

**DEL PEZZO SURFACES OF DEGREE 2 AND JACOBIANS
WITHOUT COMPLEX MULTIPLICATION**

YU. G. ZARHIN

To my friend Sergei Vostokov

1. NOTATIONS AND STATEMENTS

In a series of his articles [10, 12, 11, 13, 15] the author constructed explicitly m -dimensional abelian varieties without non-trivial endomorphisms for every $m > 1$. This construction may be described as follows. Let K_a be an algebraic closure of a perfect field K with $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. Let $n = 2m + 1$ or $2m + 2$. Let us choose an n -element set $\mathfrak{X} \in K_a$ that constitutes a Galois orbit over K and assume, in addition, that the Galois group of $K(\mathfrak{X})$ over K is “big” say, coincides with full symmetric group \mathbf{S}_n or the alternating group \mathbf{A}_n . Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be the irreducible polynomial of degree n , whose set of roots coincides with \mathfrak{X} . Let us consider the hyperelliptic curve $C_f : y^2 = f(x)$ over K_a and let $J(C_f)$ be its jacobian which is the m -dimensional abelian variety. Then the ring $\text{End}(J(C_f))$ of all K_a -endomorphisms of $J(C_f)$ coincides with \mathbb{Z} if either $n > 6$ or $\text{char}(K) \neq 3$.

The aim of this paper is to construct abelian threefolds without complex multiplication, using jacobians of non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3. It is well-known that these curves are smooth plane quartics and closely related to Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2. (We refer to [8, 6, 7, 2, 3, 4, 9] for geometric and arithmetic properties of Del Pezzo surfaces. In particular, relations between the degree 2 case and plane quartics are discussed in detail in [2, 3, 4]). On the other hand, Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 could be obtained by blowing up seven points on the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 when these points are in *general position*, i.e., no three points lie on a one line, no six on a one conic ([6, §3], [2, Th. 1 on p. 27]).

In order to describe our construction, let us start with the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 with homogeneous coordinates $(x : y : z)$. Let us consider a 7-element set $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2(K_a)$ of points in general position and assume that the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(K)$ of K permutes elements of B in such a way that B constitutes a Galois orbit. We write Q_B for the 6-dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space of maps $\varphi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ with $\sum_{b \in B} \varphi(b) = 0$. The action of $\text{Gal}(K)$ on B provides Q_B with the natural structure of $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module. Let G_B be the image of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in the group $\text{Perm}(B) \cong \mathbf{S}_7$ of all permutations of B . Clearly, Q_B carries a natural structure of faithful $\text{Perm}(B)$ -module and the structure homomorphism $\text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(Q_B)$ coincides with the composition of $\text{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow G_B$ and $G_B \subset \text{Perm}(B) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(Q_B)$.

Let H_B be the K_a -vector space of homogeneous cubic forms in x, y, z that vanish on B . It follows from proposition 4.3 and corollary 4.4(i) in Ch. 5, §4 of [5] that H_B is 3-dimensional and B coincides with the set of common zeros of elements of H_B . Since B is $\text{Gal}(K)$ -invariant, H_B is defined over K , i.e., it has a K_a -basis u, v, w such that the forms u, v, w have coefficients in K .

We write $V(B)$ for the Del Pezzo surface of degree 2 obtained by blowing up B . Then $V(B)$ is a smooth projective surface that is defined over K (see Remark 19.5 on pp. 89–90 of [8]). We write

$$g_B : V(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

for the corresponding birational map defined over K . Recall that for each $b \in B$ its preimage E_b is a smooth projective rational curve with self-intersection number -1 . By definition, g_B establishes a K -biregular isomorphism between $V(B) \setminus \bigcup_{b \in B} E_b$ and $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus B$. Clearly,

$$\sigma(E_b) = E_{\sigma(b)} \quad \forall b \in B, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K).$$

