Appendix

A L2 optimization

For $L_2$ the quadratic programming problem defined in equation (1) of the main text is given by

$$\min_{\delta} \| x - \tilde{x} - \delta \|_2^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \| \delta \|_2^2 \leq r \land b^T \delta = c \land u \leq \tilde{x} + \delta \leq \ell. \quad (1)$$

where $[u, \ell]$ is the valid interval for pixel values, $r$ is the trust region and $b$ denotes the normal vector of the decision boundary.

Without box-constraints the optimization problem is straight-forward to solve, see algorithm 1. First, we move towards the boundary, i.e. $\delta = \frac{c b}{\| b \|_2^2}$, such that $b^T \delta = c$ is fulfilled. We then move in a direction most aligned with $x - \tilde{x}$ but orthogonal to $b$ until either (a) $\| x - \tilde{x} - \delta \|_2^2$ is minimized or (b) the trust region bound is reached $\| \delta \|_2^2 = r$.

Algorithm 1: L2 optimization without box-constraints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data:</th>
<th>clean image $x$, perturbed image $\tilde{x}$, boundary $b$, logit-difference $c$, trust region $r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Result:</td>
<td>optimal perturbation $\delta$ minimizing (1) without box-constraint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>begin</td>
<td>$\delta \leftarrow c b / | b |_2^2$ \hspace{1cm} // move towards boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if $| \delta |_2^2 &gt; r$ then</td>
<td>optimization problem is infeasible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>else</td>
<td>$\Delta_\perp \leftarrow (x - \tilde{x}) - b (x - \tilde{x}) b / b b$ \hspace{1cm} // descent direction along boundary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta \leftarrow \delta + \epsilon \Delta_\perp$ \hspace{1cm} // descent until $| \delta |_2^2 = r$ or $| x - \tilde{x} - \delta |_2^2$ is minimized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>end</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To include box-constraints we follow an approach similar to active set methods: we first solve (1) without box-constraints using algorithm 1 and then clip each $\delta_k$ to a valid solution, i.e. $\delta_k \leftarrow \text{clip}_{[\ell, u]}(x_k + \delta_k)$ where clip$_{[\ell, u]}(\cdot)$ maps values to the closest point in the valid region (i.e. either $u$ or $\ell$). Notice that the clipping cannot increase the distance to $x$ or the size of $\delta$ but might move $\tilde{x} + \delta$ away from the boundary. We hence iterate this procedure several times until convergence (which is guaranteed because $b^T \delta - c$ contracts towards zero in every step), see algorithm 2. Since (1) is a convex problem the solution is guaranteed to be the global minimum. In practice the algorithm converges within a handful of iterations even on ImageNet. We successfully validated the results of the algorithm against off-the-shelf optimizers.

B $L_\infty$ optimization

For $L_\infty$ the quadratic programming problem defined in equation (1) of the main text is given by

$$\min_{\delta} \| x - \tilde{x} - \delta \|_\infty \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \| \delta \|_2^2 \leq r \land b^T \delta = c \land u \leq \tilde{x} + \delta \leq \ell. \quad (2)$$

where $[u, \ell]$ is the valid interval for pixel values, $r$ is the trust region and $b$ denotes the normal vector of the decision boundary. Eq. (2) can be rewritten with a slack variable $\epsilon$,

$$\min_{\delta, \epsilon} \quad \epsilon \quad \text{s.t.} \quad -\epsilon \leq x - \tilde{x} - \delta \leq \epsilon \land \| \delta \|_2^2 \leq r \land b^T \delta = c \land u \leq \tilde{x} + \delta \leq \ell. \quad (3)$$
Algorithm 2: L2 optimization.

**Data:** clean image $x$, perturbed image $\tilde{x}$, boundary $b$, logit-difference $c$, box-constraints $[\ell, u]$, trust region $r$

**Result:** optimal perturbation $\delta$ minimizing (1)

begin

$c_0 \leftarrow c$
$\delta_0 \leftarrow 0$
$k \leftarrow 0$

while $k < \text{maximum number of steps}$ do

$\Delta \delta_k \leftarrow \text{L2}_2 \_\text{without}_\text{box}_\text{constraints}(x, \tilde{x} + \delta_k, b, c_k, r_k)$

$\delta_{k+1} \leftarrow \delta_k + \Delta \delta_k$

$\delta_{k+1} \leftarrow \text{clip}_{[\ell, u]}(\tilde{x} + \delta_{k+1}) - \tilde{x}$

$c_k \leftarrow c - b^T \delta_{k+1}$

$r_k \leftarrow r - \|\delta_{k+1}\|$ 

$k \leftarrow k + 1$

end

end

We note that the element-wise inequality constraints can be fused: for each element we have two valid intervals, $\delta_k \in [\ell - \tilde{x}_k, u - \tilde{x}_k]$ and $\delta_k \in [x_k - \tilde{x}_k - \epsilon, x_k - \tilde{x}_k + \epsilon]$. The intersection of the two intervals, which we denote as $[\tilde{\ell}_\epsilon^k, \tilde{u}_\epsilon^k]$, is the valid region of $\delta_k$. We can hence simplify (3) as

$$\min_{\delta, \epsilon} \quad \epsilon \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \tilde{\ell}_\epsilon^k \leq \delta \leq \tilde{u}_\epsilon^k \quad \wedge \quad \|\delta\|_2^2 \leq r \quad \wedge \quad b^T \delta = c.$$  

(4)

For fixed $\epsilon$ we can solve (4) for $\delta$ by solving the subproblem,

$$\min_{\delta} \quad \|\delta\|_2^2 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \tilde{\ell}_k \leq \delta \leq \tilde{u}_k \quad \wedge \quad b^T \delta = c \quad \wedge \quad \|\delta\|_2^2 \leq r.$$  

(5)

We note that this subproblem is exactly the same problem we encountered before in the $L_2$ case, algorithm 2. We then perform a binary search over $\epsilon$. Algorithm 3 summarizes the method. We successfully validated the results of the algorithm against off-the-shelf optimizers.
Algorithm 3: $L_\infty$ optimization.

**Data:** clean image $x$, perturbed image $\tilde{x}$, boundary $b$, logit-difference $c$, box-constraints $[\ell, u]$, trust region $r$, initial $\epsilon$, range $[\epsilon_\ell, \epsilon_u]$ for $\epsilon$

**Result:** optimal perturbation $\delta$ minimizing (2)

begin
  $\epsilon_0 \leftarrow \epsilon$
  $k \leftarrow 0$
  while $k < \text{maximum number of steps}$ do
    $[\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{u}] \leftarrow [\ell - \tilde{x}, u - \tilde{x}] \cap [x - \tilde{x} - \epsilon_k, x - \tilde{x} + \epsilon_k]$
    $\delta_k \leftarrow \text{L2_with_box_constraints}(x, \tilde{x}, b, c, r = \infty, \tilde{\ell}, \tilde{u})$ // algorithm 2
    if $\|\delta_k\|_2^2 > r$ then
      $\epsilon_{k+1} \leftarrow (\epsilon_u + \epsilon_k)/2$
      $\epsilon_\ell = \epsilon_k$
    else
      $\epsilon_{k+1} \leftarrow (\epsilon_k + \epsilon_\ell)/2$
      $\epsilon_u = \epsilon_k$
      $\delta \leftarrow \delta_k$ // store best solution
    end
  end
  $k \leftarrow k + 1$
end