

Existence theorems for a generalized Chern-Simons equation on finite graphs

Jia Gao

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100083, P.R. China

Songbo Hou*

Department of Applied Mathematics, College of Science, China Agricultural University, Beijing, 100083, P.R. China

Abstract

Denote by $G = (V, E)$ a finite graph. We study a generalized Chern-Simons equation

$$\Delta u = \lambda e^u (e^{bu} - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}$$

on G , where λ and b are positive constants; N is a positive integer; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N are distinct vertices of V and δ_{p_j} is the Dirac delta mass at p_j . We prove that there exists a critical value λ_c such that the equation has a solution if $\lambda \geq \lambda_c$ and the equation has no solution if $\lambda < \lambda_c$. We also prove that if $\lambda > \lambda_c$ the equation has at least two solutions which include a local minimizer for the corresponding functional and a mountain-pass type solution.

Key words: finite graph, Chern-Simons equation, upper and lower solution, mountain pass theorem

2010 MSC: 35J91, 05C22

1. Introduction

The study on the Abelian Chern-Simons model is an interesting topic. In this model, the Yang-Mills or the Maxwell field term are replaced by the specific Higgs potential. The Chern-Simons gauge field yields that vortices are magnetically and electrically charged. A natural question is how to get the existence of condensates or periodic multivortices. Under the assumption that the Higgs potential takes a sextic form, Caffarelli and Yang [2] reduced the self-dual Chern-Simons equations to the following form

$$\Delta u = \lambda e^u (e^u - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \tag{1.1}$$

*Corresponding author: Songbo Hou

Email addresses: s20213101929@cau.edu.cn (Jia Gao), housb@cau.edu.cn (Songbo Hou)

*Preprint submitted to ****

May 18, 2022

on Ω , where $\lambda > 0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a doubly periodic domain, δ_p is the Dirac distribution concentrated at $p \in \Omega$. Then they got the existences of solutions by the rigorous mathematical analysis. The solutions of Eq.(1.1) are of great significance in many fields of physics. The topological solutions, non-topological solutions, and solutions over a doubly periodic domain have been extensively studied [25, 21, 22, 4, 24, 5].

Chen and Han [3] studied a generalized Chern-Simons equation

$$\Delta u = \lambda e^u (e^{bu} - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $b > 0$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a doubly periodic domain, δ_p is the Dirac distribution concentrated at $p \in \Omega$. They extended the results in [2]. As an application, they solved an open problem about the existence of solutions of the equation arising in the self-dual Maxwell-Chern-Simons model [6].

In this paper, we consider the discrete form of Eq.(1.2) on a finite graph. A finite graph $G = (V, E)$ is composed of vertices V and edges E . We assume that G is connected throughout the paper. We call G is weighted if each edge $xy \in E$ is assigned a weight ω_{xy} which is positive and symmetric. Let $\mu : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a finite measure. If vertex y is adjacent to vertex x , we write $y \sim x$. For any function $u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the μ -Laplace operator by

$$\Delta u(x) = \frac{1}{\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy} (u(y) - u(x)).$$

The associated gradient form reads

$$\Gamma(u, v) = \frac{1}{2\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy} (u(y) - u(x))(v(y) - v(x)). \quad (1.3)$$

Write $\Gamma(u) = \Gamma(u, u)$. The length of its gradient is denoted by

$$|\nabla u|(x) = \sqrt{\Gamma(u)(x)} = \left(\frac{1}{2\mu(x)} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy} (u(y) - u(x))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For any function $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the integral of f over V is defined by

$$\int_V f d\mu = \sum_{x \in V} \mu(x) f(x).$$

Define the Sobolev space

$$W^{1,2}(V) = \left\{ u \mid u : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \int_V (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) d\mu < +\infty \right\}.$$

The norm of $u \in W^{1,2}(V)$ is

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} = \left(\int_V (|\nabla u|^2 + u^2) d\mu \right)^{1/2}.$$

We consider the generalized Chern-Simons equation derived in [3], i.e.,

$$\Delta u = \lambda e^u (e^{bu} - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \quad (1.4)$$

on a graph $G = (V, E)$, where λ and b are positive constants; N is a positive integer; p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N are distinct vertices of V and δ_{p_j} is the Dirac delta mass at p_j .

We prove the following existence results for Eq.(1.4) on G .

Theorem 1.1. *There exists a critical value λ_c depending on the graph satisfying*

$$\lambda_c \geq \frac{(b+1)^{b+1} 4\pi N}{b |V|},$$

such that

(i) Eq.(1.4) has a solution if $\lambda \geq \lambda_c$, however, Eq.(1.4) has no solution if $\lambda < \lambda_c$.

(ii) Eq.(1.4) has at least two solutions if $\lambda > \lambda_c$.

