

Conformal integrals in various dimensions and Clifford groups

Aritra Pal* and Koushik Ray†

*Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science,
Kolkata - 700032, India.*

March 31, 2023

Abstract

Conformal transformations of the Euclidean space of any dimension can be formulated as the Möbius group in terms of Clifford algebras. Correlation functions of a conformal field theory transforming covariantly under this are expressed in terms of leg factors and conformal integrals, the latter furnishing a linear system on the configuration space of points on the Euclidean space. We use this formulation to evaluate the conformal integrals as solutions to a system of differential equations. The conformal integrals in any dimension are expressed in terms of GKZ hypergeometric functions.

*intap@iacs.res.in

†koushik@iacs.res.in

In this note we study conformal integrals which appear, for example, in the computation of conformal correlation functions. We restrict attention to global conformal symmetry, which fixes the two-point and three-point correlation functions of a conformal field theory in any dimension. Four and higher point functions, whose evaluation is rendered difficult by the occurrence of conformally invariant quantities, called the cross ratios, have been studied extensively using different techniques [1–21]. Nuances of a specific theory are encoded in the operator product expansion (OPE) of fields, described by the conformal weight of fields and the OPE coefficients. These are incorporated in the correlation functions by inserting projectors [22–28], thereby expressing the correlation functions in terms of conformal blocks in a fashion consistent with the Lie algebra of the conformal group, which is isomorphic to the rotation group $SO(1, D + 1)$ for the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^D that we shall consider here. Insertion of Casimir operators in the correlation functions is used to fix the dependence on the cross ratios. Conformal integrals appear in the expression for conformal blocks. Evaluation of the integrals is complicated due to their multi-valuedness.

Conformal correlation functions are looked upon as representations of the conformal group [27, 28]. Let us recall that if a Lie group G acts transitively on a manifold M as $G \times M \rightarrow M$, $(g, x) \mapsto g(x)$, for each $g \in G$ and each $x \in M$, then a representation T of the group is defined by lifting the geometric action of G to a class of “regular” functions f on M by $T_g f(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$. In the present context, an N -point correlation function is taken to be a representation in this sense, where M is the configuration space of N points on \mathbf{R}^D . The group G is the double cover of the rotation group $SO(1, D + 1)$, which from now on will be referred to as the conformal group. According to Liouville’s theorem, the geometric action of the conformal group on the configuration space is given by a Möbius transformation. In two dimensions the conformal group is $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$, which induces the Möbius transformation on each point through a fractional linear transformation. In four dimensions the conformal group is $SL(2, \mathbf{H})$, where \mathbf{H} denotes the space of quaternions [29, 30]. Generalizing, in D dimensions the conformal group is written in terms of a subgroup of the Clifford algebra of \mathbf{R}^D , namely, $Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$ [31]. In here we concern ourselves with this description of the conformal group as the Möbius group, having a geometric action on \mathbf{R}^D . As for the regular functions, we look for the representation of the Möbius group among the functions on the Fulton-Macpherson completion of the configuration space of N non-coalescing points in \mathbf{R}^D . In two dimensions the configuration space coincides with the complex projective space. In this case, conformal integrals, along with powers of pairwise differences of the coordinates of the N points furnish a linear system on the configuration space, obtained as solutions to a Lauricella system [32]. The multi-valuedness of the integrals is reflected in the germs of solutions of the Lauricella differential equations. A generalization of this has been obtained for the four-dimensional case. A set of differential equations generalizing the Lauricella system is deduced by expressing the conformal integrals in terms of quaternions, thereby making their covariance under $SL(2, \mathbf{H})$ manifest [27]. The conformal integrals are translation-invariant homogeneous solutions of a set of differential equations. These are evaluated by exhibiting that the differential equations are solved by the solutions of a set of GKZ hypergeometric equations [28]. In this approach, it is the Lauricella-like differential equation that fixes the dependence on the cross ratios.