Let $\Omega_{V(B)}$ be the canonical (invertible) sheaf on $V(B)$. Let us consider the line $L : z = 0$ as a divisor in \mathbb{P}^2 . Clearly, B does not meet the K -line L ; otherwise, the whole $\text{Gal}(K)$ -orbit B lies in L which is not true, since no 3 points of B lie on a one line. It is known [8, Sect. 25.1 and 25.1.2 on pp. 126–127] that

$$K_{V(B)} := -3g_B^*(L) + \sum_{b \in B} E_b = -g_B^*(3L) + \sum_{b \in B} E_b$$

is a *canonical divisor* on $V(B)$. Clearly, for each form $q \in H_B$ the rational function $\frac{q}{z^3}$ on \mathbb{P}^2 satisfies $\text{div}(\frac{q}{z^3}) + 3L \geq 0$, i.e., $\frac{q}{z^3} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^2, 3L)$. Also $\frac{q}{z^3}$ is defined and vanishes at every point of B . It follows easily that $\frac{q}{z^3}$ (viewed as rational function on $V(B)$) lies in $\Gamma(V(B), 3g_B^*(L) - \sum_{b \in B} E_b) = \Gamma(V(B), -K_{V(B)})$. Since $\Gamma(V(B), -K_{V(B)})$ is 3-dimensional [8, theorem 24.5 on p. 121],

$$\Gamma(V(B), -K_{V(B)}) = K_a \cdot \frac{u}{z^3} \oplus K_a \cdot \frac{v}{z^3} \oplus K_a \cdot \frac{w}{z^3}.$$

Using proposition 4.3 in [5, Ch. 5, §4], one may easily get a well-known fact that the sections of $\Gamma(V(B), -K_{V(B)})$ have no common zeros on $V(B)$. This gives us a regular anticanonical map

$$\pi : V(B) \xrightarrow{g_B} \mathbb{P}^2 \xrightarrow{(u:v:w)} \mathbb{P}^2$$

which is obviously defined over K . It is known that π is a regular double cover map, whose ramification curve is a smooth quartic

$$C_B \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

(see [2, pp. 67–68], [3, Ch. 9]). Clearly, C_B is a genus 3 curve defined over K . Let $J(B)$ be the jacobian of C_B ; clearly, it is a three-dimensional abelian variety defined over K . We write $\text{End}(J(B))$ for the ring of K_a -endomorphisms of $J(B)$.

The following assertion is based on Lemmas 1-2 on pp. 161–162 of [3].

Lemma 1.1. *Let $J(B)_2$ be the kernel of multiplication by 2 in $J(B)(K_a)$. Then the Galois modules $J(B)_2$ and Q_B are canonically isomorphic.*

Using Lemma 1.1 and results of [10, 15], one may obtain the following statement.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2(K_a)$ be a 7-element set of points in general position. Assume that $\text{Gal}(K)$ permutes elements of B and the image of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in $\text{Perm}(B) \cong \mathbf{S}_7$ coincides either with the full symmetric group \mathbf{S}_7 or with the alternating group \mathbf{A}_7 . Then $\text{End}(J(B)) = \mathbb{Z}$.*

This leads to a question: how to construct such B in general position? The next lemma provides us with desired construction.

Lemma 1.3. *Let $f(t) \in K[t]$ be a separable irreducible degree 7 polynomial, whose Galois group $\text{Gal}(f)$ is either \mathbf{S}_7 or \mathbf{A}_7 . Let $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset K_a$ be the 7-element set of roots of f . Then the 7-element set*

$$B_f = \{(\alpha^3 : \alpha : 1) \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(K_a)$$

is in general position.

Clearly, $\text{Gal}(K)$ permutes transitively elements of B_f and the image of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in $\text{Perm}(B)$ coincides either with \mathbf{S}_7 or with \mathbf{A}_7 ; in particular, B_f constitutes a Galois orbit. This implies the following statement.

Corollary 1.4. *Let $f(t) \in K[t]$ be a separable irreducible degree 7 polynomial, whose Galois group $\text{Gal}(f)$ is either \mathbf{S}_7 or \mathbf{A}_7 . Then $\text{End}(J(B_f)) = \mathbb{Z}$.*

2. PROOFS

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let $\text{Pic}(V(B))$ be the Picard group of $V(B)$ over K_a . It is known [8, Sect. 25.1 and 25.1.2 on pp. 126–127] that $\text{Pic}(V(B))$ is a free commutative group of rank 8 provided with the natural structure of Galois module. More precisely, it has canonical generators $l_0 =$ the class of $g_B^*(L)$ and $\{l_b\}_{b \in B}$ where l_b is the class of the exceptional curve E_b . Clearly, l_0 is Galois invariant and

$$\sigma(l_b) = l_{\sigma(b)} \quad \forall b \in B, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K).$$

