Universal Bound on Dynam ical Relaxation Times and Black-Hole Quasinorm al Ringing

Shahar Hod The Ruppin Academ ic Center, Em eq Hefer 40250, Israel and The Hadassah Institute, Jerusalem 91010, Israel (February 6, 2021)

From inform ation theory and therm odynam ic considerations a universal bound on the relaxation time of a perturbed system is inferred, h=T, where T is the system 's tem perature. We prove that black holes comply with the bound; in fact they actually saturate it. Thus, when judged by their relaxation properties, black holes are them ost extrem e objects in nature, having them axim um relaxation rate which is allowed by quantum theory.

A fundamental problem in thermodynamic and statistical physics is to study the response of a system in therm al equilibrium to an outside perturbation [1{3]. In particular, one is typically interested in calculating the relaxation timescale at which the perturbed system returns to a stationary, equilibrium con guration. C an this relaxation time be made arbitrarily small? That the answermay be negative is suggested by the third-law of therm odynam ics, according to which the relaxation time of a perturbed system is expected to go to in nity in the lim it of absolute zero of tem perature. Finite tem perature system s are expected to have faster dynam ics and shorter relaxation times { how sm all can these be m ade? In this Letter we use general results from quantum information theory in order to derive a fundam ental bound on the maximal rate at which a perturbed system approaches therm alequilibrium .

On another front, deep connections between the world of black-hole physics and the realm s of therm odynam ics and inform ation theory were revealed by H awking's theoretical discovery of black-hole radiation [4], and its corresponding tem perature and black-hole entropy [5]. These discoveries in ply that black holes behave as therm odynam ic system s in m any respects. Furtherm ore, black holes have been proven to be very useful in deriving fundam ental, static bounds on inform ation storage [6{11]. C an one use black holes to obtain deep insights into natural limitations on dynam ical relaxation times? Indeed one can, as we shall show below.

Q uantum information theory and Thermodynamics. A fundamental question in quantum information theory is what is the maximum rate, I_{max} , at which information may be transmitted by a signal of duration and energy E. The answer to this question was already found in the 1960's (see e.g. [12,13]):

$$I_{max} = E = h \ln 2 :$$
 (1)

(W e use units in which $k_B = G = c = 1$.)

An outside perturbation to a therm odynam ic system is characterized by energy and entropy changes in the system, E and S, respectively. By the complementary relation between entropy and information (entropy as a measure of one's uncertainty or lack of information about the actual internal state of the system [14{16,12]}, the relation Eq. (1) sets an upper bound on the rate of entropy change [17],

$$\frac{S}{E=h};$$
 (2)

where is the characteristic timescale for this dynamical process (the relaxation time required for the perturbed system to return to a quiescent state).

Taking cognizance of the second-law of therm odynam - ics, one obtains from Eq. (2)

$$m in = h = T$$
; (3)

where T is the system's temperature. Thus, according to quantum theory, a thermodynamic system has (at least) one perturbation mode whose relaxation time is $(T=h)^{-1}$, or larger. This mode dom inates the late-time relaxation dynamics of the system, and determines the characteristic timescale for generic perturbations to decay [18].

Typically for laboratory sized system s T is many orders of m agnitude larger than h. For example, the relaxation tim escale of a gas com posed of particles of m ass m is of the order of $R = c_s$, where R is the characteristic dimension of the system , and $c_{\rm s}$ $(T=m)^{1=2}$ is the $R (Tm)^{1=2}$ sound velocity. Thus, T 10¹¹h h for room temperatures and R 1m. One therefore wonders whether there are therm odynam ic system s in nature whose relaxation times are of the same order of magnitude as the m inim al relaxation time, $m_{\text{ in}}$, allowed by quantum theory?

The follow ing argum ent will guide our search for physical systems that come close to challenge the dynam ical bound, Eq. (3): The characteristic relaxation time of a therm odynam ic system is bounded from below by the time it takes for the perturbation to propagate along the system. Thus, the relaxation time is bounded by the characteristic size, R, of the system . This fact motivates a shift of interest to system s whose tem perature is of the sam e order of magnitude (or smaller) as the reciprocal of the characteristic size of the system, h=R.

