

# A STRUCTURE THEOREM AND LEFT-ORDERABILITY OF A QUOTIENT OF QUASI-ISOMETRY GROUP OF THE REAL LINE

SWARUP BHOWMIK AND PRATEEP CHAKRABORTY

ABSTRACT. It is well-known that  $QI(\mathbb{R}) \cong (QI(\mathbb{R}_+) \times QI(\mathbb{R}_-)) \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ , where  $QI(\mathbb{R})$  (resp.  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  ( $\cong QI(\mathbb{R}_-)$ )) is the group of quasi-isometries of the real line (resp.  $[0, \infty)$ ). We introduce an invariant for the elements of  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and split it into smaller units. We give an almost characterization of the elements of these units. We also show that a quotient of  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  gives an example of a left-orderable group which is not locally indicable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of quasi-isometry is one of the fundamental concepts in geometric group theory. Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. The group of quasi-isometries from  $X$  to itself is denoted by  $QI(X)$  and is a quasi-isometric invariant of  $X$ . It is well-known that when  $\Gamma$  is a finitely generated group, a choice of finite generating set  $S$  gives the word metric  $d_S$  on  $\Gamma$ , making it a metric space. Then one can talk about the quasi-isometry group  $QI(\Gamma)$  and it can be shown that  $QI(\Gamma)$  does not depend on the choice of  $S$ . Hence  $QI(\Gamma)$  becomes an invariant of the group  $\Gamma$ . In general,  $QI(\Gamma)$  is hard to determine and for very few families of groups  $\Gamma$ ,  $QI(\Gamma)$  has been explicitly studied, for example, solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups  $BS(1, n)$  [6, Theorem 7.1], the groups  $BS(m, n)$ ,  $1 < m < n$  [13, Theorem 4.3], irreducible lattices in semisimple Lie groups (see [5] and the references therein) etc.

Even for  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $QI(\mathbb{Z}^n)$  ( $\cong QI(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ) remain largely unexplored, especially for  $n > 1$ , though some attempts have been made in [9] and [2]. In particular when  $n = 1$ , Gromov and Pansu [7, §3.3.B] observed that  $Bilip(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow QI(\mathbb{R})$  is surjective and that  $QI(\mathbb{R})$  is infinite dimensional. Furthermore, Sankaran [12] proved that there is a surjection from  $PL_\delta(\mathbb{R})$  to  $QI(\mathbb{R})$ . This work of Sankaran gives a nice and well understood representation of the elements of  $QI(\mathbb{R})$ . However, it is not enough to say when two such representations lie in the same equivalence class. This article is an attempt to characterize the elements of  $QI(\mathbb{R})$  and split  $QI(\mathbb{R})$  into smaller and nicer units.

It is already observed in [14] that  $QI(\mathbb{R}) \cong (QI(\mathbb{R}_+) \times QI(\mathbb{R}_-)) \rtimes \langle t \rangle$ , where  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  (resp.  $QI(\mathbb{R}_-)$ ) is the quasi-isometry group of the ray  $[0, \infty)$  (resp.  $(-\infty, 0]$ )

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F60, 20F65, 37E10.

*Key words and phrases.* PL-homeomorphism groups, quasi-isometries of real line, left-orderable groups.

The first author of this article acknowledges the financial support from Inspire, DST, Govt. of India as a Ph.D. student (Inspire) sanction letter number: No. DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2018/IF180972.

viewed as subgroup of  $QI(\mathbb{R})$  fixing the negative part (resp. positive part) and  $t \in QI(\mathbb{R})$  is the reflection  $t(x) = -x$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . So to characterize  $QI(\mathbb{R})$ , it is enough to study  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  due to the fact that  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+) \cong QI(\mathbb{R}_-)$ .

In this article, we introduce an invariant defined by

$$S_{[g]} = \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x_n)}{x_n} : x_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } \frac{g(x_n)}{x_n} \text{ converges} \right\} \text{ for any } [g] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+).$$

With respect to this invariant, we define some subsets of  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  as follows:

For  $c > 0$  and  $I \subset (0, \infty)$ ,  $H_c = \{[g] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+) : S_{[g]} = c\}$ ,  $H_I = \{[g] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+) : S_{[g]} \in I\}$  and  $\overline{H_c} = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}} H_c$ . Note that for  $c = 1$ ,  $H_c$  coincides with  $H$ , already

defined in §2.1 of [14]. Then we show that  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/\overline{H_c} = \bigsqcup_{M \geq 1} H_{[1,M]}/H$  where the

above decomposition is that of right cosets of  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/\overline{H_c}$ . Then, to explore the set  $H_{[1,M]}/H$ , we obtain an almost complete characterization of its elements in terms of the slopes of the representations coming from  $PL_\delta(\mathbb{R})$ .

For  $[f], [g] \in H_{[1,M]}/H$ , if no sequence  $\{a_n\}$  exists such that  $\{a_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_n)}{a_n} = 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(a_n)}{a_n}$ , then  $H[f] \neq H[g]$ . On the other hand, suppose,

there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_n)}{a_n} = 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(a_n)}{a_n}$ .

Then on each interval  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ , we get a partition  $a_n = x_{n,0} < x_{n,1} < x_{n,2} < \dots < x_{n,r} = a_{n+1}$  such that on each interval  $[x_{n,i-1}, x_{n,i}]$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  are both linear. So, for each  $n$  we get two  $r$ -tuples  $(\lambda_{n,1}, \lambda_{n,2}, \dots, \lambda_{n,r})$  and  $(\lambda'_{n,1}, \lambda'_{n,2}, \dots, \lambda'_{n,r})$  where  $\lambda_{n,i}$  and  $\lambda'_{n,i}$  are slopes of  $f$  and  $g$  on  $[x_{n,i-1}, x_{n,i}]$  respectively. Thus two sequences  $\{A_n\}$  and  $\{B_n\}$  appear in  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^\infty (= \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}_{>0}^m)$  for  $f$  and  $g$  respectively where  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are tuples of slopes of  $f$  and  $g$  on  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$  respectively.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $H[f], H[g] \in H_{[1,M]}/H$  and there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  with  $\frac{f(a_n)}{a_n}, \frac{g(a_n)}{a_n} \rightarrow 1$ . Then*

- (a) *if  $\|A_n - B_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , then  $H[f] = H[g]$  and*
- (b) *if there exists a  $K_1 > 1$  such that  $x_{n,i} > K_1 x_{n,i-1}$  and  $H[f] = H[g]$ , then  $\|A_n - B_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ .*

In recent times left-orderability and locally indicability of a group are drawing the attention of many researchers since the left-orderable groups, left-invariant orders on groups and locally indicable groups have strong connections with algebra, dynamics and topology. It is known that every locally indicable group is left orderable [10, Theorem 7.3.11]; it was an open question whether the converse was true. In [1], the author came up with a counterexample. This note provides another counterexample in the form of  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/H$  and attains the following results.

**Theorem 1.2.** *The quasi-isometry group  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/H$  is left-orderable.*

**Theorem 1.3.** *The quasi-isometry group  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/H$  is not locally indicable.*

In another direction, as an application of Theorem 1.1, we obtain a large class of non-commuting elements of the quasi-isometry group  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Quasi-isometry.** We begin by describing the notion of quasi-isometry. Let  $f : (X, d) \rightarrow (X', d')$  be a map between metric spaces. We say that  $f$  is a quasi-isometric embedding if there exists an  $M > 1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{M}d(x, y) - M \leq d'(f(x), f(y)) \leq Md(x, y) + M, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

If in addition, the image of  $f$  is  $M'$ -dense, that is, if there exists a constant  $M'$  such that for any  $x' \in X'$ , there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $d'(f(x), x') < M'$ , then  $f$  is said to be a quasi-isometry. In general, a quasi-isometry  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  is neither one-one nor onto. So, it would not be possible to find an inverse for  $f$ . However, there exists another quasi-isometry  $g : X' \rightarrow X$ , called a quasi-inverse of  $f$  such that  $g \circ f$  (resp.  $f \circ g$ ) is quasi-isometrically equivalent to the identity map of  $X$  (resp.  $X'$ ). (Two maps  $f, g : X \rightarrow X'$  are said to be quasi-isometrically equivalent if there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $d'(f(x), g(x)) < C$ , for all  $x \in X$ .)

We denote the equivalence class of a quasi-isometry  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  by  $[f]$ . Though sometimes  $f$  is also used instead of  $[f]$  for the convenience of notation. The set  $QI(X)$  of all equivalence classes of quasi-isometries of  $X$  forms a group under composition:  $[f].[g] = [f \circ g]$ , for  $[f], [g] \in QI(X)$ .