Clearly, the class of $K_{V(B)}$ equals $-3l_0 + \sum_{b \in B} l_b$ and obviously is Galois-invariant. There is a non-degenerate Galois invariant symmetric intersection form

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : \text{Pic}(V(B)) \times \text{Pic}(V(B)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

In addition (ibid),

$$(l_0, l_0) = 1, (l_b, l_0) = 0, (l_b, l_b) = -1, (l_b, l_{b'}) = 0 \quad \forall b \neq b'.$$

Clearly, the orthogonal complement $\text{Pic}(V(B))_0$ of $K_{V(B)}$ in $\text{Pic}(V(B))$ coincides with

$$\{a_0 l_0 + \sum_{b \in B} a_b l_b \mid a_0, a_b \in \mathbb{Z}, -3a_0 + \sum_{b \in B} a_b = 0\};$$

it is a Galois-invariant pure free commutative subgroup of rank 7.

Notice that one may view C_B as a K -curve on $V(B)$ [3, p. 160]. Then the inclusion map $C_B \subset V(B)$ induced the homomorphism of Galois modules

$$r : \text{Pic}(V(B)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C_B)$$

where $\text{Pic}(C_B)$ is the Picard group of C_B over K_a . Recall that $J(B)(K_a)$ is a Galois submodule of $\text{Pic}(C_B)$ that consists of divisor classes of degree zero. In particular, $J(B)_2$ coincides with the kernel $\text{Pic}(C_B)_2$ of multiplication by 2 in $\text{Pic}(C_B)$. It is known (Lemma 1 on p. 161 of [3]) that

$$r(\text{Pic}(V(B))_0) \subset \text{Pic}(C_B)_2 = J(B)_2.$$

This gives rise to the homomorphism

$$\bar{r} : \text{Pic}(C_B)_0 / 2\text{Pic}(C_B)_0 \rightarrow J(B)_2, \quad D + 2\text{Pic}(C_B)_0 \mapsto r(D)$$

of Galois modules. By Lemma 2 on pp. 161-162 of [3], the kernel of \bar{r} is as follows. The intersection form on $\text{Pic}(V(B))$ defines by reduction modulo 2 a symmetric bilinear form

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \text{Pic}(V(B))/2\text{Pic}(V(B)) \times \text{Pic}(V(B))/2\text{Pic}(V(B)) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_2, \\ D + 2\text{Pic}(V(B)), D' + 2\text{Pic}(V(B)) &\mapsto (D, D') + 2\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

and we write

$$\psi_0 : \text{Pic}(V(B))_0/2\text{Pic}(V(B))_0 \times \text{Pic}(V(B))_0/2\text{Pic}(V(B))_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

for the restriction of ψ to $\text{Pic}(V(B))_0$. Then the kernel (radical) of ψ_0 coincides with $\ker(\bar{r})$. (The same Lemma also asserts that \bar{r} is surjective.)

Let us describe explicitly the kernel of ψ_0 . Since $\text{Pic}(V(B))_0$ is a pure subgroup of $\text{Pic}(V(B))$, we may view $\text{Pic}(V(B))_0/2\text{Pic}(V(B))_0$ as a 7-dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -vector subspace (even Galois submodule) in $\text{Pic}(V(B))/2\text{Pic}(V(B))$. Let \bar{l}_0 (resp. \bar{l}_b) be the image of l_0 (resp. l_b) in $\text{Pic}(V(B))/2\text{Pic}(V(B))$. Then $\{\bar{l}_0, \{\bar{l}_b\}_{b \in B}\}$ constitute an *orthonormal* (with respect to ψ) basis of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space $\text{Pic}(V(B))/2\text{Pic}(V(B))$. Clearly, ψ is non-degenerate. It is also clear that

$$\text{Pic}(V(B))_0/2\text{Pic}(V(B))_0 = \{a_0\bar{l}_0 + \sum_{b \in B} a_b\bar{l}_b \mid a_0, a_b \in \mathbb{F}_2, a_0 + \sum_{b \in B} a_b = 0\}$$

is the orthogonal complement of *isotropic*

$$\bar{v}_0 = \bar{l}_0 + \sum_{b \in B} \bar{l}_b$$

in $\text{Pic}(V(B))/2\text{Pic}(V(B))$ with respect to ψ . Notice that \bar{v}_0 is Galois-invariant. The non-degeneracy of ψ implies that the kernel of ψ_0 is the Galois-invariant one-dimensional \mathbb{F}_2 -subspace generated by \bar{v}_0 .