In here this approach is generalized to \mathbf{R}^D for any D , using the formulation of the conformal group as the Möbius group in terms of a Clifford algebra. We write the conformal

integral in terms of certain elements of the Clifford algebra, called the Clifford numbers. We obtain a set of differential equations for the conformal integral in terms of the Clifford numbers. The equations are of the same form as the ones obtained for quaternions, with the latter replaced with Clifford numbers. Solutions of the set are then given by the GKZ hypergeometric functions obtained earlier [28], upon appropriate amendments in the dimensionality, from 4 to D in the formulæ.

Let us begin with a discussion of some aspects of Clifford algebras relevant for the Möbius group. The Clifford algebra $Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$ is a real associative algebra generated by

$$\{e_\nu | e_\nu e_{\nu'} + e_{\nu'} e_\nu = -2\delta_{\nu\nu'}, \nu, \nu' = 1, 2, \dots, D\}. \quad (1)$$

It is a vector space of dimension 2^D . A basis for it is given by $e_0 = 1$ and the monomials, also called blades, formed from the generators, such as

$$e_{\nu_1} e_{\nu_2} \cdots e_{\nu_p}, \quad (2)$$

where $1 \leq p \leq D$ and the indices are arranged in a strictly increasing order. The degree of the monomial furnishes the grade of the elements of the basis, p for the above element, for example. An element of $Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$ is expressed as a linear combination of the 2^D basis elements as

$$\mathcal{X} = x^0 e_0 + \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ 1 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_p \leq D}}^D x^{\nu_1 \nu_2 \dots \nu_p} e_{\nu_1} e_{\nu_2} \cdots e_{\nu_p}. \quad (3)$$

A vector subspace of the algebra spanned by the generators of unit grade is identified with \mathbf{R}^D . Indeed, this is a subspace of $Cl_{D-1}(\mathbf{R})$. An element of this subspace, written

$$x = x^0 e_0 + x^1 e_1 + \cdots + x^{D-1} e_{D-1}, \quad (4)$$

is identified with the point $x = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^{D-1})$ of \mathbf{R}^D . This is referred to as a vector. We use the same notation for vectors of $Cl_{D-1}(\mathbf{R})$ and \mathbf{R}^D . The Clifford algebra $Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$ is bestowed with a quadratic form that coincides with the Euclidean norm of a vector.

A Clifford algebra possesses a unique canonical automorphism $\varrho : Cl_D(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$, defined in terms of the basis elements as

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(e_\nu) &= -e_\nu, \\ \varrho(e_{\nu_1} e_{\nu_2} \cdots e_{\nu_p}) &= (-1)^p e_{\nu_1} e_{\nu_2} \cdots e_{\nu_p}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

It also possesses a unique canonical anti-automorphism $\tau : Cl_D(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(e_\nu) &= e_\nu, \\ \tau(e_{\nu_1} e_{\nu_2} \cdots e_{\nu_p}) &= e_{\nu_p} e_{\nu_{p-1}} \cdots e_{\nu_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

These two are commuting involutions used to define a third involution, called conjugation, in the algebra,

$$\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \bar{\mathcal{X}} = \tau(\varrho(\mathcal{X})), \quad (7)$$

for every $\mathcal{X} \in Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$. In particular,

$$\bar{e}_\nu = -e_\nu. \quad (8)$$

The coefficient of e_0 in \mathcal{X} written in the form (3) is called its trace, denoted $\text{Tr } \mathcal{X}$. The trace is linear and invariant under cyclic permutations, similarities and conjugations [33]. For a vector x , the trace is

$$\text{Tr } x = \frac{1}{2}(x + \bar{x}). \quad (9)$$

The norm-squared of an element of $Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$ is defined as

$$|\mathcal{X}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{X}\bar{\mathcal{X}} + \bar{\mathcal{X}}\mathcal{X}). \quad (10)$$