The therm odynam ic tem perature of a Kerrblack hole is given by the Bekenstein-Hawking tem perature [4,5], $T_{BH} = \frac{h(r_{+} r)}{4(r_{+}^{2} + a^{2})}$, where $r = M + (M^{2} a^{2})^{1=2}$ are the black-hole outer and inner horizons, and M and a are the black-hole m ass and angular m om entum per unit mass, respectively. One therefore nds that a spherically sym metric Schwarzschild black hole (with a = 0) satis es $h{=}r_{\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!}$, and m ay therefore com e close the relation T_{B H} to saturate the relaxation bound, Eq. (3). M oreover, it seem s that rotating K err black holes m ay even break the relaxation bound, since their tem peratures are characterized by T_{BH} $h=r_+$ in the extremal limit r_+ r_+ $(T_{BH} ! 0)$. We shall now show that black holes conform to the relaxation bound; in fact they actually saturate it.

Black-hole relaxation. The statement that black holes have no hair was introduced by W heeler [19] in the early 1970's. The various no-hair theorems state that the external eld of a dynamically formed black hole (or a perturbed black hole) relaxes to a K err-N ewm an spacetime, characterized solely by three parameters: the blackhole m ass, charge, and angular m om entum. This im plies that perturbation elds left outside the black hole would either be radiated away to in nity, or be swallowed by the black hole.

This relaxation phase in the dynamics of perturbed black holes is characterized by 'quasinorm al ringing', damped oscillations with a discrete spectrum (see e.g. [20] for a detailed review). At late times, all perturbations are radiated away in a manner reminiscent of the last pure dying tones of a ringing bell [21{24]. Being the characteristic 'sound' of the black hole itself, these free oscillations are of great in portance from the astrophysicalpoint of view. They allow a direct way of identifying the spacetime parameters (especially, the mass and angular momentum of the black hole). This has motivated a urry of research during the last four decades aim ing to compute the quasinorm almode (QNM) spectrum of various types of black-hole spacetimes [20].

In addition, the highly dam ped black-hole resonances [25] have been the subject ofm uch recent attention, with the hope that these classical frequencies m ay shed som e light on the elusive theory of quantum gravity (see e.g. [26], and references therein). Furtherm ore, the antide Sitter/conform al eld theory (AdS/CFT) conjecture [27] has led to an intensive study of black-hole QNM in asymptotically AdS spacetimes in the last few years [28{39]. A coording to the AdS/CFT correspondence, a large static black hole in AdS corresponds to an approxim ately therm al state in CFT. Perturbing the black hole corresponds to perturbing this therm al state, and the decay of the perturbation (characterized by QNM ringdown) describes the return to therm al equilibrium .

The dynam ics of black-hole perturbations is governed by the Regge-W heeler equation [40] in the case of a Schwarzschild black hole, and by the Teukolsky equation [41] for the Kerr black hole. The black hole QNM s correspond to solutions of the wave equations with the physical boundary conditions of purely outgoing waves at spatial in nity and purely ingoing waves crossing the event horizon [42]. Such boundary conditions single out a discrete set of black-hole resonances f!ng (assum ing a tim e dependence of the form e^{i!t}).

Since the perturbation eld can fall into the black hole or radiate to in nity, the perturbation decays and the corresponding QNM frequencies are complex. It turns out that there exist an in nite number of quasinormal modes, characterizing oscillations with decreasing relaxation times (increasing imaginary part) [43,44]. The mode with the smallest imaginary part (known as the fundam ental mode) gives the dynamical timescale for generic perturbations to decay (the relaxation time required for the perturbed black hole to return to a quiescent state). Namely, $!_{I}^{1}$, where $!_{I}$ denotes the imaginary part of the fundam ental, least dam ped blackhole resonance.