Remark 1. *If $b = 1$, then Eq.(1.4) becomes Eq.(1.1). There also exist multiple solutions for Eq.(1.1) on graphs. This completes the results of Huang, Lin and Yau [16].*

There are a lot of research on theories of partial differential equations on graphs. For the discrete Chern-Simons equations, Huang, Lin and Yau [16] studied Eq.(1.1) on graphs and got the existence results except the critical case. We solved the critical case [15], and got the existence results for a generalized Chern-Simons-Higgs equation, which was also studied in [20]. Huang, Wang and Yang [17] considered the relativistic Abelian Chern-Simons equations and established the existence of multiple solutions. The results for Yamabe type equations include [12, 10, 27, 9, 18, 28]. The results for Kazdan-Warner equations include [11, 8, 26, 7, 19]. One may refer to [13, 14] for the biharmonic equations.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section Two, we first use the upper and lower solutions method to get the existence of the single solution for the non-critical case. Then we use the prior estimates to prove the existence for the critical case. In Section Three, we use the mountain pass theorem to get the existence of multiple solutions.

2. Single Solution

Denote $u = u_0 + v$, where u_0 is the solution of the equation

$$\Delta u = -\frac{4\pi N}{|V|} + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then we can reduce Eq.(1.4) into

$$\Delta v = \lambda e^{u_0+v} (e^{b(u_0+v)} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}. \quad (2.2)$$

We introduce the definition of upper and lower solutions. If a function v_+ satisfies

$$\Delta v_+ \leq \lambda e^{u_0+v_+} (e^{b(u_0+v_+)} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}$$

on V , we call it an upper solution of (2.2). Analogously, a function v_- is said to be a lower solution of (2.2) if it satisfies

$$\Delta v_- \geq \lambda e^{u_0+v_-} \left(e^{b(u_0+v_-)} - 1 \right) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \quad (2.3)$$

on V . We introduce Lemma 4.1 in [16], which is referred to as the maximum principle.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. If for some constant $K > 0$, $\Delta u(x) - Ku(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in V$, then $u \leq 0$ on V .*

Set $v_0 = -u_0$. Let $\{v_n\}$ be the iterative sequence determined by the scheme

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta - K)v_n = \lambda e^{u_0+v_{n-1}} \left(e^{b(u_0+v_{n-1})} - 1 \right) - Kv_{n-1} + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}, \\ n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

where K denotes a positive constant.

Lemma 2.2. *If $K > b\lambda$, then the sequence $\{v_n\}$ satisfies*

$$v_0 > v_1 > v_2 > \dots > v_n > \dots > v_-,$$

where v_- is any lower solution of (2.2). Hence, if (2.2) admits a lower solution, $\{v_n\}$ converges to a solution of (2.2), which is the maximal solution.

Proof. We use the inductive method. By (2.4), we obtain

$$(\Delta - K)v_1 = -Kv_0 + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|},$$

which together with (2.1) yields

$$(\Delta - K)(v_1 - v_0) = 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \geq 0. \quad (2.5)$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get $v_1(x) \leq v_0(x)$ for all $x \in V$. Furthermore, we can prove $v_1 < v_0$ on V by contradiction. Suppose $(v_1 - v_0)(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in V$. Obviously, x_0 is the maximum point of $v_1 - v_0$ on V . In view of (2.5), we have

$$\Delta(v_1 - v_0)(x_0) \geq K(v_1 - v_0)(x_0) \geq 0.$$

Noting the definition of the μ -Laplace, we conclude that if $x \sim x_0$, $(v_1 - v_0)(x) = (v_1 - v_0)(x_0)$. Since G is connected, we infer that $(v_1 - v_0)(x) = (v_1 - v_0)(x_0)$ for any $x \in V$, which contradicts (2.5). Hence there holds $v_1 < v_0$ on V .

Suppose that

$$v_0 > v_1 > \dots > v_k.$$

By (2.4) and noting $K > b\lambda$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta - K)(v_{k+1} - v_k) &= \lambda \left[e^{u_0+v_k} \left(e^{b(u_0+v_k)} - 1 \right) - e^{u_0+v_{k-1}} \left(e^{b(u_0+v_{k-1})} - 1 \right) \right] - K(v_k - v_{k-1}) \\ &= \lambda e^\xi \left[(b+1)e^{b\xi} - 1 \right] (v_k - v_{k-1}) - K(v_k - v_{k-1}) \\ &\geq (b\lambda - K)(v_k - v_{k-1}) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the mean value theorem, $u_0 + v_k \leq \xi \leq u_0 + v_{k-1}$, and we have used $e^\xi \leq e^{v_{k-1}+u_0} \leq 1$, $e^{b\xi} \leq 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $v_{k+1} \leq v_k$. Applying the same method as in proving $v_1 < v_0$, we obtain $v_{k+1} < v_k$. Hence, we establish

$$v_0 > v_1 > v_2 > \cdots > v_n > \cdots.$$

We will also prove that $v_k > v_-$ for all $k \geq 0$ by induction. Noting (2.4) and the definition of the lower solution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(v_- - v_0) &\geq \lambda e^{v_- - v_0} (e^{b(v_- - v_0)} - 1) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j} \\ &= \lambda b e^{v_- - v_0} e^{b\xi} (v_- - v_0) + 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{p_j}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where ξ lies between $v_- - v_0$ and 0. Denote $v_-(x_0) - v_0(x_0) = \max_{x \in V} \{v_-(x) - v_0(x)\}$ for some $x_0 \in V$. Supposing $(v_-(x_0) - v_0(x_0)) \geq 0$, then by (2.6), we have

$$\Delta(v_- - v_0)(x_0) \geq 0.$$

It yields that if $x \sim x_0$, then $(v_- - v_0)(x) = (v_- - v_0)(x_0)$. Furthermore, $(v_- - v_0)(x) \equiv (v_- - v_0)(x_0)$ for all $x \in V$ since G is connected. Hence there is a contradiction with (2.6) at p_j . It holds that $v_0 > v_-$ on V .