For a vector $x \in Cl_{D-1}(\mathbf{R})$, this matches the Euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbf{R}^D$. If the norm of a vector x is not zero, then it is called invertible. The inverse of a vector x in the Clifford algebra is

$$x^{-1} = \frac{\bar{x}}{|x|^2}. \quad (11)$$

Invertible vectors form the multiplicative subgroup $Cl_D^*(\mathbf{R})$ of $Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$. The subgroup

$$\Gamma_D = \{q \in Cl_D^*(\mathbf{R}) | \varrho(q)xq^{-1} \in \mathbf{R}^D | \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^D\} \quad (12)$$

of $Cl_{D-1}(\mathbf{R})$ is called the Clifford group. Elements of the Clifford group are those obtained by multiplying non-null vectors. A matrix g

$$g = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{l} a, b, c, d \in \Gamma_D \cup \{0\}, \\ a\tau(b), c\tau(d) \in \mathbf{R}^D, \\ a\tau(d) - b\tau(c) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \quad (13)$$

is called a Clifford matrix [31]. Clifford matrices form a group, denoted $SL(2, \Gamma_D)$, reducing to $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ for $D = 1$, $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$ for $D = 2$ and $SL(2, \mathbf{H})$ for $D = 4$. A Clifford matrix g from the group $SL(2, \Gamma_D)$ induces an orientation-preserving Möbius transformation on \mathbf{R}^D as

$$x \longrightarrow (ax + b)(cx + d)^{-1}, \quad (14)$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}^D$. Under this, the difference between two vectors x_i and x_j , denoted $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$, transforms as [31]

$$x_{ij} \longrightarrow (\tau(cx_j + d))^{-1} x_{ij} (cx_i + d)^{-1}. \quad (15)$$

Its norm-squared transforms as

$$|x_{ij}|^2 \longrightarrow |cx_i + d|^{-2} |cx_j + d|^{-2} |x_{ij}|^2. \quad (16)$$

It follows from (15) that the volume element of \mathbf{R}^D transforms as [31]

$$d^D x \longrightarrow |cx + d|^{-2D} d^D x. \quad (17)$$

For later use, let us introduce the quantities

$$\chi_{ijkl} = x_{ij} x_{ik}^{-1} x_{kl} x_{jl}^{-1}, \quad i, j, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (18)$$

for four distinct vectors transforming under (14) as

$$\chi_{ijkl} \longrightarrow (\tau(cx_j + d))^{-1} \chi_{ijkl} \tau(ax_j + b), \quad (19)$$

so that the norm-squared

$$|\chi_{ijkl}|^2 = \chi_{ijkl}\bar{\chi}_{ijkl} = \frac{|x_{ij}|^2|x_{kl}|^2}{|x_{ik}|^2|x_{jl}|^2} \quad (20)$$

is invariant under the Möbius transformation (14). Expanding χ as an element of $Cl_{D-1}(\mathbf{R})$ as (3), we derive its trace as

$$\text{Tr } \chi_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + |\chi_{ijkl}|^2 - |\chi_{lijk}|^2). \quad (21)$$

Clearly, this is also invariant under the Möbius transformation. The derivation of this relation is sketched in appendix A.

Let us consider N points as the vectors x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, collectively written as $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)$, in the one-point extension of the Euclidean space, $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{R}^D \cup \{\infty\}$. Let us consider the integral

$$I_N^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^D x}{|x - x_1|^{2\mu_1} |x - x_2|^{2\mu_2} \dots |x - x_N|^{2\mu_N}}, \quad (22)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_N)$ is an N -tuple of real parameters called weights, with the total weight, $|\boldsymbol{\mu}| = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_N$. If $|\boldsymbol{\mu}| = D$, then, by (16) and (17), the integral transforms covariantly under a Möbius transformation of x and the x_i 's given by (14). In this case the integral is called a conformal integral. In a specific conformal field theory, the weights are fixed by the conformal weights of the external points. Following the two-dimensional case [32], we interpret the conformal integral (22) in the configuration space of N points on \mathcal{M} . The space of N non-coincident points in \mathcal{M} is

