

ON OPTIMAL RATE OF CONVERGENCE IN PERIODIC HOMOGENIZATION OF FORCED MEAN CURVATURE FLOW OF GRAPHS IN THE LAMINAR SETTING

JIWOONG JANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we obtain the optimal rate of convergence in periodic homogenization of forced graphical mean curvature flows in the laminated setting. We prove that a rate of convergence is $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, and we show by examples that this rate of convergence $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ is optimal.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we are interested in the quantitative understanding of convergence of graphical hypersurfaces $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t) (\subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ to $\Gamma(t)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in a laminated environment, where the hypersurfaces $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)$ evolve by the normal velocity

$$V = \varepsilon\kappa + c\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Here, κ is the mean curvature of the hypersurface, and c is a given force depending on the spatial variable periodically. Fixing axes of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , we write $c = c(x)$ with $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The media is laminated so that c is independent of x_{n+1} .

If $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)$ has a height function $u^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)$ so that $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t) = \{(x, u^\varepsilon(x, t)) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, then the evolution of hypersurfaces $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)$, with an initial graph $\{(x, u_0(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, is described by the equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t^\varepsilon + F\left(\varepsilon D^2 u^\varepsilon, Du^\varepsilon, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, where $F = F(X, p, y)$ is the mean curvature operator with a forcing term of graphs

$$F(X, p, y) = -\operatorname{tr} \left\{ \left(I_n - \frac{p \otimes p}{1 + |p|^2} \right) X \right\} - c(y) \sqrt{1 + |p|^2},$$

for $(X, p, y) \in S^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$. The precise meaning of notations will be introduced later.

Throughout this paper, we impose the following assumptions on the forcing term c ;

(A1) $c \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(A2) $c = c(y)$ is \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., $c(y + k) = c(y)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$;

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B10, 35B27, 35B40, 35B45, 35D40, 35K93, 53E10.

Key words and phrases. Cell problem; mean curvature flow of graphs; optimal rate of convergence; periodic homogenization.

The work of JJ was partially supported by NSF CAREER grant DMS-1843320.

(A3) $c(y)^2 - (n-1)|Dc(y)| > \delta$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for some $\delta > 0$.

We also assume that $u_0 \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Under the assumptions (A1)–(A3), it is known (see [14, 26] for instance) that u^ε converges locally uniformly to u as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty)$, which is a viscosity solution to the effective equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \overline{F}(Du) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Here, $\overline{F}(p)$ is the unique real number such that the cell problem

$$F(D^2v, p + Dv, y) = \overline{F}(p) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

admits a \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic solution $v \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. We refer to [14, 25] for the definition of $\overline{F}(p)$, or that of the effective Hamiltonian $\overline{H}(p)$.

The main goal of this paper is to obtain the optimal rate of convergence of u^ε to u as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ by proving (i) that for any given $T > 0$, $\|u^\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \leq C(1+T)\varepsilon^{1/2}$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of $T > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, and (ii) that $|u^\varepsilon(x_0, t_0) - u(x_0, t_0)| \geq C\varepsilon^{1/2}$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t_0 > 0$ in certain cases.

1.1. Literature and main results. Periodic homogenization of geometric motions has been studied only recently. In [26], Lipschitz continuous correctors were found under the assumption (A3) in the periodic setting. When the gradient of the force c is large and $n \geq 3$, it is shown in [3] by an example in the laminar setting that homogenization may not occur. It is also shown in [3] that homogenization always takes place when $n = 2$ for the level-set fronts as long as the force is positive, whose argument is 2-d arguments, showing the front is trapped in two parallel translations of an initial front in a bounded distance. Without any sign condition on c , Lipschitz continuous correctors were found in [12] under the condition that $c \in C^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$ and that $\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{T}^n)}$ is small enough, whose part of the proof is based on [4]. A further analysis on asymptotic speeds is given in [16]. For more related works, we refer to [7, 8, 10]. See also [2, 27] for more works on different scalings. To the best of our knowledge, quantitative homogenization of geometric motions in the periodic environment has not been treated.

Quantitative homogenization for Hamilton-Jacobi equations in the periodic setting has received a lot of attention. Convergence rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/3})$ was obtained for first-order equations in [6]. In [5], the rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/3})$ for viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations was verified, based on the method of [6]. For convex first-order Hamilton-Jacobi equations, the optimal rate of convergence $O(\varepsilon)$ was obtained very recently in [32]. We refer to [19, 29, 32, 33] and the references therein for earlier progress in this direction.

In this paper, we obtain the optimal convergence rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ for periodic homogenization of forced mean curvature flow of graphs. The proof is based on the doubling variable argument in [6] and the perturbed test function method [14]. In order to overcome the absence of a continuous selection of correctors $v(\cdot, p)$ in p , the approximated cell problems are used in [6]. During this process, another parameter is introduced,

which results in the rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/3})$ by optimizing all parameters. In the case when we have a regular selection of correctors (although we cannot expect this in general as noted in [29, Theorem 5.4]) for first order Hamilton-Jacobi equations, we can save one parameter by directly dealing with corrector, and indeed, we necessarily have the rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$, provided in [31, Theorem 4.40]. Furthermore, in [30], this rate is proven to be optimal for the viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations by an example, where the heat kernel representation formula is utilized. For graphical mean curvature flows, we first establish the convergence rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ starting from the fact that there is a regular selection of correctors $v(\cdot, p)$ in p , and then we provide a geometric example that realizes the rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ as a lower bound. Our method is closely related to [30], [31, Theorem 4.40].