Suppose that for some $k \geq 0$, $v_k > v_-$. In view of (2.3), (2.4) and the fact $K > b\lambda$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta - K)(v_- - v_{k+1}) &\geq \lambda \left[e^{v_- - v_0} (e^{b(v_- - v_0)} - 1) - e^{v_k - v_0} (e^{b(v_k - v_0)} - 1) \right] - K(v_- - v_k) \\ &= \lambda e^\xi \left[(b+1)e^{b\xi} - 1 \right] (v_- - v_k) - K(v_- - v_k) \\ &\geq (b\lambda - K)(v_- - v_k) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the mean value theorem, $v_- - v_0 \leq \xi \leq v_k - v_0$. We have $v_{k+1} > v_-$ by taking the same procedure as before. Combining (2.4) and the monotonicity of $\{v_n\}$, we conclude that $\{v_n\}$ converges to a solution of (2.2) pointwisely. Such a solution is bigger than any other solution. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

In order to get the existence of the solution of Eq.(2.2), we only need to find a lower solution. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *If λ is sufficiently large, there is a solution of Eq.(2.2).*

Proof. Since G is finite, u_0 is a bounded function. There exists a positive constant c such that $u_0 - c < 0$. Set $v_- = -c$. We see that if λ is sufficiently large, then

$$\Delta v_- = 0 > \lambda e^{u_0 - c} (e^{b(u_0 - c)} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}.$$

This implies that v_- is a lower solution of (2.2). Consequently, Eq.(2.2) admits a solution. We complete the proof. \square

Define $f(t) = e^t(e^{bt} - 1)$, $t \in (-\infty, 0]$. A simple computation implies that f has a unique minimum $-\frac{b}{(b+1)^{b+1}}$. If v is a solution of Eq.(2.2), it is also a lower solution of Eq.(2.2). Then Lemma 2.2 implies that $u_0 + v < 0$. Thus, we obtain

$$\Delta v \geq -\frac{b\lambda}{(b+1)^{b+1}} + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}.$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality over V , we get

$$\lambda \geq \frac{(b+1)^{b+1}}{b} \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}. \quad (2.7)$$

If Eq.(2.2) has a solution, then λ must satisfy (2.7).

Lemma 2.4. *There is a critical value λ_c satisfying*

$$\lambda_c \geq \frac{(b+1)^{b+1}}{b} \frac{4\pi N}{|V|},$$

such that Eq.(2.2) admits a solution for $\lambda > \lambda_c$, while Eq.(2.2) admits no solution for $\lambda < \lambda_c$.

Proof. Denote

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda > 0 \mid \lambda \text{ is such that (2.2) has a solution}\}.$$

We claim that Λ is an interval. In fact, if $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, we can show that $[\lambda', +\infty) \subset \Lambda$. Let v' be the solution of Eq.(2.2) with $\lambda = \lambda'$. If $\lambda > \lambda'$, in view of $u_0 + v' < 0$, we have

$$\Delta v' = \lambda' e^{u_0+v'} (e^{b(u_0+v')} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} > \lambda e^{u_0+v'} (e^{b(u_0+v')} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|},$$

which implies that v' is a lower solution of Eq.(2.2) for $\lambda > \lambda'$. Therefore, Eq.(2.2) has a solution if $\lambda > \lambda'$ and $[\lambda', +\infty) \subset \Lambda$.

Define $\lambda_c = \inf\{\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Noting (2.7), we obtain

$$\lambda_c \geq \frac{(b+1)^{b+1}}{b} \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}$$

by taking the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$. □

Now, we deal with the critical case $\lambda = \lambda_c$. In the following lemma, we get the monotonicity of the solution of (2.2) with respect to λ , which will be used later.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{v_\lambda \mid \lambda > \lambda_c\}$ be the family of maximal solutions of (2.2). Then there holds $v_{\lambda_1} > v_{\lambda_2}$ if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_c$.*