$$\mathcal{C}_N(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}^N \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \Delta_{ij}, \quad (23)$$

where $\Delta_{ij} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathcal{M}^N | x_i = x_j\}$ are the fat diagonals in \mathcal{M}^N . As the model of configuration space, we adopt the Fulton-Macpherson completion of $\mathcal{C}_N(\mathcal{M})$, given by the embedding [34, 35]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_N(\mathcal{M}) &\hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^N \times (S^{D-1})^{\binom{N}{2}} \times [0, \infty]^{\binom{N}{3}}, \\ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) &\longmapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, v_{12}, \dots, v_{(N-1)N}, a_{123}, \dots, a_{(N-2)(N-1)N}), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $v_{ij} = \frac{x_{ij}}{|x_{ij}|}$ describes a $(D-1)$ -dimensional sphere, S^{D-1} , and $a_{ijk} = \frac{|x_{ij}|}{|x_{ik}|}$ are positive real numbers, possibly infinite, for each i, j, k . The configuration space possesses an action of the group of permutations of the x_i 's and is understood as the space quotiented by it. Indeed, the conformal integral (22) is invariant under the permutations, provided the weights are permuted accordingly. In other words, regular functions on the configuration space, (22) in particular, can be expressed in terms of x_i , v_{ij} and a_{ijk} . However, the translation and rotation invariance of the conformal integral dictates that it can be written in terms of the variables

$$|x_{ij}|, a_{ijk} \quad (25)$$

only. In view of this, we choose to express the conformal integral (22) in the form [27, 28]

$$I_N^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}}^N |x_{ij}|^{2\beta_{ij}} I_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad (26)$$

where β_{ij} 's are taken to be symmetric in the two indices, $\beta_{ii} = 0$ for all i , and satisfy $\sum_{j=1}^N \beta_{ij} = -\mu_i$, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, in order to have the same transformation as (22) under (14), and I_0 is a function of $N_0 = N(N-3)/2$ cross ratios, $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \{\xi^A | A = 1, 2, \dots, N_0\}$, invariant under the Möbius transformation, written as

$$\xi^A = \prod_{\substack{i,j \\ i < j}}^N |x_{ij}|^{2\alpha_{ij}^A}, \quad (27)$$

with α 's taken to be symmetric in the two indices, $\alpha_{ji}^A = \alpha_{ij}^A$, for each A , $\alpha_{ii}^A = 0$ for each A and i and satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{ij}^A = 0 \quad (28)$$

for each A and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let us point out that the cross ratios thus defined can be expressed in terms of the real numbers a_{ijk} . Differentiating with respect to the x_i under the integral sign and using the identity

$$(x - x_i)^{-1} x_{ij} (x - x_j)^{-1} = (x - x_i)^{-1} - (x - x_j)^{-1}, \quad (29)$$

we derive a system of differential equations for the conformal integral (22)

$$\sum_{\nu, \nu'=0}^{D-1} \bar{e}_\nu x_{ij} \bar{e}_{\nu'} \frac{\partial^2 I_N^\mu(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i^{\nu'} \partial x_j^{\nu}} = 2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{D-1} \left(\mu_j \bar{e}_\nu \frac{\partial I_N^\mu(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i^{\nu}} - \mu_i \bar{e}_\nu \frac{\partial I_N^\mu(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_j^{\nu}} \right), \quad (30)$$

generalizing the Lauricella system of the two-dimensional case [32]. Here x_i^{ν} denotes the coefficient of e_ν in x_i , as in (4). Inserting (26) as an ansatz in it we obtain a system of differential equations for $I_0(\boldsymbol{\xi})$. However, the equations contain Clifford numbers χ_{ijkl} . The invariant equations for the invariant $I_0(\boldsymbol{\xi})$ are obtained by taking the trace of the equations using (21). The system assumes a form similar to that for the quaternionic case treated earlier [28],