We discuss the literature on the study of non-coercive equations. We remark that the argument presented in this paper relies strongly on the equi-Lipschitz continuity of solutions to the (discounted) cell problems, which comes from the coercivity condition (A3) on the forcing term (see [21, 26]). Recently, the effective burning velocities of the curvature G-equation, which is non-coercive, with two dimensional cellular flows have been shown to exist in [17]. To overcome the lack of coercivity, a completely new idea has been introduced using the analysis of a game theoretic formulation [23]. Regarding the issue of full homogenization of this equation, it is shown very recently in [28] that in dimensions three or higher, the effective burning velocity associated with shear flows does not exist when the flow intensity surpasses a bifurcation point, and therefore, nonhomogenization has been concluded in this case.

We now give the precise statements of our main results. The rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ is obtained in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume (A1)-(A3), and let u_0 be a globally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|Du_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0 < +\infty$. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, let u^ε be the unique classical solution to (1.1), and let u be the unique viscosity solution to (1.2). Fix $T > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on $n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta$ such that*

$$\|u^\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \leq C(1 + T)\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

The next theorem shows that the rate $O(\varepsilon^{1/2})$ is optimal by an example, also for which a gradient estimate holds [13] with Lipschitz initial data.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $c \equiv 0$, and let $u_0(x) = |x|$. For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, let u^ε be the unique classical solution to (1.1), and let u be the unique viscosity solution to (1.2). Then, there exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|u^\varepsilon(0, 1) - u(0, 1)| \geq C\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we state propositions about the well-posedness of (1.1). In Section 3, we simplify the settings of the problem by using a priori estimates, and give a proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we obtain the optimality of the rate in Theorem 1.1 by proving Theorem 1.2.

Notations. The set of all n by n matrices is denoted by S^n . The matrix I_n denotes the n by n identity matrix, and $p \otimes p$ is the matrix $(p^i p^j)_{i,j=1}$ for $p = (p^1, \dots, p^n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the subsequent sections, $\langle x \rangle$ denotes the number $(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that $D\langle x \rangle = \frac{x}{\langle x \rangle}$, and $D^2\langle x \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle x \rangle} \left(I_n - \frac{x}{\langle x \rangle} \otimes \frac{x}{\langle x \rangle} \right)$. We also let, for $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $a(p)$ denote the matrix $I_n - \frac{p}{\langle p \rangle} \otimes \frac{p}{\langle p \rangle}$. For a nonzero vector p in \mathbb{R}^n (or in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}), we let $\hat{p} = \frac{p}{|p|}$. For a square matrix α , we let $\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{\text{tr}\{\alpha^t \alpha\}}$, where $\text{tr}\{\cdot\}$ is the trace of a given argument square matrix. A number $C = C(\cdot) > 0$ denotes a positive constant that may vary line by line, and its dependency on parameters will be specified in arguments.

For $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we let $a^{ij}(p)$ be the (i, j) -entry of the matrix $a(p)$. We define $D_p a(p) \odot q$ to be the matrix $\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p^k} a^{ij}(p) \right) q^k \right)_{i,j=1, \dots, n}$ for $q = (q^1, \dots, q^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. WELL-POSEDNESS OF (1.1)

We assume the conditions (A1)-(A3) throughout this section. We consider the forced mean curvature flow of graphs

$$\begin{cases} w_t = \text{tr} \{ a(Dw) D^2 w \} + c(x) \sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T), \\ w(x, 0) = w_0(x) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

We note that by change of variables, namely $u^\varepsilon(x, t) = \varepsilon w\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$, or $w(x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u^\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon t)$, we can go back and forth between (1.1) and (2.1) (when $T = +\infty$), with the change $w_0(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u_0(\varepsilon x)$, $u_0(x) = \varepsilon w_0\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} x\right)$. We also note that Lipschitz constants on initial data are preserved through this change of variables.

We first state the comparison principle of classical sub and supersolutions to (2.1). Note that we require the uniform continuity of initial data. We refer to [11, 18] for the uniqueness result for uniformly continuous viscosity solutions. See [1] for more general results in this direction.

Proposition 2.1. *Let w_0 be a uniformly continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n . Let w^1, w^2 be a classical subsolution and a classical supersolution to (2.1), respectively. If $w^1(x, 0) \leq w_0(x) \leq w^2(x, 0)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $w^1(x, t) \leq w^2(x, t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, T]$.*

Next, we state the short-time existence of classical solutions to (1.1). Although readily known, for instance in [12, 13], we state it for completeness. The proof is provided in Appendix.

Proposition 2.2. *Let w_0 be a globally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|Dw_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0 < +\infty$. Then, there exists $T^* = T^*(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0) > 0$ such that (2.1) with $T = T^*$ has a unique classical solution $w = w(x, t)$. Moreover, for each $T \in (0, T^*)$, there exist $N = N(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, T) > 0$ and $C = C(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, T) > 0$ such that*

$$\|Dw\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \leq N,$$

and

$$\|D^2 w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}$$

for $t \in (0, T]$.

Next, we state a priori time derivative estimates. See [21, Lemma 3.1].

Proposition 2.3. *Let w_0 be a globally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|Dw_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0 < +\infty$. Let $T^* = T^*(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0) > 0$ be chosen such that (2.1) with $T = T^*$ has the unique classical solution $w = w(x, t)$. Then, for any $\tau \in (0, T^*)$, it holds that*

$$\|w_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times]\tau, T^*))} \leq \|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty.$$

We prove a priori gradient estimates. The point of the following proposition is to remove the dependency on $T \in (0, T^*)$ in the estimate of Proposition 2.2. The proof is given in Appendix.

Proposition 2.4. *Let w_0 be a globally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|Dw_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0 < +\infty$. Let $T^* = T^*(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0) > 0$ be chosen such that (2.1) with $T = T^*$ has the unique classical solution $w = w(x, t)$. Then, for any $\tau \in (0, T^*)$, there exists $M = M(n, \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \delta) > 0$ such that*

$$\|Dw\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times]\tau, T^*))} \leq \max\{\|Dw(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, M\}.$$

Here, $\delta > 0$ is the number appearing in the condition (A3).