Proof. Assume that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$ and the associated solutions are $v_{\lambda_1}, v_{\lambda_2}$ respectively. Then by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v_{\lambda_2} &= \lambda_2 e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \\ &= \lambda_1 e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \\ &\quad + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) \\ &\geq \lambda_1 e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, v_{λ_2} is a lower solution of (2.2) with $\lambda = \lambda_1$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we obtain $v_{\lambda_1} \geq v_{\lambda_2}$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}) &= \lambda_2 e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) - \lambda_1 e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_1}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_1})} - 1) \\
&= \lambda_1 e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) - \lambda_1 e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_1}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_1})} - 1) \\
&\quad + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) \\
&> \lambda_1 \left[e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_2}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_2})} - 1) - e^{u_0+v_{\lambda_1}} (e^{b(u_0+v_{\lambda_1})} - 1) \right] \\
&= \lambda_1 e^\xi \left[(b+1)e^{b\xi} - 1 \right] (v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}) \\
&\geq b\lambda_1 (v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $u_0 + v_{\lambda_2} \leq \xi \leq u_0 + v_{\lambda_1}$. Suppose that $v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1}$ achieves 0 at some point $x_0 \in V$. Then by (2.8), we get

$$\Delta(v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1})(x_0) > 0.$$

However, $\Delta(v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1})(x_0) \leq 0$ according to the definition of the μ -Laplace. There is a contradiction. Hence, $v_{\lambda_2} - v_{\lambda_1} < 0$ and we prove that $v_{\lambda_1} > v_{\lambda_2}$ if $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_c$. \square

Now, we estimate the bound of solutions of (2.2) in $W^{1,2}(V)$. We first introduce the Poincaré inequality and the Trudinger-Moser inequality which were proved in [11].

Lemma 2.6. (the Poincaré inequality)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. Then there exists some constant C depending only on G such that

$$\int_V u^2 d\mu \leq C \int_V |\nabla u|^2 d\mu,$$

for all $u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\int_V u d\mu = 0$, where $V^{\mathbb{R}} = \{u \mid u \text{ is a real function} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$.

Lemma 2.7. (the Trudinger-Moser inequality)

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph. For any $\alpha > 0$ and all functions $u \in V^{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\int_V |\nabla u|^2 d\mu \leq 1$ and $\int_V u d\mu = 0$, there exists some constant $C > 0$ depending only on α and G such that

$$\int_V e^{\alpha u^2} d\mu \leq C.$$

Applying the above lemmas and the integration method, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. For any maximal solution v_λ of (2.2), we split it into two parts, namely, $v_\lambda = \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda$, where $\bar{v}_\lambda = \frac{1}{|V|} \int_V v_\lambda d\mu$ and $v'_\lambda = v_\lambda - \bar{v}_\lambda$. Then v'_λ satisfies

$$\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \leq C\lambda,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $|V|$. Furthermore, we obtain

$$|\bar{v}_\lambda| \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2)$$

and

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

Proof. Noting $v_\lambda = \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda$, we multiply both sides of Eq.(2.2) by v'_λ . Then integrating over V and using the Poincaré inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2 &= \lambda \int_V e^{u_0+v_\lambda} (1 - e^{b(u_0+v_\lambda)}) v'_\lambda d\mu \\ &\leq \lambda \int_V |v'_\lambda| d\mu \leq C\lambda|V|^{1/2}\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2,\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \leq C\lambda. \quad (2.9)$$

In view of $u_0 + v_\lambda = u_0 + \bar{v}_\lambda + v'_\lambda < 0$, integrating over V again, we get the upper bound of \bar{v}_λ ,

$$\bar{v}_\lambda < -\frac{1}{|V|} \int_V u_0(x) d\mu. \quad (2.10)$$

Now, we need to prove that \bar{v}_λ has a lower bound. Integrating both sides of (2.2) over V , we get

$$\lambda \int_V e^{u_0+v_\lambda} d\mu = \lambda \int_V e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_\lambda)} d\mu + 4\pi N > 4\pi N. \quad (2.11)$$

Using the Hölder inequality and the Trudinger-Moser inequality, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_V e^{u_0+v_\lambda} d\mu &= \int_V e^{u_0+\bar{v}_\lambda+v'_\lambda} d\mu \\ &\leq e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \max_{x \in V} e^{u_0} \int_V e^{v'_\lambda} d\mu \\ &\leq C e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \int_V e^{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 \frac{v'_\lambda}{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2}} d\mu \\ &\leq C e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \int_V e^{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2 + \frac{|v'_\lambda|^2}{4\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}} d\mu \\ &\leq C e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} e^{\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}.\end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

In view of (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$e^{\bar{v}_\lambda} \geq C\lambda^{-1} e^{-\|\nabla v'_\lambda\|_2^2}$$

which together with (2.9) and (2.10) yields

$$|\bar{v}_\lambda| \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

Furthermore,

$$\|v_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq C(1 + \lambda + \lambda^2).$$

□

Lemma 2.9. *The equation (2.2) at $\lambda = \lambda_c$ admits a solution.*

Proof. Suppose $\lambda_c < \lambda < \lambda_c + 1$. Then we see that $\{v_\lambda\}$ has a uniform bound in $W^{1,2}(V)$ by Lemma 2.8. The space $W^{1,2}(V)$ is precompact since it is finite dimensional. Noting the monotonicity of $\{v_\lambda\}$ with respect to λ , we conclude that there is a function v_* in $W^{1,2}(V)$ such that

$$v_\lambda \rightarrow v_*$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$ and the convergence is pointwise. The fact $u_0 + v_\lambda < 0$ implies $u_0 + v_* < 0$