$$L_{ij} I_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0, \quad (31)$$

with

$$L_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{k,l \\ 1 \leq k,l \leq N \\ k \neq i, l \neq j}} (|\chi_{ijkl}|^2 - |\chi_{lijk}|^2) \vartheta_{ik} \vartheta_{jl} + D \vartheta_{ij} + \mu_i \mu_j, \quad (32)$$

where we defined $\vartheta_{ij} = \sum_{A=1}^{N_0} \alpha_{ij}^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^A} + \beta_{ij}$. Using the symmetries of L_{ij} arising from the identities

$$|\chi_{jilk}|^2 = |\chi_{ijkl}|^2, \quad |\chi_{kjil}|^2 = |\chi_{lijk}|^2, \quad (33)$$

it can be checked that the number of independent differential equations is $N_0 = N(N-3)/2$, as required for N_0 cross ratios.

The system of equations is amenable to a toric description [28]. Collecting the indices of x_{ij} as they appear in the cross ratios, ξ^A , in a matrix \mathcal{A} , and choosing a basis of its kernel, yields the toric ideal generated by

$$\sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ j < k}}^N \mathcal{A}_{i,jk} |x_{jk}|^2 \partial_{jk} - \mu_i, \quad \forall i, \quad (34)$$

$$\prod_{\alpha_{ij}^A > 0} \partial_{ij}^{\alpha_{ij}^A} - \prod_{\alpha_{ij}^A < 0} \partial_{ij}^{-\alpha_{ij}^A}, \quad A = 1, 2, \dots, N_0, \quad (35)$$

where $\partial_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial |x_{ij}|^2}$, $\mathcal{A}_{i,jk} = -\delta_{ij} - \delta_{ik}$ and α_{ij}^A 's are integers satisfying equation (28). The corresponding set of differential equations are solved by the simultaneous solution of the equations

$$L_{ijkl} I_0(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = 0, \quad (36)$$

where

$$L_{ijkl} = \vartheta_{ij} \vartheta_{kl} - |\chi_{ijkl}|^2 \vartheta_{ik} \vartheta_{jl}. \quad (37)$$

Observing the relation

$$L_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \neq i,j}}^N \sum_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i,j,k}}^N (L_{lijk} - L_{ijkl}), \quad (38)$$

we deduce that the same solution solves (31), thereby giving the invariant function $I_0(\boldsymbol{\xi})$. We refer to [28] for further details.

Solutions of the GKZ system are of the same form as in four dimensions [28], with the dimension of the space changed from 4 to D . The conformal integral is given by

$$I_N^\mu(\mathbf{x}) = |x_{12}|^{-2\mu_1 - 2\mu_2 + D} |x_{13}|^{-2\mu_1 - 2\mu_3 + D} |x_{14}|^{-2\mu_4} |x_{15}|^{-2\mu_5} \dots |x_{1N}|^{-2\mu_N} |x_{23}|^{2\mu_1 - D} P_{N_0}(\boldsymbol{\mu}; \boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad (39)$$

where $P_{N_0}(\boldsymbol{\mu}; \boldsymbol{\xi})$ is given by

$$P_{N_0}(\boldsymbol{\mu}; \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_0}=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi^1)^{n_1} (\xi^2)^{n_2} \dots (\xi^{N_0})^{n_{N_0}}}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_{N_0}!} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 + \sum_{A=1}^{N_0} \alpha_{12}^A n_A - \mu_1 - \mu_2 + D/2) \Gamma(1 + \sum_{A=1}^{N_0} \alpha_{13}^A n_A - \mu_1 - \mu_3 + D/2)} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=4}^N \Gamma(1 + \sum_{A=1}^{N_0} \alpha_{1i}^A n_A - \mu_i) \Gamma(1 + \sum_{A=1}^{N_0} \alpha_{23}^A n_A + \mu_1 - D/2)}, \quad (40)$$

for N points. A linear system on the configuration space is given by the germs of this, which may be used to implement the permutation symmetry, in principle. For a special case this computation has been elaborated in [28].

