$. Then there is an edge e of U not on C and it contains some vertex in $V(C)$. Assume that e contains some vertex w of e_1 . If $w \neq v_1, v_2$, then by moving e from w to v_1 or moving e_g from v_1 to w , we obtain a unicyclic hypergraph U' , and by Theorem 3.1, we have $\rho_\alpha(U) < \rho_\alpha(U')$, a contradiction. Thus $w = v_1$ or v_2 . Suppose that $w = v_1$. Let U'' be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from U by deleting edges e and e_1 and adding an edge $e \cup e_1$. Note that $A(U'') > A(U)$ and thus $A_\alpha(U'') > A_\alpha(U)$. Then by Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(U'') > \rho_\alpha(U)$, a contradiction. Thus $U = C$. Assume that $|e_1| = \max\{|e_i| : 1 \leq i \leq g\}$. If $g \geq 3$, then by setting U^* to be the unicyclic hypergraph with girth $g-1 \geq 2$ obtained from U by deleting edges e_2 and e_3 , and adding an edge $e_2 \cup e_3$, we have by Lemma 2.2 that $\rho_\alpha(U^*) > \rho_\alpha(U)$, a contradiction. Thus $g = 2$. Then $U = v_1e_1v_2e_2v_1$. By Theorem 3.4, we have $|e_2| = 2$, i.e., $U \cong U_n^1$.

If $U \not\cong U_n^1$ with $n \geq 4$, then $\min\{|e_1|, |e_2|\} \geq 3$, and thus by Theorem 3.4, $\min\{|e_1|, |e_2|\} = 3$, i.e., $U \cong U_n^2$. \square

We note that the first part of the previous theorem follows also from Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 5.4. *Let U be a unicyclic hypergraph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then $\rho_\alpha(U) \geq \rho_\alpha(C_n)$ with equality if and only if $U \cong C_n$.*

Proof. Let U be the unicyclic hypergraph on $n \geq 3$ vertices with minimum α -spectral radius.

It is trivial if $n = 3$. Suppose that $n \geq 4$. If U is a 2-uniform unicyclic hypergraph, then $U \cong C_n$, since C_n with $\rho_\alpha(C_n) = 2$ is the unique unicyclic graph on n vertices with minimum α -spectral radius among 2-uniform unicyclic graphs. If U is not a 2-uniform unicyclic hypergraph, then there exists an edge $e_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ with size $r \geq 3$. By Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(U) > \rho_\alpha(K_r) = r - 1 \geq 2$, a contradiction. Thus $U \cong C_n$. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let U be a unicyclic hypergraph on $n \geq 4$ vertices and $U \not\cong C_n$. Then $\rho_\alpha(U) \geq \rho_\alpha(U_{n,n-1})$ with equality if and only if $U \cong U_{n,n-1}$.*

Proof. Let $U \not\cong C_n$ be a unicyclic hypergraph on $n \geq 4$ vertices with minimum α -spectral radius.

Let C be the unique cycle of U with length $2 \leq g \leq n - 1$.

Suppose that U is 2-uniform. Let $C = v_1 \dots v_g v_1$. By Lemma 2.4, the deletion of edges on C yields g vertex-disjoint paths T_1, \dots, T_g , where v_i is a terminal vertex of T_i . Suppose that $g \leq n - 2$. Then some such path is nontrivial. If only one such path, say T_i , is nontrivial, then there is an internal path (of type (i)) from v_i to v_i in U . If there are at least two such nontrivial paths, then we may choose two such paths, say T_i and T_j such that $i < j$ implies that T_k is trivial for each k with $i < k < j$, and thus there is an internal path (of type (ii)) from v_i to v_j in U . In either case, we may apply Theorem 3.2 for an edge, say $\{u, v\}$, on the internal path to form a unicyclic graph U_{uv} such that $\rho_\alpha(U_{uv}) < \rho_\alpha(U)$. Let U' be the graph obtained from U_{uv} by deleting a pendant vertex of the pendant path at v_i . Obviously, U' is a unicyclic graph with n vertices and girth $g + 1$, and it is a proper subgraph of U_{uv} . By Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(U') < \rho_\alpha(U_{uv})$. It follows that $\rho_\alpha(U') < \rho_\alpha(U)$, a contradiction. Thus $g = n - 1$, and $U \cong U_{n,n-1}$.