By the convergence of $\{v_\lambda\}$, we have

$$\Delta v_\lambda \rightarrow \Delta v_*$$

and

$$\lambda e^{u_0+v_\lambda} (e^{b(u_0+v_\lambda)} - 1) \rightarrow \lambda_c e^{u_0+v_*} (e^{b(u_0+v_*)} - 1)$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_c$. Hence v_* is a solution of (2.2). This completes the proof. \square

3. Multiple solutions

In this section, we will use the variation method to prove the existence of the second solution. Define the functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_V |\nabla v|^2 d\mu + \frac{\lambda}{b+1} \int_V e^{(b+1)(u_0+v)} d\mu - \lambda \int_V e^{u_0+v} d\mu + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \int_V v d\mu. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For every $\lambda > \lambda_c$, there exists a solution of (2.2) in $W^{1,2}(V)$. Such a solution is a local minimum point of $J(v)$.*

Proof. For every $\lambda > \lambda_c$, define

$$\Sigma = \{v \in W^{1,2}(V) \mid v \geq v_* \text{ in } V\}, \quad (3.2)$$

where v_* is the solution of (2.2) at $\lambda = \lambda_c$. By the Young inequality, we have

$$e^{u_0+v} \leq \frac{e^{(b+1)(u_0+v)}}{b+1} + \frac{b}{b+1}.$$

Hence,

$$J(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_V |\nabla v|^2 d\mu - \frac{b\lambda}{b+1} |V| + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \int_V v d\mu. \quad (3.3)$$

We see that J has a lower bound on Σ .

Denote

$$\eta_0 = \inf\{J(v) \mid v \in \Sigma\}. \quad (3.4)$$

Next, we prove that there exists $w_\lambda \in \Sigma$ such that $J(w_\lambda) = \eta_0$.

There is a sequence $\{v_n\}$ in Σ such that

$$J(v_n) \rightarrow \eta_0. \quad (3.5)$$

As in Lemma 2.8, we write v_n as $v_n = v'_n + \bar{v}_n$, where $\bar{v}_n = \frac{1}{|V|} \int_V v_n d\mu$ and $v'_n = v_n - \bar{v}_n$. By (3.5), we know that $J(v_n)$ is bounded. In view of (3.3), we get

$$J(v_n) + \frac{b\lambda}{b+1} |V| \geq 4\pi N \bar{v}_n,$$

which together with the fact $v_n \geq v_*$ gives the bound of \bar{v}_n . Furthermore, $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(V)$.

Since $W^{1,2}(V)$ is precompact, we obtain

$$v_n \rightarrow w_\lambda \quad (3.6)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and w_λ is a solution to problem (3.4). We use the same method as in [23] to prove that w_λ is a solution of (2.2). Define

$$w_t = \max\{w_\lambda + t\varphi, v_*\} \in \Sigma,$$

where $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(V)$ and $t \in (0, 1)$. Letting $u_t = \max\{v_* - (w_\lambda + t\varphi), 0\}$, we write

$$w_t = w_\lambda + t\varphi + u_t.$$

Calculate

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{t} (J(w_t) - J(w_\lambda)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} \int_V (|\nabla w_t|^2 - |\nabla w_\lambda|^2) d\mu + \frac{\lambda}{t(b+1)} \int_V [e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_t)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)}] d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V (e^{u_0+w_t} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda}) d\mu + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|t} \int_V (w_t - w_\lambda) d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} \int_V |\nabla(t\varphi + u_t)|^2 d\mu + \frac{1}{t} \int_V \nabla w_\lambda \cdot \nabla(t\varphi + u_t) d\mu + \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V e^{u_0+w_\lambda} (e^{b(u_0+w_\lambda)} - 1) t\varphi d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{t(b+1)} \int_V [e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_t)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} - (b+1)e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} t\varphi] d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V (e^{u_0+w_t} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} t\varphi) d\mu + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \left(\int_V \varphi d\mu + \frac{1}{t} \int_V u_t d\mu \right). \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_V \nabla w_\lambda \cdot \nabla \varphi d\mu + \lambda \int_V e^{u_0+w_\lambda} (e^{b(u_0+w_\lambda)} - 1) \varphi d\mu + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \int_V \varphi d\mu \\ &\geq -\frac{t}{2} \|\nabla \varphi\|_2^2 - \int_V \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u_t d\mu - \frac{1}{2t} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{t} \int_V \nabla w_\lambda \cdot \nabla u_t d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{t(b+1)} \int_V [e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_t)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} - (b+1)e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} t\varphi] d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V (e^{u_0+w_t} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} t\varphi) d\mu - \frac{4\pi N}{|V|t} \int_V u_t d\mu. \\ &= O(t) + \frac{1}{t} \int_V \nabla(-t\varphi - w_\lambda + v_*) \cdot \nabla u_t d\mu - \frac{1}{2t} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{t} \int_V \nabla v_* \cdot \nabla u_t d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V e^{u_0+v_*} (e^{b(u_0+v_*)} - 1) u_t d\mu - \frac{4\pi N}{|V|t} \int_V u_t d\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{t(b+1)} \int_V [e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_t)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} - (b+1)e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} (t\varphi + u_t)] d\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V [e^{u_0+w_t} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} (t\varphi + u_t)] d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V [e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_*)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)}] u_t d\mu - \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V (e^{u_0+v_*} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda}) u_t d\mu.$$