Any quasi-isometry  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  induces an isomorphism  $QI(X) \rightarrow QI(X')$  defined as  $[h] \mapsto [f \circ h \circ g]$  where  $g : X' \rightarrow X$  is a quasi-inverse of  $f$ . For example,  $t \mapsto [t]$  is a quasi-isometry map from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $QI(\mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to  $QI(\mathbb{Z})$  as a group. The quasi-isometries of the real line can be represented by  $PL$ -homeomorphisms of the real line with bounded slopes.

**2.2.  $PL$ -homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$  with bounded slopes.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be any homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $B(f)$  the set of break points of  $f$ , that is points where  $f$  fails to have derivative and by  $\Lambda(f)$  the set of slopes of  $f$ , that is,  $\Lambda(f) = \{f'(t) : t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B(f)\}$ . Note that  $B(f) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is discrete if  $f$  is piecewise differentiable.

We say that a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{R}^*$  (the set of non-zero real numbers), is *bounded* if there exists an  $M > 1$  such that  $M^{-1} < |\lambda| < M$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . We say that a homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  which is piecewise differentiable has bounded slopes if  $\Lambda(f)$  is bounded.

We denote by  $PL_\delta(\mathbb{R})$  the set of all those piecewise-linear homeomorphisms  $f$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Lambda(f)$  is bounded. It is clear that  $PL_\delta(\mathbb{R})$  is a subgroup of the group  $PL(\mathbb{R})$  of all piecewise linear homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$ . In [12], Sankaran established a connection between two groups  $QI(\mathbb{R})$  and  $PL_\delta(\mathbb{R})$ , which is given as the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** The natural homomorphism  $\phi : PL_\delta(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow QI(\mathbb{R})$ , defined as  $f \mapsto [f]$ , is surjective.

### 2.3. Left-orderable and locally indicable group.

**Definition 2.3.1.** A group  $G$  is left-orderable if it admits a total order  $\leq$  on  $G$  such that  $g \leq h$  implies  $fg \leq fh$  for any  $f \in G$ .

Clearly, every subgroup of a left-orderable group is left-orderable. More interestingly, an arbitrary product  $\Gamma$  of left-orderable groups  $\Gamma_\lambda$  is left-orderable. All torsion-free abelian groups, free groups, braid groups, the group  $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$  of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of the line, the fundamental groups of orientable surfaces and  $\mathcal{G}_\infty$  (the group of germs at  $\infty$  of homeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}$ ) are some examples of left-orderable groups [8].

It can be observed from the definition that any finite group is non left-orderable. An significant result due to Morris-Witte [4] establishes that finite index subgroups of  $\text{SL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$  are non left-orderable for  $n \geq 3$ .

If  $\leq$  is a left order in a group  $G$ , then  $f \in G$  is said to be positive (resp. negative) if  $f > id$  (resp.  $f < id$ ). From this left order  $\leq$ , we may define an order  $\leq^*$  by letting  $f \leq^* g$  whenever  $f^{-1} > g^{-1}$ . Then the order  $\leq^*$  turns out to be right-invariant. One can certainly go the other way around, producing left-orders from right-orders. Consequently, a group is left-orderable if and only if it is right-orderable. We refer the reader to [4] for a detailed description related to the left-orderability of a group. A link between orders and dynamics comes from the following theorem relating left-invariant orders to actions on the line.

**Theorem 2.2.** (see [8] and Theorem 6.8 in [3]) Let  $G$  be a countable group. Then  $G$  is left-orderable if and only if there is an injective homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, given an order on  $G$ , there is a canonical (up to conjugacy in  $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$ ) injective homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$  called a dynamical realization.

In [11], Navas came up with a useful criterion for left-orderability of a group, which is given below.

**Lemma 2.3.** A group  $G$  is left-orderable if and only if for every collection of non-trivial elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$ , there exist choices  $\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$  such that the identity is not an element of the semigroup generated by  $\{g_i^{\epsilon_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

It is clear that this condition is necessary, that is, if  $G$  is left-orderable, then we can choose  $\epsilon_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $g_i^{\epsilon_i} > id$  holds for each  $i$ . But much effort is needed to prove the condition is sufficient; we refer the reader to Prop. 1.4 of [11] for a proof.

**Definition 2.3.2.** A group is called locally indicable if each of its finitely generated nontrivial subgroups admits a nontrivial homomorphism to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example 2.3.3.** A remarkable theorem independently obtained by Brodskii and Howie [4] asserts that torsion-free, 1-relator groups are locally indicable. Also, all knot groups in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are locally indicable. The Braid groups  $\mathbb{B}_3$  and  $\mathbb{B}_4$  are locally indicable but the groups  $\mathbb{B}_n$  fail to be locally indicable for  $n \geq 5$ . See [4] for the examples given above.

**Definition 2.3.4.** A left-order  $\leq$  on a group  $G$  is said to be Conradian (or a  $C$ -order) if for all positive elements  $f, g$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $fg^n > g$ .

The most important theorem regarding  $C$ -orderable group is the next one proved in [4].

**Theorem 2.4.** A group  $G$  is  $C$ -orderable if and only if it is locally indicable.

### 3. STRUCTURE THEOREM

It is shown that  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is not simple by providing a normal subgroup  $H = \{[f] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+) : \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = 1\}$  of  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  in Theorem 2.2 of [14]. Similarly,  $QI(\mathbb{R}_-)$  is not simple with a normal subgroup  $H' = \{[f] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_-) : \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = 1\}$ . Then,  $\overline{H} = H \times H'$  is also a normal subgroup of  $QI^+(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $QI^+(\mathbb{R})$  is the orientation preserving quasi-isometries of  $\mathbb{R}$ . It can be readily checked that  $\overline{H} := \{[f] \in QI^+(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = 1\}$  is a normal subgroup in  $QI(\mathbb{R})$ .

The construction of  $H$  motivates us to define a set  $S_{[g]}$  for any  $[g] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  as given in the Introduction. This is an invariant that we associate to an element  $[g]$ . Using this set as an invariant, we give a characterization of the elements of the quotient group  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/H$ . From here we denote  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  by  $G$  for convenience of notation. The following result gives topological characterization of  $S_{[g]}$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *The set  $S_{[g]}$  is a compact and connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $g(0) = 0$ . Now, since  $[g]$  is a quasi-isometry, there exists  $K > 1$  such that  $\frac{1}{K}x - K \leq g(x) - g(0) \leq Kx + K$ . Therefore, as  $x > 0$ ,  $\frac{1}{K} - \frac{K}{x} \leq \frac{g(x)}{x} \leq K + \frac{K}{x}$ . So for each sequence  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\frac{g(x_n)}{x_n}$  has a convergent subsequence. Thus,  $S_{[g]}$  is a nonempty bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

To show  $S_{[g]}$  is compact, it remains to show  $S_{[g]}$  is closed.

Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence in  $S_{[g]}$  such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ . Then for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $\alpha_m$  and  $\epsilon_m > 0$  such that  $(\alpha_m - \epsilon_m, \alpha_m + \epsilon_m) \subset (\alpha - \frac{1}{m}, \alpha + \frac{1}{m})$ . Since  $\alpha_m \in S_{[g]}$ , we can find a sequence  $\{y_m\}$  divergent to  $\infty$  and  $\frac{g(y_m)}{y_m} \in (\alpha_m - \epsilon_m, \alpha_m + \epsilon_m)$ . Therefore, we obtain a sequence  $\{z_k\}$  such that  $\frac{g(z_k)}{z_k} \rightarrow \alpha$ .

Now we show that  $S_{[g]}$  is a connected set. Let  $\alpha, \beta \in S_{[g]}$ . Then there exist two sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x_n)}{x_n} = \alpha$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(y_n)}{y_n} = \beta$ .

Let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ . Then we choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $\alpha + \frac{1}{k} \leq \gamma \leq \beta - \frac{1}{k}$ . Now, there exist two sequences,  $\{x_{r_k}\}$  and  $\{y_{r_k}\}$  such that  $\alpha - \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{g(x_{r_k})}{x_{r_k}} \leq \alpha + \frac{1}{k}$  and  $\beta - \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{g(y_{r_k})}{y_{r_k}} \leq \beta + \frac{1}{k}$ . By [12, Theorem 1.2], we can choose  $g$  to be piecewise linear homeomorphism, so  $\frac{g(x)}{x}$  is continuous for  $x > 0$ .

Then there exists a sequence  $\{z_{r_k}\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(z_{r_k})}{z_{r_k}} = \gamma$ . Hence  $\gamma \in S_{[g]}$  and consequently  $S_{[g]}$  is connected. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** (i) From the above lemma, it is clear that  $S_{[g]}$  is either a singleton set or a closed and bounded interval. Note that  $H_c \subset H_I$  and  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+) = \bigcup_{I \subset (0, \infty)} H_I$ .