Combining Propositions 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, we obtain the long-time existence, stated in the following.

Theorem 2.5. *Let w_0 be a globally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|Dw_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0 < +\infty$. Then, (2.1) has a unique classical solution for all time ($T = +\infty$), and moreover, there exists $M = M(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta) > 0$ such that*

$$\|Dw\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times]0, \infty))} \leq M.$$

Here, $\delta > 0$ is the number appearing in the condition (A3).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

3.1. Settings and simplifications. Let u_0 be a globally Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n with $\|Du_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0$, we consider (1.1). Then, $w(x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u^\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon t)$ solves (2.1) with $w_0(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u_0(\varepsilon x)$ with the same Lipschitz constant N_0 . Through this change of variables, we see that there exists $M = M(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times]\varepsilon, \infty))} + \|Du^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times]0, \infty))} \leq M.$$

Also, for each compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, we see, by barrier functions depending only on N_0 , $\|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, that there exists $C = C(N_0, \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, K) > 0$ such that $\|u^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(K)} \leq C$. By Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem, u^ε converges to u locally uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (upto a subsequence), and u solves (1.2) (see [14]), and satisfies

$$\|u_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times]0, \infty))} + \|Du\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times]0, \infty))} \leq M.$$

Therefore, changing the values of $F(X, p, x)$ outside $\{(X, p, y) \in S^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : |p| \leq M\}$ does not affect the equations (1.1) and (1.2).

Let $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, [0, 1])$ be a cut-off function such that

$$\xi(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } r \leq \sqrt{1 + M^2} + 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } r \geq \sqrt{1 + M^2} + 2. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\tilde{F}(X, p, y) = -\text{tr} \left\{ \left(I_n - \frac{p \otimes p}{1 + |p|^2} \right) X \right\} - \tilde{c}(y, p) \sqrt{1 + |p|^2}$$

for $(X, p, x) \in S^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, where

$$\tilde{c}(y, p) = \xi \left(\sqrt{1 + |p|^2} \right) c(y) + \left(1 - \xi \left(\sqrt{1 + |p|^2} \right) \right) c_0.$$

Here, $c_0 = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (c(y))$ if $c > 0$, and $c_0 = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} (c(y))$ if $c < 0$. Note that $c = c(y)$ is either always positive or always negative due to the assumption (A3). From this choice of the constant c_0 , $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(y, p)$ satisfies

- (A1)' $\tilde{c}(y, p)$ is C^2 in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and C^∞ in $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$;
- (A2)' $\tilde{c}(y + k, p) = \tilde{c}(y, p)$ for all $y, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$;
- (A3)' $\tilde{c}(y, p)^2 - (n - 1)|D_y \tilde{c}(y, p)| > \delta$ for all $y, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for the same $\delta > 0$ in (A3).

Also, it holds that $\tilde{c}(y, p) \in [\min_{\mathbb{R}^n}(c), \max_{\mathbb{R}^n}(c)]$ for all $(y, p) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. We note that u^ε solves (1.1) with \tilde{F} in place of F as expected.

Since the modified force $\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}(y, p)$ satisfies the assumption (A3)', we have the following proposition (see [26, Proposition 3.1]).

Proposition 3.1. *For each $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a unique real number, denoted by $\overline{\tilde{F}}(p)$, such that the cell problem*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{F}(D^2 \tilde{v}, p + D \tilde{v}, y) = \overline{\tilde{F}}(p) & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \tilde{v}(0, p) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

has a unique \mathbb{Z}^n -periodic solution $\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}(\cdot, p) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the map $p \mapsto \tilde{v}(y, p)$ is well-defined and is a C^2 map from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} . Also, the map $p \mapsto \overline{\tilde{F}}(p)$ is a C^2 map from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} , and $\overline{\tilde{F}}(p) \in [\min_{\mathbb{R}^n}(-\tilde{c}(\cdot, p))\sqrt{1 + |p|^2}, \max_{\mathbb{R}^n}(-\tilde{c}(\cdot, p))\sqrt{1 + |p|^2}]$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Before we move on to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we explain the additional property coming from the modified operator \tilde{F} . For $|p| \geq M + 10$, $\tilde{c}(y, p) = c_0$, and thus, $\tilde{v}(\cdot, p) \equiv 0$. Therefore, there exists a constant $C = C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{y, p \in \mathbb{R}^n} |\tilde{v}(y, p)| + \sup_{y, p \in \mathbb{R}^n} |D_y \tilde{v}(y, p)| + \sup_{y, p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \|D_y^2 \tilde{v}(y, p)\| \leq C. \quad (3.2)$$

Here, the gradient $D_y \tilde{v}(y, p)$ and the Hessian $D_y^2 \tilde{v}(y, p)$ are with respect to the variable $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

3.2. Proof. We prove that for a fixed $T > 0$,

$$\|u^\varepsilon - u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \leq C(1 + T)\varepsilon^{1/2} \quad (3.3)$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta) > 0$. From now on, we use F and v to denote \tilde{F} and \tilde{v} , respectively, by abuse of notations.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show that

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u(x, t) \leq C(1 + T)\varepsilon^{1/2}$$

for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$. We set the auxiliary function

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x, y, z, t, s) := & u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u(y, s) - \varepsilon v\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{z - y}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) \\ & - \frac{|x - y|^2 + |t - s|^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} - \frac{|x - z|^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} - K(t + s) - \gamma\langle x \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $K, \gamma > 0$ are numbers that will be chosen later. Then, the global maximum of Φ on $\mathbb{R}^{3n} \times [0, T]^2$ is attained at a certain point $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, \hat{s}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3n} \times [0, T]^2$.