This gives us the injective homomorphism

$$(\text{Pic}(V(B))_0/2\text{Pic}(V(B))_0)/\mathbb{F}_2\bar{v}_0 \hookrightarrow J(B)_2$$

of Galois modules; dimension arguments imply that it is an isomorphism. So, in order to finish the proof, it suffices to construct a surjective homomorphism $\text{Pic}(V(B))_0/2\text{Pic}(V(B))_0 \twoheadrightarrow Q_B$ of Galois modules, whose kernel coincides with $\mathbb{F}_2\bar{v}_0$. In order to do that, let us consider the homomorphism

$$\kappa : \text{Pic}(V(B))_0/2\text{Pic}(V(B))_0 \rightarrow Q_B$$

that sends $z = a_0\bar{l}_0 + \sum_{b \in B} a_b\bar{l}_b$ to the function $\kappa(z) : b \mapsto a_b + a_0$. Since

$$a_0 + \sum_{b \in B} a_b = 0 \text{ and } \#(B)a_0 = 7a_0 = a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_2,$$

indeed we have $\kappa(z) \in Q_B$. It is also clear that $\kappa(z)$ is identically zero if and only if $a_0 = a_b \forall b$, i.e. $z = 0$ or \bar{v}_0 . Clearly, κ is a surjective homomorphism of Galois modules and $\ker(\kappa) = \mathbb{F}_2\bar{v}_0$. \square

Proof of Lemma 1.3. We will use a notation $(x : y : z)$ for homogeneous coordinates on \mathbb{P}^2 . Suppose that here are three points of B_f that lie on a line $ax + by + cz = 0$. This means that there are distinct roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ of f and elements $a, b, c \in K_a$ such that all $a\alpha_i^3 + b\alpha_i + c = 0$ and, at least, one of a, b, c does not vanish. It follows

that the polynomial $at^3 + bt + c \in K_a[t]$ is not identically zero and has three distinct roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$. This implies that $a \neq 0$ and

$$at^3 + bt + c = a(t - \alpha_1)(t - \alpha_2)(t - \alpha_3).$$

It follows that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0$. Let us denote the remaining roots of f by $\alpha_4, \alpha_5, \alpha_6, \alpha_7$. Clearly, $\text{Gal}(K)$ acts 3-transitively on \mathfrak{R}_f . This implies that there exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)$ such that

$$\sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_4, \sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2, \sigma(\alpha_3) = \alpha_3$$

and therefore $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = \sigma(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_1) = 0$ and therefore $\alpha_1 = \alpha_4$ which is not the case. The obtained contradiction proves that no three points of B_f lie on a one line.

Suppose that six points of B_f lie on a one conic. Let

$$a_0z^2 + a_1yz + a_2y^2 + a_3xz + a_4xy + a_6x^2 = 0$$

be an equation of the conic. Then not all a_i do vanish and there are six distinct roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$ of f such that all $a_6\alpha_k^6 + \sum_{i=0}^4 a_i\alpha_k^i = 0$. This implies that the polynomial $a_6t^6 + \sum_{i=0}^4 a_it^i$ is not identically zero and has 6 distinct roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_6$. It follows that $a_6 \neq 0$ and

$$a_6t^6 + \sum_{i=0}^4 a_it^i = a_6 \prod_{i=1}^6 (t - \alpha_i).$$

This implies that $\sum_{i=1}^6 \alpha_i = 0$. Since the sum of all roots of f lies in K , the remaining seventh root of f lies in K . This contradicts to the irreducibility of f . The obtained contradiction proves that no six points of B_f lie on a one conic. \square

Lemma 2.1. *Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^2(K_a)$ be a 7-element set of points in general position. Assume that $\text{Gal}(K)$ permutes elements of B and the image of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in $\text{Perm}(B) \cong \mathbf{S}_7$ coincides either with the full symmetric group \mathbf{S}_7 or with the alternating group \mathbf{A}_7 ; in particular, B constitutes a Galois orbit. Then either $\text{End}(J(B)) = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\text{char}(K) > 0$ and $J(B)$ is a supersingular abelian variety.*