Now suppose that U is not 2-uniform. Then there is an edge $e = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ with $r \geq 3$. Suppose that $r \geq 4$. Since U is unicyclic, there are two vertices in e , say v_1, v_2 , such that $\{v_1, v_2\} \notin E(U)$. Let U' be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from U by deleting edge e and adding edges $\{v_1, v_2\}$ and $\{v_2, \dots, v_r\}$. Obviously, U' is not 2-uniform. By Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(U') < \rho_\alpha(U)$, a contradiction. Thus the largest size of edges is 3. Suppose that there are two edges $e_1 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and e_2 with size 3. Let U'' be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from U by deleting edge e_1 and adding edges $\{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\{u_2, u_3\}$, where if e_1 lies on C , then we relabel the vertices and edges of C as $u_1 e_1 u_3 \dots u_1$. Then U'' is also not 2-uniform, and by Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(U'') < \rho_\alpha(U)$, a contradiction. Thus there is exactly one edge, say $e^* = \{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ with size 3. If e^* is not an edge on C or e^* is an edge on C with $g \leq n - 2$, then let U''' be the unicyclic hypergraph obtained from U by deleting edge e^* and adding edges $\{w_1, w_2\}$ and $\{w_2, w_3\}$, where if in the latter case, the vertices and edges of C are relabeled as $w_1 e^* w_3 \dots w_1$. Then U''' is 2-uniform and $U''' \not\cong C_n$. By Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(U''') < \rho_\alpha(U)$, a contradiction. Thus e^* is an edge on the cycle of U and $g = n - 1$, i.e., $U \cong C$.

Let U^* be the unicyclic graph obtained from U by removing w_2 from e^* and attaching an edge $\{w_1, w_2\}$ to w_1 . Then $U^* \cong U_{n,n-1}$. By Theorem 3.5, $\rho_\alpha(U^*) < \rho_\alpha(U)$, a contradiction.

Thus U is 2-uniform, and $U \cong U_{n,n-1}$. \square

6 Maximum α -spectral radius of hypergraphs with fixed number of pendant edges

For $2 \leq k \leq n$, the complete k -uniform hypergraph, denoted by $K_n^{(k)}$, is a hypergraph G of order n such that $E(G)$ consists of all k -subsets of $V(G)$.

For $2 \leq k \leq n$ and $p \geq 0$, let $\mathbb{F}_{n,k}(p)$ be the set of connected k -uniform hypergraphs of order n with p pendant edges. Since $G \in \mathbb{F}_{n,k}(p)$ is connected, we have $n - p(k - 1) = 1$ with $p > 0$ and then $G \cong S_{n,k}$, or $n - p(k - 1) \geq k$.

For $k \geq 2$ and $p \geq 0$, let $K_{n,p}^{(k)}$ be the k -uniform hypergraph obtained from $K_{n-pk+p}^{(k)}$ by attaching p pendant edges at a vertex of $K_{n-pk+p}^{(k)}$.

Theorem 6.1. *For $2 \leq k \leq n$, let $G \in \mathbb{F}_{n,k}(p)$. Then*

- (i) *if $p = 0$, then $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \binom{n-2}{k-2}(n-1)$ with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n^{(k)}$;*
- (ii) *if $p \geq 1$, then $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(D_{n,k,1})$ with equality if and only if $G \cong D_{n,k,1}$ if $n - p(k - 1) = k$ with $p \geq 2$, and $\rho_\alpha(G) \leq \rho_\alpha(K_{n,p}^{(k)})$ with equality if and only if $G \cong K_{n,p}^{(k)}$ if $n - p(k - 1) > k$.*

Proof. Let G be a hypergraph in $\mathbb{F}_{n,k}(p)$ with maximum α -spectral radius.

Let V_0 be the set of vertices that are not vertices of degree 1 in the p pendant edges of G . By Lemma 2.2, $G[V_0]$ is a complete k -uniform hypergraph.