From $\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq \Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{x}, \hat{t}, \hat{s})$ with (3.2), we have

$$\frac{|\hat{x} - \hat{z}|^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} \leq \varepsilon \left(v\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{x} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) - v\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) \right) \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}|\hat{x} - \hat{z}|,$$

which gives $|\hat{x} - \hat{z}| \leq C\varepsilon$. Also, from $\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq \Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{x}, \hat{x}, \hat{t}, \hat{s})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\hat{x} - \hat{y}|^2 + |\hat{x} - \hat{z}|^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} & \leq u(\hat{x}, \hat{s}) - u(\hat{y}, \hat{s}) + \varepsilon \left(v\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, 0\right) - v\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) \right) \\ & \leq C|\hat{x} - \hat{y}| + C\varepsilon^{1/2}|\hat{y} - \hat{z}|, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $|\hat{x} - \hat{y}| + |\hat{y} - \hat{z}| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}$. Lastly, $\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq \Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, \hat{t})$ and $\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, \hat{s}) \geq \Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{s}, \hat{s})$ give $|\hat{t} - \hat{s}| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}$.

Next, we show that the case $\hat{t}, \hat{s} > 0$ does not happen if $\gamma \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}$, $K = K_1\varepsilon^{1/2}$, $K_1 > 0$ a constant sufficiently large. Assume first that $\hat{t}, \hat{s} > 0$. Then, $(x, t) \mapsto \Phi(x, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, t, \hat{s})$ attains a maximum at (\hat{x}, \hat{t}) , and thus, by the maximum principle,

$$\begin{aligned} Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) & = Dv\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) + \frac{\hat{x} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \frac{\hat{x} - \hat{z}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \gamma \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle}, \\ u_t^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) & \geq K + \frac{\hat{t} - \hat{s}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}, \\ D^2u^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) & \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} D^2v\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} I_n + \frac{\gamma}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \left(I_n - \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \otimes \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From $u_t^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) + F(\varepsilon D^2u^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), \frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 & \geq K + \frac{\hat{t} - \hat{s}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + F\left(D^2v\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right) + 2\varepsilon^{1/2}I_n + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \left(I_n - \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \otimes \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \right), Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), \frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ & = K + \frac{\hat{t} - \hat{s}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + F\left(D^2v\left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\right), Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), \frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$- \operatorname{tr} \left\{ a \left(Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \right) \left(2\varepsilon^{1/2} I_n + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \left(I_n - \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \otimes \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \right) \right) \right\}.$$

Since $0 \leq a(Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t})) \leq I_n$ and $0 \leq 2\varepsilon^{1/2} I_n + \frac{\varepsilon\gamma}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \left(I_n - \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \otimes \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \right) \leq (2\varepsilon^{1/2} + \varepsilon\gamma) I_n$, we obtain

$$0 \geq K + \frac{\hat{t} - \hat{s}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + F \left(D^2 v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right), Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), \frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon} \right) - n\varepsilon^{1/2}(2 + \gamma\varepsilon^{1/2}). \quad (3.4)$$

Besides, $v = v(\cdot, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}})$ solves

$$F \left(D^2 v, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + Dv, y \right) = \overline{F} \left(\frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right).$$

Since $|Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) - (\frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + Dv)| = \left| \frac{2(\hat{x} - \hat{z})}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \gamma \frac{\hat{x}}{\langle \hat{x} \rangle} \right| \leq C(\varepsilon^{1/2} + \gamma)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| F \left(D^2 v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right), Du^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}), \frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - F \left(D^2 v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right), \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + Dv \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right), \frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon} \right) \right| \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon^{1/2} + \gamma) \left(\left\| D^2 v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) \right\| + \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} |c(y)| \right) \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon^{1/2} + \gamma). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Here, we are using the property of a that for $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\|a(p) - a(q)\| \leq C|p - q|,$$

and using Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality $|\operatorname{tr}\{\alpha\beta^t\}| \leq \|\alpha\| \|\beta\|$ for two square matrices α, β of the same size. Therefore, combining (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$0 \geq K + \frac{\hat{t} - \hat{s}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \overline{F} \left(\frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) - C(\varepsilon^{1/2} + \gamma). \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we fix $(\hat{x}, \hat{z}, \hat{t})$. For $\sigma > 0$, we let

$$\Psi(y, \xi, s) := u(y, s) + \varepsilon v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \xi}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) + \frac{|\hat{x} - y|^2 + |\hat{t} - s|^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \frac{|y - \xi|^2}{2\sigma} + Ks.$$

Then, Ψ attains a minimum at $(y_\sigma, \xi_\sigma, s_\sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times [0, T]$, and $(y_\sigma, \xi_\sigma, s_\sigma) \rightarrow (\hat{y}, \hat{y}, \hat{t})$ as $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ upto a subsequence. From $\Psi(y_\sigma, \xi_\sigma, s_\sigma) \leq \Psi(y_\sigma, y_\sigma, s_\sigma)$, we get

$$\frac{|y_\sigma - \xi_\sigma|^2}{2\sigma} \leq \varepsilon \left(v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - y_\sigma}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) - v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \xi_\sigma}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) \right) \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} |y_\sigma - \xi_\sigma|,$$

which implies $|y_\sigma - \xi_\sigma| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}\sigma$. Now, $(y, s) \mapsto \Psi(y, \xi_\sigma, s)$ attains a minimum at (y_σ, s_σ) , and by the viscosity supersolution test for u at (y_σ, s_σ) , we obtain

$$-K - \frac{s_\sigma - \hat{t}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \overline{F} \left(-\frac{y_\sigma - \hat{x}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} - \frac{y_\sigma - \xi_\sigma}{\sigma} \right) \geq 0.$$

Letting $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$-K + \frac{\hat{t} - \hat{s}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \overline{F} \left(\frac{\hat{x} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) \geq -C\varepsilon^{1/2}. \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$2K \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} + C\gamma.$$

For the choices $\gamma \leq \varepsilon^{1/2}$, $K = K_1\varepsilon^{1/2}$, $K_1 > 0$ a constant sufficiently large, we see that this is a contradiction.