- (ii) It can be readily checked that  $[g] \in H_c$  if and only if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{x} = c$ .
- (iii) From the previous point, it follows easily that  $\overline{H_c}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $\mathbb{I}$  be the collection of all compact sub-intervals of  $(0, \infty)$ . Note that there is a natural map  $\psi: \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$  defined by  $(c', [a, b]) \mapsto [c'a, c'b]$ . It is easy to see that the previous lemma induces a natural map  $\phi: QI(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ . Now, we characterize the following properties of the map  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** (i) We have the following commutative diagram–

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{H_c} \times QI(\mathbb{R}_+) & \xrightarrow{(\phi, \psi)} & \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{I} \\ \downarrow \circ & & \downarrow \psi \\ QI(\mathbb{R}_+) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{I} \end{array}$$

where  $\circ$  is the composition of quasi-isometry maps.

(ii) The map  $\phi$  is surjective.

*Proof.* (i) Suppose  $[f] \in \overline{H_c}$  and  $[g] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Let  $S_{[f]} = c'$ ,  $S_{[g]} = [a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ .

Then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x_n)}{x_n} = a$ .

So, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{r_n}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f \circ g(x_{r_n})}{x_{r_n}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(g(x_{r_n}))}{g(x_{r_n})} \frac{g(x_{r_n})}{x_{r_n}} = c'a. \text{ So, } c'a \in \phi([f] \circ [g]).$$

Similarly, we can show that  $c'b \in \phi([f] \circ [g])$ . Then by using Lemma 3.1, we get,

$$(3.1) \quad [c'a, c'b] \subset \phi([f] \circ [g]).$$

To prove the other inclusion, assume  $\phi([f] \circ [g]) = [a_1, b_1]$ . Then by (3.1), we immediately get,

$$(3.2) \quad [c'^{-1}a_1, c'^{-1}b_1] \subset \phi([f]^{-1} \circ [f] \circ [g]) = \phi[g].$$

Then by (3.1) and (3.2), we have  $\phi([f] \circ [g]) = [c'a, c'b]$ .

(ii) Let  $[a, b] \in \mathbb{I}$ . Now we want to construct an element  $[g] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $S_{[g]} = [a, b]$ . For that, first, we choose  $\lambda > \max\{1, \frac{a}{2b-a}, \frac{2b}{a} - 1\}$  and define  $g$  on  $[0, 1]$  as  $g(x) = x$  and on  $[\lambda^n, \lambda^{n+1}]$  for all  $n \geq 0$  as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} g(\lambda^n) + (\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}a - \frac{2b}{\lambda-1})(x - \lambda^n), & \text{if } x \in [\lambda^n, \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}] \\ g(\frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}) + (\frac{2\lambda b}{\lambda-1} - \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}a)(x - \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}), & \text{if } x \in [\frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}, \lambda^{n+1}]. \end{cases}$$

The function  $g$  can be rewritten as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1 + (\lambda^n - 1)b + (\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}a - \frac{2b}{\lambda-1})(x - \lambda^n), & \text{if } x \in [\lambda^n, \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}] \\ 1 - b + \frac{a}{2}\lambda^n(\lambda + 1) + (\frac{2\lambda b}{\lambda-1} - \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}a)(x - \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}), & \text{if } x \in [\frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}, \lambda^{n+1}]. \end{cases}$$

Now we consider two sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $x_n = \lambda^n$  and  $y_n = \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}$ .

Then it is clear from the definition of  $g$  that  $\frac{g(x_n)}{x_n} \rightarrow b$  and  $\frac{g(y_n)}{y_n} \rightarrow a$ .

Since  $\frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}a - \frac{2b}{\lambda-1} < b$ ,  $\frac{2\lambda b}{\lambda-1} - \frac{\lambda+1}{\lambda-1}a > a$ , then from Lemma 3.1, we get  $S_{[g]} = [a, b]$ , that is,  $\phi$  is surjective.  $\square$

Suppose  $S_{[g]} = [a, b]$ . Then we can choose an element  $[f_{a^{-1}}] \in H_{a^{-1}}$  such that  $S_{[f_{a^{-1}} \circ g]} = [1, a^{-1}b]$ . Since  $\overline{H_c}$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , so we can write the right coset of  $G/\overline{H_c}$  in the following expression.

$$\begin{aligned} G/\overline{H_c} &= \bigsqcup_{M \geq 1} H_{[1, M]}/H \\ &= \{*\} \bigsqcup \left\{ \bigsqcup_{M > 1} H_{[1, M]}/H \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{*\}$  stands for the trivial coset  $H$  in  $H_{[1, M]}/H$  and  $H_{[1, M]}/H$  denotes the equivalence classes of  $H_{[1, M]}$  where  $H$  acts on  $H_{[1, M]}$  as left multiplication.

By Sankaran [12], we know that every equivalence class in  $G = QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  can be represented by a piecewise linear homeomorphism with slopes, both bounded above and bounded away from 0.

But it seems to be challenging to say when two piecewise-linear homeomorphisms lie in the same equivalence class. Instead, if we consider  $H_{[1, M]}/H$ , a classification of equivalence classes in  $H_{[1, M]}/H$  can be given in terms of the slopes of the representations from the piecewise-linear homeomorphisms.

Suppose  $[f], [g] \in H_{[1, M]}$ . If  $H[f] = H[g]$  and if  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\{a_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_n)}{a_n} = 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(a_n)}{a_n} = 1$ . In other way, we can say

that if no such sequence  $\{a_n\}$  exists such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_n)}{a_n} = 1$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(a_n)}{a_n} = 1$ , then  $H[f] \neq H[g]$ . If there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $\{a_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\frac{f(a_n)}{a_n} \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\frac{g(a_n)}{a_n} \rightarrow 1$ , then we provide some conditions on the slope of  $f$  and  $g$  to ensure the equality of two equivalence classes  $H[f]$  and  $H[g]$  and conversely. For this, we need certain notions, which is already defined in Introduction, before Theorem 1.1.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first need to observe that  $\|A_n - B_n\|_\infty (= \{\max_{1 \leq i \leq r} |\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i}|\}) \rightarrow 0$  if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\lambda'_i - \lambda_i| < \epsilon$  for  $n \geq N$ . We also need the following result which gives an equivalent statement about the equality of two equivalence classes of  $H_{[1, M]}/H$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $H[f], H[g] \in H_{[1, M]}/H$ , then  $f, g$  belong to the same equivalence class if and only if for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $M > 0$  such that  $|\frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x}| < \epsilon$ , for all  $x > M$ .*

*Proof.* First, we let  $H[f], H[g]$  belong to the same equivalence class. Then,  $f = h \circ g$ , for some  $h \in H$ . Then

$$\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| = \left| \frac{h(g(x))}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| = \left| \frac{h(g(x))}{g(x)} \frac{g(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| = \left| \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| \left| \frac{h(g(x))}{g(x)} - 1 \right|.$$

Since  $g$  is a quasi-isometry,  $\frac{g(x)}{x}$  is bounded, that is, there exists  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $\left| \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| < M_1$ . As  $h \in H$ , then for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\left| \frac{h(g(x))}{g(x)} - 1 \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{M_1}$  for all  $x \geq M_2$ . Let  $M' = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$ . Then from above we

get,  $\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| < \epsilon$ , for all  $x > M'$ .

To prove the converse part, we first write,  $f = h' \circ g$ . Now we show that  $h' \in H$ .

Now,  $\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| < \epsilon$  implies  $\left| \frac{g(x)}{x} \left| \frac{h(g(x))}{g(x)} - 1 \right| \right| < \epsilon$ .

Note that,  $\left| \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| > \frac{1}{2}$ , for all  $x > M'_1$ , for some  $M'_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . If not, suppose there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\frac{g(x_n)}{x_n} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , so it has a subsequence  $\{x_{r_n}\}$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x_{r_n})}{x_{r_n}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , which is absurd as  $[g] \in H_{[1, M]}$ .