Obviously, if $p = 0$, then $G = G[V_0] \cong K_n^{(k)}$, and then $\rho_\alpha(G) = \binom{n-2}{k-2}(n-1)$. This is (i).

Suppose that $p \geq 1$.

If $n - p(k - 1) = k$, then $G[V_0] = K_k^{(k)}$ contains a single edge, which is the only non-pendant edge of G , and the p pendant edges are attached at at least two vertices of this edge. Obviously, G is a k -uniform hypertree with $p \geq 2$. By Theorem 4.1, $G \cong D_{n,k,1}$.

If $n - p(k - 1) > k$. Suppose that there are pendant edges at different vertices u and v of G . We may assume that $x_u \geq x_v$, where $x = x(G)$. Let G' be the k -uniform hypergraph obtained from G by moving all pendant edges at v from v to u . Obviously, $G' \in \mathbb{F}_{n,k}(p)$. By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(G') > \rho_\alpha(G)$, a contradiction. Thus all pendant edges share a common vertex, and $G \cong K_{n,p}^{(k)}$. This proves (ii). \square

If G is a hypergraph on n vertices with $n - 1$ pendant edges, then $G \cong S_n$.

Let $G(n)$ be the hypergraph on n vertices with all possible edges, that is, any vertex subset of cardinality at least two is an edge.

For $0 \leq p \leq n - 3$, let H_n^p be the hypergraph on $n \geq p + 3$ vertices obtained from $G(n - p)$ by attaching p pendant edges of size two to one vertex.

By Corollary 4.2, S_n^p is the unique hypertree on n vertices with p pendant edges having maximum α -spectral radius. To contrast with this, we have

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be a hypergraph on n vertices with p pendant edges having maximum α -spectral radius, where $0 \leq p \leq n - 2$.*

- (i) *if $p = n - 2$, then $G \cong S_n^{n-2}$;*
- (ii) *if $0 \leq p \leq n - 3$, then $G \cong H_n^p$.*

Proof. Suppose that $p = n - 2$. If G is 2-uniform, then G is isomorphic to some double star $S(n_1, n_2)$ obtained by adding an edge between the centers of two nontrivial stars S_{n_1} and S_{n_2} with $n_1 + n_2 = n$, and by Theorem 3.1, $\min\{n_1, n_2\} = 2$, i.e., $G \cong S(n - 2, 2)$. If G is not 2-uniform, then $G \cong S_n^{n-2}$. By Lemma 2.2 or Theorem 3.5, $\rho_\alpha(S_n^{n-2}) > \rho_\alpha(S(n - 2, 2))$. Thus $G \cong S_n^{n-2}$.

Next suppose that $0 \leq p \leq n - 3$. It is trivial if $p = 0$. Suppose that $1 \leq p \leq n - 3$.

Let e_1, \dots, e_p be the p pendant edges and V_1 be the set of vertices in pendant edges with degree 1. Let $V_0 = V \setminus V_1$. By Lemma 2.2, any subset of V_0 with at least two vertices is an edge of G .