Noting that v_* is the lower solution of (2.2) if $\lambda > \lambda_c$, we obtain

$$- \frac{1}{t} \int_V \nabla v_* \cdot \nabla u_t d\mu - \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V e^{u_0+v_*} (e^{b(u_0+v_*)} - 1) u_t d\mu - \frac{4\pi N}{|V|t} \int_V u_t d\mu \geq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Since

$$\int_V \nabla(-t\varphi - w_\lambda + v_*) \cdot \nabla u_t \geq \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2,$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_V \nabla(-t\varphi - w_\lambda + v_*) \cdot \nabla u_t - \frac{1}{2t} \|\nabla u_t\|_2^2 \geq 0. \quad (3.8)$$

If $u_t = 0$, we get

$$|t\varphi + u_t| \leq t|\varphi|.$$

If $u_t > 0$, we have

$$w_\lambda + t\varphi < v_*$$

and

$$t\varphi + u_t = t\varphi + v_* - (w_\lambda + t\varphi) = v_* - w_\lambda \leq 0.$$

Consequently,

$$|t\varphi + u_t| \leq w_\lambda - v_* < -t\varphi = t|\varphi|.$$

Hence, we always have

$$|t\varphi + u_t| \leq t|\varphi|. \quad (3.9)$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\lambda}{t(b+1)} \int_V [e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_t)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} - (b+1)e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)}(t\varphi + u_t)] d\mu = O(t) \quad (3.10)$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V [e^{u_0+w_t} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda}(t\varphi + u_t)] d\mu = O(t) \quad (3.11)$$

by the Taylor expansion.

Define

$$V_t = \{x \in V : w_\lambda(x) + t\varphi(x) - v_*(x) < 0\}$$

and

$$V_0 = \{x \in V : w_\lambda(x) = v_*(x)\}.$$

Noting (3.9) implies $|u_t| \leq 2t|\varphi|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V [e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_*)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)}] u_t d\mu - \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_V (e^{u_0+v_*} - e^{u_0+w_\lambda}) u_t d\mu \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\lambda}{t} \int_{V_t \setminus V_0} 2 |e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_*)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+w_\lambda)} - e^{u_0+v_*} + e^{u_0+w_\lambda}| |t\varphi| \\ & \leq C \int_{V_t \setminus V_0} |\varphi| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0^+$.

Therefore, by the above inequalities, we get

$$\int_V \nabla w_\lambda \cdot \nabla \varphi + \lambda \int_V e^{u_0+w_\lambda} (e^{b(u_0+w_\lambda)} - 1) \varphi + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \int_V \varphi \geq 0, \quad (3.13)$$

which implies that for any $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(V)$, $\langle J'(w_\lambda), \varphi \rangle \geq 0$. The reverse inequality holds if we replacing φ with $-\varphi$. Hence, w_λ is a critical point of J in $W^{1,2}(V)$. We see that w_λ is also a solution of Eq.(2.2). Noting (3.6) and $\{u_n\} \subset \Sigma$, we have $w_\lambda \geq u_*$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u_* - w_\lambda) &= \lambda_c e^{u_0+u_*} (e^{b(u_0+u_*)} - 1) - \lambda e^{u_0+w_\lambda} (e^{b(u_0+w_\lambda)} - 1) \\ &= \lambda e^{u_0+u_*} (e^{b(u_0+u_*)} - 1) - \lambda e^{u_0+w_\lambda} (e^{b(u_0+w_\lambda)} - 1) \\ &\quad + (\lambda_c - \lambda) e^{u_0+u_*} (e^{b(u_0+u_*)} - 1) \\ &> \lambda [e^{u_0+u_*} (e^{b(u_0+u_*)} - 1) - e^{u_0+w_\lambda} (e^{b(u_0+w_\lambda)} - 1)] \\ &= \lambda e^\xi [(b+1)e^{b\xi} - 1] (u_* - w_\lambda) \\ &\geq b\lambda (u_* - w_\lambda), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where $u_0 + u_* \leq \xi \leq u_0 + w_\lambda$. If $\max_{x \in V} (u_* - w_\lambda)(x) = (u_* - w_\lambda)(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in V$. Then we have

$$\Delta(u_* - w_\lambda)(x_0) > 0,$$

which is impossible. Hence, $w_\lambda(x) > u_*(x)$ for all $x \in V$.