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Recall that G_B is the image of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in $\text{Perm}(B)$. By assumption, $G_B = \mathbf{S}_7$ or \mathbf{A}_7 . It is known [11, Ex. 7.2] that the G_B -module Q_B is very simple in the sense of [11, 14, 13]. In particular,

$$\text{End}_{G_B}(Q_B) = \mathbb{F}_2.$$

The surjectivity of $\text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow G_B$ implies that the $\text{Gal}((K)$ -module Q_B is also very simple. Applying Lemma 1.1, we conclude that the $\text{Gal}((K)$ -module $J(B)_2$ is also very simple. Now the assertion follows from lemma 2.3 of [11]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In light of Lemma 2.1, we may and will assume that $\text{char}(K) > 0$ and $J(B)$ is a supersingular abelian variety. We need to arrive to a contradiction. Replacing if necessary K by its suitable quadratic extension we may and will assume that $G_B = \mathbf{A}_7$. Adjoining to K all 2-power roots of unity, we may and will assume that K contains all 2-power roots of unity and still $G_B = \mathbf{A}_7$. It follows from Lemma 1.1 that \mathbf{A}_7 is isomorphic to the image of $\text{Gal}(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(J(B)_2)$ and the \mathbf{A}_7 -module $J(B)_2$ is very simple; in particular, $\text{End}_{\mathbf{A}_7}(J(B)_2) = \mathbb{F}_2$. Applying Theorem 3.3 of [15], we conclude that there exists a central extension $G_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{A}_7$ such that G_1 is perfect, $\ker(G_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{A}_7)$ is a central cyclic subgroup of order 1 or 2

and there exists a symplectic absolutely irreducible 6-dimensional representation of G_1 in characteristic zero. This implies (in notations of [1]) that either $G_1 \cong \mathbf{A}_7$ or $G_1 \cong 2.\mathbf{A}_7$. However, the table of characters on p. 10 of [1] tells us that neither \mathbf{A}_7 nor $2.\mathbf{A}_7$ admits a *symplectic* absolutely irreducible 6-dimensional representation in characteristic zero. The obtained contradiction proves the Theorem. \square

3. EXPLICIT FORMULAS

In this section we describe explicitly H_B when $B = B_f$. We have

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^7 c_i t^i \in K[t], \quad c_7 \neq 0.$$

We are going to describe explicitly cubic forms that vanish on B_f . Clearly, $u := xz^2 - y^3$ and $v := c_7 x^2 y + c_6 x^2 z + c_5 x y^2 + c_4 x y z + c_3 x z^2 + c_2 y^2 z + c_1 y z^2 + c_0 z^3$ vanish on B_f . In order to find a third vanishing cubic form, let us define a polynomial $h(t) \in K[t]$ as a (non-zero) remainder with respect to division by $f(t)$:

$$t^9 - h(t) \in f(t)K[t], \quad \deg(h) < \deg(f) = 7.$$

We have

$$h(t) = \sum_{i=0}^6 d_i t^i \in K[t].$$

For all roots α of f we have

$$0 = \alpha^9 - h(\alpha) = \alpha^9 - \sum_{i=0}^6 d_i \alpha^i.$$

This implies that the cubic form $w = x^3 - d_6 x^2 z - d_5 x y^2 - d_4 x y z - d_3 x z^2 - d_2 y^2 z - d_1 y z^2 - d_0 z^3$ vanishes on B_f . Since u, v, w have x -degree 1, 2, 3 respectively, they are linearly independent over K_a and therefore constitute a basis of 3-dimensional H_{B_f} .

Now assume (till the end of this Section) that $\text{char}(K) \neq 3$.¹ Since C_{B_f} is the ramification curve for π , it follows that

$$g_B(C_{B_f}) = \left\{ (x : y : z), \begin{vmatrix} u_x & u_y & u_z \\ v_x & v_y & v_z \\ w_x & w_y & w_z \end{vmatrix} = 0 \right\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$$

is a singular sextic which is K -birationally isomorphic to C_{B_f} . (See also [3, proposition 2 on p. 167].)