Taking cognizance of the relaxation bound Eq. (3), one nds an upper bound on the black-hole fundam ental quasinorm al frequency,

$$!_{I} T_{BH} = h:$$
 (4)

This relation implies that every black hole should have (at least) one QNM resonance whose in aginary part conform to the upper bound Eq. (4), and which determ ines the characteristic relaxation timescale of the perturbed black hole [45].

Analytical and num erical con mation of the bound. We now con m the validity of the universal relaxation bound, starting with the 'canonical' Kerr black holes. Figure 1 displays the quantity $h!_{I} = T_{BH}$ for the least dam ped (fundam ental) quasinorm al frequencies of rotating Kerrblack holes [43,46{48]. In contrast with laboratory sized systems, black holes are found to have relaxation times which are of the same order of magnitude as the minimally allowed one, min. Speci cally, one nds (see Fig. 1) that the fundam ental black-hole resonances are characterized by $h!_{I} = T_{BH} < 1$ [49]. In Fig. 2 we depict sim ilar results for Kerr-Newm an black holes [50]. Remarkably, extrem alblack holes (which are characterized by T_{BH} ! 0) saturate the dynam ical relaxation bound. Namely, their relaxation times are in nitely long, in accord with the third-law of therm odynam ics.

It is of great interest to check the validity of the upper bound, Eq. (4), for other black-hole spacetimes. The endpoint of a charged and non-rotating gravitational collapse is described by the Reissner-Nordstrom spacetime. The black-hole formation is followed by a relaxation



FIG.1. Im aginary part of K err black-hole QNM frequencies as a function of the black-hole rotation parameter a. The num erical results are for the fundam ental (least dam ped) gravitational resonances with l = m = 2. The resonant frequencies conform to the bound $!_{I} = T_{BH} = h$, and saturate it in the extrem allim it a=M ! 1.

phase, which describes the decay of two types of perturbation elds: coupled gravitational-electrom agnetic perturbations, and charged perturbation elds. One nds [51] that the fundam ental QNM frequency of a charged scalar eld is characterized by $!_{I} = T_{BH}$ in the eQ =T_{BH} 1 lim it, where e is the charge coupling constant. Thus, a charged R eissner-N ordstrom black hole saturates the relaxation bound.

The QNM of a D-dimensional Schwarzschild black hole can be calculated analytically in the limit of a large angular index `1 [52]. Using the results of [52], we nd

$$\frac{h!_{I}}{T_{BH}} = \frac{2}{D-1} \frac{1 = (D-3)}{P \frac{2}{D-1}};$$
(5)

which is a monotonic decreasing function of D, with a maximum of h!_I = $T_{BH} = 4 = (3 \ \overline{3}) < 1$ at D = 4. Thus, D-dimensional Schwarzschild black holes conform to the upper bound Eq. (4).

Furtherm ore, D-dim ensional Schwarzschild-de Sitter black holes [53] also conform to the upper bound Eq. (4), with the desired property of saturating it in the extrem al lim it, T_{BH} ! 0. Large black holes in asymptotically AdS spacetime (large compared to the AdS radius) have the interesting property that for odd parity gravitational perturbations, the in aginary parts of the QNM frequencies scale like the inverse of the black-hole tem perature (in this regime, T_{BH} r) [31,39]. Hence, these m odes are particularly long lived and conform to the relaxation bound Eq. (4). In the sm all AdS black-hole regime, the imaginary parts of the gravitational to the relaxation bound to the relaxation bound Eq. (3).



FIG.2. Im aginary part of K err-N ewm an black-hole QNM frequencies as a function of the black-hole rotation parameter a. We display results for Q=M = 0.2 (lower curve) and Q=M = 0.8 (upper curve, for which a=M 0.6). The numerical results are for the fundamental resonances with l = m = 2. The resonant frequencies conform to the bound $!_{I}$ T_{BH}=h, and saturate it in the extrem al limit $a^2 + Q^2$! M².