Now we prove that w_λ a local minimum point of the functional J in $W^{1,2}(V)$. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that w_λ is not a local minimum point of J . Then for any $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\inf_{\|v-w_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq \frac{1}{n}} J(v) < J(w_\lambda). \quad (3.15)$$

Similar to problem (3.4), the infimum of J can be achieved at some point $v'_n \in \{v : \|v - w_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$. Then we have

$$v'_n \rightarrow w_\lambda \quad (3.16)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Noting $w_\lambda > u_*$, we see that $v'_n > u_*$ and $v'_n \in \Sigma$ for n sufficiently large. Hence,

$$J(v'_n) \geq J(w_\lambda),$$

which contradicts (3.15). We finish the proof. \square

In view of Lemma 3.1, we assume that the maximal solution v_λ is the local minimum point of J . If not, we would have already found the second solution. Next we prove that the functional $J(v)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition by using the argument in [20].

Lemma 3.2. Any sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W^{1,2}(V)$ satisfying

(1) $J(u_n) \rightarrow c$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

(2) $\|J'(u_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

admits a convergent subsequence.

Proof. We have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_V |\nabla v_n|^2 d\mu + \frac{\lambda}{b+1} \int_V e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_n)} d\mu - \lambda \int_V e^{u_0+v_n} d\mu + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \int_V v_n d\mu = c + o_n(1), \quad (3.17)$$

$$\left| \int_V \nabla v_n \cdot \nabla \varphi + \lambda \int_V e^{u_0+v_n} (e^{b(u_0+v_n)} - 1) \varphi + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \int_V \varphi \right| \leq \epsilon_n \|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}(V)}, \quad \epsilon_n \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.18)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By taking $\varphi = -1$ in (3.18), we conclude that there exists a positive integer N such that if $n \geq N$,

$$0 < c \leq \int_V e^{u_0+v_n} (1 - e^{b(u_0+v_n)}) \leq C, \quad (3.19)$$

where c and C are constants depending only on λ . Now we prove that $v_n(x)$ is bounded for any fixed $x \in V$ by contradiction. Suppose not, then we conclude that there exists x' and $\{v_{n_k}\} \subset \{v_n\}$ satisfying $v_{n_k}(x') \rightarrow +\infty (-\infty)$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $v_{n_k}(x')$ tends to $+\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

From now on, we don't distinguish the sequence and the subsequence. We prove that there is a point $\bar{x} \in V$ such that $\{v_{n_k}(\bar{x})\}$ is bounded. Supposing not, we obtain that for any $y \in V$, $v_{n_k}(y)$ tends to $+\infty (-\infty)$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, which contradicts with (3.19).

Noting $v_{n_k}(x') \rightarrow +\infty$, assume that

$$\Lambda_k = \max_{x \in V} |v_{n_k}(x)| = v_{n_k}(x_k).$$

Then we get $v_{n_k}(x_k) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In view of (3.17), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_V |\nabla v_{n_k}|^2 d\mu + \frac{\lambda}{b+1} \int_V e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_{n_k})} d\mu - \lambda \int_V e^{u_0+v_{n_k}} d\mu + \frac{4\pi N}{|V|} \int_V v_{n_k} d\mu = c + o_n(1). \quad (3.20)$$

Noting (3.3) and denoting $M = \frac{b\lambda}{b+1}|V|$, we obtain

$$c \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{y \sim x} \omega_{xy} |v_{n_k}(y) - v_{n_k}(x)|^2 - M - 4\pi N \Lambda_k + o_n(1). \quad (3.21)$$

Consequently,

$$|v_{n_k}(x) - v_{n_k}(y)| \leq C \sqrt{c + M + 4\pi N \Lambda_k} + o_n(1), \quad (3.22)$$

if $x \sim y$.

Noting G is finite and connected, we can find a path connecting \bar{x} and x_k , namely,

$$\bar{x} = x_1 \sim x_2 \sim x_3 \sim \cdots \sim x_l = x_k. \quad (3.23)$$

Combining (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n_k}(\bar{x}) &\geq v_{n_k}(x_k) - (l-1) \sqrt{c + M + 4\pi N \Lambda_k} + o_n(1) \\ &= \Lambda_k - (l-1) \sqrt{c + M + 4\pi N \Lambda_k} + o_n(1) \\ &\rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Since we have proved that $\{v_{n_k}(\bar{x})\}$ is bounded, there is a contradiction. Hence, $v_n(x)$ is bounded for any fixed $x \in V$. Furthermore, $\{v_{n_k}(x)\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}(V)$ and there exists a function \hat{v} such that

$$v_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow \hat{v}(x),$$

for all $x \in V$, as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Then proof is completed. \square

Next we use the mountain pass theorem to get the second solution of (2.2). Since v_λ is a local minimum point for J by the assumption, there exists a constant $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\|v-v_\lambda\|_{W^{1,2}(V)}=r_0} J(v) > J(v_\lambda). \quad (3.25)$$

For any $\tau > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(v_\lambda - \tau) - J(v_\lambda) &= \frac{\lambda}{b+1} \int_V \left[e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_\lambda-\tau)} - e^{(b+1)(u_0+v_\lambda)} \right] d\mu \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_V (e^{u_0+v_\lambda-\tau} - e^{u_0+v_\lambda}) d\mu - 4\pi N\tau \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

as $\tau \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, there exists a constant τ_0 such that $\|v_\lambda - \tau_0\|_{W^{1,2}(V)} > r_0$ and

$$J(v_\lambda - \tau_0) < J(v_\lambda) - 1 < J(v_\lambda). \quad (3.27)$$

Define

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow W^{1,2}(V) \text{ continuous } \gamma(0) = v_\lambda, \gamma(1) = v_\lambda - \tau_0\}$$

and

$$c_0 = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} J(\gamma(t)). \quad (3.28)$$

By (3.25), we get $c_0 > J(v_\lambda)$. Then the mountain pass theorem [1] implies that c_0 is a critical value of J . We obtain the second solution of (2.2), which is the critical point of J .