To summarize, we extend the Lauricella system of differential equations in two dimensions to any dimension using a formulation using the Clifford algebra $Cl_D(\mathbf{R})$. Then, relating the equation for the invariant part, the function of cross ratios, to a GKZ hypergeometric system of equations we derive analytic expressions for higher-point conformal integrals as GKZ hypergeometric functions. The toric description arises from the very definition of the cross ratios. The considerations in the present article, restricted to the Euclidean metric on \mathbf{R}^D , generalize to metrics of Lorentzian signature, assuming that the choice of variables (25) is valid. An imperfection in the treatment presented here must be mentioned. On the face of it, the conformal integrals being expressed in terms of the same GKZ hypergeometric functions in any dimension, with only the dimension changing in the expressions is surprising. However, let us point out that we have considered $N(N-3)/2$ cross ratios in all dimensions from a combinatorial estimate. The actual number of independent cross ratios is $\min(ND - (D+1)(D+2)/2, N(N-3)/2)$. Hence for sufficiently large N the cross ratios considered here will be related. For example, in four dimensions, these cross ratios will be related beyond $N=6$. The relations, which generalize the Plücker relations in two dimensions, moreover, are rather difficult to find in practice. In view of the computational difficulty of the conformal integrals, it seems that imposing the relations among the cross ratios second to obtaining the integrals as GKZ hypergeometric functions will be a satisfactory strategy. We hope the results reported here will be useful in various fields where conformal integrals make an appearance.

A Calculation of Trace of χ_{ijkl}

In this appendix, we outline the derivation of (21). Writing (18) as

$$\chi_{ijkl} = \frac{x_{ij}\bar{x}_{ik}x_{kl}\bar{x}_{jl}}{|x_{ik}|^2|x_{jl}|^2}, \quad (41)$$

and $x_{ik} = x_{ij} + x_{jk}$ and $x_{kl} = x_{jl} - x_{jk}$, the numerator becomes

$$|x_{ij}|^2 x_{kl} \bar{x}_{jl} + |x_{jl}|^2 x_{ij} \bar{x}_{jk} - |x_{jk}|^2 x_{ij} \bar{x}_{jl}. \quad (42)$$

For two vectors x and y in \mathbf{R}^D ,

$$x\bar{y} = (x \cdot y)e_0 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{D-1} (x^\nu y^0 - x^0 y^\nu)e_\nu - \sum_{\substack{\nu, \nu'=1 \\ \nu < \nu'}}^{D-1} (x^\nu y^{\nu'} - x^{\nu'} y^\nu)e_\nu e_{\nu'}, \quad (43)$$

where $x \cdot y$ denotes the inner product of vectors in the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{R}^D , namely, $x \cdot y = x^0 y^0 + x^1 y^1 + \dots + x^{D-1} y^{D-1}$. Taking trace, that is the coefficient of e_0 ,

$$\text{Tr}(x\bar{y}) = x \cdot y. \quad (44)$$

The trace of the numerator (42) becomes

$$|x_{ij}|^2 (x_{kl} \cdot x_{jl}) + |x_{jl}|^2 (x_{ij} \cdot x_{jk}) - |x_{jk}|^2 (x_{ij} \cdot x_{jl}). \quad (45)$$

Using the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{kl} \cdot x_{jl} &= \frac{1}{2}(|x_{jl}|^2 + |x_{kl}|^2 - |x_{jk}|^2), \\
x_{ij} \cdot x_{jk} &= \frac{1}{2}(|x_{ik}|^2 - |x_{ij}|^2 - |x_{jk}|^2), \\
x_{ij} \cdot x_{jl} &= \frac{1}{2}(|x_{il}|^2 - |x_{ij}|^2 - |x_{jl}|^2)
\end{aligned}
\tag{46}$$

in (45) and simplifying the trace of the numerator assumes the form

$$\frac{1}{2}(|x_{ik}|^2|x_{jl}|^2 + |x_{ij}|^2|x_{kl}|^2 - |x_{il}|^2|x_{jk}|^2).
\tag{47}$$

Plugging this in (41) and using (20) we derive (21).