Sum m ary. In this Letter we have derived a universal bound on relaxation times of perturbed therm odynamic systems, h=T [54]. The relaxation bound is a direct consequence of quantum information theory and therm odynamic considerations.

We conjecture that a relation of this form could serve as a quantitative way to express the third-law of therm odynam ics. Namely, one cannot reach a temperature T in a timescale shorter than h=T (which indeed goes to in nity in the limit of absolute zero of temperature, in accord with the third-law).

Remarkably, black holes comply with the dynamical bound; in fact they have relaxation times which are of the same order of magnitude as $_{\rm m \ in}$, the m inimal relaxation time allowed by quantum theory. Moreover, extrem alblack holes (in the T_B_H ! 0 limit) actually attain the bound { their relaxation time is in nitely long. Since black holes saturate the fundam ental bound, we conclude that when judged by their relaxation properties, black holes are the most extrem e objects in nature.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

I thank U rik eshet for num erous discussions, as well as for a continuing stimulating collaboration. I also thank O ren Shriki and O ded H od for helpful discussions.

- [1] R. Kubo, M. Toda and N. Hashitsume, \Statistical Physics II", Springer Verlag, Berlin (1985).
- [2] A.L. Fetter and J.D.W alecka, \Quantum Theory of M any-particle System s", M cG raw-H ill Book C om pany (1971).
- [3] D.Bim ingham, I.Sachs and S.Solodukhin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 151301 (2002).
- [4] S.W .Hawking, Commun.Math.Phys. 43, 199 (1975).
- [5] J.D.Bekenstein, Phys.Rev.D 7, 2333 (1973).
- [6] J.D.Bekenstein, Phys.Rev.D 23, 287 (1981).
- [7] G.'t Hooft in Salam-festschrit, A. Aly, J. Ellis, S. Randjbar-Daemi, eds., World Scientic, Singapore (1993).
- [8] S.Hod, Phys.Rev.D 61,024018 (2000).
- [9] J. D. Bekenstein and A. E. Mayo, Phys. Rev. D 61, 024022 (2000).
- [10] S.Hod, Phys.Rev.D 61,024023 (2000).
- [11] J.D.Bekenstein, Contem p.Phys. 45, 31 (2003).
- [12] J.D. Bekenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett 46, 623 (1981).
- [13] H.J.Brem erm ann, in Proceedings of Fifth Berkeley Symposium on M athem atical Statistics and Probability, edited by L.M.LeCam and J.Neyman (Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, 1967).
- [14] C.E. Shannon and W.W eaver, The M athem atical Theory of Communication (Univ. of Illinois Press, Urbana, 1949).
- [15] S. Goldman, Information Theory (Prentice Hall, New York, 1953).
- [16] L.Brillouin, Science and Information Theory (A cademic, New York, 1956).
- [17] There is a factor of ln 2 to convert entropy natural units to bits.
- [18] Note that the energy of the perturbation, E, has canceled out from the nal expression of the m inim ally allowed relaxation time, m in . This is to be expected for sm all (linear) perturbations, in which case the characteristic relaxation tim escale is independent of the perturbation's am plitude.
- [19] R. Ru ni and J. A. W heeler, Physics Today 24, 30 (1971).
- [20] For an excellent review and a detailed list of references see H.P.N ollert, Class. Quantum G rav. 16, R 159 (1999).
- [21] W .H.Press, A strophys.J.170, L105 (1971).
- [22] V. de la Cruz, J. E. Chase and W. Israel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 423 (1970).
- [23] C.V.V ishveshwara, Nature 227, 936 (1970).
- [24] M. Davis, R. Ru ni, W. H. Press and R. H. Price, Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 1466 (1971).
- [25] S.Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4293 (1998).
- [26] S. Hod and U. Kehset, Class. Quant. Grav. 23, L23 (2006).
- [27] J.M aldacena, Adv. Theor. M ath. Phys. 2, 231 (1998)
- [28] G.T.Horowitz and V.Hubeny, Phys. Rev. D 62, 024027 (2000).
- [29] J.S.F.Chan and R.B.M ann, Phys. Rev. D 59, 064025 (1999).
- [30] V.Cardoso and J.P.S.Lem os, Phys. Rev. D 63, 124015 (2001).
- [31] V.Cardoso and J.P.S.Lem os, Phys. Rev.D 64, 084017 (2001)
- [32] D. Birmingham, I. Sachs, and S. N. Solodukhin, Phys.