Acknowledgement

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11721101), and by National Key Research and Development Project SQ2020YFA070080.

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* 14 (1973) 349-381.
- [2] L.A. Caffarelli, Y. Yang, Vortex condensation in the Chern-Simons Higgs model: an existence theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 168 (1995) 321-336.
- [3] Shouxin Chen, Xiaosen Han, An existence theorem for a generalized self-dual Chern-Simons equation and its application, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 64(5) (2013) 1555-1570.
- [4] K. Choe, Asymptotic behavior of condensate solutions in the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 48(10) (2007) 103501 17pp.
- [5] K. Choe, N. Kim, C.S. Lin, Existence of self-dual non-topological solutions in the Chern-Simons Higgs model, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 28 (2011) 837-852.
- [6] H.R. Christiansen, M.S. Cunha, J.A. Helayël-Neto, L.R.U. Manssur, A.L.M.A. Nogueira, Self-dual vortices in a Maxwell-Chern-Simons model with non-minimal coupling, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A*, 14 (1999) 1721-1735.
- [7] Huabin Ge, The p th Kazdan-Warner equation on graphs, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 22(6) (2020) 1950052 17 pp.
- [8] Huabin Ge, Wenfeng Jiang, Kazdan-Warner equation on infinite graphs, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 55(5) (2018) 1091-1101.
- [9] Huabin Ge, Wenfeng Jiang, The 1-Yamabe equation on graphs, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 21(8) (2019) 1850040 10 pp.

- [10] Huabin Ge, Wenfeng Jiang, Yamabe equations on infinite graphs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 460(2) (2018) 885-890.
- [11] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yong Lin, Yunyan Yang, Kazdan-Warner equation on graph, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 55(4) (2016) Art. 92 13 pp.
- [12] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yong Lin, Yunyan Yang, Yamabe type equations on graphs, *J. Differential Equations* 261 (2016) 4924-4943.
- [13] Xiaoli Han, Mengqiu Shao, Liang Zhao, Existence and convergence of solutions for nonlinear biharmonic equations on graphs, *J. Differential Equations* 268 (2020) 3936-3961.
- [14] Songbo Hou, Multiple solutions of a nonlinear biharmonic equation on graphs, *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics*, to appear.
- [15] Songbo Hou, Jiamin Sun, Existence of solutions to Chern-Simons-Higgs equations on graphs, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, to appear.
- [16] An Huang, Yong Lin, Shing-Tung Yau, Existence of solutions to mean field equations on graphs, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 377(1) (2020) 613-621.
- [17] Hsin-Yuan Huang, Jun Wang, Wen Yang, Mean field equation and relativistic Abelian Chern-Simons model on finite graphs, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 281(10) (2021) Paper No. 109218 36 pp.
- [18] Chungen Liu, Li Zuo, Positive solutions of Yamabe-type equations with function coefficients on graphs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 473(2) (2019) 1343-1357.
- [19] Shuang Liu, Yunyan Yang, Multiple solutions of Kazdan-Warner equation on graphs in the negative case, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59(5) (2020) Paper No. 164 15 pp.
- [20] Yingshu Lü, Peirong Zhong, Existence of solutions to a generalized self-dual Chern-Simons equation on graphs, arXiv: 2107.12535.
- [21] J. Spruck, Y. Yang, The existence of non-topological solitons in the self-dual Chern-Simons theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 149 (1992) 361-376.
- [22] J. Spruck, Y. Yang, Topological solutions in the self-dual Chern-Simons theory: existence and approximation, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 12 (1995) 75-97.
- [23] G. Tarantello, Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory, *J. Math. Phys.* 37(8) (1996) 3769-3796.
- [24] G. Tarantello, Uniqueness of self-dual periodic Chern-Simons vortices of topological-type, *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* 28 (2007) 191-217
- [25] R. Wang, The existence of Chern-Simons vortices. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 137 (1991) 587-597.
- [26] Xiaoxiao Zhang, Yanxun Chang, p -th Kazdan-Warner equation on graph in the negative case, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 466(1) (2018) 400-407.
- [27] Xiaoxiao Zhang, Aijin Lin, Positive solutions of p -th Yamabe type equations on graphs, *Front. Math. China* 13(6) (2018) 1501-1514.
- [28] Xiaoxiao Zhang, Aijin Lin, Positive solutions of p -th Yamabe type equations on infinite graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147(4) (2019) 1421-1427.