Suppose that $|V_0| = 2$. Let $V_0 = \{u, v\}$. Assume that $x_u \geq x_v$. Let G' be the hypergraph obtained from G by moving pendant edges at v from v to u . By Theorem 3.1, $\rho_\alpha(G) < \rho_\alpha(G')$. Note that G' is a hypergraph on n vertices with $p + 1$ pendant edges, one of which is $\{u, v\}$. Since $p \leq n - 3$, there is a pendant edge e_1 with size at least 3. Let G'' be the hypergraph obtained from G' by adding all possible edges e such that $e \subseteq e_1$ with $|e| \geq 2$. Obviously, G'' is a hypergraph on n vertices with p pendant edges. By Lemma 2.2, $\rho_\alpha(G') < \rho_\alpha(G'')$. It follows that $\rho_\alpha(G) < \rho_\alpha(G'')$, a contradiction. Therefore $|V_0| \geq 3$ or $|V_0| = 1$. By Theorem 3.1, the p pendant edges are at a common vertex, say $v_1 \in V_0$. By Theorem 3.3, there is at most one pendant edge with size at least 3. Thus we may assume that $|e_1| \geq |e_2| = \dots = |e_p| = 2$. Let $e_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$. Suppose that $r \geq 3$. Let G^* be the hypergraph obtained from G by deleting edge e_1 , adding edge $\{v_1, v_2\}$ and all possible edges e containing at least one vertex in $\{v_3, \dots, v_r\}$ such that $e \subseteq \{v_3, \dots, v_r\} \cup V_0$. Obviously, G^* is also a hypergraph on n vertices with p pendant edges. For $\{w, z\} \subseteq V(G)$, it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{wz}(G^*) - a_{wz}(G) \\ = & \begin{cases} 2^{n-p-2} - 1 & \text{if } w = v_1, z \in \{v_3, \dots, v_r\}, \text{ or } w \in \{v_3, \dots, v_r\}, z = v_1, \\ -1 & \text{if } w = v_2, z \in \{v_3, \dots, v_r\}, \text{ or } w \in \{v_3, \dots, v_r\}, z = v_2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and $a_{wz}(G^*) - a_{wz}(G) \geq 0$ otherwise. Let $x = x(G)$. By Lemma 2.1, $x_{v_2} = x_{v_3} = \dots = x_{v_r}$ and $x_u = x_v$ for $u, v \in V_0 \setminus \{v_1\}$. Note that $A_\alpha(G[e_1]) = A_\alpha(K_r)$ is a principal submatrix of $A_\alpha(G)$. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\rho_\alpha(G) > \rho_\alpha(K_r) = r - 1$. From the eigenequation of G at v_2 , we have

$$\rho_\alpha(G)x_{v_2} = \alpha(r - 1)x_{v_2} + (1 - \alpha)(x_{v_1} + (r - 2)x_{v_2}),$$

which implies $(1 - \alpha)x_{v_1} = (\rho_\alpha(G) - r + 2 - \alpha)x_{v_2}$, and thus $x_{v_1} > x_{v_2}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_\alpha(G^*) - \rho_\alpha(G) \\ \geq & x^\top (A_\alpha(G^*) - A_\alpha(G))x \\ = & \sum_{\{w,z\} \subseteq V(G)} (a_{wz}(G^*) - a_{wz}(G)) (\alpha(x_w^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_w x_z) \\ = & \sum_{z \in \{v_3, \dots, v_r\}} (2^{n-p-2} - 1) (\alpha(x_{v_1}^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{v_1} x_z) \\ & - \sum_{z \in \{v_3, \dots, v_r\}} (\alpha(x_{v_2}^2 + x_z^2) + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{v_2} x_z) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha(r-2)(2^{n-p-2}-1)x_{v_1}^2 + \alpha(r-2)(2^{n-p-2}-1)x_{v_2}^2 \\
&\quad - 2\alpha(r-2)x_{v_2}^2 + 2(1-\alpha) \sum_{z \in \{v_3, \dots, v_r\}} x_z(x_{v_1} - x_{v_2}) \\
&= \alpha(r-2)(2^{n-p-2}-1)x_{v_1}^2 + (\alpha(r-2)(2^{n-p-2}-3) - 2(1-\alpha)(r-2))x_{v_2}^2 \\
&\quad + 2(1-\alpha)(r-2)x_{v_1}x_{v_2} \\
&> (\alpha(r-2)(2^{n-p-2}-1) + \alpha(r-2)(2^{n-p-2}-3) - 2(1-\alpha)(r-2) \\
&\quad + 2(1-\alpha)(r-2))x_{v_2}^2 \\
&= 2\alpha(2^{n-p-2}-2)(r-2)x_{v_2}^2 \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

implying $\rho_\alpha(G^*) > \rho_\alpha(G)$, a contradiction. Therefore $|e_1| = \dots = |e_p| = 2$, and $G \cong H_n^p$. \square