Since  $\left| \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| > \frac{1}{2}$  for all  $x > M'_1$ , then by putting  $g(x) = y$ , we get,  $\left| \frac{h(y)}{y} - 1 \right| < 2\epsilon$  for all  $y > M'_1$ . Hence  $h \in H$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

**3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $x \in [a_n, a_{n+1}]$ . Then we get two points of the partition of  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$  say  $x_{n,i-1}$  and  $x_{n,i}$  as discussed in Introduction so that  $x_{n,i-1} \leq x \leq x_{n,i}$  and we can write,

$f(x) = f(a_n) + \lambda_{n,1}(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \lambda_{n,2}(x_{n,2} - x_{n,1}) + \dots + \lambda_{n,i}(x - x_{n,i-1})$  and  
 $g(x) = g(a_n) + \lambda'_{n,1}(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \lambda'_{n,2}(x_{n,2} - x_{n,1}) + \dots + \lambda'_{n,i}(x - x_{n,i-1})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(x) - g(x)}{x} \\ &= \frac{f(a_n) - g(a_n) + (\lambda_{n,1} - \lambda'_{n,1})(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \dots + (\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i})(x - x_{n,i-1})}{x} \\ &= \frac{f(a_n) - g(a_n)}{a_n} \frac{a_n}{x} + \frac{(\lambda_{n,1} - \lambda'_{n,1})(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \dots + (\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i})(x - x_{n,i-1})}{x}. \end{aligned}$$

(a) Since  $\|A_n - B_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , from above we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| \\ & \leq \left| \frac{f(a_n) - g(a_n)}{a_n} \right| \left| \frac{a_n}{x} \right| + \frac{|\lambda_{n,1} - \lambda'_{n,1}|(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \dots + |\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i}|(x - x_{n,i-1})}{|x|} \\ & \leq \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \dots + \epsilon(x - x_{n,i-1})}{x}, \text{ for } n \geq N, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{f(a_n)}{a_n}, \frac{g(a_n)}{a_n} \rightarrow 1$ . Hence,

$$\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| \leq \epsilon + \epsilon \frac{x - a_n}{x} < 2\epsilon.$$

So, by Lemma 3.4, we have  $H[f] = H[g]$ .

(b) Since  $H[f] = H[g]$ , by Lemma 3.4, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\left| \frac{f(x)}{x} - \frac{g(x)}{x} \right| < \epsilon$ , for all  $x > M$ .

After putting  $x = x_{n,i}$  in the above inequality, we get  $|A + B| < \epsilon$ , where  $A =$

$$\frac{f(a_n) - g(a_n)}{a_n} \frac{a_n}{x_{n,i}} + \frac{(\lambda_{n,1} - \lambda'_{n,1})(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \dots + (\lambda_{n,i-1} - \lambda'_{n,i-1})(x_{n,i-1} - x_{n,i-2})}{x_{n,i}},$$



$$\text{and } B = \frac{(\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i})(x_{n,i} - x_{n,i-1})}{x_{n,i}}.$$

Now, the following four cases may arise:-

Case I: Let  $A, B > 0$ . Then  $B < \epsilon$ , so

$$\frac{(\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i})(x_{n,i} - x_{n,i-1})}{x_{n,i}} < \epsilon.$$

Since  $x_{n,i} > K_1 x_{n,i-1}$ , we have  $1 - \frac{x_{n,i-1}}{x_{n,i}} > 1 - \frac{1}{K_1}$ . Thus from above we get,

$$\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i} < \frac{\epsilon}{K_2}, \text{ where } K_2 = 1 - \frac{1}{K_1}.$$

Case II: Let  $A, B < 0$ . Then  $-B < \epsilon$ , so

$$-\frac{(\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i})(x_{n,i} - x_{n,i-1})}{x_{n,i}} < \epsilon.$$

Then arguing as Case I, we get,  $-(\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i}) < \frac{\epsilon}{K_2}$ .

Case III: Let  $A < 0, B > 0$ . Then,  $B < \epsilon - A$ . We have,  $-A =$

$$\frac{f(a_n) - g(a_n) + (\lambda_{n,1} - \lambda'_{n,1})(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \dots + (\lambda_{n,i-1} - \lambda'_{n,i-1})(x_{n,i-1} - x_{n,i-2})}{x_{n,i-1}} \frac{x_{n,i-1}}{x_{n,i}}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{f(a_n) - g(a_n) + (\lambda_{n,1} - \lambda'_{n,1})(x_{n,1} - a_n) + \dots + (\lambda_{n,i-1} - \lambda'_{n,i-1})(x_{n,i-1} - x_{n,i-2})}{x_{n,i-1}} \\ &= -\frac{f(x_{n,i-1}) - g(x_{n,i-1})}{x_{n,i-1}} < \epsilon, \text{ by our assumption.} \end{aligned}$$

So,  $-A < \epsilon \frac{x_{n,i-1}}{x_{n,i}} < \frac{\epsilon}{K_1}$  and hence  $B < \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{K_1}$ . Thus,  $\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i} < \frac{\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{K_1}}{K_2}$ .

Case IV: Let  $A > 0, B < 0$ . Then arguing as Case III, one can show,  $-B < \frac{\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{K_1}}{K_2}$ .

Combining four cases,  $|\lambda_{n,i} - \lambda'_{n,i}| < \epsilon_1$ , where  $\epsilon_1 = \max\left\{\frac{\epsilon}{K_2}, \frac{\epsilon + \frac{\epsilon}{K_1}}{K_2}\right\}$ .

Hence,  $\|A_n - B_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remark 3.5.** In Theorem 1.1,  $\|A_n - B_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  is a sufficient condition for  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  belonging to the same equivalence class of  $H_{[1,M]}$ , but not the necessary condition. In the following example, we show that the condition of Theorem 1.1, (b) can not be relaxed.

**Example 3.1.1.** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be defined by  $f(x) = x$  and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \frac{x}{2}, x \in [0, 1] \\ &= g(2^n) + \frac{1}{2}(x - 2^n), x \in [2^n, 2^{n+1}] \\ &= g(2^n) + \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)(x - 2^n - 1), x \in [2^n + 1, 2^{n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

First, we show that  $f$  and  $g$  are not quasi-isometrically equivalent. It will be sufficient to prove that  $f(2^n + 1) - g(2^n + 1) > \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2}$  ( $n$  times). One can easily check that  $f(3) - g(3) > \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now, we assume that the result is true for  $n - 1$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(2^n + 1) - g(2^n + 1) \\
&= 2^n + 1 - g(2^{n-1} + 1) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)(2^n - 2^{n-1} - 1) \\
&= f(2^{n-1} + 1) - g(2^{n-1} + 1) + (2^n - 2^{n-1}) - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)(2^n - 2^{n-1} - 1) \\
&> \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \text{ ((n - 1) times)} + (2^n - 2^{n-1}) \left[1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)\right] \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right), \text{ by using induction} \\
&> \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2} \text{ (n times)}.
\end{aligned}$$

To show  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  belong to the same equivalence class of  $H_{[1,M]}$ , it is enough to prove that  $\frac{g(x)}{x} \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  due to Lemma 3.4 and the fact that  $\frac{f(x)}{x} \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\epsilon_n = 1 - \frac{g(2^n)}{2^n}$ . Now, we can write,

$$2^{n+1} - g(2^{n+1}) = 2^{n+1} - g(2^n) - \frac{1}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)(2^{n+1} - 2^n - 1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{n+1} &= 1 - \frac{g(2^{n+1})}{2^{n+1}} = 1 - \frac{1 - \epsilon_n}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} - \frac{(2^n - \frac{2^n}{2^{n+2}} - 1 + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}})}{2^{n+1}} \\
&= \frac{\epsilon_n}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{n+3}} - \frac{1}{2^{2n+3}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from above, we have  $\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1} = \frac{\epsilon_n}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{n+3}} + \frac{1}{2^{2n+3}} - \frac{1}{2^{n+2}}$ .

If  $\epsilon_n \geq \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{2^{2n+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ , then we get,  $\epsilon_{n+1} \geq \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)+2}} - \frac{1}{2^{2(n+1)+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)+1}}$  and hence we can write that  $\epsilon_{n+m} \geq \frac{1}{2^{(n+m)+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{(n+m)+1}} - \frac{1}{2^{2(n+m)+2}}$ .

Suppose there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\epsilon_n < \frac{1}{2^{n+2}} - \frac{1}{2^{2n+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$  for all  $n$ . Then  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Otherwise, there is a natural number  $n_1 > N$  such that

$$\epsilon_{n_1} \geq \frac{1}{2^{n_1+2}} - \frac{1}{2^{2n_1+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{n_1+1}}.$$

From the above observation,  $\epsilon_{n_1+m} \geq \frac{1}{2^{(n_1+m)+2}} + \frac{1}{2^{(n_1+m)+1}} - \frac{1}{2^{2(n_1+m)+2}}$ .