Therefore, we have either $\hat{t} = 0$ or $\hat{s} = 0$. In case when $\hat{s} = 0$, we write $w(x, t) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}u^\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon t)$ as in Section 2. By Theorem 2.5 and [12, Lemma A.1], we have a local-in-time curvature estimate $\|D^2w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$ for some constant $C = C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta) > 0$. Thus,

$$\|u_t^\varepsilon(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \left(\left\| D^2w \left(\cdot, \frac{t}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 1 \right) \leq C \left(\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{t}} + 1 \right).$$

for $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$. Combining with the fact from Proposition 2.3 that $\|u_t^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\varepsilon, \infty))} \leq \|u_t^\varepsilon(\cdot, \varepsilon)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, we conclude $u^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) - u_0(\hat{x}) = \int_0^{\hat{t}} u_t^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, s) ds \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}$, and therefore

$$\Phi(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{t}, \hat{s}) \leq u^\varepsilon(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) - u(\hat{y}, \hat{s}) - \varepsilon v \left(\frac{\hat{x}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\hat{z} - \hat{y}}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}.$$

In case when $\hat{t} = 0$, the above follows from the fact that $\|u_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))} \leq C$.

Since $\Phi(x, x, x, t, t) \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2}$ for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$, it holds that

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u(x, t) \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} + \varepsilon v \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, 0 \right) + 2K_1\varepsilon^{1/2}t + \gamma\langle x \rangle.$$

By letting $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the upper bound

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u(x, t) \leq C(1 + T)\varepsilon^{1/2}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$.

To prove the lower bound

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u(x, t) \geq -C(1 + T)\varepsilon^{1/2}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T]$, we alternatively consider another auxiliary function

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(x, y, z, t, s) &:= u^\varepsilon(x, t) - u(y, s) - \varepsilon v \left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{z - y}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{|x - y|^2 + |t - s|^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} + \frac{|x - z|^2}{2\varepsilon^{1/2}} + K(t + s) + \gamma\langle x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we follow a similar argument as the above to obtain the lower bound. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We let $c(x) = 0$, $u_0(x) = |x|$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that $u(x, t) = |x|$ is the unique viscosity solution to the effective equation (1.2) with $\bar{F} \equiv 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\bar{w} = \bar{w}(x, t)$ be the unique Lipschitz classical solution to the mean curvature flow

$$\begin{cases} \bar{w}_t = \text{tr} \{a(D\bar{w})D^2\bar{w}\} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ \bar{w}(x, 0) = |x| & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

As (4.1) enjoys the comparison principle among Lipschitz solutions, we have that $\frac{1}{\lambda}\bar{w}(\lambda x, \lambda^2 t) = \bar{w}(x, t)$ for any $\lambda > 0$. Therefore, $\bar{w}(0, t) = \sqrt{t}\bar{w}(0, 1)$ for any $t \geq 0$, and the fact that $\bar{w}(0, 1) > 0$ can be proved by taking a barrier function from below whose initial data is a smooth convex function that passes through the origin and is less or equal to the function $w_0(x) = |x|$.

Since $u^\varepsilon(x, t) = \varepsilon\bar{w}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $u(x, t) = |x|$, we have

$$u^\varepsilon(0, t) - u(0, t) = \varepsilon\bar{w}\left(0, \frac{t}{\varepsilon}\right) = \bar{w}(0, 1)\sqrt{t\varepsilon} > 0.$$

By taking $t = 1$, $C = \bar{w}(0, 1) > 0$, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we list proofs of Propositions 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and of 2.5. Although readily known in the literature (see [3, 9, 12, 13, 15, 21]), we include them for completeness.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. The functions $W^1(x, z, t) = w^1(x, t) - z$, $W^2(x, z, t) = w^2(x, t) - z$ are a classical subsolution and a classical supersolution, respectively, to

$$\begin{cases} W_t = \text{tr} \left\{ \left(I_{n+1} - \frac{\nabla W}{|\nabla W|} \otimes \frac{\nabla W}{|\nabla W|} \right) \nabla^2 W \right\} + c(x)|\nabla W| & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \times (0, T), \\ W(x, z, 0) = w_0(x) - z & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

which enjoys the geometricity condition (see [9] for the definition of this condition). Here, the gradient ∇W and the Hessian $\nabla^2 W$ are with respect to the variable (x, z) . The comparison principle of (4.2) is established in [3, Theorem 3.3], which we leave as a reference for the proof of this proposition. We also remark [3, Theorem 3.3] which imposes growth condition on sub and supersolutions without loss of generality, using the geometricity condition. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. The uniqueness follows from Proposition 2.1.

First, we assume w_0 is smooth with $\|Dw_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0 < +\infty$. To prove a priori gradient estimates, we let $w = w(x, t)$ be a classical solution to (2.1) where $T > 0$ is to be determined. Let $z := \sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}$. Then,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\Gamma \right) z \leq -|A|^2 z - \frac{2}{z} |\nabla_\Gamma z|^2 + C_1 z^2,$$

where Γ is the hypersurface $\{(x, w(x, t)) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, ∇_Γ is the gradient operator on the tangent space of Γ , Δ_Γ is Laplace-Beltrami operator on the tangent space of Γ , and $|A|^2$ is the square norm of the second fundamental form. Here, $C_1 = C_1(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}) > 0$ is some positive constant depending only on $\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. See [12, Appendix A]. Then, $y := \frac{1}{z} + (C_1 + 1)t$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\Gamma\right) y \geq \frac{1}{z}|A|^2 + 1.$$

As $y \geq 1$, for each $t \in [0, T)$, the function $\Phi_j(x, t) = y + \varepsilon_j|x|^2$ admits a minimizer $x_j(t)$, where $\{\varepsilon_j\}_j$ is a sequence of positive numbers that converges to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. At $x_j(t)$, we have $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Delta_\Gamma \Phi_j \geq 0$.