Rev.D 67,104026 (2003).

- [33] R.A.Konoplya, Phys. Rev.D 66, 084007 (2002); Phys. Rev.D 66, 044009 (2002).
- [34] A.O.Starinets, Phys. Rev. D 66, 124013 (2002).
- [35] D.T.Son and A.O.Starintes, J.H igh Energy Phys.09, 042 (2002).
- [36] R. Aros, C. Martinez, R. Troncoso, and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D 67, 044014 (2003).
- [37] I.G.Moss, and J.P.Nomman, Class. Quant. Grav. 19, 2323 (2002).
- [38] M.Musiri and G.Siopsis, Phys.Lett.B 563, 102 (2003).
- [39] E.Berti and K.D.Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. D 67, 064020 (2003).
- [40] T. Regge and J. A. W heeler, Phys. Rev. 108, 1063 (1957).
- [41] S.A. Teukolsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 1114 (1972); Astrophys. J. 185, 635 (1973).
- [42] S. L. D etweiler, in Sources of G ravitational R adiation, edited by L. Sm arr (C am bridge U niversity P ress, C am bridge, England, 1979).
- [43] E.W. Leaver, Proc. R. Soc. A 402, 285 (1985).
- [44] A. Bachelot and A. Motet-Bachelot, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare 59, 3 (1993).
- [45] We note that a spherically symmetric Schwarzschild black hole has only one time/length scale{ its horizon radius, $r_{\scriptscriptstyle +}~$ (or equivalently, its mass M). One therefore expects to nd r_+ (and $!_{I}$ r_+ ¹) on dimensional grounds. On the other hand, rotating Kerr black holes have an additional lengthscale { the black-hole inverse tem perature T_{BH}^{1} . The dynam ical relaxation bound, Eq. (4), implies that the relevant relaxation timescale of a perturbed black hole is determ ined by its inverse tem perature, T_{BH}^{1} , and not by its horizon radius r_{+} . We emphasize that $T_{B\,H}^{\ \ 1}$ is much larger than r_{+} in the extrem allim it, T_{BH} ! 0. Thus, the upper bound Eq. (4) is stronger than a relation of the form $!_{I} r_{+}^{1}$, which one could have anticipated from naive dimensionality considerations. In particular, the relaxation bound predicts that extrem al black holes must be characterized by $!_{I} ! 0$, implying in nitely long relaxation times.
- [46] S.Detweiler, Astrophys. J. 239, 292 (1980).
- [47] H.Onozawa, Phys. Rev. D 55, 3593 (1997).
- [48] K.G lam pedakis and N.Andersson, Class. Quant. Grav. 20, 3441 (2003).
- [49] We display results for gravitational perturbations with m = 1. B lack-hole resonances with m < 1 are characterized by larger in aginary parts [43,46{48}], and therefore correspond to perturbations which decay faster than the m = 1 m odes. M oreover, Q NM with m = 0 have in aginary parts which scale like M^{-1} , and which are therefore much larger than $T_{B,H}$ in the extrem al limit.
- [50] E.Berti and K.D.Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. D 71, 124008 (2005).
- [51] S.Hod, In preparation.
- [52] R.A.Konoplya, Phys. Rev.D 68, 024018 (2003).
- [53] L.Vanzo and S.Zerbini, Phys.Rev.D 70,044030 (2004);
 A.Zhidenko, Class. Quant. Grav. 21, 273 (2004); R.A. Konoplya and A.Zhidenko, JHEP 0406,037 (2004).
- [54] We name this dynam ical bound T in e times Temperature' (TTT).