7 Concluding remarks

The 0-spectral radius of a uniform hypergraph has been studied in [14], while it seems that there is no study on the 0-spectral radius of a hypergraph that is not necessarily uniform (via the above adjacency matrix). In this paper, we consider the α -spectral radius of hypergraphs that are uniform or not necessarily uniform. We propose some local grafting operations that increase or decrease the α -spectral radius. Among others, we identify the unique k -uniform hypertrees with the first three largest α -spectral radii, the unique k -uniform unicyclic hypergraphs with maximum α -spectral radius, the unique k -uniform hypergraphs with maximum α -spectral radius when the number of pendant edges is given, and we also identify the unique hypertrees with maximum α -spectral radius among hypertrees with given number of vertices and edges, the unique hypertrees with the first three largest (two smallest, respectively) α -spectral radii among hypertrees with given number of vertices, the unique hypertrees with minimum α -spectral radius among the hypertrees that are not 2-uniform with given number of vertices, the unique hypergraphs with the first two largest (smallest, respectively) α -spectral radii among unicyclic hypergraphs with given number of vertices, and the unique hypergraphs with maximum α -spectral radius among hypergraphs with fixed number of pendant edges.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 12071158 and 11671156).

References

- [1] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, Spectra of Graphs, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [2] R.A. Brualdi, A.J. Hoffman, On the spectral radius of $(0,1)$ -matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 65 (1985) 133–146.

- [3] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, The largest eigenvalue of graph: a survey, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 28 (1990) 3–33.
- [4] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson, S. Simić, *Eigenspaces of Graphs*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [5] Y. Caro, R. Yuster, The uniformity space of hypergraphs and its applications, *Discrete Math.* 202 (1999) 1–19.
- [6] K. Feng, W.-C. Li, Spectra of hypergraphs and applications, *J. Number Theory* 60 (1996) 1–22.
- [7] H. Guo, B. Zhou, On the α -spectral radius of graphs, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 14 (2020) 431–458.
- [8] H. Guo, B. Zhou, On the α -spectral radius of uniform hypergraphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 40 (2020) 559–575.
- [9] P. Hansen, C. Lucas, Bounds and conjectures for the signless Laplacian index of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 432 (2010) 3319–3336.
- [10] A.J. Hoffman, J.H. Smith, On the spectral radii of topologically equivalent graphs, in: M. Fiedler (ed.), *Recent Advances in Graph Theory (Proc. Second Czechoslovak Sympos., Prague, 1974)*, Academia, Prague, 1975, pp. 273–281.
- [11] Q. Li, K.Q. Feng, On the largest eigenvalue of a graph, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica* 2 (1979) 167–175.
- [12] W.-C. Li, P. Solé, Spectra of regular graphs and hypergraphs and orthogonal polynomials, *European J. Combin.* 17 (1996) 461–477.
- [13] H. Lin, H. Guo, B. Zhou, On the α -spectral radius of irregular uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 68 (2020) 265–277.
- [14] H. Lin, B. Zhou, Spectral radius of uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 527 (2017) 32–52.
- [15] V. Linek, Colouring Steiner quadruple systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 70 (1995) 45–55.
- [16] M. Martínez, H.M. Stark, A.A. Terras, Some Ramanujan hypergraphs associated to $GL(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001) 1623–1629.
- [17] H. Minc, *Nonnegative Matrices*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988.
- [18] V. Nikiforov, Merging the A - and Q -spectral theories, *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.* 11 (2017) 81–107.

- [19] C.S. Oliveira, L.S. de Lima, N.M.M. de Abreu, P. Hansen, Bounds on the index of the signless Laplacian of a graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 158 (2010) 355–360.
- [20] J.A. Rodríguez, On the Laplacian eigenvalues and metric parameters of hypergraphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 50 (2002) 1–14.
- [21] J.A. Rodríguez, On the Laplacian spectrum and walk-regular hypergraphs, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 51 (2003) 285–297.
- [22] J.A. Rodríguez, Laplacian eigenvalues and partition problems in hypergraphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22 (2009) 916–921.
- [23] J.H. Smith, Some properties of the spectrum of a graph, in: *Combinatorial Structures and their Applications*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970, pp. 403–406.