So,  $\epsilon_{n_1} \geq \epsilon_{n_1+1} \geq \epsilon_{n_1+2} \geq \dots$

Hence  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n \geq n_1}$  is monotonically decreasing and bounded sequence, so is convergent and the limit is 0. Therefore,  $\frac{g(2^n)}{2^n} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since there exists a natural number  $N$  such that  $0 < 1 - \frac{g(2^n)}{2^n} < \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ ,

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{g(2^n + 1)}{2^n + 1} &< 1 + (\epsilon - 1) \frac{2^n}{2^n + 1} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1} + 2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2^n + 1} + \epsilon \frac{2^n}{2^n + 1} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1} + 2} \\ &< \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\frac{g(2^n+1)}{2^n+1} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then it can be readily seen that  $\frac{g(x)}{x} \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Also note that  $\frac{2^n+1}{2^n} \not\asymp K_1$  for some  $K_1 > 1$ , so the first hypothesis in Theorem 1.1 (b) as well as the conclusion are not satisfied.

We will apply Theorem 1.1 to achieve a result on when two specific classes of quasi-isometries of positive real line commute in the form of Theorem 5.4.

Apart from giving necessary and sufficient condition for two elements of  $H_{[1,M]}/H$  being equal, below we show that  $H_{[1,M]}/H$  contains  $C_{[0,1]}^{[1,M]}$ , where  $C_{[0,1]}^{[1,M]}$  denotes the set of all continuously differentiable functions  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow [1, M]$  with  $f(0) = 1, f(1) = M$  and  $f'(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** The set  $C_{[0,1]}^{[1,M]}$  is embedded in  $H_{[1,M]}/H$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in C_{[0,1]}^{[1,M]}$ . We define  $h_1 : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $h_1(x) = (1+x)f(x) + \frac{f(x)-2M}{2M-1}$  and  $h_2 : [1, 2M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear map such that  $h_2(1) = \frac{4M^2-3M}{2M-1}$  and  $h_2(2M) = (2M)$ . Define  $h : [0, 2M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} h_1(x), & x \in [0, 1] \\ h_2(x), & x \in [1, 2M]. \end{cases}$$

Next, we define  $g_f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$g_f(x) = \begin{cases} h(x), & x \in [0, 2M] \\ (2M)^n + ((2M)^n - (2M)^{n-1})h\left(\frac{x-(2M)^n}{(2M)^n-(2M)^{n-1}}\right), & x \in [(2M)^n, (2M)^{n+1}]. \end{cases}$$

Since  $f$  is onto, one can easily check that  $g_f$  is a quasi-isometry. This can also be verified that  $f \mapsto g_f$  is one-to-one.

For  $x \in [(2M)^n, (2M)^{n+1}]$ , we can choose  $z \in [0, 2M]$  such that  $x = ((2M)^n - (2M)^{n-1})z + (2M)^n$ . From the fact that  $\frac{g_f(z)}{z} = f(z)$  for  $z \in [0, 1]$ , one can show that  $g_f \in H_{[1,M]}$ .

If  $[g_{f_1}] = [g_{f_2}]$  in  $H_{[1,M]}/H$ , then  $g_{f_2}$  and  $h \circ g_{f_1}$  for some  $h \in H$ , lie in the same equivalence class. Then for a fixed  $z \in [0, 1]$ , we choose  $x_n = ((2M)^n - (2M)^{n-1})z + (2M)^n$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{f_2}(x_n)}{x_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h \circ g_{f_1}(x_n)}{x_n}$ , this gives  $f_1(z) = f_2(z)$ .

Therefore, we get an embedding of  $C_{[0,1]}^{[1,M]}$  of  $H_{[1,M]}/H$ .  $\square$

## 4. LEFT-ORDERABILITY AND LOCALLY INDICABILITY

Now, we prove Theorem 1.2.

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in G/H$  be any finitely many non-trivial elements. Choose a sequence  $\{x'_{1,k}\}$  such that  $x'_{1,k} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and

$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_1(x'_{1,k})}{x'_{1,k}} \neq 1$ . For each  $i > 1$ , the set of all subsequential limits of  $\frac{f_i(x'_{1,k})}{x'_{1,k}}$

is either  $\{1\}$  or not. After passing to subsequences, we finally obtain a subsequence  $\{x_{1,k}\}$  of  $\{x'_{1,k}\}$  such that either  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(x_{1,k})}{x_{1,k}} \neq 1$  or  $= 1$ . We assign

$\epsilon_i = 1$  when  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(x_{1,k})}{x_{1,k}} > 1$ ,  $\epsilon_i = -1$  when  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(x_{1,k})}{x_{1,k}} < 1$  and we define

$S_1 = \{f_i : \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_i(x_{1,k})}{x_{1,k}} = 1\}$ . If  $S_1$  is not empty, choose  $f_{i,0} \in S_1$ . We choose

another sequence  $\{x'_{2,k}\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{i,0}(x'_{2,k})}{x'_{2,k}} \neq 1$ . Similarly, after passing to

a subsequence, we have for each  $f \in S_1$ , either  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_{2,k})}{x_{2,k}} \neq 1$  or  $= 1$ . We assign

$\epsilon_i = 1$  when  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_{2,k})}{x_{2,k}} > 1$ ,  $\epsilon_i = -1$  when  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_{2,k})}{x_{2,k}} < 1$  and define

$S_2 = \{f : f \in S_1 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_{2,k})}{x_{2,k}} = 1\}$ . Note that this process will stop after

finitely many steps and if  $f_s \in S_i \setminus S_{i+1}$ , then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_s(x_{r,k})}{x_{r,k}} = 1$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, i$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_s^{\epsilon_s}(x_{i+1,k})}{x_{i+1,k}} > 1.$$

We prove that all words with the set of letters subset of  $S_t$ , but not subset of  $S_{t+1}$ , (for  $t = 0, 1, \dots$ ) are non-identity and we do this by induction on the lengths of the

words. Let  $w = f_{i_1}^{\epsilon_{i_1}} f_{i_2}^{\epsilon_{i_2}} \dots f_{i_m}^{\epsilon_{i_m}} \in \langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m \rangle$  be a non trivial reduced word.

Assuming  $S_0 = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$  we can choose  $t$  so that  $\{f_{i_1}, f_{i_2}, \dots, f_{i_m}\} \subset S_t$ , but

$\{f_{i_1}, f_{i_2}, \dots, f_{i_m}\} \not\subset S_{t+1}$ . For the convenience of notation, we assume  $\{f_{i_1}, f_{i_2}, \dots, f_{i_m}\} =$

$\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l\}$ , where  $\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l\} \cap S_{t+1} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l\}$ .

Suppose we can write  $w = w'h$ . If  $w'$  is a word with the set of letters, subset of  $S_t$ , but not of  $S_{t+1}$ , then by induction hypothesis, there exists a subsequence  $\{x'_{t+1,k}\}$

of  $\{x_{t+1,k}\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w'(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} > 1$ . Then two cases arise:

Case I:  $w'$  has at least one  $h_i$ . Then by induction,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w'(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} > 1$ .

Since  $h \notin S_{t+1}$ , so we have  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} > 1$ . So, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for

all  $k \geq N$ ,  $h(x'_{t+1,k}) > k'x'_{t+1,k}$  (for some  $k' > 1$ )  $> x'_{t+1,k}$ .

Since all the elements can be chosen as piecewise-linear homeomorphisms with slopes

greater than zero [12], therefore,  $w'h(x'_{t+1,k}) > w'x'_{t+1,k}$ , for all  $k \geq N$ . Now,  
 $w'h(x'_{t+1,k}) - x'_{t+1,k} = w'h(x'_{t+1,k}) - w'(x'_{t+1,k}) + w'(x'_{t+1,k}) - x'_{t+1,k} > w'(x'_{t+1,k}) - x'_{t+1,k}$ .

$$\text{Thus, } \frac{w(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} - 1 > \frac{w'(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} - 1 > l, \text{ for some } l > 0.$$

So, there exists a subsequence  $\{x''_{t+1,k}\}$  of  $\{x_{t+1,k}\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(x''_{t+1,k})}{x''_{t+1,k}} > 1$ .

Case II: Suppose  $w'$  has  $g_i^s$  only. Let  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} = k_1 > 1$ , then for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|h(x_{t+1,k}) - k_1 x_{t+1,k}| < \epsilon |x_{t+1,k}|$ , for all  $k \geq N_1$ . This implies  
 $||h(x_{t+1,k}) - x_{t+1,k}| - (k_1 - 1)x_{t+1,k}| \leq |h(x_{t+1,k}) - k_1 x_{t+1,k}| < \epsilon x_{t+1,k}$ , for all  $k \geq N_1$ .

Then from above, we get,

$$-|h(x_{t+1,k}) - x_{t+1,k}| + (k_1 - 1)x_{t+1,k} < \epsilon x_{t+1,k}, \text{ for all } k \geq N_1. \text{ So,}$$

$$|h(x_{t+1,k}) - x_{t+1,k}| > (k_1 - 1 - \epsilon)x_{t+1,k}, \text{ for all } k \geq N_1.$$

Since  $w'$  is a quasi-isometry, we have  $M > 1, C > 0$  and

$$\frac{1}{M}|h(x_{t+1,k}) - x_{t+1,k}| - C < |w'h(x_{t+1,k}) - w'(x_{t+1,k})|.$$

Then from above,  $\frac{1}{M}(k_1 - 1 - \epsilon)|x_{t+1,k}| - C < |w'h(x_{t+1,k}) - w'(x_{t+1,k})|$ .