For each $t \in [0, T]$, we let $\Phi^*(t) = \inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} y(\cdot, t)$, and choose $t = t^*$ at which $\Phi^*(t^*) = \inf_{[0, T]} \Phi^*(\cdot)$. If $t^* = 0$, we obtain the conclusion from

$$y = \frac{1}{z} + (C_1 + 1)t \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + N_0^2}}$$

that

$$z \leq \frac{\sqrt{1 + N_0^2}}{1 - (C_1 + 1)\sqrt{1 + N_0^2}t} \quad (4.3)$$

on $[0, T^*)$, with the choice $T^* := \frac{1}{(C_1 + 1)\sqrt{1 + N_0^2}}$. On the other hand, if there exists $t_1 > 0$ such that $\Phi^*(t_1) = \inf_{[0, T]} \Phi^*(\cdot)$ and $\Phi^*(t) > \Phi^*(t_1)$ for all $t \in [0, t_1)$, then it would hold that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}(x_j(t_1), t_1) \leq 0$. However, this would result in

$$0 \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_\Gamma\right) y(x_j(t_1), t_1) \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{z}|A|^2 + 1\right) \geq 1,$$

a contradiction.

A priori gradient estimates yield a priori estimates for the second fundamental form (see [12, Lemma A.1]), as well as those for higher-order tensors. The a priori estimates just obtained give the existence of a smooth solution upto time $T > 0$ chosen as above for smooth initial data (with gradient bounded by N_0), and the solution satisfies the a priori estimates. For a Lipschitz initial data w_0 with $\|Dw_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq N_0$, approximation by smooth initial data provides a solution (with convergence in the smooth topology by estimates for higher-order tensors) which is smooth in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $T \in (\tau, T^*)$. Then, by Proposition 2.2, there exist $N = N(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, T) > 0$ and $C = C(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, T) > 0$ such that

$$\|Dw\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T])} \leq N,$$

and

$$\|D^2w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}$$

for $t \in (\tau, T]$. Therefore, by the equation (2.1), we see that $\|w_t\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau, T])} \leq K = K(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \tau, T)$.

We aim to prove

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau, T]} w_t \leq \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w_t(\cdot, \tau).$$

Suppose for the contrary that there exists $(x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\tau, T]$ such that

$$w_t(x_0, t_0) > \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w_t(\cdot, \tau).$$

Then there would exist a number $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$w_t(x_0, t_0) - \lambda t_0 > \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w_t(\cdot, \tau) - \lambda \tau.$$

We run Bernstein method now with $\Phi(x, t) := w_t(x, t) - \lambda t$. Let $\Phi^*(t) := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(\cdot, t)$ for each $t \in [\tau, T]$. Then $\Phi^*(t_0) > \Phi^*(\tau)$. Fix a sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_j$ of positive numbers that converges to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. For each $t \in [\tau, T]$, let $x_j(t)$ be a maximizer of $\Phi_j(x, t) = \Phi(x, t) - \varepsilon_j |x|^2$. Then, $\Phi(x_j(t), t) \rightarrow \Phi^*(t)$, $D\Phi(x_j(t), t) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} D^2\Phi(x_j(t), t) \leq 0$ in the sense that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} (D^2\Phi(x_j(t), t)v) \cdot v \leq 0$ for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Note that $\{t \in [\tau, T] : \Phi^*(t) = \sup_{[\tau, T]} \Phi^*(\cdot)\}$ is a closed subinterval of $[\tau, T]$ not containing τ . Consequently, there exists $t^* \in (\tau, T]$ such that $\Phi^*(t^*) = \sup_{[\tau, T]} \Phi^*(\cdot)$, $\Phi^*(t) < \Phi^*(t^*)$ for all $t \in [\tau, t^*)$, and thus that $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_t(x_j(t^*), t^*) \geq 0$.

Differentiating the first line of (2.1) in t , we obtain

$$(w_t)_t - \text{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2w_t\} = \text{tr}\{a(Dw)_t D^2w\} + c \frac{Dw \cdot Dw_t}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}}.$$

Also, at $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}\{a(Dw)_t D^2w\} &= \text{tr}\{(D_p a(Dw) \odot Dw_t) D^2w\} \\ &\leq \frac{4n^3}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}} |Dw_t| \|D^2w\| \leq C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \tau) |Dw_t| \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \tau) > 0$ depending only on its argument.

Here, we have used the fact that $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} a^{ij}(p) \right| \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{1 + |p|^2}}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Also,

$$c \frac{Dw \cdot Dw_t}{\sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2}} \leq \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |Dw_t|.$$

Therefore, evaluated at $(x_j(t^*), t^*)$ in the following limit,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_t - \text{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2\Phi\}) \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} (-\lambda + (w_t)_t - \text{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2w_t\}) \\ &\leq -\lambda + \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \tau) |Dw_t| \\ &= -\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

The statement $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau, T]} w_t \geq \inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} w_t(\cdot, \tau)$ can be verified similarly, and $T \in (\tau, T^*)$ can be chosen arbitrarily. Therefore, we complete the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Let $T \in (\tau, T^*)$. By (4.3) in the proof of Proposition 2.2, there exists $N = N(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, T) > 0$ such that

$$\|Dw\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau, T])} \leq N. \quad (4.4)$$

The goal of this proof is to make this estimate independent of $T \in (\tau, T^*)$.