References

- [1] F. A. Dolan and H. Osborn, “Conformal four point functions and the operator product expansion,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 599, pp. 459–496, 2001. DOI: 10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00013-X. arXiv: hep-th/0011040.
- [2] —, “Conformal partial waves and the operator product expansion,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 678, pp. 491–507, 2004. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2003.11.016. arXiv: hep-th/0309180.
- [3] —, “Conformal Partial Waves: Further Mathematical Results,” Aug. 2011. arXiv: 1108.6194 [hep-th].
- [4] M. S. Costa, J. Penedones, D. Poland, and S. Rychkov, “Spinning Conformal Blocks,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 154, 2011. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2011)154. arXiv: 1109.6321 [hep-th].
- [5] M. Hogervorst and S. Rychkov, “Radial Coordinates for Conformal Blocks,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 87, p. 106004, 2013. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.87.106004. arXiv: 1303.1111 [hep-th].
- [6] D. Karateev, P. Kravchuk, and D. Simmons-Duffin, “Weight Shifting Operators and Conformal Blocks,” *JHEP*, vol. 02, p. 081, 2018. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2018)081. arXiv: 1706.07813 [hep-th].
- [7] R. S. Erramilli, L. V. Iliesiu, and P. Kravchuk, “Recursion relation for general 3d blocks,” *JHEP*, vol. 12, p. 116, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP12(2019)116. arXiv: 1907.11247 [hep-th].
- [8] J.-F. Fortin, W.-J. Ma, V. Prilepina, and W. Skiba, “Efficient rules for all conformal blocks,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 052, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2021)052. arXiv: 2002.09007 [hep-th].
- [9] V. Rosenhaus, “Multipoint Conformal Blocks in the Comb Channel,” *JHEP*, vol. 02, p. 142, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2019)142. arXiv: 1810.03244 [hep-th].
- [10] S. Parikh, “Holographic dual of the five-point conformal block,” *JHEP*, vol. 05, p. 051, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2019)051. arXiv: 1901.01267 [hep-th].