4. ANOTHER PROOF

The aim of this Section is to give a more elementary proof of Theorem 1.2 that formally does not refer to Lemma 2 of [3, Lemma 2 on pp. 161–162] (and therefore does not make use of the Smith theory. However, our arguments are based on ideas of [3, Ch. IX].) In order to do that, we just need to prove Lemma 1.1 under an additional assumption that the image of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in $\text{Perm}(B)$ is “very big”.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $J(B)_2$ be the kernel of multiplication by 2 in $J(B)(K_a)$. Suppose that G_B coincides either with $\text{Perm}(B)$ or with \mathbf{A}_7 . Then the Galois modules $J(B)_2$ and Q_B are isomorphic.*

¹This condition was inadvertently omitted in the Russian version [16].

Proof. Let $g_0 : V(B) \rightarrow V(B)$ be the Geiser involution [2, p. 66–67], i.e., the biregular covering transformation of π . Clearly, g_0 is defined over K . This implies that if E is an irreducible K_a -curve on $V(B)$ then E and $g_0(E)$ have the same stabilizers in $\text{Gal}(K)$. Clearly, different points b_1 and b_2 of B have different stabilizers in G_B and therefore in $\text{Gal}(K)$. This implies that $g_0(E_{b_1}) \neq E_{b_2}$, since the stabilizers of $g_0(E_{b_1})$ and E_{b_2} coincide with the stabilizers of b_1 and b_2 respectively. This implies that the lines

$$\pi(E_{b_1}), \pi(E_{b_2}) \subset \mathbb{P}^2,$$

which are bitangents to C_B [2, p. 68], do not coincide.

For each $b \in B$ we write D_b for the effective degree 2 divisor on the plane quartic C_B such that $2D_b$ coincides with the intersection of C_B and $\pi(E_b)$; it is well known that (the linear equivalence class of) D_b is a theta characteristic on C_B . It is also clear that

$$\sigma(D_b) = D_{\sigma(b)} \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K), \quad b \in B.$$

Clearly, if $b_1 \neq b_2$ then $D_{b_1} \neq D_{b_2}$ and the divisors $2D_{b_1}$ and $2D_{b_2}$ are linearly equivalent. On the other hand, D_{b_1} and D_{b_2} are *not* linearly equivalent. Indeed, if $D_{b_1} - D_{b_2}$ is the divisor of a rational function s then s is a non-constant rational function on C_B with, at most, two poles. This implies that either C_B is either a rational (if s has exactly one pole) or hyperelliptic (if s has exactly two poles). Since a smooth plane quartic is neither rational nor hyperelliptic, $D_{b_1} - D_{b_2}$ is not a principal divisor.

Let $(\mathbb{Z}^B)^0$ be the free commutative group of all functions $\phi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ with $\sum_{b \in B} \phi(b) = 0$. Clearly, $(\mathbb{Z}^B)^0$ is provided with the natural structure of $\text{Gal}(K)$ -module and there is a natural isomorphism of $\text{Gal}(K)$ -modules

$$(\mathbb{Z}^B)^0 / 2(\mathbb{Z}^B)^0 \cong Q_B.$$

Let us consider the homomorphism of commutative groups $\tau : (\mathbb{Z}^B)^0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C_B)$ that sends a function ϕ to the linear equivalence class of $\sum_{b \in B} \phi(b)D_b$. Clearly,

$$\tau((\mathbb{Z}^B)^0) \subset J(B)_2 \subset \text{Pic}(B)$$

and therefore τ kills $2 \cdot (\mathbb{Z}^B)^0$. On the other hand, the image of τ contains the (non-zero) linear equivalence class of $D_{b_1} - D_{b_2}$. This implies that τ is not identically zero and we get a non-zero homomorphism of $\text{Gal}(K)$ -modules

$$\bar{\tau} : Q_B \cong (\mathbb{Z}^B)^0 / 2(\mathbb{Z}^B)^0 \rightarrow J(B)_2.$$

It is well-known that our assumptions on G_B imply that the G_B -module Q_B is (absolutely) simple and therefore Q_B , viewed as Galois module, is also simple. This implies that $\bar{\tau}$ is injective. Since the \mathbb{F}_2 -dimensions of both Q_B and $J(B)_2$ equal to 6 and therefore coincide, we conclude that $\bar{\tau}$ is an isomorphism. \square

5. ADDED IN TRANSLATION

The following assertion is a natural generalization of Lemma 1.3.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is an absolutely irreducible cubic curve that is defined over K . Suppose that $B \subset E(K_a)$ is a 7-element set that is a $\text{Gal}(K)$ -orbit. Let us assume that the image G_B of $\text{Gal}(K)$ in the group $\text{Perm}(B)$ of all permutations of B coincides either with $\text{Perm}(B) \cong \mathbf{S}_7$ or with the alternating group \mathbf{A}_7 . Then B is in general position.*

Proof. Clearly, $\text{Gal}(K)$ acts 3-transitively on B .