We now run Bernstein method with $\Phi(x, t) := z(x, t)$. Let $\Phi^*(t) := \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(\cdot, t)$ for $t \in [\tau, T]$. Let $\{\varepsilon_j\}_j$ be a sequence of positive numbers that converges to 0 as $j \rightarrow \infty$. For each $t \in [\tau, T]$, a maximizer $\{x_j(t)\}_j$ of $\Phi_j(x, t) := \Phi(x, t) - \varepsilon_j|x|^2$ satisfies that $\Phi(x_j(t), t) \rightarrow \Phi^*(t)$, $D\Phi(x_j(t), t) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, and that $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} D^2\Phi(x_j(t), t) \leq 0$. Here, we are using the estimate (4.4).

If $\{t \in [\tau, T] : \Phi^*(t) = \sup_{[\tau, T]} \Phi^*(\cdot)\}$ contains τ , we obtain the conclusion. We assume the other case so that there exists $t_1 \in (\tau, T]$ such that $\Phi^*(t) < \Phi^*(t_1) = \sup_{[\tau, T]} \Phi^*(\cdot)$ for all $t \in [\tau, t_1)$. Then, it holds that $\liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_t(x_j(t_1), t_1) \geq 0$.

We differentiate the first line of (2.1) in x_k and multiply by w_{x_k} and then sum over $k = 1, \dots, n$. We get, as a result,

$$\begin{aligned} z z_t - z \operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2z\} &= z \operatorname{tr}\{(D_p a(Dw) \odot Dz)D^2w\} - \operatorname{tr}\{(a(Dw)D^2w)^2\} \\ &\quad + z Dc \cdot Dw + cDw \cdot Dz. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

We estimate the term $\operatorname{tr}\{(a(Dw)D^2w)^2\}$. Using the fact that $Dz = z^{-1}D^2wDw$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr}\{(a(Dw)D^2w)^2\} \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2wI_nD^2w\} - \operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2w\frac{Dw}{z} \otimes \frac{Dw}{z}D^2w\} \\ &= \operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)(D^2w)^2\} - \operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)Dz \otimes Dz\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Recall Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality; for two square matrices α, β of the same size, we have

$$\operatorname{tr}\{\alpha\beta^t\}^2 \leq \|\alpha\|^2\|\beta\|^2$$

Assume $n \geq 2$. We put $\alpha = (a(Dw))^{1/2}D^2w$, $\beta = (a(Dw))^{1/2}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)(D^2w)^2\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n-1 + \frac{1}{z^2}} \operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2w\}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n-1} (w_t - cz)^2 - \frac{1}{(n-1)^2 z^2} (w_t - cz)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{c^2}{n-1} z^2 - \frac{2\|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{n-1} z - C(n, \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

for some constant $C(n, \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) > 0$ depending only on its arguments. Here, we have used Proposition 2.3.

Note that

$$z \operatorname{tr}\{(D_p a(Dw) \odot Dz)D^2w\} \leq 4n^3 |Dz| \|D^2w\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau, T])} \leq C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \tau, T) |Dz|.$$

from the Hessian estimate in Proposition 2.2. We also have used the fact that $\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial p^k} a^{ij}(p) \right| \leq \frac{4}{\sqrt{1+|p|^2}}$ for $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

From (4.5), (4.6), (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & zz_t - z \operatorname{tr}\{a(Dw)D^2w\} \\ & \leq C(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \tau, T) |Dz| - \left(\frac{c^2}{n-1} - |Dc| \right) z^2 + \frac{2\|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{n-1} z \\ & \quad + |Dz|^2 + \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |Dz| z + C(n, \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Evaluate at $(x_j(t_1), t_1)$ and let $j \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain

$$0 \leq -\delta \Phi^*(t_1)^2 + C \Phi^*(t_1) + C$$

for some constant $C = C(n, \|c\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \|w_t(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}) > 0$ (taking a larger one than the previous lines if necessary) depending only on its arguments. This completes the proof when $n \geq 2$. In the case of $n = 1$, the estimate can be carried out similarly. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $T^* = T^*(\|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0) > 0$ be chosen as in Proposition 2.2. Fix $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{2}T^*$. Tracking the dependency on parameters using Propositions 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, we see that there exists $M = M(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$\|Dw\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau_0, T^*])} \leq M.$$

Let $\tau_1 = T^* - \varepsilon \in (\tau_0, T^*)$. Starting from $w(\cdot, \tau_1)$ at $t = \tau_1$, seen as an initial data, we can extend the solution on time interval $[\tau_1, \tau_1 + \frac{1}{(C_1+1)\sqrt{1+M^2}})$ (see the proof of Proposition 2.2 for this explicit expression). As $\varepsilon > 0$ can be arbitrarily small, the solution exists on time interval $[0, T_1^*)$ with $T_1^* = T^* + \frac{1}{(C_1+1)\sqrt{1+M^2}}$. Not changing the choice $\tau_0 = \frac{1}{2}T^*$, we still have

$$\|Dw\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\tau_0, T_1^*])} \leq M.$$

with the same constant $M = M(n, \|c\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, N_0, \delta) > 0$ by applying the proofs of Propositions 2.3, 2.4. Then, we can extend the solution on time interval $[0, T_2^*)$ with $T_2^* = T^* + \frac{2}{(C_1+1)\sqrt{1+M^2}}$ as we just did from $[0, T^*)$ to $[0, T_1^*)$. We inductively proceed to conclude the solution exists for all time. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Olivier Ley, Qing Liu, Hiroyoshi Mitake, Norbert Pozar, Hung V. Tran, Yifeng Yu for helpful discussions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Barles, S. Biton, M. Bourgoing, O. Ley. Uniqueness results for quasilinear parabolic equations through viscosity solutions' methods. *Calc. Var. PDE.*, 18 (2003), 159–179.
- [2] G. Barles, A. Cesaroni, M. Novaga. Homogenization of fronts in highly heterogeneous media. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 43 (2011), no. 1, 212–227.
- [3] L. A. Caffarelli, R. Monneau. Counter-example in three dimension and homogenization of geometric motions in two dimension. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 212 (2014), 503–574.