- [11] —, “A multipoint conformal block chain in d dimensions,” *JHEP*, vol. 05, p. 120, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP05(2020)120. arXiv: 1911.09190 [hep-th].
- [12] J.-F. Fortin, W. Ma, and W. Skiba, “Higher-Point Conformal Blocks in the Comb Channel,” *JHEP*, vol. 07, p. 213, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP07(2020)213. arXiv: 1911.11046 [hep-th].
- [13] J.-F. Fortin, W.-J. Ma, and W. Skiba, “Six-point conformal blocks in the snowflake channel,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 147, 2020. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2020)147. arXiv: 2004.02824 [hep-th].
- [14] S. Hoback and S. Parikh, “Towards Feynman rules for conformal blocks,” *JHEP*, vol. 01, p. 005, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2021)005. arXiv: 2006.14736 [hep-th].
- [15] J.-F. Fortin, W.-J. Ma, and W. Skiba, “Seven-point conformal blocks in the extended snowflake channel and beyond,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 102, no. 12, p. 125007, 2020. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.102.125007. arXiv: 2006.13964 [hep-th].
- [16] —, “All Global One- and Two-Dimensional Higher-Point Conformal Blocks,” Sep. 2020. arXiv: 2009.07674 [hep-th].
- [17] I. Buric, S. Lacroix, J. A. Mann, L. Quintavalle, and V. Schomerus, “From Gaudin Integrable Models to d -dimensional Multipoint Conformal Blocks,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 126, no. 2, p. 021602, 2021. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.021602. arXiv: 2009.11882 [hep-th].
- [18] —, “Gaudin models and multipoint conformal blocks: general theory,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 139, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2021)139. arXiv: 2105.00021 [hep-th].
- [19] —, “Gaudin models and multipoint conformal blocks. Part II. Comb channel vertices in 3D and 4D,” *JHEP*, vol. 11, p. 182, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP11(2021)182. arXiv: 2108.00023 [hep-th].
- [20] —, “Gaudin models and multipoint conformal blocks III: comb channel coordinates and OPE factorisation,” *JHEP*, vol. 06, p. 144, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP06(2022)144. arXiv: 2112.10827 [hep-th].
- [21] J.-F. Fortin, S. Hoback, W.-J. Ma, S. Parikh, and W. Skiba, “Feynman rules for scalar conformal blocks,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 097, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2022)097. arXiv: 2204.08909 [hep-th].
- [22] S. Ferrara and G. Parisi, “Conformal covariant correlation functions,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 42, pp. 281–290, 1972. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(72)90480-4.
- [23] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo, and G. Parisi, “Nonequivalence between conformal covariant wilson expansion in euclidean and minkowski space,” *Lett. Nuovo Cim.*, vol. 5S2, pp. 147–151, 1972. DOI: 10.1007/BF02815915.
- [24] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo, G. Parisi, and R. Gatto, “The shadow operator formalism for conformal algebra. Vacuum expectation values and operator products,” *Lett. Nuovo Cim.*, vol. 4S2, pp. 115–120, 1972. DOI: 10.1007/BF02907130.
- [25] S. Ferrara, A. F. Grillo, G. Parisi, and R. Gatto, “Covariant expansion of the conformal four-point function,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 49, pp. 77–98, 1972, [Erratum: Nucl.Phys.B 53, 643–643 (1973)]. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(73)90467-7.

- [26] D. Simmons-Duffin, “Projectors, Shadows, and Conformal Blocks,” *JHEP*, vol. 04, p. 146, 2014. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP04(2014)146. arXiv: 1204.3894 [hep-th].
- [27] A. Pal and K. Ray, “Conformal Correlation functions in four dimensions from Quaternionic Lauricella system,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, vol. 968, p. 115 433, 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115433. arXiv: 2005.12523 [hep-th].
- [28] —, “Conformal integrals in four dimensions,” *JHEP*, vol. 10, p. 087, 2022. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP10(2022)087. arXiv: 2109.09379 [hep-th].
- [29] I. Porteous, “A tutorial on conformal groups,” eng, *Banach Center Publications*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 137–150, 1996. [Online]. Available: <http://eudml.org/doc/208590>.
- [30] J. B. Wilker, “The quaternion formalism for möbius groups in four or fewer dimensions,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 190, pp. 99–136, 1993.
- [31] L. V. Ahlfors, “Möbius transformations in \mathbb{R}^n expressed through 2×2 matrices of clifford numbers,” *Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal*, vol. 5, no. 2-4, pp. 215–224, 1986. DOI: 10.1080/17476938608814142.
- [32] E. Looijenga, “Uniformization by lauricella functions—an overview of the theory of deligne-mostow,” 2005. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.MATH/0507534. arXiv: math/0507534.
- [33] D. Shirokov, “Concepts of trace, determinant and inverse of clifford algebra elements,” 2011. arXiv: 1108.5447.
- [34] D. P. Sinha, “Manifold-theoretic compactifications of configuration spaces,” *Selecta Mathematica*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 391, 2004, ISSN: 1420-9020. DOI: 10.1007/s00029-004-0381-7. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-004-0381-7>.
- [35] R. Koytcheff, B. A. Munson, and I. Volić, “Configuration space integrals and the cohomology of the space of homotopy string links,” *Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications*, vol. 22, no. 11, p. 1 350 061, 2013. DOI: 10.1142/S0218216513500612.