Since  $h(x_{t+1,k}) > x_{t+1,k}$ , we again have,  $w'h(x_{t+1,k}) > w'(x_{t+1,k})$ , so we can write,

$$\frac{w'(h(x_{t+1,k})) - w'(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} > \frac{1}{M}(k_1 - 1 - \epsilon) - \frac{C}{x_{t+1,k}}.$$

On the other hand, if  $g_1, g_2 \in S_{t+1}$ , then there exists  $N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$|g_i(x_{t+1,k}) - x_{t+1,k}| < \epsilon x_{t+1,k}$ , for all  $k \geq N_2$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Then

$$|g_2 \circ g_1(x_{t+1,k}) - x_{t+1,k}| \leq M\epsilon x_{t+1,k} + C + \epsilon x_{t+1,k}$$

$$\implies \left| \frac{g_2 \circ g_1(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} - 1 \right| \leq M\epsilon + \frac{C}{x_{t+1,k}} + \epsilon$$

$$\implies \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_2 \circ g_1(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} = 1.$$

Generalizing this, we get,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w'(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} = 1$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{w(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} - 1 \\ &= \frac{w'h(x_{t+1,k}) - w'(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} + \frac{w'(x_{t+1,k})}{x_{t+1,k}} - 1 \\ &> \frac{1}{M}(k_1 - 1 - \epsilon) - \frac{C}{|x_{t+1,k}|} - \epsilon > m > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a subsequence  $\{x'''_{t+1,k}\}$  of  $\{x_{t+1,k}\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(x'''_{t+1,k})}{x'''_{t+1,k}} > 1$ .

For the remaining case, suppose we write  $w = w'g$ . Then  $w'$  has at least one  $h_i$ . So,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w'(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} = k_2 > 1$ , for some subsequence  $\{x'_{t+1,k}\}$  of  $\{x_{t+1,k}\}$ .

Since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} = 1$ , then there exists  $N_3 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|g(x'_{t+1,k} - x'_{t+1,k})| < \epsilon x'_{t+1,k}$ , for all  $k \geq N_3$ . Again since  $w'$  is a quasi-isometry, therefore,  $|w'g(x'_{t+1,k}) - w'(x'_{t+1,k})| < M\epsilon x'_{t+1,k} + C$ , for some fixed  $M > 1$ ,  $C > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Finally, } \frac{w(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} - 1 &= \frac{w'g(x'_{t+1,k}) - w'(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} + \frac{w'(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} - 1 \\ &> -M\epsilon - \frac{C}{x'_{t+1,k}} + k_2 - 1 - \epsilon'. \end{aligned}$$

Then by suitably choosing  $\epsilon$  and  $\epsilon'$ , we can show  $\frac{w(x'_{t+1,k})}{x'_{t+1,k}} - 1 > k_3$ , for some  $k_3 > 0$ .

So, there exists a subsequence  $\{x''_{t+1,k}\}$  of  $\{x_{t+1,k}\}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w(x''_{t+1,k})}{x''_{t+1,k}} > 1$ .

This completes the induction step and hence the proof.

Since a left-orderable group is torsion-free, we get an obvious corollary of the Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 4.1.1.** *The quotient group  $G/H$  is torsion-free.*

**Remark 4.1.** (i) Since  $QI^+(\mathbb{R})/\overline{H} \cong QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/H \times QI(\mathbb{R}_-)/H'$  and a direct product of left-orderable groups is left-orderable, so  $QI^+(\mathbb{R})/\overline{H}$  is left-orderable.

(ii) Let  $f(x) = -x, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  has order two in  $QI(\mathbb{R})/\overline{H}$ , so  $QI(\mathbb{R})/\overline{H}$  is not left-orderable, hence is not locally indicable.

Now, we prove Theorem 1.3.

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We show that there exist  $x, y, z \in G/H$  such that there does not exist any onto homomorphism from  $\Gamma = \langle x, y, z : x^2 = y^3 = z^7 = xyz \rangle$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ .

Consider now the following two one-parameter families of elements of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ , where they are parametrized by a formal real exponent of  $s$ :

$$a^s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -s \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, b^s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and we define } d = aba = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since an element  $f$  of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$  sends a line through the origin to a line through the origin, it gives a diffeomorphism  $f_1$  of  $\mathbb{R}P^1$ , hence a diffeomorphism  $f_2$  of  $S^1$  by the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{S}^1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \mathbb{S}^1 \\
 \theta \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\
 \mathbb{RP}^1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & \mathbb{RP}^1 \\
 \frac{\theta}{2} \downarrow & & \downarrow \frac{\theta}{2}
 \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbb{RP}^1 = \text{upper half circle}/(1, 0) \sim (-1, 0)$ .

This diffeomorphism of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  can be lifted to a unique homeomorphism  $\tilde{f}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tilde{f}(0) \in [0, 1)$  due to the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & \mathbb{R} \\
 p \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\
 \mathbb{S}^1 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \mathbb{S}^1 \\
 t \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\
 e^{2\pi i t} & & e^{2\pi i t}
 \end{array}$$

Note that these liftings  $\tilde{f} \in \text{Diff}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ , hence by Corollary 2.4 of [14], these liftings  $\tilde{f}$  can be embedded in  $QI(\mathbb{R})$ . We will find a subgroup  $\Gamma = \langle x, y, z : x^2 = y^3 = z^7 = xyz \rangle$  of  $\text{Diff}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

For a real numbers  $s$ , let us define  $A^s, B^s$  and  $D$  to be the unique lifting of  $a^s, b^s, d$  respectively, that is,  $A^r = \tilde{a}^s, B^s = \tilde{b}^s$  and  $D = \tilde{d}$ .

Consider  $x = ABA, y = A^r B A^{1-r}, z = y^{-1}x$ , where  $r$  is a root of  $r^2 - r + 2 = 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{7})$ . It can be checked from the following description of  $x, y$  and  $z$ ; that each of them sends positive real axis to positive real axis, and so  $\Gamma$  can be further embedded into  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . To show  $QI(\mathbb{R}_+)/H$  is not locally indicable, it is enough to prove that there is no non-trivial homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  and the embeddings of  $x, y, z$  are not in  $H$ .

From the expression of  $aba$  it is clear that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (aba)_1 : \mathbb{RP}^1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^1 \\
 \theta &\mapsto \theta + \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
 \theta &\mapsto \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta \leq \pi
 \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (aba)_2 : \mathbb{S}^1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1 \\
 \theta &\mapsto \theta + \pi, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi \\
 \theta &\mapsto \theta - \pi, \quad \pi < \theta \leq 2\pi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the map  $\widetilde{aba} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $t \mapsto t + \frac{1}{2}$  and hence  $\widetilde{aba}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Note that,  $p \circ ABA = aba \circ p$  and hence  $ABA - \widetilde{aba} = n$ , for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Now we find out  $ABA(0)$ . Observe that  $A(0) = 0, B(0) = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $A(\frac{1}{4}) = \frac{1}{2}$ . So,  $ABA(0) = \frac{1}{2}$  and thus  $ABA = \widetilde{aba}$ .

So,  $x^2 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $t \mapsto t + 1$ . Therefore,  $x^2$  commutes with all  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g(t+1) = g(t) + 1$ .

Similarly, one can show that  $BAB = x$ . Since  $y$  is conjugate to  $AB$ ,  $(AB)^3 = (ABA)(BAB) = x^2$  and  $x^2$  commutes with  $(A^{r-1})^{-1}$ , so  $y^3 = x^2$ . Also,  $xyz = x^2$ .

Now, we take the map  $\bar{z} : \mathbb{RP}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^1$ , induced by  $z : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

$$(4.1) \quad \text{So, } \bar{z} = (a^r ba^{1-r})^{-1} aba = \begin{pmatrix} r^2 - r + 1 & -r \\ 1 - r & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{7} - 1 & -r \\ 1 - r & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

since  $r$  is a root of  $r^2 - r + 2 = 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{7})$ .