Step 1. Suppose that D is a line in \mathbb{P}^2 that contains three points of B say,

$$\{P_1, P_2, P_3\} \subset \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6, P_7\} = B.$$

Clearly, $D \cap E = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$. There exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)$ such that $\sigma(\{P_1, P_2, P_3\}) = \{P_1, P_2, P_4\}$. It follows that the line $\sigma(D)$ contains $\{P_1, P_2, P_4\}$ and therefore $\sigma(D) \cap E = \{P_1, P_2, P_4\}$. In particular, $\sigma(D) \neq D$. However, the distinct lines D and $\sigma(D)$ meet each other at two distinct points P_1 and P_2 . Contradiction.

Step 2. Suppose that Y is a conic in \mathbb{P}^2 such that Y contains six points of B say, $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6\} = B \setminus \{P_7\}$. Clearly, $Y \cap E = B \setminus \{P_7\}$. If Y is reducible, i.e., is a union of two lines D_1 and D_2 then either D_1 or D_2 contains (at least) three points of B , which is not the case, thanks to Step 1. Therefore Y is *irreducible*.

There exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)$ such that $\sigma(P_1) = P_7$. Then $\sigma(P_7) = P_i$ for some positive integer $i \leq 6$. This implies that $\sigma(B \setminus \{P_7\}) = B \setminus \{P_i\}$ and the irreducible conic $\sigma(Y)$ contains $B \setminus \{P_i\}$. Clearly, $\sigma(Y) \cap E = B \setminus \{P_i\}$ contains P_7 . In particular, $\sigma(Y) \neq Y$. However, both conics contain the 5-element set $B \setminus \{P_i, P_7\}$. Contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, Atlas of finite groups. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
- [2] M. Demazure, *Surfaces de Del Pezzo* II,III,IV,V. Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **777** (1980), 23–69.
- [3] I. Dolgachev, D. Ortland, Point sets in projective spaces and theta functions, *Astérisque* **165** (1986).
- [4] ²I. Dolgachev, Classical Algebraic geometry. A modern view. Cambridge University Press, 2012. (Section 8.7)
- [5] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [6] V. A. Iskovskikh, *Minimal models of rational surfaces over arbitrary fields*. Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat. **43** (1979), 19–43; Math. USSR-Izv. **14** (1980), 17–39.
- [7] V. A. Iskovskikh, I.R. Shafarevich, *Algebraic surfaces*. Algebraic geometry, II, 127–262, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., **35**, Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [8] Yu. I. Manin, Cubic forms, second edition, North Holland, 1986.
- [9] Yu. I. Manin, M. A. Tsfasman, *Rational varieties: algebra, geometry, arithmetic*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **41** (1986), no. 2(248), 43–94; Russian Math. Surveys **41** (1986), no. 2, 51–116.
- [10] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication*. Math. Res. Letters **7** (2000), 123–132.
- [11] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians and modular representations*. In: Moduli of abelian varieties (eds. C. Faber, G. van der Geer and F. Oort). Progress in Math., vol. **195** (Birkhäuser, 2001), pp. 473–490.
- [12] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication in positive characteristic*. Math. Res. Letters **8** (2001), 429–435.
- [13] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Very simple 2-adic representations and hyperelliptic jacobians*. Moscow Math. J. **2** (2002), issue 2, 403–431.
- [14] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Very simple representations: variations on a theme of Clifford*. In: Progress in Galois Theory (H. Völklein, T. Shaska eds.), Springer Verlag, 2005, pp. 151–168.
- [15] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Non-supersingular hyperelliptic jacobians*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), 617–634.
- [16] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and jacobians without complex multiplication* (Russian). Trudy St. Petersburg Mat. Obsch. **11** (2005), 81–91.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA

²This reference was updated.

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL PROBLEMS IN BIOLOGY, RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PUSHCHINO,
MOSCOW REGION, RUSSIA

Email address: zarhin@math.psu.edu