- [4] L. A. Caffarelli, R. de la Llave. Planelike minimizers in periodic media. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 54 (2001), no. 12, 1403–1441.
- [5] F. Camilli, A. Cesaroni, C. Marchi. Homogenization and vanishing viscosity in fully nonlinear elliptic equations: rate of convergence estimates. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 11 (2011), no. 2, 405–428.
- [6] I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, H. Ishii. On the rate of convergence in homogenization of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 50 (2001), no. 3, 1113–1129.
- [7] P. Cardaliaguet, P.-L. Lions, P. E. Souganidis. A discussion about the homogenization of moving interfaces. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, (9) 91 (2009), no. 4, 339–363.
- [8] A. Cesaroni, M. Novaga. Long-time behavior of the mean curvature flow with periodic forcing. *Comm. Part. Diff. Equa.*, 38 (2011), no. 5, 780–801.
- [9] Y.G. Chen, Y. Giga, S. Goto. Uniqueness and existence of viscosity solutions of generalized mean curvature flow equations. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 33 (1991), no. 3, 749–786.
- [10] B. Craciun, K. Bhattacharya. Effective motion of a curvature-sensitive interface through a heterogeneous medium. *Interfaces Free Bound.*, 6 (2004), no. 2, 151–173.
- [11] M. G. Crandall, H. Ishii, P.-L. Lions. User’s guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 27 (1992), 1–67.
- [12] N. Dirr, G. Karali, N. K. Yip. Pulsating wave for mean curvature flow in inhomogeneous medium. *European J. Appl. Math*, 19 (2008), no. 6, 661–699.
- [13] K. Ecker, G. Huisken. Mean curvature evolution of entire graphs. *Ann. Math.*, 130 (1989), no. 3, 453–471.
- [14] L. C. Evans. Periodic homogenisation of certain fully nonlinear partial differential equations. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 120 (1992), no. 3-4, 245–265.
- [15] L. C. Evans, J. Spruck. Motion of level sets by mean curvature. I. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 33 (1991), 635–681.
- [16] H. Gao, I. Kim. Head and tail speeds of mean curvature flow with forcing. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 235 (2020), no. 4, 287–654.
- [17] H. Gao, Z. Long, J. Xin, Y. Yu. Existence of effective burning velocity in cellular flow for curvature G-equation via game analysis. *arXiv:2209.09228 [math.AP]*.
- [18] Y. Giga, S. Goto, H. Ishii, M.-H. Sato. Comparison principle and convexity preserving properties for singular degenerate parabolic equations on unbounded domains. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 40 (1991), 443–470.
- [19] Y. Han, J. Jang. Rate of Convergence in Periodic Homogenization for Convex Hamilton-Jacobi Equations with Multiscales. *arXiv:2303.05738 [math.AP]*.
- [20] N. Ishimura. Curvature evolution of plane curves with prescribed opening angle. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 52 (1995), no. 2, 287–296.
- [21] J. Jang, D. Kwon, H. Mitake, H. V. Tran. Level-set forced mean curvature flow with the Neumann boundary condition. *Journal de Math. Pures et Appl.*, 168 (2022), 143–167.
- [22] W. Jing, H. V. Tran, Y. Yu. Effective fronts of polytope shapes. *Minimax Theory Appl.*, 5 (2020), no. 2, 347–360.
- [23] R. V. Kohn, S. Serfaty. A deterministic-control-based approach to motion by mean curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 59 (2006), 344–407.
- [24] O. A. Ladyženskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Ural’ceva. *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*. Translated from the Russian by S. Smith. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [25] P.-L. Lions, G. Papanicolau, S. R. S. Varadhan. Homogenization of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. unpublished work (1987).
- [26] P.-L. Lions, P. E. Souganidis. Homogenization of degenerate second-order PDE in periodic and almost periodic environments and applications. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 22 (2005), no. 5, 667–677.
- [27] P. Morfe. On the homogenization of second order level set pde in periodic media. *arXiv:2011.15062 [math.AP]*.

- [28] H. Mitake, C. Mooney, H. V. Tran, J. Xin, Y. Yu. Bifurcation of homogenization and nonhomogenization of the curvature G-equation with shear flows. *arXiv:2303.16304 [math.AP]*.
- [29] H. Mitake, H. V. Tran, Y. Yu. Rate of convergence in periodic homogenization of Hamilton-Jacobi equations: the convex setting. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 233 (2019), no. 2, 901–933.
- [30] J. Qian, H. V. Tran, Y. Yu. Optimal rate of convergence in periodic homogenization of viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations, *preprint* (2022).
- [31] H. V. Tran. *Hamilton-Jacobi equations: theory and applications*, AMS Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 2021.
- [32] H. V. Tran, Y. Yu. Optimal convergence rate for periodic homogenization of convex Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *arXiv:2112.06896 [math.AP]*.
- [33] S. N. T. Tu. Rate of convergence for periodic homogenization of convex Hamilton-Jacobi equations in one dimension. *Asymptotic Analysis*, 121 (2021), no. 2, 171–194.

(Jiwoong Jang) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN MADISON, VAN VLECK HALL, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE, MADISON, WI 53706, USA

Email address: `jjang57@wisc.edu`