By Bergman [1],  $\bar{z}$  has eigenvalues  $e^{\pm \frac{\pi i}{7}}$ , hence  $\bar{z}^7 = -I$ . Then,

$$(4.2) \quad z^7 - id = n, \text{ for some fixed } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

From (4.1) and for any values of  $r$ , we can see that both  $(1, 0)$  and  $(0, 1)$  in  $\mathbb{RP}^1$  rotates counterclockwise with an angle less than  $\frac{\pi}{4}$  under  $\bar{z}$ , so does all the lines under  $\bar{z}$ . Hence  $z$  will take  $t$  to  $t + \epsilon(t)$ , where  $0 < \epsilon(t) < \frac{1}{4}$ . So,  $0 < z^7(0) < \frac{7}{4}$ , thus from (4.2),  $z^7(0) = 1$ , and hence  $z^7 : t \mapsto t + 1$ . Therefore,  $z^7 = x^2$ , as desired.

Now, we show the embeddings of  $x, y$  and  $z$  are not in  $H$ .

Recall that from Corollary 2.4 of [14],

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= e^x, \quad x \geq 1 \\ &= ex, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $h x h^{-1}(t) = t e^{\frac{1}{t}}$ , so,  $\frac{h x h^{-1}(t)}{t} = e^{\frac{1}{t}} \neq 1$ , for all  $t > e$ . This implies the embedding of  $x \notin H$ . Similarly, the embedding of  $y, z \notin H$ .

It is easy to check that there is no nontrivial homomorphism from  $\Gamma$  to  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$ . This completes the proof.

## 5. APPLICATION

In the following, we provide a sufficient condition for a quasi-isometry of the positive real line to be in  $\overline{H_c}$ . This is also an attempt to describe the centralizer of some collections of quasi-isometries.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $f \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that there is a dense set  $D$  of  $(\lambda - \delta, \lambda + \delta)$  for some  $\lambda > \delta > 0$  and  $|sf(x) - fs(x)| < M$ , for all  $s \in D, x \in \mathbb{R}$ . ----- (A)  
Then  $f \in \overline{H_c}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a divergent sequence such that  $\frac{f(a_n)}{a_n}$  converges. Then we can choose a subsequence  $\{x_n\}$  of  $\{a_n\}$  so that  $\frac{f^{-1}(x_n)}{x_n} \rightarrow d$ . Let  $\{y_n\}$  be any sequence. We assume  $1 > \lambda + \delta$  as other cases can be dealt similarly.

Then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\bigcup_{m \geq N} ((\lambda - \delta)^m, (\lambda + \delta)^m) = (0, (\lambda - \delta)^N)$ . So,



$\bigcup_{m \geq N} D^m$  is dense in  $(0, (\lambda + \mu)^N)$ .

We can choose a subsequence  $\{x_{r_n}\}$  such that  $\frac{f^{-1}(x_{r_n})}{y_n} > n$ , so  $\frac{y_n}{f^{-1}(x_{r_n})} < \frac{1}{n}$ .

Now, we can take  $s_n \in \bigcup_{m \geq N} D^m$  such that  $\left|s_n - \frac{y_n}{f^{-1}(x_{r_n})}\right| < \frac{1}{n} \frac{y_n}{f^{-1}(x_{r_n})}$ , equivalently,

$\left|s_n \frac{f^{-1}(x_{r_n})}{y_n} - 1\right| < \frac{1}{n}$ . For all  $s^m \in \bigcup_{m \geq N} D^m$ , one can show,  $|s^m f(x) - f s^m(x)| < (1 + s + s^2 + \dots + s^{m-1})M < \frac{M}{1-s}$ . Thus,

$$(5.1) \quad |s_n f(x) - f s_n(x)| < \frac{M}{1 - (\lambda + \delta)}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(y_n)}{y_n} - d \right| &\leq \left| \frac{f(s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n}))}{s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n})} - \frac{f(y_n)}{y_n} \right| + \left| \frac{f(s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n}))}{s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n})} - d \right| \\ &< \left( 2k + \frac{c}{|s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n})|} \right) \left| 1 - \frac{s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n})}{y_n} \right| + \frac{c}{|y_n|} + \left| \frac{f(s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n}))}{s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n})} - d \right| \\ &< \left( 2k + \frac{c}{|s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n})|} \right) \left| 1 - \frac{s_n f^{-1}(x_{r_n})}{y_n} \right| + \frac{c}{|y_n|} + \delta_n \\ &< \left( 2k + \frac{c}{y_n(1 - \frac{1}{n})} \right) \frac{1}{n} + \frac{c}{|y_n|} + \delta_n \\ &\leq \left( 2k + \frac{2c}{y_n} \right) \frac{1}{n} + \frac{c}{|y_n|} + \delta_n \end{aligned}$$

where  $c > 0$  is a constant and we get  $\delta_n$  by using 5.1 where  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

A simple collection of examples which satisfy (A) of Prop. 5.1 is  $f_c(x) = cx$ , for  $c > 0, x \geq 0$ . Now, we give an example  $g_\lambda \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $g_\lambda$  commutes with  $f_\lambda (x \mapsto \lambda x)$  and  $g_\lambda \notin \overline{H_c}$ , for any  $\lambda > 0$ .

**Example 5.2.** We construct  $g_\lambda$  for two cases:

Case I: Let  $\lambda > 1$ . Define  $g_\lambda : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by

$$\begin{aligned} g_\lambda(x) &= \frac{5}{2}x, \quad x \in [0, 1] \\ &= 1 + \frac{3}{2}\lambda^n + (x - \lambda^n), \quad x \in \left[ \lambda^n, \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2} \right] \\ &= 1 + \lambda^n + \frac{\lambda^{n+1}}{2} + 2\left(x - \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}\right), \quad x \in \left[ \frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}, \lambda^{n+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily checked that  $[g_\lambda] \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $[g_\lambda f_\lambda g_\lambda^{-1}] = [f_\lambda]$ .

Case II: Let  $\lambda < 1$ . Then  $\frac{1}{\lambda} > 1$  and similarly as case I,  $g_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \in QI(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then  $f_\lambda^{-1}(x) = f_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}(x)$  and  $g_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} f_\lambda^{-1} = f_\lambda^{-1} g_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$ , which implies  $[g_{\frac{1}{\lambda}} f_\lambda g_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}^{-1}] = [f_\lambda]$ .

Considering  $\{\lambda^n\}$  and  $\{\frac{\lambda^n + \lambda^{n+1}}{2}\}$  in Case I, we deduce  $[g_\lambda] \notin \overline{H_c}$ . Similarly  $[g_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}]$  (in Case II)  $\notin \overline{H_c}$ .

An interesting fact is that  $g_\lambda$  commutes with  $f_c (x \mapsto cx)$ , for  $c > 0$  if and only if  $c = \lambda^r$ , for  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and hence both  $g_\lambda$  and  $g_{\frac{1}{\lambda}}$  do not satisfy the condition (A).

**Remark 5.3.** (i) The condition (A) in Prop. 5.1 is more analytical than algebraic in nature. So, it can be a good question to ask whether the conclusion of Prop. 5.1 can be deduced in spite of relaxing (A) so that  $f$  only commutes with all the functions  $f_c$  for  $c \in D$ .

(ii) Apart from Example 5.2, one can search for an example  $g$  such that  $g \notin \overline{H_c}$  and  $g$  commutes with  $f_c$ ,  $c \in D$  for some  $D$  but does not satisfy (A).

As an application of Theorem 1.1, now we discuss when two large classes of quasi-isometries of positive real line commute. These classes are described in the following.

**5.1. Discussion.** Let  $[f] \in H_{[1, M_1]}$ ,  $[g] \in H_{[1, M_2]}$  for  $M_1, M_2 > 1$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  be a strictly increasing sequence with  $f(a_n) = g(a_n) = a_n$  and  $x_n$  be the only break point for both  $f$  and  $g$  in  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ .

If  $S_{[g \circ f]} \neq S_{[f \circ g]}$ , then for any  $h, h' \in H$ , we can easily deduce that  $S_{[h' \circ g \circ h \circ g]} \neq S_{[h \circ f \circ h' \circ f]}$ , so  $h' \circ g$  and  $h \circ f$  do not commute. Next we assume  $S_{[g \circ f]} = S_{[f \circ g]}$ .

Now, we write the expression of  $f$  and  $g$  on  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$  in terms of the slopes  $\lambda_n, \mu_n, \lambda'_n, \mu'_n$  respectively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= a_n + \lambda_n(x - a_n), \quad x \in [a_n, x_n] \\ &= a_n + \lambda_n(x_n - a_n) + \mu_n(x - x_n), \quad x \in [x_n, a_{n+1}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= a_n + \lambda'_n(x - a_n), \quad x \in [a_n, x_n] \\ &= a_n + \lambda'_n(x_n - a_n) + \mu'_n(x - x_n), \quad x \in [x_n, a_{n+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $g \circ f$  have break-points  $x_n$  and  $f^{-1}(x_n)$  in  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ . Three cases may arise:

Case (i): Let  $x_n < f^{-1}(x_n)$ .

(a) If  $x \in [a_n, x_n]$ , then  $g \circ f(x) = g(a_n + \lambda_n(x - a_n)) = a_n + \lambda_n \lambda'_n(x - a_n)$ .

(b) If  $x \in [x_n, f^{-1}(x_n)]$ , then  $g \circ f(x) = a_n + \lambda'_n(f(x) - a_n) = a_n + \lambda'_n \lambda_n(x_n - a_n) + \lambda'_n \mu_n(x - x_n)$ .

(c) If  $x \in [f^{-1}(x_n), a_{n+1}]$ , then  $g \circ f(x) = a_n + \lambda'_n(x_n - a_n) + \mu'_n(f(x) - x_n) = a_n + \lambda'_n(x_n - a_n) + \mu'_n[a_n + \lambda_n(x_n - a_n) + \mu_n(x - x_n) - x_n]$ .

Thus from above, in this case the slopes of  $g \circ f$  are  $\lambda_n \lambda'_n, \lambda'_n \mu_n, \mu'_n \mu_n$  respectively.

Case (ii): Let  $x_n = f^{-1}(x_n)$ . Then the slopes of  $g \circ f$  are  $\lambda_n \lambda'_n$  and  $\mu_n \mu_n$  respectively.

Case (iii): Let  $x_n > f^{-1}(x_n)$ . Then similarly as above, we can show that the slopes of  $g \circ f$  are  $\lambda_n \lambda'_n, \mu'_n \lambda_n, \mu'_n \mu_n$  respectively.

For  $f \circ g$ ,  $x_n$  and  $g^{-1}(x_n)$  are two break-points in  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ . Here also three cases arise:

Case (i): If  $x_n < g^{-1}(x_n)$ , then the slopes of  $f \circ g$  are  $\lambda'_n \lambda_n, \mu'_n \lambda_n$  and  $\mu'_n \mu_n$  respectively.

Case (ii): If  $x_n = g^{-1}(x_n)$ , then the slopes of  $f \circ g$  are  $\lambda'_n \lambda_n$  and  $\mu'_n \mu_n$  respectively.  
Case (iii): If  $x_n > g^{-1}(x_n)$ , then the slopes of  $f \circ g$  are  $\lambda'_n \lambda_n$ ,  $\lambda'_n \mu_n$  and  $\mu'_n \mu_n$  respectively.

If  $\lambda_n < 1 - \epsilon_1$ ,  $\lambda'_n > 1 + \epsilon_2$  for some  $0 < \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 < 1$ , then  $g \circ f$  have break-points  $x_n$  and  $f^{-1}(x_n)$  in  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$  and  $f \circ g$  have break-points  $g^{-1}(x_n)$  and  $x_n$ . So, we get a partition  $a_n < g^{-1}(x_n) < x_n < f^{-1}(x_n) < a_{n+1}$  of  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$  such that on each subintervals, both of  $g \circ f$  and  $f \circ g$  are linear. The difference of slopes of  $g \circ f$  and  $f \circ g$  in these subintervals are 0,  $|\lambda'_n| |\lambda_n - \mu_n|$ ,  $|\mu_n| |\lambda'_n - \mu'_n|$  and 0 respectively.

One can easily show that there exists  $K_2 > 1$  with  $\frac{g^{-1}(x_n)}{a_n}, \frac{x_n}{g^{-1}(x_n)} > K_2$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and also compute  $f^{-1}(x_n) = x_n + \frac{(1-\lambda_n)(x_n-a_n)}{\mu_n}$ . Therefore,

$\frac{f^{-1}(x_n)}{x_n} = 1 + \frac{1-\lambda_n}{\mu_n} (1 - \frac{a_n}{x_n}) > 1 + \frac{\epsilon}{M} (1 - \frac{1}{K})$ , for some  $M > 1$ . On the other hand, if for some subsequence  $\{r_n\}$  of  $\{n\}$ ,  $f^{-1}(x_{r_n}) \rightarrow a_{r_n+1}$ , then  $x_{r_n} \rightarrow f(a_{r_n+1}) = a_{r_n+1}$  (since  $f$  is chosen to be bilipschitz), which contradicts our assumption. So, there exists a  $K_1 > 1$  such that  $\frac{a_{n+1}}{f^{-1}(x_n)} > K_1 > 1$ .

Thus the hypothesis of the Theorem 1.1 (b) is satisfied, so  $[g \circ f] = [f \circ g] \pmod{H}$  implies  $|\lambda_n - \mu_n| \rightarrow 0$  and  $|\lambda'_n - \mu'_n| \rightarrow 0$ , which contradicts our previous assumption on  $\lambda_n$  and  $\lambda'_n$ . So,  $[g \circ f] \neq [f \circ g] \pmod{H}$ .

For the remaining conditions on  $\lambda_n$  and  $\lambda'_n$  as given in the following theorem, one can get the same conclusion. Hence we have proven the following result on commutativity of  $f$  and  $g$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $[f], [g] \in H_{[1, \infty)} (= \bigcup_{M>1} H_{[1, M]})$  with a strictly increasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $f(a_n) = g(a_n) = a_n$  and  $x_n$  is the only break-point in  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $K, K' > 1$  be constants such that  $|\lambda_n - 1|, |\lambda'_n - 1|, |\lambda_n - \lambda'_n| > \epsilon$  and  $K < \frac{x_n}{a_n}, \frac{a_{n+1}}{x_n} < K'$ . Then  $h \circ f$  and  $h' \circ g$  do not commute for any  $h, h' \in H$ .*

**Remark 5.5.** (i) The above condition, that is, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $|\lambda_n - 1|, |\lambda'_n - 1|, |\lambda_n - \lambda'_n| > \epsilon$  may make the impression that the hypothesis of the previous theorem is rather restrictive. But there is a large class of functions which satisfies this with the other conditions.

(ii) We can construct  $[g] \in H_{[1, M_2]}$  for some  $M_2 > 1$  such that  $\lambda'_n \rightarrow 1$  and  $\lambda'_n \rightarrow \lambda_n$  in the disjoint sequence of intervals of the form  $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ . Then  $[g]$  is neither  $[id]$  nor  $[f]$ , but  $[g]$  commutes with  $[f] \pmod{H}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Parameswaran Sankaran for his valuable suggestions and comments.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Bergman, : Right-orderable groups which are not locally indicable, *Pac. J. Math.*, **147** (1991), 243-248.
2. S. Bhowmik, P. Chakraborty, : On certain elements and the center of the quasi-isometry groups of Euclidean spaces, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.03824>.
3. E. Ghys, : Groups acting on the circle, *L'Enseignement Mathématique*, **47** (2001) 329-407.

4. B. Deroin, A. Navas, C. Rivas, : Groups, orders, and dynamics, Submitted, 2016.
5. B. Farb, : The quasi-isometry classification of lattices in semisimple Lie groups, *Math. Res. Lett.*, **4** (1997) 705-717.
6. B. Farb, L. Mosher, : A rigidity theorem for the solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups, With an appendix by Daryl Cooper, *Invent. Math.*, **131** (2) (1998) 419-451.
7. M. Gromov, P. Pansu, : Rigidity of lattices: an introduction, in: Geometric Topology: Recent Developments, Montecatini Terme, 1990, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **1504**, Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 39-137.
8. K. Mann, : Left-orderable groups that don't act on the line, *Math. Z.*, **280** (2015) 905-918.
9. O. Mitra, P. Sankaran, : Embedding certain diffeomorphism groups in the quasi-isometry groups of Euclidean spaces, *Topology Appl.*, **265**(2019), 11pp.
10. R. B. Mura, A. Rhemtulla, : Orderable groups. Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **27**, Marcel Dekker, New York-Basel (1977).
11. A. Navas, : On the dynamics of (left) orderable groups, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **60** (2010) 1685-1740.
12. P. Sankaran, : On homeomorphisms and quasi-isometries of the real line. *Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, **134** (2005) 1875-1880.
13. K. Whyte, : The large scale geometry of the higher Baumslag-Solitar groups, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **11** (6) (2001) 1327-1343.
14. S. Ye, Y. Zhao, : The group of quasi-isometries of the real line cannot act effectively on the line, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2202.04911v1>.

SWARUP BHOWMIK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, KHARAGPUR - 721302, INDIA.

*Email address:* [swarup.bhowmik@iitkgp.ac.in](mailto:swarup.bhowmik@iitkgp.ac.in)

PRATEEP CHAKRABORTY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KHARAGPUR, KHARAGPUR - 721302, INDIA.

*Email address:* [prateep@maths.iitkgp.ac.in](mailto:prateep@maths.iitkgp.ac.in)